

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1:	QA. TYPE OF PHONE	7
TABLE 2:	QB. CELL PHONE USAGE	10
TABLE 3:	QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE	14
TABLE 4:	Q2. AGE	16
TABLE 5:	Q3. ETHNICITY	20
TABLE 6:	Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?	23
TABLE 7:	Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?	25
TABLE 8:	Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?	27
TABLE 9:	Q6B. What country is your family from?	34
TABLE 10:	Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?.....	41
TABLE 11:	Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba.....	43
TABLE 12:	Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England.....	47
TABLE 13:	Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam.....	51
TABLE 14:	Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico.....	55
TABLE 15:	Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran.....	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 16:	Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?.....	63
TABLE 17:	Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?.....	67
TABLE 18:	Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?).....	71
TABLE 19:	Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?.....	75
TABLE 20:	Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?.....	79
TABLE 21:	Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?).....	83
TABLE 22:	Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens.....	87
TABLE 23:	Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba.....	91
TABLE 24:	Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba.....	95
TABLE 25:	Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling.....	99
TABLE 26:	Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States.....	103
TABLE 27:	Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year.....	107
TABLE 28:	Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials.....	111
TABLE 29:	Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power.....	115

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses.....	119
TABLE 31: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland.....	123
TABLE 32: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country.....	127
TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?.....	131
TABLE 34: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?.....	135
TABLE 35: Q13/Q14. COMBINED	139
TABLE 36: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses.....	143
TABLE 37: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy.....	147
TABLE 38: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores.....	151
TABLE 39: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests.....	155

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 40:	Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America.....	159
TABLE 41:	Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status.....	163
TABLE 42:	Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime.....	167
TABLE 43:	Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences.....	171
TABLE 44:	Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall.....	175
TABLE 45:	Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?.....	179
TABLE 46:	Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?.....	183
TABLE 47:	Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?).....	187
TABLE 48:	Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?.....	191
TABLE 49:	Q19. IDEOLOGY	193
TABLE 50:	Q20. PARTY SELF ID	197
TABLE 51:	Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?.....	201
TABLE 52:	Q22. EDUCATION	205
TABLE 53:	GENDER	209
TABLE 54:	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW	211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 55: CENSUS REGION 213

TABLE 56: REGION 215

TABLE 57: CENSUS DIVISION 218

TABLE 58: A/B SPLIT 221

TABLE 59: C/D SPLIT 223

TABLE 60: Q15/Q16 ROTATION 225

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS 227

TABLE 62: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS 234

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES

U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *LATINO SAMPLE*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

		GENDER		Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY			
		Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243	
YES	56%	64%	48%	76%	52%	58%	48%	45%	63%	47%	65%	54%	43%	59%	54%	57%	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	64	48	76	52	58	48	45	63	47	65	54	43	59	54	57	
NO	44	36	52	24	48	42	52	55	37	53	35	46	57	41	46	43	
No, not on cell, but own one	34	28	39	14	35	33	43	39	27	42	25	36	44	37	33	34	
No, not on and do not own	11	8	13	9	13	9	9	16	10	11	11	10	13	4	13	9	
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38		
YES	56%	41%	66%	58%	57%	48%	54%	61%	56%	49%	73%	61%	53%	66%	39%		
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	41	66	58	57	48	54	61	56	49	73	61	53	66	39		
NO	44	59	34	42	43	52	46	39	44	51	27	39	47	34	61		
No, not on cell, but own one	34	44	27	31	35	40	36	29	33	43	16	26	38	22	46		
No, not on and do not own	11	15	7	12	8	13	11	10	10	7	11	13	9	12	15		
		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204	
YES	56%	57%	69%	53%	61%	48%	55%	56%	55%	56%	57%	50%	62%	71%	54%	65%	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	57	69	53	61	48	55	56	55	56	57	50	62	71	54	65	
NO	44	43	31	47	39	52	45	44	45	44	43	50	38	29	46	35	
No, not on cell, but own one	34	39	21	32	25	37	34	33	32	35	29	41	31	24	35	28	
No, not on and do not own	11	5	10	15	15	15	11	10	12	9	14	9	7	6	11	7	

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	
Total														
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226	
YES	56%	87%	29%	8%	74%	41%	65%	53%	51%	51%	73%	57%	55%	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	87	29	8	74	41	65	53	51	51	73	57	55	
NO	44	13	71	92	26	59	35	47	49	49	27	43	45	
No, not on cell, but own one	34	13	71	33	15	44	26	37	39	42	19	38	34	
No, not on and do not own	11	0	0	59	11	15	9	10	10	6	8	5	11	
		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP	
Total														
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34	
YES	56%	53%	50%	68%	53%	53%	65%	49%	71%	61%	52%	54%	69%	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	53	50	68	53	53	65	49	71	61	52	54	69	
NO	44	47	50	32	47	47	35	51	29	39	48	46	31	
No, not on cell, but own one	34	36	44	20	36	41	21	40	15	22	38	35	16	
No, not on and do not own	11	11	6	12	11	6	14	11	14	17	10	11	15	
		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
		MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	
Total														
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61	
YES	56%	69%	56%	56%	40%	69%	40%	55%	50%	59%	48%	57%	44%	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	69	56	56	40	69	40	55	50	59	48	57	44	
NO	44	31	44	44	60	31	60	45	50	41	52	43	56	
No, not on cell, but own one	34	20	39	34	44	24	45	40	42	28	41	34	54	
No, not on and do not own	11	11	5	10	17	7	15	4	8	12	11	9	2	

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
<u>YES</u>	56%	49%	58%	68%	51%	69%	62%	42%	70%	68%	42%	54%	61%	56%	42%	58%	65%	47%	61%
Yes, cell and can talk safely	56	49	58	68	51	69	62	42	70	68	42	54	61	56	42	58	65	47	61
<u>NO</u>	44	51	42	32	49	31	38	58	30	32	58	46	39	44	58	42	35	53	39
No, not on cell, but own one	34	38	36	25	37	24	30	43	21	26	46	41	31	30	46	33	29	41	25
No, not on and do not own	11	14	6	7	12	7	8	15	8	6	13	5	8	13	12	9	6	12	14

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	470	231	238	90	81	86	131	71	257	202	171	191	97	44	205	221
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	65%	54%	87%	59%	54%	52%	41%	67%	49%	74%	54%	43%	57%	58%	61%
All cell phone	39	44	33	62	32	34	37	22	43	32	48	36	25	38	36	41
Mostly cell phone	20	20	21	25	28	20	15	20	24	17	26	18	17	19	22	19
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	28	36	11	39	38	39	33	28	37	24	38	34	40	33	30
Cell and landline equally	32	28	36	11	39	38	39	33	28	37	24	38	34	40	33	30
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	7	9	2	2	6	8	25	3	14	2	6	23	3	8	9
Mostly landline	8	7	9	2	2	6	8	25	3	14	2	6	23	3	8	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	470	179	291	209	192	69	334	135	154	143	60	80	297	140	32	
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	47%	67%	64%	60%	43%	57%	64%	62%	47%	79%	66%	55%	72%	44%	
All cell phone	39	24	48	41	38	34	35	49	38	27	57	49	33	52	31	
Mostly cell phone	20	22	19	22	22	10	23	15	24	20	23	16	22	19	13	
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	45	24	25	33	49	35	24	31	39	16	29	35	24	46	
Cell and landline equally	32	45	24	25	33	49	35	24	31	39	16	29	35	24	46	
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	8	8	10	6	7	7	10	8	13	5	3	10	3	10	
Mostly landline	8	8	8	10	6	7	7	10	8	13	5	3	10	3	10	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	NO		YES	NO
Base	470	192	82	97	41	43	234	236	230	240	79	77	108	82	156	190
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	53%	65%	60%	66%	58%	60%	58%	60%	58%	65%	50%	58%	66%	58%	61%
All cell phone	39	35	51	37	47	27	41	37	41	36	51	36	29	45	44	36
Mostly cell phone	20	19	15	24	19	31	20	21	19	22	14	14	28	20	14	25
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	38	30	24	21	25	28	36	31	33	30	37	37	22	34	30
Cell and landline equally	32	38	30	24	21	25	28	36	31	33	30	37	37	22	34	30
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	8	5	13	9	17	11	5	8	8	3	13	6	11	8	8
Mostly landline	8	8	5	13	9	17	11	5	8	8	3	13	6	11	8	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	2	4	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	4	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	1
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE						Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK RF/NA			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB					UNFAV	FAV		UNFAV		
Base	470	278	151	38	63	58	142	178	135	87	53	117	201			
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	100%	0%	0%	68%	47%	63%	59%	54%	53%	60%	56%	58%			
All cell phone	39	65	0	0	56	30	47	35	34	25	52	30	40			
Mostly cell phone	20	35	0	0	13	16	16	24	20	28	7	26	18			
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	0	100	0	30	42	32	31	36	36	28	31	35			
Cell and landline equally	32	0	100	0	30	42	32	31	36	36	28	31	35			
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	0	0	100	2	12	4	10	10	10	12	13	5			
Mostly landline	8	0	0	100	2	12	4	10	10	10	12	13	5			
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2			
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2			

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS		W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS		DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	470	235	121	58	217	150	47	180	37	34	87	127	29
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	58%	52%	64%	59%	51%	67%	53%	87%	60%	51%	65%	64%
All cell phone	39	38	33	40	39	31	39	36	55	30	29	48	43
Mostly cell phone	20	20	19	24	19	20	28	17	32	30	23	17	21
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	30	42	31	30	40	32	34	11	38	36	25	36
Cell and landline equally	32	30	42	31	30	40	32	34	11	38	36	25	36
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	11	6	3	10	8	1	12	3	2	13	9	0
Mostly landline	8	11	6	3	10	8	1	12	3	2	13	9	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	470	128	99	130	103	100	117	81	69	93	117	87	60
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	74%	51%	60%	47%	70%	49%	51%	51%	67%	53%	58%	40%
All cell phone	39	51	33	36	31	52	29	31	32	44	35	32	28
Mostly cell phone	20	23	17	25	16	18	20	20	19	23	17	26	12
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	22	37	34	36	24	35	37	43	27	32	38	44
Cell and landline equally	32	22	37	34	36	24	35	37	43	27	32	38	44
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	3	12	4	16	6	14	11	4	6	14	2	16
Mostly landline	8	3	12	4	16	6	14	11	4	6	14	2	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	0

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	470	246	103	103	349	120	169	180	62	57	64	45	175	186	66	82	90	57	129
<u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u>	59%	52%	65%	64%	56%	68%	65%	48%	64%	72%	46%	66%	64%	58%	48%	62%	65%	48%	62%
All cell phone	39	37	33	47	36	47	45	27	44	51	30	43	40	39	30	36	44	34	42
Mostly cell phone	20	16	32	17	21	20	21	21	20	21	16	23	24	18	18	25	22	14	20
<u>BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32	37	28	27	35	25	29	40	28	22	46	31	28	32	44	32	25	33	31
Cell and landline equally	32	37	28	27	35	25	29	40	28	22	46	31	28	32	44	32	25	33	31
<u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u>	8	10	7	7	9	6	6	11	9	3	8	3	8	9	7	7	10	16	6
Mostly landline	8	10	7	7	9	6	6	11	9	3	8	3	8	9	7	7	10	16	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	1

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	59%	47%	79%	52%	49%	48%	35%	60%	43%	66%	49%	37%	55%	50%	55%
BOTH EQUALLY	29	26	31	10	34	34	35	28	26	33	21	35	30	38	29	27
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	14	21	11	14	15	16	37	13	24	13	15	33	7	20	17
OTHER	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	40%	62%	56%	55%	38%	51%	57%	55%	44%	70%	57%	50%	63%	37%	
BOTH EQUALLY	29	38	22	22	30	43	32	22	27	36	14	26	31	21	39	
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	22	15	21	14	19	17	19	17	19	15	15	18	15	24	
OTHER	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	51%	59%	51%	57%	50%	54%	52%	53%	53%	56%	45%	53%	62%	51%	57%
BOTH EQUALLY	29	36	27	21	18	21	25	33	27	31	26	34	34	20	30	28
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	12	14	26	23	29	20	15	19	16	16	21	13	16	18	14
OTHER	1	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	1
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	100%	0%	0%	61%	40%	57%	53%	49%	50%	55%	53%	52%			
BOTH EQUALLY	29%	0%	100%	0%	27%	35%	29%	27%	33%	34%	26%	29%	31%			
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	0	0	100	12	25	13	19	18	16	19	17	16			
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1			

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	52%	49%	56%	52%	48%	57%	48%	74%	50%	46%	58%	54%
BOTH EQUALLY	29	27	39	27	26	37	27	30	9	32	32	22	30
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	21	12	14	21	13	16	21	17	19	22	19	15
OTHER	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	67%	48%	54%	39%	66%	42%	49%	47%	58%	47%	53%	39%
BOTH EQUALLY	29	20	35	31	30	22	30	36	39	23	29	35	43
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	13	17	13	30	12	27	15	11	18	23	11	18
OTHER	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	2	0

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION					
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	SOUTH	MNTN	WEST	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL		COLL+	MEN	WOMEN		MEN		WOMEN		EAST	WEST		EAST	SOUTH
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	53%	45%	61%	59%	50%	63%	60%	41%	58%	68%	40%	63%	59%	50%	42%	56%	61%	43%	53%
BOTH EQUALLY	29	32	26	25	31	23	26	34	25	21	40	30	26	27	39	29	23	29	27
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	18	22	13	14	19	13	14	24	16	8	19	8	15	21	19	15	16	26	20
OTHER	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
18-49	55%	57%	53%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	45%	0%	61%	58%	50%
18-24	12	14	10	64	0	0	0	0	22	0	33	0	0	19	13	10
25-29	7	9	5	36	0	0	0	0	13	0	19	0	0	3	8	7
30-34	10	9	11	0	57	0	0	0	19	0	28	0	0	11	8	12
35-39	8	7	8	0	43	0	0	0	14	0	21	0	0	4	9	7
40-44	9	9	10	0	0	52	0	0	17	0	0	24	0	11	13	6
45-49	9	8	9	0	0	48	0	0	16	0	0	21	0	13	8	8
50+	43	42	45	0	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	55	100	36	40	48
50-54	10	10	10	0	0	0	38	0	0	24	0	26	0	12	11	9
55-59	12	10	14	0	0	0	43	0	0	27	0	29	0	9	14	10
60-64	5	6	4	0	0	0	19	0	0	12	0	0	25	10	4	6
65-74	10	10	11	0	0	0	0	65	0	24	0	0	49	2	9	13
75+	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	35	0	13	0	0	26	2	2	10
DK/REF	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	2
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	2
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
18-49	55%	45%	61%	59%	52%	51%	54%	57%	50%	56%	69%	49%	53%	58%	56%	
18-24	12	6	16	16	9	7	9	20	15	8	18	13	11	15	6	
25-29	7	2	10	9	4	6	8	5	6	8	11	5	7	8	6	
30-34	10	7	13	11	9	10	9	12	10	8	15	12	9	13	6	
35-39	8	8	7	7	9	6	8	7	6	9	5	5	8	5	16	
40-44	9	11	9	8	11	8	10	8	9	12	11	7	10	8	9	
45-49	9	11	7	7	9	13	10	6	5	12	8	8	8	8	14	
50+	43	54	36	40	45	48	44	41	49	44	29	43	46	37	44	
50-54	10	11	10	8	13	12	9	13	15	6	6	9	11	7	19	
55-59	12	18	7	10	13	12	13	8	14	13	5	13	14	9	5	
60-64	5	7	4	6	4	6	5	5	7	4	1	6	6	4	7	
65-74	10	12	10	11	10	10	11	9	9	14	9	10	11	10	8	
75+	6	6	5	5	5	8	6	5	4	7	9	5	5	7	4	
DK/REF	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	8%	1%	5%	0%	
Don't know/Refused	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	2	1	0	2	8	1	5	0	

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER										
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
18-49	55%	51%	50%	64%	66%	68%	55%	54%	55%	55%	61%	56%	57%	43%	59%	51%
18-24	12	11	11	11	18	9	13	11	12	12	17	9	10	12	13	11
25-29	7	7	10	5	7	4	7	7	7	7	7	9	5	7	8	6
30-34	10	7	9	14	14	14	10	10	10	10	12	8	13	6	10	10
35-39	8	7	4	12	12	15	8	7	8	7	3	8	13	5	5	10
40-44	9	10	9	12	6	17	9	10	9	10	13	9	10	7	11	9
45-49	9	9	6	10	9	10	8	9	9	9	9	13	6	6	11	6
50+	43	48	49	33	31	32	44	43	43	43	37	42	41	57	40	48
50-54	10	12	11	7	8	6	10	10	10	10	13	8	11	11	11	11
55-59	12	16	13	2	5	1	11	13	11	13	7	16	10	11	11	11
60-64	5	7	4	4	5	4	5	6	6	4	6	2	7	4	4	6
65-74	10	7	15	12	6	17	12	9	12	9	10	13	6	16	11	10
75+	6	5	6	7	8	3	7	5	4	7	2	3	6	14	2	10
DK/REF	2	1	1	3	3	0	1	3	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1
Don't know/Refused	2	1	1	3	3	0	1	3	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
18-49	55%	62%	49%	41%	53%	39%	53%	56%	55%	45%	58%	44%	59%
18-24	12	18	4	7	15	8	10	12	13	11	14	9	12
25-29	7	10	3	5	8	7	9	5	6	6	6	6	8
30-34	10	10	12	9	3	15	7	11	13	9	7	9	10
35-39	8	7	9	6	8	2	8	8	7	4	3	5	10
40-44	9	9	13	5	5	4	9	12	7	8	12	7	12
45-49	9	8	9	10	14	3	10	8	8	7	16	7	8
50+	43	35	49	58	47	53	45	43	42	54	42	55	38
50-54	10	10	12	7	11	5	15	8	6	13	10	10	11
55-59	12	10	16	11	10	26	8	13	16	14	4	13	12
60-64	5	4	6	6	2	9	4	5	7	11	4	12	3
65-74	10	8	11	17	15	4	10	12	7	11	16	13	8
75+	6	2	5	17	10	10	8	4	4	6	8	7	4
DK/REF	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	7%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	3%
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	1	0	7	2	1	3	1	0	1	3

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP						
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
18-49	55%	44%	60%	61%	44%	60%	61%	40%	62%	60%	52%	38%	60%
18-24	12	10	10	11	10	10	12	10	9	10	10	10	12
25-29	7	5	4	10	5	5	11	3	17	10	6	5	12
30-34	10	10	16	6	9	16	6	9	8	6	14	5	8
35-39	8	7	8	9	8	7	10	7	12	11	8	6	12
40-44	9	6	12	18	6	12	18	6	8	17	7	6	16
45-49	9	6	10	8	6	11	6	5	9	7	7	5	1
50+	43	53	38	37	54	39	37	58	36	37	45	59	40
50-54	10	13	9	7	14	8	9	12	21	6	8	18	14
55-59	12	16	10	9	16	12	7	19	3	5	20	14	3
60-64	5	6	5	2	5	7	3	6	5	2	5	6	3
65-74	10	13	8	9	14	8	9	15	7	12	11	14	15
75+	6	5	6	9	5	5	9	6	0	12	1	7	5
DK/REF	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	0
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
18-49	55%	100%	0%	100%	0%	46%	41%	56%	64%	100%	0%	100%	0%
18-24	12	25	0	19	0	14	7	12	8	23	0	17	0
25-29	7	15	0	10	0	6	5	5	4	12	0	8	0
30-34	10	16	0	21	0	7	10	12	20	20	0	26	0
35-39	8	13	0	15	0	6	9	7	7	17	0	12	0
40-44	9	16	0	19	0	7	6	10	14	15	0	20	0
45-49	9	15	0	17	0	6	6	10	11	13	0	18	0
50+	43	0	100	0	100	52	55	41	36	0	100	0	100
50-54	10	0	25	0	23	17	11	6	9	0	26	0	20
55-59	12	0	24	0	30	14	18	9	14	0	30	0	30
60-64	5	0	15	0	10	6	5	9	5	0	10	0	18
65-74	10	0	23	0	25	12	14	8	7	0	25	0	20
75+	6	0	13	0	12	3	6	9	1	0	9	0	13
DK/REF	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
Don't know/Refused	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
18-49	55%	54%	57%	53%	55%	55%	59%	51%	50%	59%	52%	51%	60%	52%	53%	58%	61%	39%	57%
18-24	12	14	15	6	14	6	18	10	3	9	10	12	12	13	9	12	13	5	16
25-29	7	6	9	8	7	7	9	4	7	8	3	11	8	6	3	8	9	0	9
30-34	10	8	13	12	10	12	8	11	13	11	15	3	11	9	15	10	12	7	10
35-39	8	9	4	5	8	7	7	8	8	7	6	6	8	8	6	8	9	9	7
40-44	9	8	10	13	8	13	9	8	10	16	6	11	10	10	7	10	10	13	9
45-49	9	9	6	9	8	9	8	9	9	9	13	8	10	6	13	10	9	5	7
50+	43	45	41	42	44	41	41	47	43	38	47	49	37	47	47	40	34	61	40
50-54	10	11	9	8	11	9	11	10	7	11	10	14	7	12	10	8	7	15	11
55-59	12	13	8	11	12	12	9	14	12	12	11	32	6	13	11	7	4	14	13
60-64	5	5	5	7	5	6	6	4	6	6	10	0	7	3	10	9	5	6	2
65-74	10	10	14	10	11	9	9	13	11	6	12	0	11	12	12	10	12	14	11
75+	6	6	5	6	6	5	5	6	7	3	4	3	6	6	4	6	5	13	4
DK/REF	2	1	2	5	1	5	0	2	7	2	1	0	4	2	1	1	6	0	2
Don't know/Refused	2	1	2	5	1	5	0	2	7	2	1	0	4	2	1	1	6	0	2

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
LATINOS	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	10	7	10	7	12	10	2	10	7	9	10	6	100	0	0
Mexican ancestry	45	42	48	49	43	52	47	31	48	41	46	51	32	0	100	0
Latino Other ancestry	46	48	45	41	50	36	43	66	42	51	45	39	62	0	0	100

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S. / CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
LATINOS	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	13	6	9	9	7	9	8	12	8	4	10	10	7	2
Mexican ancestry	45	56	38	43	48	44	47	40	46	48	41	38	47	39	54
Latino Other ancestry	46	31	56	48	43	48	44	52	42	44	56	51	43	53	44

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
LATINOS	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	10	7	6	7	7	11	7	8	10	10	4	10	5	7	8
Mexican ancestry	45	48	36	51	52	55	40	50	47	43	43	55	49	42	49	46
Latino Other ancestry	46	42	57	43	41	39	49	43	46	47	47	41	41	54	44	46

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN		LIB							
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
<u>LATINOS</u>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	9	12	3	14	3	11	8	8	9	13	8	7
Mexican ancestry	45	43	45	52	36	43	43	47	45	54	37	48	48
Latino Other ancestry	46	48	44	45	50	53	46	45	48	37	51	44	45
	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS		W/LNS		W/LNS		DEM	DEM				
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>LATINOS</u>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	6	13	13	5	13	14	3	11	16	3	6	12
Mexican ancestry	45	49	42	39	51	41	38	53	41	30	51	51	32
Latino Other ancestry	46	45	46	47	44	46	48	44	48	54	47	43	56
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>LATINOS</u>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	9	12	10	3	7	3	15	12	9	2	11	17
Mexican ancestry	45	45	36	50	46	42	57	46	35	52	51	47	30
Latino Other ancestry	46	45	52	39	51	51	39	39	53	39	48	42	53

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
LATINOS	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cuban ancestry	9	6	12	11	8	12	10	6	11	12	8	0	20	1	7	38	3	0	2
Mexican ancestry	45	55	36	32	50	31	45	53	32	30	16	63	35	60	17	15	55	56	61
Latino Other ancestry	46	39	52	57	43	57	45	41	57	57	76	37	45	39	76	48	42	44	37

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Spanish all or most	31%	24%	37%	22%	23%	27%	41%	41%	24%	41%	22%	33%	44%	31%	40%	22%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45	49	40	52	52	47	40	32	50	37	52	44	32	57	44	43
English all or most	24	27	22	26	25	26	19	27	26	22	26	23	24	12	16	35
Refused/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
Spanish all or most	31%	56%	14%	33%	30%	25%	34%	23%	31%	30%	29%	27%	30%	28%	50%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45	37	50	43	41	60	44	45	43	55	40	41	49	41	25
English all or most	24	7	36	24	29	14	21	32	26	15	30	32	21	31	25
Refused/NA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Spanish all or most	31%	32%	24%	34%	45%	27%	30%	32%	32%	30%	31%	31%	33%	28%	31%	31%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45	48	42	34	35	37	43	46	44	45	40	42	47	41	41	45
English all or most	24	19	33	31	20	36	27	22	24	24	28	27	20	31	28	25
Refused/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
Spanish all or most	31%	24%	35%	46%	33%	34%	28%	33%	30%	35%	24%	35%	31%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45%	46%	52%	29%	42%	41%	47%	43%	47%	45%	47%	43%	41%
English all or most	24	31	13	24	25	26	25	24	23	21	29	22	27
Refused/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
Spanish all or most	31%	39%	24%	13%	42%	22%	12%	47%	15%	14%	37%	44%	8%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45	41	54	48	40	52	53	37	54	49	44	39	58
English all or most	24	20	22	39	18	26	36	16	31	38	20	18	34
Refused/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Spanish all or most	31%	22%	29%	26%	52%	34%	48%	15%	30%	34%	49%	19%	29%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45	52	47	49	28	43	38	56	47	48	33	57	46
English all or most	24	26	24	25	20	23	15	29	23	18	18	25	25
Refused/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-		NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	SOUTH	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	WEST	WEST	EAST	SOUTH	CNTRL		
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
Spanish all or most	31%	43%	17%	18%	35%	18%	25%	44%	21%	14%	44%	42%	30%	25%	45%	29%	29%	15%	29%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	45	38	53	49	42	51	51	35	44	58	34	26	49	48	34	52	47	56	45
English all or most	24	19	30	33	22	31	24	21	34	28	22	32	21	27	21	18	23	29	26
Refused/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	35%	45%	18%	33%	48%	54%	44%	33%	50%	26%	50%	47%	60%	50%	27%
Born in U.S.	60	65	55	82	67	52	46	56	67	50	74	50	53	40	50	73

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	100%	0%	39%	40%	44%	47%	23%	42%	49%	21%	32%	46%	27%	48%
Born in U.S.	60	0	100	61	60	56	53	77	58	51	79	68	54	73	52

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	46%	26%	33%	38%	32%	41%	39%	41%	39%	34%	41%	45%	25%	37%	36%
Born in U.S.	60	54	74	67	62	68	59	61	59	61	66	59	55	75	63	64

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	30%	54%	50%	34%	46%	35%	40%	46%	46%	25%	42%	39%
Born in U.S.	60	70	46	50	66	54	65	60	54	54	75	58	61

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	44%	35%	32%	45%	34%	33%	51%	17%	39%	53%	40%	30%
Born in U.S.	60	56	65	68	55	66	67	49	83	61	47	60	70

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	29%	44%	37%	55%	32%	56%	39%	28%	40%	51%	28%	44%
Born in U.S.	60	71	56	63	45	68	44	61	72	60	49	72	56

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER					CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL		COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	SOUTH		MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST			WEST		EAST	CNTRL		
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
Immigrated to U.S.	40%	50%	27%	27%	44%	29%	36%	50%	31%	27%	52%	39%	44%	33%	53%	56%	31%	21%	39%
Born in U.S.	60	50	73	73	56	71	64	50	69	73	48	61	56	67	47	44	69	79	61

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	211	88	123	18	31	45	77	37	94	114	49	106	53	27	118	66
Bolivia	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Columbia	3	3	3	6	4	4	2	3	5	2	5	2	3	0	0	10
Cuba	13	17	10	35	6	13	13	5	15	10	17	11	12	100	0	0
Dominican Republic	6	2	8	6	0	7	2	15	5	6	2	4	12	0	0	18
Ecuador	2	2	2	0	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	1	3	0	0	5
El Salvador	2	2	3	0	8	0	3	0	2	2	5	2	0	0	0	7
Haiti	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Honduras	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	4
Japan	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
Mexico	56	52	58	36	63	57	64	41	55	57	53	64	43	0	100	0
Nicaragua	3	5	2	2	1	2	0	14	2	5	2	1	10	0	0	11
Puerto Rico	5	5	5	2	7	5	4	8	5	5	5	3	9	0	0	16
Venezuela	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	5
Guatemala	1	1	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	3
Portugal	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Peru	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3
Panama	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Philippines	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	3
Spain	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	3
Brazil	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Refused	1	2	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	3

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	-----			-----			-----		-----						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	211	211	0	93	83	35	177	34	73	76	14	30	149	44	18
Bolivia	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%
Columbia	3	3	0	3	2	7	3	6	2	3	10	1	2	4	8
Cuba	13	13	0	13	14	11	13	12	17	8	10	22	13	18	3
Dominican Republic	6	6	0	10	3	1	7	0	5	8	10	2	7	5	0
Ecuador	2	2	0	3	1	1	2	0	0	2	7	2	1	4	0
El Salvador	2	2	0	1	2	6	2	2	0	3	8	6	1	7	0
Haiti	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	0	0	2	0
Honduras	1	1	0	2	1	0	1	4	2	0	0	4	1	2	0
Japan	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	3	0
Mexico	56	56	0	56	55	56	54	64	59	60	29	34	60	32	81
Nicaragua	3	3	0	0	8	3	4	0	0	3	3	15	2	11	0
Puerto Rico	5	5	0	8	4	0	5	4	6	5	10	3	5	5	3
Venezuela	1	1	0	0	4	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	2	0	0
Guatemala	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	4	0	1	1	2
Portugal	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	1	4	0	1	1	3
Panama	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Philippines	1	1	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Spain	1	1	0	0	1	4	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	3	0
Brazil	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	1	1	0	0	1	5	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	CONS		CONS		POS		PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
	Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER									YES	NO
Base	211	92	24	37	18	16	108	103	107	104	31	34	52	22	65	74
Bolivia	1%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Columbia	3	1	8	4	3	6	5	1	2	4	1	3	5	0	2	3
Cuba	13	13	15	11	16	8	18	8	10	16	19	4	16	3	11	12
Dominican Republic	6	5	3	4	7	0	2	9	9	3	9	4	4	0	6	3
Ecuador	2	1	3	3	5	0	1	2	1	2	2	0	4	0	1	3
El Salvador	2	2	0	8	16	0	3	2	2	2	0	5	1	3	3	2
Haiti	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
Honduras	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	2
Japan	1	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	2	0
Mexico	56	66	36	59	45	67	49	63	55	57	50	79	54	66	65	58
Nicaragua	3	1	20	0	0	0	1	6	4	2	0	1	2	20	1	7
Puerto Rico	5	2	7	3	3	3	6	4	4	6	8	2	1	3	5	2
Venezuela	1	3	0	2	0	5	3	0	2	1	0	2	5	0	1	3
Guatemala	1	0	3	2	0	4	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
Portugal	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
Peru	1	1	0	2	0	4	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	4	1	1
Panama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Philippines	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	2

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	211	83	81	46	24	32	54	79	69	43	14	51	88
Bolivia	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Columbia	3	3	4	2	0	1	3	4	3	1	0	1	5
Cuba	13	17	16	1	24	5	13	14	12	13	21	12	12
Dominican Republic	6	3	8	6	10	8	5	2	11	1	22	1	4
Ecuador	2	4	0	0	0	2	4	0	2	5	0	5	0
El Salvador	2	1	5	0	10	0	8	1	0	0	0	3	4
Haiti	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
Honduras	1	1	0	3	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
Japan	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0
Mexico	56	49	54	71	32	67	43	58	62	68	22	63	64
Nicaragua	3	2	7	1	18	0	9	3	0	0	30	2	1
Puerto Rico	5	4	3	10	4	9	5	4	6	4	0	5	2
Venezuala	1	1	1	3	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	4
Guatemala	1	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1
Portugal	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Peru	1	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	1
Panama	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Philippines	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	1	2	0	1	0	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Brazil	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	3	0

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY					
	DEM			REP			INDEP			STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP								
Base	211	117	44	21	111	54	18	104	7	16	52	57	10		
Bolivia	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	6%	5%	0%	1%	7%		
Columbia	3	4	2	5	4	3	0	3	11	0	3	4	0		
Cuba	13	9	22	20	6	26	23	5	28	19	1	11	6		
Dominican Republic	6	7	3	0	7	3	0	7	0	0	13	1	0		
Ecuador	2	2	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	0		
El Salvador	2	0	4	11	0	5	10	0	0	11	0	0	17		
Haiti	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	10	0	0	1	0		
Honduras	1	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	13	0	1	2	0		
Japan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mexico	56	63	52	18	66	46	17	69	27	15	69	62	7		
Nicaragua	3	1	0	20	1	0	24	1	0	26	0	3	42		
Puerto Rico	5	6	5	4	6	4	5	6	5	6	8	3	9		
Venezuala	1	2	0	4	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	4	0		
Guatemala	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		
Portugal	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0		
Peru	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0	1	6		
Panama	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Philippines	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0		
Spain	1	0	0	9	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	6		
Brazil	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Refused	1	0	4	3	0	3	3	0	0	4	0	0	0		

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L		DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L	
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+			MEN	WOMEN			18-49	50+
Base	211	42	46	53	68	34	77	33	21	43	67	27	27
Bolivia	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Columbia	3	4	2	5	2	3	4	3	5	9	1	0	7
Cuba	13	16	17	15	6	16	2	24	28	12	2	21	30
Dominican Republic	6	5	0	5	10	0	10	3	4	5	8	5	2
Ecuador	2	0	3	2	1	5	1	0	2	1	2	1	0
El Salvador	2	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	9
Haiti	1	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	2	0
Honduras	1	3	2	1	0	3	1	3	0	1	1	4	0
Japan	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	56	51	53	58	60	58	69	56	32	59	70	48	45
Nicaragua	3	1	9	3	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	5	4	5	6	5	7	5	2	6	5	6	5	2
Venezuala	1	2	0	0	4	0	3	2	0	0	4	3	0
Guatemala	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	1	0	1	2
Portugal	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Peru	1	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	2
Panama	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Philippines	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0
Spain	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazil	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Refused	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	6	0

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	-----						-----				-----				-----				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH SOUTH	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	211	143	30	30	173	38	66	107	21	16	38	18	83	72	40	51	30	14	58
Bolivia	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Columbia	3	4	1	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	5	0	6	0	6	8	1	0	0
Cuba	13	7	32	25	11	22	18	7	12	35	4	0	29	2	4	44	7	0	3
Dominican Republic	6	6	7	5	6	4	3	8	0	9	30	0	1	0	30	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	1	0	5	1	4	0	2	7	0	2	0	3	0	2	5	0	0	0
El Salvador	2	3	0	0	3	0	3	3	0	0	4	0	2	2	4	2	2	0	3
Haiti	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Honduras	1	1	0	3	1	3	1	0	5	0	5	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	0
Japan	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Mexico	56	66	46	18	63	24	59	65	30	16	17	100	41	81	18	18	83	100	77
Nicaragua	3	2	0	14	2	11	1	2	20	0	1	0	2	8	1	3	0	0	9
Puerto Rico	5	4	3	9	4	9	3	5	10	8	18	0	4	0	18	4	5	0	0
Venezuela	1	1	5	0	1	2	0	2	4	0	4	0	2	0	3	3	0	0	0
Guatemala	1	0	3	2	1	3	1	0	0	6	3	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	0
Portugal	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	4	2	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0
Panama	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Philippines	1	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Spain	1	0	0	4	0	3	1	0	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Refused	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	3

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	314	164	150	81	62	50	67	47	193	114	143	105	59	18	119	177
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Cuba	6	7	5	4	8	10	7	0	7	4	6	9	0	100	0	0
Dominican Republic	2	2	2	3	2	3	0	2	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	3
Ecuador	2	2	3	1	6	5	1	0	4	0	3	3	0	0	0	4
El Salvador	2	1	2	5	1	0	1	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	3
India	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mexico	38	36	40	52	33	47	28	23	44	26	43	38	22	0	100	0
Nicaragua	1	0	3	0	1	3	3	0	1	2	0	2	3	0	0	2
Panama	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1
Puerto Rico	4	3	5	3	7	8	2	0	6	1	5	5	1	0	0	7
Spain	5	7	2	0	2	2	3	16	1	9	1	2	15	0	0	8
United States	31	32	30	25	29	16	42	45	24	43	27	31	43	0	0	55
Italy	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1
Poland	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1
Peru	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Guatemala	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Nigeria	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more countries	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	8	1	4	2	2	6	0	0	4
Refused	2	1	2	0	0	1	6	0	0	4	0	2	4	0	0	3
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	-----			-----			-----		-----						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	314	0	314	144	126	44	198	117	100	78	54	62	178	116	20
Argentina	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	5%
Cuba	6	0	6	6	6	5	5	7	7	9	2	5	8	4	0
Dominican Republic	2	0	2	3	1	0	3	0	3	2	1	1	3	1	0
Ecuador	2	0	2	3	0	8	4	0	2	7	1	0	4	1	0
El Salvador	2	0	2	2	2	0	2	1	2	2	4	0	2	2	0
India	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0
Mexico	38	0	38	35	43	35	41	33	36	36	44	41	36	42	30
Nicaragua	1	0	1	0	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	8
Panama	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0
Puerto Rico	4	0	4	3	4	7	3	5	4	3	3	5	4	4	7
Spain	5	0	5	3	6	4	7	1	5	4	3	5	5	4	3
United States	31	0	31	32	29	33	24	43	31	28	31	35	30	33	31
Italy	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Poland	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Peru	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	2	0	0
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Honduras	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Guatemala	1	0	1	0	0	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Nigeria	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Two or more countries	2	0	2	2	2	6	4	0	1	4	2	4	2	3	0
Refused	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	1	4	0	2	7
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	CONS		CONS		POS		PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
	Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER									YES	NO
Base	314	110	67	76	30	34	154	160	155	159	61	50	65	65	111	130
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%
Cuba	6	8	4	3	1	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	5	5	5	5
Dominican Republic	2	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	2	1
Ecuador	2	5	0	1	3	0	2	3	2	3	0	0	6	1	0	4
El Salvador	2	2	3	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	4	2	1	0	3	0
India	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0
Mexico	38	33	36	47	56	49	34	41	41	35	39	39	46	33	39	40
Nicaragua	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	3	0	0	3	0
Panama	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	2	0
Puerto Rico	4	3	3	2	3	1	4	4	5	3	1	1	4	2	1	3
Spain	5	6	4	6	0	7	7	3	4	5	2	5	6	8	3	7
United States	31	33	41	25	23	29	33	29	26	36	35	33	23	40	34	32
Italy	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
Poland	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
Peru	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Brazil	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	0	0	0	2	0	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
Guatemala	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more countries	2	2	3	5	4	0	4	1	3	1	1	2	0	5	2	3
Refused	2	1	0	5	10	4	1	2	1	2	1	4	4	0	2	2
DK/NA	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	314	195	70	47	46	37	102	118	80	50	43	72	138
Argentina	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	4%	0%	3%	0%
Cuba	6	6	7	5	10	1	10	4	4	6	10	5	4
Dominican Republic	2	3	1	0	2	0	2	0	4	3	0	2	2
Ecuador	2	1	6	4	0	4	3	2	2	2	0	1	4
El Salvador	2	2	2	0	0	5	1	0	4	2	2	2	2
India	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	3	0	2	0
Mexico	38	40	34	33	38	23	43	40	29	42	41	38	37
Nicaragua	1	0	6	0	0	5	0	2	2	4	4	2	0
Panama	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
Puerto Rico	4	4	5	1	3	3	4	5	3	1	3	1	3
Spain	5	3	6	9	6	15	7	1	8	7	0	8	5
United States	31	31	26	36	35	37	24	35	34	21	30	22	39
Italy	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Poland	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Brazil	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Honduras	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Guatemala	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more countries	2	3	0	4	3	1	3	1	2	2	4	4	2
Refused	2	2	0	3	2	0	1	2	0	2	3	5	0
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	314	147	84	45	134	106	36	98	36	25	46	86	24
Argentina	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Cuba	6	3	8	10	4	7	10	2	7	14	5	3	15
Dominican Republic	2	2	2	0	3	2	0	4	0	0	5	1	0
Ecuador	2	1	5	4	1	4	5	2	0	8	3	1	8
El Salvador	2	2	1	2	2	1	0	3	0	0	3	1	0
India	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
Mexico	38	38	36	50	38	38	48	36	44	40	30	43	42
Nicaragua	1	0	3	4	0	2	4	0	0	6	0	0	0
Panama	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
Puerto Rico	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	2	8	3	3	5	5
Spain	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	6	2	7	5	5	7
United States	31	33	32	19	33	30	21	35	26	20	36	31	21
Italy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazil	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Nigeria	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2
Two or more countries	2	3	0	1	3	1	1	3	3	0	2	3	0
Refused	2	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	314	101	59	91	55	73	60	52	54	64	65	69	34
Argentina	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Cuba	6	7	7	7	1	2	5	9	5	7	1	7	7
Dominican Republic	2	3	0	2	1	2	3	1	2	4	1	3	0
Ecuador	2	3	0	5	1	2	1	0	7	3	0	5	1
El Salvador	2	2	0	3	1	2	1	0	2	4	0	2	0
India	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0
Mexico	38	43	23	46	29	35	42	39	37	47	30	46	17
Nicaragua	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	5
Panama	1	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Puerto Rico	4	6	0	6	2	2	7	6	1	6	2	5	0
Spain	5	2	13	1	4	7	3	6	2	2	5	1	12
United States	31	28	41	20	46	35	31	31	29	19	46	22	49
Italy	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Peru	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0
Brazil	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Honduras	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
Guatemala	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	0
Nigeria	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Portugal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more countries	2	2	3	1	6	3	3	1	0	2	4	1	0
Refused	2	0	4	1	3	3	0	0	4	0	4	1	5
DK/NA	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	314	142	81	80	222	91	118	105	47	44	35	29	106	144	35	40	67	51	92
Argentina	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Cuba	6	6	4	6	5	7	5	5	10	4	11	0	12	1	11	30	2	0	1
Dominican Republic	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	0	3	10	0	2	0	10	6	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	3	4	1	3	1	2	5	1	0	11	7	2	0	11	3	1	0	0
El Salvador	2	1	3	2	2	2	0	3	4	0	5	0	1	1	5	2	1	0	2
India	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Mexico	38	43	33	37	39	34	38	42	33	36	15	39	30	49	15	11	42	44	52
Nicaragua	1	0	0	4	0	4	0	1	0	8	2	5	0	1	2	0	0	0	2
Panama	1	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Puerto Rico	4	2	3	4	2	7	3	1	4	10	11	7	6	1	11	14	1	0	1
Spain	5	2	10	3	5	4	8	2	5	2	2	0	8	4	2	7	8	6	3
United States	31	32	30	30	31	30	33	30	28	32	8	37	29	37	8	23	32	48	31
Italy	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	2
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Honduras	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
Guatemala	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	0
Nigeria	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Portugal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Two or more countries	2	2	4	1	3	2	2	3	1	2	8	0	4	0	8	1	6	0	0
Refused	2	2	0	3	1	3	0	2	5	0	7	0	1	1	7	0	2	3	0
DK/NA	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Right direction	45%	54%	37%	60%	47%	37%	40%	45%	49%	42%	54%	37%	47%	44%	43%	47%
Wrong track	40	32	47	29	39	45	44	38	38	42	34	47	35	43	42	37
DK/NA	15	14	16	11	14	17	16	17	14	16	12	16	17	13	15	16
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
Right direction	45%	44%	46%	100%	0%	0%	43%	51%	54%	45%	41%	35%	50%	37%	41%	
Wrong track	40	39	40	0	100	0	40	39	31	35	43	60	33	53	45	
DK/NA	15	16	14	0	0	100	17	10	15	21	16	5	18	10	14	
		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Right direction	45%	46%	32%	51%	55%	56%	49%	42%	47%	43%	54%	43%	40%	46%	49%	42%
Wrong track	40	37	57	40	36	38	37	43	39	41	39	50	42	45	44	43
DK/NA	15	17	11	9	10	5	14	16	14	16	7	8	18	9	7	14
		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
Right direction	45%	48%	35%	53%	41%	51%	38%	45%	53%	54%	44%	56%	38%			
Wrong track	40	41	42	31	52	36	42	43	34	38	54	36	43			
DK/NA	15	11	23	16	7	14	20	12	13	8	2	8	19			

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY					
		DEM			REP			INDEP			STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM						
Base		525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34		
Right direction		45%	54%	33%	34%	54%	36%	33%	53%	56%	22%	58%	50%	31%		
Wrong track		40	37	38	59	37	39	63	37	38	72	31	42	67		
DK/NA		15	9	29	6	9	25	5	10	6	6	11	9	1		

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
		Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base		525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Right direction		45%	55%	54%	42%	32%	67%	44%	43%	27%	61%	49%	34%	39%
Wrong track		40	34	27	41	54	24	47	33	46	35	39	39	37
DK/NA		15	11	19	17	14	10	9	24	26	4	13	27	24

		Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION					
		HS OR		SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL		COLL+	COLL+	NORTH		MID	SOUTH		NORTH			SOUTH	
		Total	LESS				COLL	GRAD			COLL	COLL+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH
Base		525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
Right direction		45%	46%	54%	35%	48%	37%	61%	36%	33%	41%	57%	50%	46%	40%	56%	49%	43%	29%	44%
Wrong track		40	37	36	55	36	50	24	47	53	47	35	44	37	43	36	36	38	49	40
DK/NA		15	18	9	10	15	13	14	16	14	12	8	6	17	17	8	16	19	22	15

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
<u>NONE</u>	71%	69%	73%	62%	70%	79%	72%	74%	70%	73%	66%	75%	74%	72%	74%	68%
1	62	59	64	51	62	67	66	61	60	64	56	66	63	61	65	59
2	10	10	9	10	8	11	7	13	10	9	9	9	11	11	10	9
<u>OTHER</u>	29	31	27	38	30	21	28	26	30	27	34	25	26	28	26	32
3	6	7	5	11	10	3	4	0	8	2	11	4	1	7	6	6
4	5	7	3	10	3	3	6	2	5	4	7	5	2	6	5	4
5	8	8	7	6	9	6	7	11	7	9	7	6	11	9	5	10
6	3	2	3	1	1	0	5	5	1	5	1	3	5	3	2	3
7	3	2	4	7	4	3	2	0	5	1	5	3	1	0	4	3
8	4	5	4	3	4	5	4	7	4	5	3	5	7	2	4	6
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
<u>NONE</u>	71%	84%	63%	67%	72%	81%	100%	0%	71%	74%	69%	70%	72%	69%	73%	
1	62	73	54	57	61	78	87	0	62	63	55	63	63	59	64	
2	10	11	9	10	11	3	13	0	9	11	14	7	10	10	9	
<u>OTHER</u>	29	16	37	33	28	19	0	100	29	26	31	30	28	31	27	
3	6	5	7	7	7	1	0	21	4	5	8	11	5	10	3	
4	5	1	7	6	3	6	0	17	6	3	5	5	4	5	6	
5	8	4	10	11	7	1	0	27	8	9	12	4	8	7	3	
6	3	2	3	1	5	1	0	9	3	3	1	3	3	2	1	
7	3	1	4	2	3	6	0	11	4	1	4	5	3	5	0	
8	4	3	6	5	4	3	0	16	5	5	2	2	5	2	14	

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		FIRST		A	B		YES	YES
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>NONE</u>	71%	71%	77%	64%	55%	68%	71%	72%	74%	69%	58%	70%	78%	71%	64%	75%
1	62	65	68	51	38	56	59	64	64	60	51	53	73	62	52	68
2	10	6	9	13	17	12	11	8	10	9	7	17	5	8	12	6
<u>OTHER</u>	29	29	23	36	45	32	29	28	26	31	42	30	22	29	36	25
3	6	6	7	5	11	2	6	6	7	5	6	7	5	5	7	5
4	5	6	4	5	9	2	6	3	6	4	7	1	3	10	5	6
5	8	8	3	12	12	13	6	9	7	8	15	9	5	6	12	5
6	3	4	3	1	1	0	3	3	2	3	4	1	3	4	2	4
7	3	2	5	4	6	4	3	3	1	5	6	3	3	3	4	3
8	4	3	1	9	6	11	6	3	3	6	3	9	4	1	6	3
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB					UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA		
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
<u>NONE</u>	71%	69%	78%	69%	66%	66%	70%	73%	72%	71%	53%	73%	73%			
1	62	58	74	54	58	61	60	62	66	62	49	61	66			
2	10	11	4	15	8	4	10	12	7	9	4	12	8			
<u>OTHER</u>	29	31	22	31	34	34	30	27	28	29	47	27	27			
3	6	8	4	4	5	10	5	5	9	6	4	5	7			
4	5	6	4	3	3	7	6	5	5	8	1	7	5			
5	8	9	5	8	13	10	7	6	8	4	21	7	6			
6	3	1	4	5	2	6	3	2	3	4	9	3	2			
7	3	3	1	5	8	1	4	4	0	3	5	2	4			
8	4	4	4	7	3	1	5	4	3	4	7	4	4			

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>NONE</u>	71%	70%	70%	75%	70%	69%	75%	72%	64%	82%	72%	70%	71%
1	62	60	62	65	61	60	65	62	56	73	65	59	60
2	10	10	8	10	9	10	10	10	8	9	7	11	11
<u>OTHER</u>	29	30	30	25	30	31	25	28	36	18	28	30	29
3	6	6	8	6	5	8	6	5	7	3	10	3	7
4	5	6	3	4	6	4	2	6	7	2	7	6	1
5	8	11	5	3	11	7	2	11	11	1	8	12	4
6	3	1	5	4	1	4	5	1	4	3	2	1	6
7	3	2	4	5	2	4	6	1	5	5	0	3	6
8	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	5	3	5	2	5	6
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>NONE</u>	71%	69%	71%	72%	75%	63%	76%	73%	65%	66%	73%	70%	71%
1	62	55	65	65	63	52	68	65	54	58	62	59	63
2	10	13	7	7	11	11	8	8	11	8	10	11	8
<u>OTHER</u>	29	31	29	28	25	37	24	27	35	34	27	30	29
3	6	9	2	8	2	5	6	10	6	11	1	9	3
4	5	7	7	4	2	10	3	4	3	6	7	5	2
5	8	8	9	6	8	15	8	3	11	10	12	7	6
6	3	1	4	1	6	1	2	4	4	0	2	1	10
7	3	3	0	6	2	3	1	0	9	3	1	6	1
8	4	4	6	4	5	4	5	5	2	4	5	2	7

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
NONE	71%	73%	70%	69%	72%	68%	72%	73%	63%	74%	81%	83%	69%	67%	81%	72%	65%	61%	70%
1	62	65	59	57	63	57	60	66	55	60	70	75	57	61	70	59	55	51	65
2	10	8	11	12	9	11	11	7	8	15	11	9	12	7	12	14	10	10	6
OTHER	29	27	30	31	28	32	28	27	37	26	19	17	31	33	19	28	35	39	30
3	6	5	7	9	5	8	6	5	9	6	2	8	9	4	2	11	7	1	6
4	5	5	3	4	4	6	6	3	11	1	4	2	5	6	4	6	4	6	5
5	8	8	9	6	8	7	8	8	8	6	7	4	9	7	7	5	13	11	6
6	3	2	1	6	2	5	1	3	5	5	2	0	2	4	2	3	1	8	2
7	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	0	6	1	3	2	5	1	1	4	3	5
8	4	4	7	4	5	3	5	4	4	2	2	0	4	7	2	2	7	9	6

TABLE 12: Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	263	126	137	50	48	46	72	42	143	114	97	102	59	20	123	120
<u>NONE</u>	7%	6%	8%	5%	16%	3%	7%	7%	8%	7%	10%	5%	8%	10%	5%	9%
1	6	4	8	4	13	3	6	7	6	6	8	4	8	10	5	6
2	1	2	1	1	3	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	3
<u>OTHER</u>	93	94	92	95	84	97	93	93	92	93	90	95	92	90	95	91
3	2	1	3	0	2	0	7	0	1	4	1	4	1	3	0	4
4	3	5	2	4	1	3	1	0	3	1	3	2	1	6	4	2
5	14	17	11	21	13	11	10	16	15	12	17	9	18	11	13	14
6	10	9	10	19	9	14	3	7	14	5	14	8	6	11	10	9
7	59	55	62	42	55	64	64	70	54	66	48	65	66	55	62	57
8	5	6	4	9	3	4	8	0	6	5	6	7	0	3	6	5
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	263	107	155	124	103	36	195	68	84	77	34	44	161	79	23	
<u>NONE</u>	7%	9%	6%	4%	14%	1%	7%	8%	2%	9%	8%	10%	5%	9%	17%	
1	6	9	4	3	12	1	7	4	2	7	5	10	4	8	13	
2	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	4	0	2	2	0	1	1	3	
<u>OTHER</u>	93	91	94	96	86	99	93	92	98	91	92	90	95	91	83	
3	2	1	3	3	3	0	1	6	2	0	4	4	1	4	3	
4	3	2	4	2	5	1	2	6	3	3	0	7	3	4	0	
5	14	9	16	20	9	6	10	25	11	15	14	15	13	15	16	
6	10	8	11	10	11	6	10	9	9	11	16	8	10	11	2	
7	59	66	54	58	52	81	66	38	67	55	57	52	61	54	60	
8	5	5	5	4	7	4	4	8	7	7	1	4	7	3	2	

TABLE 12: Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		FIRST		A	B		YES	YES
Base	263	100	39	62	23	29	132	130	263	0	47	41	59	34	88	94
<u>NONE</u>	7%	5%	12%	13%	9%	17%	7%	8%	7%	0%	10%	13%	9%	5%	11%	8%
1	6	5	12	9	3	14	5	7	6	0	9	9	9	3	9	7
2	1	1	0	4	7	3	1	1	1	0	1	4	0	2	3	1
<u>OTHER</u>	93	95	88	87	91	83	93	92	93	0	90	87	91	95	89	92
3	2	1	9	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	7	0	2	0	4	1
4	3	3	0	0	0	0	4	2	3	0	1	3	1	2	2	1
5	14	16	6	15	22	15	11	16	14	0	21	11	16	11	16	14
6	10	5	7	16	21	17	11	9	10	0	5	17	5	13	11	8
7	59	62	63	54	45	51	59	58	59	0	52	54	58	67	53	61
8	5	8	3	2	3	0	5	6	5	0	4	3	8	2	3	6
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB					UNFAV	FAV		UNFAV	RF/NA	
Base	263	139	71	50	33	33	74	93	83	43	30	56	116			
<u>NONE</u>	7%	9%	10%	1%	10%	0%	6%	9%	5%	13%	11%	10%	8%			
1	6	6	10	1	7	0	5	8	3	13	6	10	7			
2	1	2	0	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	5	0	1			
<u>OTHER</u>	93	91	90	99	90	100	94	91	95	87	89	90	92			
3	2	2	3	1	8	2	3	0	4	0	0	0	4			
4	3	5	1	1	5	8	4	1	5	0	2	1	2			
5	14	16	6	19	10	5	9	21	9	8	35	13	9			
6	10	14	1	10	15	5	14	8	9	5	0	11	9			
7	59	49	72	66	47	75	60	56	64	71	47	62	62			
8	5	5	8	2	6	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	6			

TABLE 12: Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	263	123	72	35	118	82	30	98	20	22	50	64	16
<u>NONE</u>	7%	9%	7%	2%	9%	7%	0%	8%	12%	0%	6%	12%	0%
1	6	7	6	0	8	5	0	8	9	0	6	10	0
2	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	1	4	0	0	2	0
<u>OTHER</u>	93	91	93	98	91	93	100	92	88	100	94	88	100
3	2	1	5	2	1	4	2	0	6	3	2	0	0
4	3	1	6	0	1	6	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
5	14	19	10	0	19	10	0	18	19	0	11	26	0
6	10	9	8	15	7	10	15	7	9	13	11	5	10
7	59	57	59	81	58	58	83	58	54	85	67	49	90
8	5	5	4	0	5	5	0	6	0	0	2	6	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	263	67	54	76	59	58	59	37	45	53	62	51	29
<u>NONE</u>	7%	6%	7%	10%	7%	8%	10%	9%	5%	12%	7%	8%	6%
1	6	4	6	9	7	6	10	6	4	10	6	5	6
2	1	2	1	1	0	3	0	2	2	1	1	3	0
<u>OTHER</u>	93	94	93	90	93	92	90	91	95	88	93	92	94
3	2	2	0	0	8	2	0	0	8	2	0	0	12
4	3	4	1	2	1	2	1	9	3	3	0	3	2
5	14	19	16	12	9	22	15	14	6	21	18	10	10
6	10	14	4	14	5	10	5	12	9	13	3	13	6
7	59	49	64	57	68	48	67	54	62	47	65	60	61
8	5	6	8	5	3	8	2	2	7	2	7	6	2

TABLE 12: Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL														
Base	263	146	49	61	194	68	91	104	35	33	37	24	95	108	38	47	46	23	85
<u>NONE</u>	7%	10%	7%	3%	9%	3%	8%	10%	2%	4%	13%	3%	10%	4%	13%	9%	12%	0%	5%
1	6	9	3	3	7	3	5	9	2	4	11	0	9	4	11	7	10	0	5
2	1	1	5	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	2	2	0	0
<u>OTHER</u>	93	90	93	97	91	97	92	90	98	96	87	97	90	96	87	91	88	100	95
3	2	1	2	4	2	4	1	2	0	8	0	8	1	2	0	1	1	5	2
4	3	2	3	7	2	6	2	2	10	1	0	0	8	0	0	4	13	0	0
5	14	16	12	10	15	10	20	10	9	11	9	4	13	18	9	11	15	21	17
6	10	8	17	7	11	7	10	11	9	6	7	22	10	8	8	8	12	6	8
7	59	57	54	65	56	67	52	60	66	68	65	56	51	64	65	60	42	68	64
8	5	7	4	4	6	3	7	5	4	2	6	6	6	4	5	6	6	0	5

TABLE 13: Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	263	126	137	50	48	46	72	42	143	114	97	102	59	20	123	120
<u>NONE</u>	23%	17%	28%	18%	30%	32%	20%	18%	26%	19%	24%	25%	19%	33%	24%	20%
1	16	12	20	11	15	31	14	13	19	14	13	22	14	26	17	14
2	7	5	8	7	15	1	6	4	8	5	11	3	5	7	7	6
<u>OTHER</u>	77	83	72	82	70	68	80	82	74	81	76	75	81	67	76	80
3	15	17	14	18	21	12	11	12	17	11	20	12	11	25	16	13
4	12	19	6	17	17	12	7	12	15	9	17	10	10	6	12	14
5	14	15	12	15	12	8	17	12	12	16	13	15	11	18	11	16
6	8	8	9	6	8	6	9	14	7	11	7	10	10	0	8	10
7	17	16	19	15	1	23	21	30	13	24	8	21	29	9	19	17
8	10	7	13	13	12	7	14	2	11	10	12	8	10	9	11	9
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	263	107	155	124	103	36	195	68	84	77	34	44	161	79	23	
<u>NONE</u>	23%	24%	22%	19%	29%	18%	26%	14%	21%	19%	25%	29%	20%	27%	28%	
1	16	18	15	13	22	10	18	10	13	16	16	20	14	18	22	
2	7	5	8	6	7	8	8	4	8	3	9	9	6	9	6	
<u>OTHER</u>	77	76	78	81	71	82	74	86	79	81	75	71	80	73	72	
3	15	12	18	10	20	19	14	20	17	13	22	20	15	21	0	
4	12	10	14	24	2	0	9	20	12	17	15	6	15	10	3	
5	14	10	16	13	15	12	12	18	16	3	17	23	10	20	18	
6	8	11	6	13	0	14	10	3	10	12	1	4	11	3	10	
7	17	19	16	11	21	29	20	9	13	21	20	14	17	16	24	
8	10	14	7	9	11	9	8	15	10	14	0	5	12	3	17	

TABLE 13: Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		FIRST		B	YES		YES	NO
Base	263	100	39	62	23	29	132	130	263	0	47	41	59	34	88	94
<u>NONE</u>	23%	22%	27%	23%	25%	21%	21%	24%	23%	0%	27%	15%	24%	35%	22%	28%
1	16	17	17	17	17	13	15	17	16	0	20	8	20	23	14	21
2	7	5	11	6	8	7	6	7	7	0	7	7	3	13	7	7
<u>OTHER</u>	77	78	73	77	75	79	79	76	77	0	73	85	76	65	78	72
3	15	12	22	13	14	11	15	15	15	0	11	12	22	11	11	18
4	12	17	11	9	3	16	12	12	12	0	18	18	14	6	18	11
5	14	10	13	18	28	9	17	10	14	0	17	15	14	10	16	12
6	8	7	0	5	10	4	8	9	8	0	4	4	6	4	4	5
7	17	15	21	26	18	31	14	21	17	0	20	22	8	30	21	16
8	10	17	5	5	2	8	11	8	10	0	4	14	12	3	9	9
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	263	139	71	50	33	33	74	93	83	43	30	56	116			
<u>NONE</u>	23%	25%	19%	24%	26%	20%	18%	24%	25%	15%	31%	17%	25%			
1	16	17	12	19	13	12	7	21	18	14	18	11	20			
2	7	7	7	5	13	8	11	3	7	2	13	5	5			
<u>OTHER</u>	77	75	81	76	74	80	82	76	75	85	69	83	75			
3	15	17	11	15	5	19	18	16	11	11	5	12	18			
4	12	17	5	9	3	5	6	17	13	7	15	10	15			
5	14	14	13	14	26	17	23	11	11	11	13	14	12			
6	8	6	14	8	3	12	4	4	18	12	4	9	4			
7	17	13	26	17	26	14	23	20	9	26	26	24	16			
8	10	9	11	12	10	13	8	8	13	17	5	14	11			

TABLE 13: Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR		NSTR		STR		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
											DEM	DEM	REP
Base	263	123	72	35	118	82	30	98	20	22	50	64	16
NONE	23%	24%	22%	18%	25%	21%	17%	21%	41%	20%	23%	27%	7%
1	16	14	14	18	15	14	17	14	21	20	15	16	7
2	7	9	8	0	10	7	0	8	20	0	8	12	0
OTHER	77	76	78	82	75	79	83	79	59	80	77	73	93
3	15	8	23	26	8	25	21	7	11	23	2	12	16
4	12	16	9	7	15	11	8	14	19	11	13	16	16
5	14	13	14	11	13	13	13	11	20	4	13	14	14
6	8	12	6	7	12	6	9	15	0	2	27	1	3
7	17	14	19	30	15	17	33	16	8	39	7	19	45
8	10	13	8	0	13	8	0	15	0	0	15	11	0
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	263	67	54	76	59	58	59	37	45	53	62	51	29
NONE	23%	20%	15%	32%	22%	19%	30%	17%	24%	34%	16%	21%	22%
1	16	15	9	22	18	12	18	10	17	22	10	14	16
2	7	5	6	10	4	7	12	7	7	12	7	7	6
OTHER	77	80	85	68	78	81	70	83	76	66	84	79	78
3	15	21	10	14	13	11	4	32	19	13	3	25	18
4	12	24	13	7	5	24	6	14	8	18	13	13	7
5	14	14	15	9	16	17	9	11	15	6	17	11	17
6	8	3	14	9	8	10	15	6	5	10	15	5	7
7	17	10	25	15	23	13	17	13	21	8	21	13	27
8	10	8	7	13	12	7	19	7	9	12	14	12	2

TABLE 13: Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTNL	MNTN	WEST	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL														
Base	263	146	49	61	194	68	91	104	35	33	37	24	95	108	38	47	46	23	85
<u>NONE</u>	23%	23%	30%	19%	24%	18%	19%	29%	14%	23%	14%	16%	32%	19%	16%	28%	35%	27%	17%
1	16	15	18	18	16	17	12	20	13	21	14	13	23	11	16	21	24	22	8
2	7	7	12	1	8	2	7	10	2	2	0	3	9	8	0	7	11	6	9
<u>OTHER</u>	77	77	70	81	76	82	81	71	86	77	86	84	68	81	84	72	65	73	83
3	15	13	11	21	13	24	15	11	25	22	14	21	20	11	13	21	19	14	10
4	12	13	18	6	14	7	23	6	8	5	11	11	9	16	11	9	9	5	19
5	14	16	7	15	13	15	14	13	18	10	17	23	14	11	16	13	15	8	11
6	8	9	3	10	8	10	9	7	6	15	22	9	6	6	21	7	5	21	2
7	17	13	23	25	16	23	13	18	25	20	10	19	11	25	10	15	7	25	25
8	10	14	7	4	12	4	8	15	4	4	12	0	9	12	12	8	11	0	15

TABLE 14: Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	262	126	137	50	45	49	72	42	144	114	95	110	53	26	114	123
<u>NONE</u>	7%	4%	10%	11%	9%	11%	1%	8%	10%	4%	10%	6%	7%	5%	8%	7%
1	7	3	10	10	6	11	1	8	9	4	8	6	7	5	8	6
2	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1
<u>OTHER</u>	93	96	90	89	91	89	99	92	90	96	90	94	93	95	92	93
3	7	8	7	5	6	12	5	12	8	7	6	9	9	4	6	10
4	8	7	10	10	13	5	7	5	9	6	12	7	4	15	8	7
5	18	13	22	12	29	12	22	13	17	19	20	17	16	6	14	24
6	10	13	8	14	11	9	6	17	11	10	12	7	15	2	7	15
7	46	54	40	40	32	50	57	45	41	53	36	53	49	64	53	37
8	2	1	4	7	0	1	3	0	3	2	4	2	0	5	4	0
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	262	104	159	114	107	42	180	83	88	77	34	48	166	81	15	
<u>NONE</u>	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%	5%	5%	12%	5%	7%	3%	16%	6%	10%	6%	
1	7	6	7	8	5	5	4	12	4	7	3	14	5	9	6	
2	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	
<u>OTHER</u>	93	94	92	91	93	95	95	88	95	93	97	84	94	90	94	
3	7	8	7	3	14	4	6	10	1	9	9	14	5	12	12	
4	8	7	9	6	12	7	8	8	6	4	19	7	5	12	17	
5	18	15	20	18	21	9	17	19	11	23	15	25	17	21	14	
6	10	6	13	11	8	14	10	12	14	8	15	4	11	8	12	
7	46	56	40	52	35	61	52	33	60	48	35	35	54	35	23	
8	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	6	2	1	4	0	2	2	15	

TABLE 14: Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B						
Base	262	101	52	51	25	21	130	133	0	262	45	44	58	52	88	110
<u>NONE</u>	7%	4%	9%	14%	15%	17%	8%	6%	0%	7%	13%	6%	5%	6%	9%	6%
1	7	3	7	14	15	15	8	5	0	7	13	6	3	6	9	4
2	1	1	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1
<u>OTHER</u>	93	96	91	86	85	83	92	94	0	93	87	94	95	94	91	94
3	7	7	11	8	12	2	9	6	0	7	4	15	9	7	9	8
4	8	4	12	13	4	19	10	6	0	8	16	6	8	5	11	6
5	18	18	23	17	26	7	11	25	0	18	22	31	19	8	26	14
6	10	12	8	2	0	4	12	9	0	10	5	5	7	15	5	11
7	46	53	34	41	34	50	48	44	0	46	38	34	53	53	36	53
8	2	2	3	4	9	0	2	3	0	2	3	4	0	5	4	2
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LANDLN	CONS	LIB		UNFAV		FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA				
Base	262	139	80	43	37	36	82	105	66	51	28	66	110			
<u>NONE</u>	7%	9%	3%	11%	5%	8%	7%	7%	6%	12%	9%	12%	5%			
1	7	8	3	11	4	7	7	7	5	11	8	12	4			
2	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1			
<u>OTHER</u>	93	91	97	89	95	92	93	93	94	88	91	88	95			
3	7	7	7	10	5	5	5	12	4	6	17	8	6			
4	8	11	7	2	8	4	12	7	2	5	19	4	9			
5	18	23	12	12	20	12	15	28	8	14	12	18	21			
6	10	13	7	8	10	20	11	4	16	9	14	14	4			
7	46	36	60	57	50	46	47	40	60	48	28	39	53			
8	2	2	4	0	2	4	3	2	3	6	0	5	2			

TABLE 14: Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	262	142	57	31	127	77	24	104	23	19	47	79	18
<u>NONE</u>	7%	4%	8%	24%	4%	7%	30%	4%	6%	31%	4%	4%	23%
1	7	3	8	23	3	7	29	3	2	29	2	3	21
2	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	1	4	2	1	1	2
<u>OTHER</u>	93	96	92	76	96	93	70	96	94	69	96	96	77
3	7	4	14	9	4	10	12	5	3	15	6	4	16
4	8	9	2	16	8	7	9	8	10	9	3	10	9
5	18	22	13	12	24	13	8	26	17	10	10	33	8
6	10	12	2	7	13	4	4	11	20	5	18	10	6
7	46	45	62	31	44	57	37	44	42	30	56	37	38
8	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	2	0	3	3	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	262	76	50	68	64	49	78	48	30	54	70	45	33
<u>NONE</u>	7%	7%	0%	14%	7%	2%	5%	4%	13%	6%	3%	11%	2%
1	7	5	0	13	7	0	5	4	13	4	3	11	2
2	1	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
<u>OTHER</u>	93	93	100	86	93	98	95	96	87	94	97	89	98
3	7	8	9	7	6	3	5	9	12	7	3	5	18
4	8	7	5	12	6	7	9	4	13	12	3	5	10
5	18	18	5	16	30	14	30	13	14	20	27	20	4
6	10	11	16	12	5	21	8	3	6	14	13	6	2
7	46	47	65	35	43	52	38	68	41	37	48	52	64
8	2	2	0	4	4	0	5	0	0	3	3	0	0

TABLE 14: Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	262	139	62	50	201	61	93	108	33	28	37	24	95	108	38	44	50	42	65
NONE	7%	7%	4%	12%	6%	10%	4%	8%	4%	16%	8%	12%	3%	10%	8%	5%	2%	6%	11%
1	7	6	3	11	5	9	3	7	2	16	4	12	3	10	4	5	1	6	11
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0
OTHER	93	93	96	88	94	90	96	92	96	84	92	88	97	90	92	95	98	94	89
3	7	7	3	16	6	13	7	5	13	13	7	9	6	8	6	6	6	15	4
4	8	10	5	9	8	8	6	10	7	9	10	10	11	5	10	9	13	6	4
5	18	21	11	18	18	17	14	22	9	26	18	33	14	18	18	16	12	17	19
6	10	5	19	10	9	15	10	8	20	9	14	0	13	9	15	10	15	6	11
7	46	46	58	35	49	38	57	43	46	27	41	35	50	47	41	53	48	46	48
8	2	4	1	0	3	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	3	3	2	1	4	4	3

TABLE 15: Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	262	126	137	50	45	49	72	42	144	114	95	110	53	26	114	123
<u>NONE</u>	61%	62%	60%	45%	65%	51%	76%	62%	53%	71%	55%	66%	63%	81%	59%	59%
1	50	48	52	19	50	46	67	60	38	64	34	58	61	64	51	45
2	11	14	9	26	15	5	9	2	15	7	21	8	2	17	7	14
<u>OTHER</u>	39	38	40	55	35	49	24	38	47	29	45	34	37	19	41	41
3	10	10	11	14	19	13	3	4	15	4	16	7	5	8	10	11
4	7	7	6	10	8	2	6	10	6	8	9	3	13	5	4	10
5	9	11	8	21	2	13	10	0	12	6	12	12	0	3	15	5
6	2	0	3	0	2	2	0	5	1	2	1	1	4	0	1	2
7	5	8	2	9	1	9	1	9	7	4	5	5	7	0	7	5
8	6	1	10	1	3	9	3	10	5	6	2	6	9	2	4	8
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	262	104	159	114	107	42	180	83	88	77	34	48	166	81	15	
<u>NONE</u>	61%	70%	55%	59%	65%	56%	73%	34%	69%	57%	44%	67%	64%	58%	52%	
1	50	63	41	43	57	49	63	21	57	47	27	56	53	44	47	
2	11	7	14	16	9	6	11	13	12	10	17	11	11	13	5	
<u>OTHER</u>	39	30	45	41	35	44	27	66	31	43	56	33	36	42	48	
3	10	8	12	6	11	20	8	16	7	10	17	12	9	14	7	
4	7	2	10	8	5	8	4	13	7	5	11	6	6	8	10	
5	9	9	9	13	8	3	8	12	9	10	10	10	10	10	0	
6	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	4	0	2	6	0	1	3	0	
7	5	2	8	7	5	0	3	11	5	8	7	3	6	5	0	
8	6	7	5	6	3	11	4	10	3	8	4	1	5	2	32	

TABLE 15: Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	262	101	52	51	25	21	130	133	0	262	45	44	58	52	88	110
NONE	61%	67%	68%	39%	34%	48%	67%	55%	0%	61%	55%	63%	64%	61%	59%	63%
1	50	54	54	33	24	46	54	45	0	50	44	51	57	45	47	51
2	11	13	14	6	11	2	12	10	0	11	12	13	8	16	12	12
OTHER	39	33	32	61	66	52	33	45	0	39	45	37	36	39	41	37
3	10	7	9	24	21	22	10	10	0	10	7	18	9	8	12	9
4	7	6	6	12	19	7	7	6	0	7	11	3	10	8	7	9
5	9	10	8	5	4	3	7	11	0	9	7	3	13	10	5	12
6	2	1	4	1	0	3	1	2	0	2	5	0	2	1	2	1
7	5	6	3	9	10	11	4	7	0	5	10	5	0	11	7	5
8	6	3	3	9	12	5	4	7	0	6	6	9	1	2	7	2

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	262	139	80	43	37	36	82	105	66	51	28	66	110
NONE	61%	57%	71%	56%	57%	74%	65%	52%	70%	45%	60%	47%	69%
1	50	40	66	52	47	54	52	45	54	34	38	38	58
2	11	17	5	4	10	19	13	7	16	11	22	9	11
OTHER	39	43	29	44	43	26	35	48	30	55	40	53	31
3	10	12	6	12	10	2	8	16	3	15	2	16	12
4	7	7	6	9	3	15	4	7	11	10	4	14	5
5	9	13	5	4	14	2	9	8	11	13	17	10	5
6	2	0	3	3	6	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	3
7	5	6	0	12	10	0	6	9	0	6	18	5	3
8	6	5	8	4	0	8	5	6	5	10	0	8	4

TABLE 15: Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR		NSTR		STR		LIB
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	CONS
Base	262	142	57	31	127	77	24	104	23	19	47	79	18
<u>NONE</u>	61%	60%	59%	66%	59%	64%	57%	59%	57%	61%	64%	56%	56%
1	50	48	55	52	48	56	49	48	46	53	45	49	45
2	11	12	3	14	11	8	8	11	11	8	19	7	11
<u>OTHER</u>	39	40	41	34	41	36	43	41	43	39	36	44	44
3	10	6	19	14	4	18	17	4	2	22	4	4	20
4	7	10	1	5	11	1	6	12	8	3	14	9	6
5	9	11	8	3	12	6	4	10	21	5	13	11	5
6	2	2	2	0	2	1	0	2	3	0	0	3	0
7	5	3	9	13	3	7	16	2	7	10	0	5	14
8	6	8	3	0	9	2	0	10	1	0	5	11	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN		WOMEN		Total	DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
	18-49	50+	18-49	50+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	262	76	50	68	64	49	78	48	30	54	70	45	33
<u>NONE</u>	61%	60%	65%	46%	76%	52%	64%	72%	52%	56%	62%	53%	80%
1	50	43	55	33	71	37	54	63	44	39	55	42	75
2	11	18	9	13	5	14	10	8	8	18	7	11	5
<u>OTHER</u>	39	40	35	54	24	48	36	28	48	44	38	47	20
3	10	12	6	19	2	4	4	11	31	4	3	27	7
4	7	5	12	8	5	15	9	0	2	11	12	0	2
5	9	12	10	13	3	24	4	3	11	15	10	11	0
6	2	0	0	3	3	0	4	0	3	2	3	2	0
7	5	9	7	4	1	6	1	11	0	6	1	4	11
8	6	2	0	8	10	0	14	3	1	5	9	4	0

TABLE 15: Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
Base	262	139	62	50	201	61	93	108	33	28	37	24	95	108	38	44	50	42	65
<u>NONE</u>	61%	62%	69%	54%	64%	52%	64%	64%	57%	46%	69%	61%	63%	57%	70%	65%	60%	56%	57%
1	50	54	52	44	54	38	51	55	37	39	60	42	50	48	59	57	43	50	47
2	11	8	17	10	11	14	12	9	20	7	9	20	13	9	11	9	16	6	10
<u>OTHER</u>	39	38	31	46	36	48	36	36	43	54	31	39	37	43	30	35	40	44	43
3	10	10	14	7	11	8	11	11	6	9	1	0	12	14	1	16	9	15	14
4	7	5	5	15	5	13	5	5	15	11	11	7	7	5	11	9	6	7	4
5	9	8	8	7	8	13	11	6	11	16	8	26	11	5	8	5	16	7	4
6	2	3	1	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	5	1
7	5	5	1	12	4	10	8	1	11	9	2	6	2	9	2	2	2	9	9
8	6	7	3	5	6	4	2	9	0	10	6	0	5	7	6	3	7	2	11

TABLE 16: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN	MEXCN	OTHER
														ANCST	ANCST	ANCST
Base	263	126	137	50	48	46	72	42	143	114	97	102	59	20	123	120
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	65%	58%	68%	64%	63%	63%	52%	65%	59%	66%	62%	57%	78%	65%	55%
Strongly favor	32	33	31	44	32	28	41	9	35	29	38	36	17	50	33	29
Somewhat favor	29	31	27	24	32	35	22	43	30	30	28	26	40	28	32	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	30	31	29	26	29	25	27	40	26	32	27	27	35	22	26	35
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	20	13	8	11	15	14	12	17	11	12	3	12	16
Strongly oppose	17	19	15	6	16	16	17	25	12	20	10	16	24	19	15	19
DK/NA	9	4	13	6	7	13	9	8	9	9	7	11	8	0	9	9
DK/NA	9	4	13	6	7	13	9	8	9	9	7	11	8	0	9	9
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	263	107	155	124	103	36	195	68	84	77	34	44	161	79	23	
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	66%	58%	68%	48%	76%	62%	60%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Strongly favor	32	32	32	38	25	34	33	29	100	0	0	0	52	0	0	
Somewhat favor	29	35	26	31	23	42	29	31	0	100	0	0	48	0	0	
TOTAL OPPOSE	30	24	34	25	41	17	30	31	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	
Somewhat oppose	13	7	17	10	18	7	13	12	0	0	100	0	0	44	0	
Strongly oppose	17	17	17	15	22	9	16	19	0	0	0	100	0	56	0	
DK/NA	9	9	8	7	12	7	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	
DK/NA	9	9	8	7	12	7	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	

TABLE 16: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	263	100	39	62	23	29	132	130	263	0	47	41	59	34	88	94
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	100%	0%	34%	0%	68%	67%	55%	61%	0%	50%	35%	83%	47%	43%	70%
Strongly favor	32	55	0	6	0	12	36	28	32	0	31	7	44	28	20	38
Somewhat favor	29	45	0	29	0	55	32	27	29	0	19	28	39	19	23	31
TOTAL OPPOSE	30	0	100	34	80	0	24	36	30	0	45	39	12	42	42	23
Somewhat oppose	13	0	46	16	43	0	6	20	13	0	19	24	7	9	22	8
Strongly oppose	17	0	54	18	37	0	18	16	17	0	26	15	4	33	20	15
DK/NA	9	0	0	32	20	32	9	9	9	0	5	26	5	11	15	7
DK/NA	9	0	0	32	20	32	9	9	9	0	5	26	5	11	15	7

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	263	139	71	50	33	33	74	93	83	43	30	56	116
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	60%	67%	59%	49%	58%	58%	65%	63%	80%	57%	69%	57%
Strongly favor	32	31	35	32	37	42	40	25	35	42	36	34	25
Somewhat favor	29	28	32	27	12	15	17	40	29	38	20	35	32
TOTAL OPPOSE	30	34	24	26	48	42	38	25	28	14	34	25	31
Somewhat oppose	13	20	6	6	20	14	13	15	13	5	3	11	18
Strongly oppose	17	14	19	19	28	28	25	10	15	9	31	14	13
DK/NA	9	6	9	15	3	0	4	10	9	6	10	6	12
DK/NA	9	6	9	15	3	0	4	10	9	6	10	6	12

TABLE 16: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	263	123	72	35	118	82	30	98	20	22	50	64	16
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	64%	66%	47%	63%	66%	45%	62%	69%	39%	66%	62%	40%
Strongly favor	32	31	33	34	32	32	35	28	52	28	31	31	29
Somewhat favor	29	33	32	13	32	34	10	35	17	11	35	31	11
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	27	30	43	27	30	43	28	24	45	22	32	57
Somewhat oppose	13	11	16	8	11	15	8	11	13	5	5	16	7
Strongly oppose	17	16	14	35	16	15	36	18	10	41	16	16	50
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	4	10	9	4	12	9	8	16	12	7	3
DK/NA	9	9	4	10	9	4	12	9	8	16	12	7	3
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	263	67	54	76	59	58	59	37	45	53	62	51	29
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	70%	63%	60%	55%	58%	69%	71%	62%	62%	66%	73%	60%
Strongly favor	32	36	33	34	26	31	33	28	35	29	34	36	27
Somewhat favor	29	34	30	26	30	28	36	43	27	33	32	37	33
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	28	29	25	34	34	21	29	31	31	23	23	35
Somewhat oppose	13	17	8	12	16	18	4	13	17	15	8	12	23
Strongly oppose	17	12	21	13	18	15	17	16	14	16	15	12	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	2	7	15	10	8	10	0	7	8	11	4	5
DK/NA	9	2	7	15	10	8	10	0	7	8	11	4	5

TABLE 16: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL														
Base	263	146	49	61	194	68	91	104	35	33	37	24	95	108	38	47	46	23	85
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	68%	71%	37%	69%	41%	75%	63%	38%	44%	63%	75%	60%	59%	64%	62%	57%	51%	61%
Strongly favor	32	33	39	25	35	25	39	30	18	33	29	32	33	32	28	39	28	34	32
Somewhat favor	29	35	32	12	34	16	35	33	21	10	34	43	27	27	36	23	30	16	30
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	21	24	56	22	53	22	22	56	50	29	12	32	32	28	29	36	36	32
Somewhat oppose	13	11	16	15	13	14	16	10	5	24	13	0	11	18	13	12	11	28	15
Strongly oppose	17	10	7	41	9	39	6	12	51	26	15	12	21	15	15	18	25	8	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	11	5	7	10	6	3	15	6	6	8	14	8	9	8	8	7	14	7
DK/NA	9	11	5	7	10	6	3	15	6	6	8	14	8	9	8	8	7	14	7

TABLE 17: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	262	126	137	50	45	49	72	42	144	114	95	110	53	26	114	123
TOTAL FAVOR	63%	71%	56%	50%	53%	64%	74%	74%	56%	74%	52%	71%	72%	68%	65%	61%
Strongly favor	34	39	28	27	27	23	47	41	25	45	27	35	45	39	35	32
Somewhat favor	29	31	28	23	27	42	27	33	31	29	25	36	27	30	30	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	31	26	36	47	35	30	19	23	38	20	42	24	23	29	27	35
Somewhat oppose	13	9	16	20	17	19	0	14	19	5	18	8	11	7	12	15
Strongly oppose	18	17	19	27	19	11	19	9	19	15	23	15	12	22	15	20
DK/NA	6	3	8	2	11	5	7	3	6	6	7	6	5	2	8	4
DK/NA	6	3	8	2	11	5	7	3	6	6	7	6	5	2	8	4
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	262	104	159	114	107	42	180	83	88	77	34	48	166	81	15	
TOTAL FAVOR	63%	75%	55%	69%	55%	70%	64%	61%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Strongly favor	34	37	31	41	27	30	32	37	100	0	0	0	53	0	0	
Somewhat favor	29	38	24	27	28	40	32	23	0	100	0	0	47	0	0	
TOTAL OPPOSE	31	17	40	25	41	23	30	34	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	
Somewhat oppose	13	6	17	13	10	20	12	16	0	0	100	0	0	42	0	
Strongly oppose	18	11	23	12	30	4	18	19	0	0	0	100	0	58	0	
DK/NA	6	8	4	6	5	7	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	
DK/NA	6	8	4	6	5	7	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	

TABLE 17: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	262	101	52	51	25	21	130	133	0	262	45	44	58	52	88	110
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	63%	100%	0%	36%	0%	83%	62%	64%	0%	63%	38%	55%	58%	79%	46%	68%
Strongly favor	34	58	0	18	0	44	38	30	0	34	22	20	28	60	21	43
Somewhat favor	29	42	0	17	0	39	25	34	0	29	16	35	30	19	25	25
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	0	100	41	76	0	32	30	0	31	55	36	37	17	46	28
Somewhat oppose	13	0	41	17	27	0	13	13	0	13	21	20	12	7	21	9
Strongly oppose	18	0	59	24	49	0	19	17	0	18	33	16	25	10	25	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	0	0	23	24	17	6	6	0	6	7	9	4	4	8	4
DK/NA	6	0	0	23	24	17	6	6	0	6	7	9	4	4	8	4
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	262	139	80	43	37	36	82	105	66	51	28	66	110			
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	63%	58%	70%	69%	46%	75%	53%	61%	83%	63%	54%	60%	58%			
Strongly favor	34	37	28	32	33	59	31	24	56	45	27	43	29			
Somewhat favor	29	20	42	37	13	16	22	37	27	18	27	17	29			
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	38	20	28	51	18	44	32	12	25	46	31	36			
Somewhat oppose	13	15	7	17	21	10	21	11	8	7	14	8	19			
Strongly oppose	18	23	13	10	31	8	24	21	5	17	32	22	18			
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	10	3	3	7	3	6	5	12	0	9	5			
DK/NA	6	4	10	3	3	7	3	6	5	12	0	9	5			

TABLE 17: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR		NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	262	142	57	31	127	77	24	104	23	19	47	79	18
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	63%	68%	74%	31%	68%	69%	35%	68%	67%	29%	81%	60%	35%
Strongly favor	34	43	33	18	41	34	22	43	33	18	57	33	19
Somewhat favor	29	26	41	13	26	35	12	25	35	10	24	28	17
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	27	22	67	27	27	65	27	26	71	12	36	65
Somewhat oppose	13	14	8	13	13	11	10	13	14	11	11	15	12
Strongly oppose	18	13	14	54	13	16	55	14	11	60	2	20	53
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	4	2	5	4	0	5	7	0	6	4	0
DK/NA	6	5	4	2	5	4	0	5	7	0	6	4	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN		WOMEN		Total	DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
	18-49	50+	18-49	50+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	262	76	50	68	64	49	78	48	30	54	70	45	33
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	63%	60%	86%	51%	64%	71%	66%	84%	43%	56%	79%	62%	77%
Strongly favor	34	29	55	22	36	49	37	45	16	30	51	28	41
Somewhat favor	29	32	31	30	28	22	29	40	28	25	29	35	36
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	35	12	40	27	27	26	16	46	38	16	33	19
Somewhat oppose	13	13	4	25	6	11	15	4	24	22	5	18	3
Strongly oppose	18	22	9	15	21	17	11	12	23	15	10	16	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	2	8	9	2	8	0	10	6	5	4	4
DK/NA	6	4	2	8	9	2	8	0	10	6	5	4	4

TABLE 17: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	262	139	62	50	201	61	93	108	33	28	37	24	95	108	38	44	50	42	65
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	63%	59%	72%	61%	63%	65%	69%	57%	75%	54%	67%	74%	68%	56%	68%	76%	59%	60%	53%
Strongly favor	34	23	49	34	31	42	35	28	51	32	26	38	40	29	27	40	41	38	24
Somewhat favor	29	36	23	27	32	23	34	30	24	22	41	36	27	26	41	36	19	22	29
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	34	26	35	31	31	28	34	22	41	21	26	28	38	20	22	34	36	39
Somewhat oppose	13	15	13	9	14	9	10	18	8	10	5	0	14	17	5	7	20	23	14
Strongly oppose	18	19	13	26	17	22	18	16	14	31	15	26	14	20	15	15	15	13	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	8	2	4	6	4	3	8	3	5	12	0	4	6	12	2	6	4	8
DK/NA	6	8	2	4	6	4	3	8	3	5	12	0	4	6	12	2	6	4	8

TABLE 18: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
TOTAL FAVOR	62%	68%	57%	59%	59%	64%	69%	63%	60%	67%	59%	66%	64%	73%	65%	58%
Strongly favor	33	36	30	35	29	25	44	25	30	37	33	35	30	44	34	30
Somewhat favor	29	31	28	24	29	38	25	38	30	30	26	31	34	29	31	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	30	29	32	37	32	28	23	31	32	26	34	25	29	26	27	35
Somewhat oppose	13	11	15	20	15	14	5	14	16	9	18	10	11	6	12	16
Strongly oppose	18	18	17	16	17	14	18	17	16	17	17	15	18	21	15	19
DK/NA	7	4	11	4	9	9	8	5	7	7	7	8	7	1	9	7
DK/NA	7	4	11	4	9	9	8	5	7	7	7	8	7	1	9	7
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
TOTAL FAVOR	62%	71%	57%	68%	51%	73%	63%	60%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Strongly favor	33	34	32	39	26	32	33	34	100	0	0	0	53	0	0	
Somewhat favor	29	36	25	29	26	41	30	27	0	100	0	0	47	0	0	
TOTAL OPPOSE	30	21	37	25	41	20	30	33	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	
Somewhat oppose	13	7	17	12	14	14	13	14	0	0	100	0	0	43	0	
Strongly oppose	18	14	20	13	26	6	17	19	0	0	0	100	0	57	0	
DK/NA	7	9	6	7	8	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	
DK/NA	7	9	6	7	8	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	

TABLE 18: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	YES		NO	YES
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	100%	0%	35%	0%	74%	65%	60%	61%	63%	44%	45%	71%	66%	45%	69%
Strongly favor	33	57	0	11	0	26	37	29	32	34	26	14	36	47	20	41
Somewhat favor	29	43	0	24	0	48	28	31	29	29	18	31	35	19	24	28
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	0	100	37	78	0	28	33	30	31	50	37	24	27	44	25
Somewhat oppose	13	0	43	17	35	0	9	17	13	13	20	22	10	7	21	9
Strongly oppose	18	0	57	20	43	0	19	16	17	18	29	15	15	19	23	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	0	0	28	22	26	7	7	9	6	6	17	5	7	12	6
DK/NA	7	0	0	28	22	26	7	7	9	6	6	17	5	7	12	6
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA			
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	59%	68%	64%	48%	67%	55%	63%	72%	71%	55%	64%	58%			
Strongly favor	33	34	31	32	35	51	35	25	44	44	32	39	27			
Somewhat favor	29	24	37	32	12	16	20	39	28	27	24	25	31			
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	36	22	27	50	29	41	29	21	20	40	28	34			
Somewhat oppose	13	17	6	11	20	12	17	13	10	6	8	10	18			
Strongly oppose	18	19	16	15	29	18	24	16	11	14	32	18	15			
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	10	10	3	4	4	8	7	9	5	8	9			
DK/NA	7	5	10	10	3	4	4	8	7	9	5	8	9			

TABLE 18: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	66%	69%	39%	66%	67%	41%	65%	68%	34%	74%	61%	38%
Strongly favor	33	37	33	26	37	33	29	36	41	24	44	32	24
Somewhat favor	29	29	36	13	29	35	11	30	27	11	30	29	14
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	27	26	54	27	29	53	28	25	57	17	34	61
Somewhat oppose	13	13	12	10	12	13	9	12	14	8	8	16	9
Strongly oppose	18	14	14	44	15	15	44	15	11	50	9	18	52
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	4	6	7	4	6	7	7	8	9	5	1
DK/NA	7	7	4	6	7	4	6	7	7	8	9	5	1

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	65%	74%	56%	60%	64%	67%	79%	55%	59%	73%	68%	69%
Strongly favor	33	32	44	28	31	39	35	38	27	30	43	32	35
Somewhat favor	29	33	30	28	29	25	32	41	27	29	30	36	34
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	32	21	32	30	31	24	21	37	34	19	28	27
Somewhat oppose	13	15	6	18	11	15	10	8	20	19	7	14	12
Strongly oppose	18	17	15	14	19	16	14	14	17	15	12	14	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	5	12	10	5	9	0	8	7	8	4	4
DK/NA	7	3	5	12	10	5	9	0	8	7	8	4	4

TABLE 18: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	63%	72%	48%	66%	52%	72%	60%	56%	48%	65%	74%	64%	57%	66%	69%	58%	57%	58%
Strongly favor	33	28	45	29	33	33	37	29	34	33	28	35	37	31	27	40	34	37	28
Somewhat favor	29	35	27	19	33	19	35	31	22	16	37	39	27	27	39	29	24	20	29
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	30	27	25	46	27	43	25	28	40	46	25	19	30	35	24	26	35	36	35
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	12	13	12	13	14	6	18	9	0	12	18	9	9	15	25	14
Strongly oppose	18	14	11	34	13	31	12	14	33	28	15	19	18	18	15	16	20	11	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	9	4	6	8	5	3	12	4	6	10	7	6	7	10	5	7	7	8
DK/NA	7	9	4	6	8	5	3	12	4	6	10	7	6	7	10	5	7	7	8

TABLE 19: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	101	45	57	16	17	17	26	20	50	46	33	38	25	4	44	53
TOTAL FAVOR	28%	22%	33%	24%	34%	21%	26%	41%	27%	33%	29%	26%	35%	0%	39%	22%
Strongly favor	8	9	7	3	18	7	6	8	9	7	11	7	6	0	11	6
Somewhat favor	20	13	26	21	16	15	20	33	17	26	19	19	28	0	28	16
TOTAL OPPOSE	62	75	51	62	62	56	61	59	60	60	62	58	60	100	48	70
Somewhat oppose	20	27	15	44	16	23	18	12	27	15	29	22	9	14	13	27
Strongly oppose	41	48	36	18	46	33	44	47	33	45	33	36	51	86	35	43
DK/NA	10	3	16	14	4	23	12	0	14	7	9	15	5	0	13	9
DK/NA	10	3	16	14	4	23	12	0	14	7	9	15	5	0	13	9

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	101	36	65	39	54	9	74	27	0	0	34	44	0	79	23
TOTAL FAVOR	28%	25%	30%	37%	22%	30%	27%	32%	0%	0%	35%	14%	0%	23%	45%
Strongly favor	8	8	8	12	3	20	8	9	0	0	0	12	0	7	12
Somewhat favor	20	17	22	25	18	11	19	24	0	0	35	3	0	17	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	62	64	60	56	65	70	63	59	0	0	57	84	0	73	24
Somewhat oppose	20	14	24	21	17	38	21	18	0	0	51	4	0	24	7
Strongly oppose	41	51	36	35	48	32	42	41	0	0	7	81	0	48	17
DK/NA	10	11	10	8	13	0	10	9	0	0	7	1	0	4	32
DK/NA	10	11	10	8	13	0	10	9	0	0	7	1	0	4	32

TABLE 19: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	YES		NO	YES
Base	101	0	39	41	23	9	43	58	101	0	24	27	10	18	50	28
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	28%	0%	14%	46%	60%	29%	25%	31%	28%	0%	10%	50%	34%	24%	31%	28%
Strongly favor	8	0	0	17	20	0	5	10	8	0	3	4	11	21	4	18
Somewhat favor	20	0	14	29	39	29	19	21	20	0	6	46	23	3	27	10
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	62	0	86	32	29	42	62	62	62	0	80	30	59	72	53	67
Somewhat oppose	20	0	30	11	13	16	10	28	20	0	30	2	43	20	15	29
Strongly oppose	41	0	56	21	16	26	52	34	41	0	50	28	16	52	38	39
<u>DK/NA</u>	10	0	0	22	11	29	14	7	10	0	10	20	6	4	15	5
DK/NA	10	0	0	22	11	29	14	7	10	0	10	20	6	4	15	5
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA			
Base	101	56	23	21	17	14	31	32	31	9	13	17	50			
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	28%	26%	13%	53%	30%	29%	22%	30%	30%	25%	28%	26%	33%			
Strongly favor	8	2	2	31	0	10	4	12	4	0	18	0	9			
Somewhat favor	20	24	10	22	30	19	18	18	26	25	10	26	23			
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	62	66	71	37	62	71	70	53	65	75	54	74	54			
Somewhat oppose	20	28	9	13	11	14	13	26	23	7	0	17	27			
Strongly oppose	41	38	61	24	51	58	57	27	42	68	54	57	27			
<u>DK/NA</u>	10	8	17	10	8	0	8	18	5	0	18	0	14			
DK/NA	10	8	17	10	8	0	8	18	5	0	18	0	14			

TABLE 19: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM					REP							
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	101	44	25	19	43	28	17	37	6	14	17	25	10
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	28%	28%	36%	23%	29%	32%	25%	32%	9%	22%	35%	26%	16%
Strongly favor	8	8	7	0	8	6	0	10	0	0	5	11	0
Somewhat favor	20	20	29	23	20	26	25	22	9	22	31	15	16
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	62	63	57	69	62	61	65	61	70	66	56	66	84
Somewhat oppose	20	19	24	6	18	26	4	17	21	0	3	25	6
Strongly oppose	41	44	32	63	44	36	61	43	49	66	53	41	78
<u>DK/NA</u>	10	9	8	8	9	7	9	7	21	12	9	8	0
DK/NA	10	9	8	8	9	7	9	7	21	12	9	8	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN		WOMEN		Total	DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
	18-49	50+	18-49	50+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	101	20	20	30	26	24	19	11	17	20	21	14	11
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	28%	29%	21%	25%	41%	32%	24%	15%	42%	29%	31%	17%	57%
Strongly favor	8	21	0	2	12	8	9	15	0	10	8	12	0
Somewhat favor	20	8	21	23	29	24	15	0	42	19	23	5	57
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	62	65	79	56	46	62	62	85	47	65	56	70	43
Somewhat oppose	20	42	17	17	13	25	8	44	15	19	19	43	11
Strongly oppose	41	24	62	39	33	37	53	40	33	46	38	27	32
<u>DK/NA</u>	10	6	0	19	12	5	14	0	11	6	13	14	0
DK/NA	10	6	0	19	12	5	14	0	11	6	13	14	0

TABLE 19: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	101	47	14	38	61	40	23	38	22	18	14	6	38	44	14	18	20	11	33
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	28%	42%	4%	21%	33%	20%	32%	35%	13%	29%	34%	41%	28%	25%	34%	32%	24%	40%	20%
Strongly favor	8	10	4	7	8	7	6	10	13	0	0	41	4	9	0	3	6	0	12
Somewhat favor	20	33	0	14	25	13	26	25	0	29	34	0	23	16	34	29	18	40	8
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	62	50	61	74	52	76	63	46	87	62	66	33	63	63	66	61	65	44	70
Somewhat oppose	20	23	39	9	27	10	47	15	5	16	18	0	17	27	18	23	11	28	26
Strongly oppose	41	27	22	65	26	65	16	31	82	46	47	33	46	37	47	37	54	16	44
<u>DK/NA</u>	10	8	35	4	14	4	6	19	0	9	0	26	9	11	0	7	11	17	10
DK/NA	10	8	35	4	14	4	6	19	0	9	0	26	9	11	0	7	11	17	10

TABLE 20: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	97	37	60	25	21	17	19	11	63	30	46	32	15	8	40	48
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	17%	19%	15%	23%	17%	21%	6%	18%	15%	19%	9%	30%	0%	23%	17%
Strongly favor	7	9	6	0	2	5	21	0	2	13	1	3	26	0	8	7
Somewhat favor	11	8	14	15	21	12	0	6	16	2	18	6	4	0	15	10
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	75	72	78	67	77	62	89	74	72	73	78	65	93	64	77
Somewhat oppose	29	37	24	37	32	40	0	34	36	13	35	22	25	24	22	35
Strongly oppose	44	38	48	42	35	37	62	54	38	59	38	56	40	69	42	42
DK/NA	9	9	9	6	10	5	17	6	7	13	8	13	4	7	13	6
DK/NA	9	9	9	6	10	5	17	6	7	13	8	13	4	7	13	6

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	97	26	71	36	48	13	64	32	0	0	34	48	0	81	15
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	16%	19%	31%	6%	27%	14%	25%	0%	0%	16%	11%	0%	13%	43%
Strongly favor	7	8	6	17	0	5	6	9	0	0	3	8	0	6	12
Somewhat favor	11	7	13	15	6	23	9	16	0	0	14	3	0	8	32
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	66	76	64	83	62	79	62	0	0	84	82	0	83	23
Somewhat oppose	29	18	33	32	20	54	30	26	0	0	61	11	0	32	13
Strongly oppose	44	47	43	32	63	8	49	36	0	0	23	71	0	51	10
DK/NA	9	19	5	4	11	11	7	13	0	0	0	7	0	4	34
DK/NA	9	19	5	4	11	11	7	13	0	0	0	7	0	4	34

TABLE 20: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	YES		NO	YES
Base	97	0	52	33	25	4	49	48	0	97	28	20	24	11	47	35
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	18%	0%	3%	39%	40%	29%	17%	20%	0%	18%	12%	8%	25%	17%	10%	23%
Strongly favor	7	0	0	17	19	9	0	14	0	7	1	3	20	0	2	14
Somewhat favor	11	0	3	22	21	20	17	6	0	11	11	5	6	17	8	9
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	73	0	97	38	36	54	76	71	0	73	84	72	73	64	79	70
Somewhat oppose	29	0	31	20	19	12	33	24	0	29	19	31	41	12	24	32
Strongly oppose	44	0	66	18	17	42	42	47	0	44	65	41	32	52	55	38
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	0	0	23	24	17	8	9	0	9	3	20	2	19	10	7
DK/NA	9	0	0	23	24	17	8	9	0	9	3	20	2	19	10	7
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV		FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA		
Base	97	59	24	13	20	9	39	40	11	19	13	27	46			
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	18%	15%	26%	19%	10%	20%	17%	18%	16%	20%	0%	23%	18%			
Strongly favor	7	7	11	0	0	0	7	7	0	2	0	10	6			
Somewhat favor	11	8	15	19	10	20	10	10	16	18	0	12	12			
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	73	80	55	74	79	73	77	73	79	60	100	56	78			
Somewhat oppose	29	33	14	36	11	18	27	32	23	10	17	14	37			
Strongly oppose	44	47	41	38	67	55	50	42	55	50	83	42	41			
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	5	18	7	12	7	6	9	6	20	0	21	4			
DK/NA	9	5	18	7	12	7	6	9	6	20	0	21	4			

TABLE 20: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM					REP							
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	97	45	15	21	41	24	16	33	8	14	9	31	12
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	18%	22%	19%	5%	19%	23%	2%	20%	14%	3%	20%	17%	3%
Strongly favor	7	9	6	0	9	4	0	12	0	0	0	12	0
Somewhat favor	11	13	13	5	10	19	2	9	14	3	20	5	3
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	73	72	68	87	74	69	87	73	78	85	73	76	82
Somewhat oppose	29	30	33	12	27	38	3	22	51	0	30	28	0
Strongly oppose	44	42	35	74	46	31	84	51	27	85	43	48	82
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	6	13	8	7	8	11	7	8	13	7	7	15
DK/NA	9	6	13	8	7	8	11	7	8	13	7	7	15

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN		WOMEN		Total	DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
	18-49	50+	18-49	50+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	97	30	7	33	23	14	27	7	17	24	15	17	8
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	18%	10%	47%	27%	5%	26%	15%	0%	33%	14%	21%	30%	8%
Strongly favor	7	0	47	4	3	17	5	0	6	0	17	2	8
Somewhat favor	11	10	0	23	3	9	10	0	27	14	4	28	0
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	73	80	53	69	78	74	74	79	65	86	59	59	92
Somewhat oppose	29	39	26	33	9	37	22	31	40	34	12	49	13
Strongly oppose	44	40	27	36	69	36	52	48	24	51	47	10	79
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	11	0	4	17	0	11	21	3	0	20	12	0
DK/NA	9	11	0	4	17	0	11	21	3	0	20	12	0

TABLE 20: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	97	58	17	20	75	21	29	46	8	13	12	6	31	48	12	10	20	17	31
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	18%	17%	10%	30%	15%	29%	9%	19%	43%	21%	59%	0%	12%	14%	59%	0%	18%	11%	16%
Strongly favor	7	4	0	20	3	20	3	3	30	14	30	0	2	5	30	0	3	0	8
Somewhat favor	11	13	10	10	12	10	6	16	13	8	29	0	10	9	29	0	15	11	8
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	73	71	87	70	75	71	79	72	57	79	31	100	87	72	31	96	82	89	62
Somewhat oppose	29	32	34	15	32	17	42	26	17	18	22	0	44	25	22	35	49	46	13
Strongly oppose	44	39	53	55	42	53	37	46	41	61	10	100	43	47	10	61	33	42	50
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	12	4	0	10	0	11	9	0	0	10	0	1	14	10	4	0	0	22
DK/NA	9	12	4	0	10	0	11	9	0	0	10	0	1	14	10	4	0	0	22

TABLE 21: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	198	82	116	41	38	35	45	31	113	76	79	71	40	13	84	102
TOTAL FAVOR	23%	20%	26%	19%	28%	19%	24%	29%	22%	26%	23%	19%	33%	0%	31%	20%
Strongly favor	7	9	6	1	9	6	12	5	5	9	5	5	14	0	9	7
Somewhat favor	16	11	20	18	19	13	12	23	17	17	18	13	20	0	22	13
TOTAL OPPOSE	67	75	62	72	64	66	62	69	68	65	68	67	62	95	56	73
Somewhat oppose	24	31	20	39	25	32	10	20	32	14	32	22	15	20	17	31
Strongly oppose	43	43	42	32	40	35	52	49	36	51	36	45	47	75	39	42
DK/NA	9	6	12	9	8	14	14	2	10	9	8	14	5	5	13	7
DK/NA	9	6	12	9	8	14	14	2	10	9	8	14	5	5	13	7

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	198	62	136	75	102	21	138	60	0	0	68	92	0	160	38
TOTAL FAVOR	23%	21%	24%	34%	14%	29%	21%	29%	0%	0%	26%	13%	0%	18%	44%
Strongly favor	7	8	7	14	2	11	7	9	0	0	1	10	0	6	12
Somewhat favor	16	13	17	20	13	18	14	19	0	0	25	3	0	12	32
TOTAL OPPOSE	67	65	68	60	73	65	70	60	0	0	70	83	0	78	23
Somewhat oppose	24	15	29	26	18	47	25	22	0	0	56	8	0	28	9
Strongly oppose	43	49	40	34	55	18	45	38	0	0	15	76	0	50	14
DK/NA	9	14	7	6	12	6	9	11	0	0	4	4	0	4	32
DK/NA	9	14	7	6	12	6	9	11	0	0	4	4	0	4	32

TABLE 21: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	YES		NO	YES
Base	198	0	91	74	48	13	92	106	101	97	51	46	34	29	98	63
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	23%	0%	8%	43%	50%	29%	20%	26%	28%	18%	11%	32%	28%	21%	21%	25%
Strongly favor	7	0	0	17	20	3	2	12	8	7	2	4	17	13	3	15
Somewhat favor	16	0	8	26	30	27	18	14	20	11	9	29	11	8	18	10
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	67	0	92	35	33	45	69	66	62	73	82	48	69	69	66	69
Somewhat oppose	24	0	30	15	16	15	22	26	20	29	24	14	42	17	19	31
Strongly oppose	43	0	62	19	17	30	47	39	41	44	58	33	27	52	46	38
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	0	0	22	17	25	11	8	10	9	6	20	3	10	13	6
DK/NA	9	0	0	22	17	25	11	8	10	9	6	20	3	10	13	6
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB					UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA		
Base	198	115	48	34	37	23	70	73	42	27	26	44	96			
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	23%	20%	20%	40%	19%	25%	19%	23%	26%	21%	14%	24%	26%			
Strongly favor	7	4	7	19	0	6	6	9	3	1	9	6	8			
Somewhat favor	16	16	13	21	19	19	14	14	23	20	5	18	18			
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	67	73	63	52	71	72	74	64	69	65	77	63	65			
Somewhat oppose	24	31	12	22	11	15	21	29	23	9	8	15	32			
Strongly oppose	43	42	51	30	60	57	53	35	46	56	68	48	33			
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	6	18	9	10	3	7	13	5	14	9	13	9			
DK/NA	9	6	18	9	10	3	7	13	5	14	9	13	9			

TABLE 21: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM					REP					LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP			
Base	198	89	39	40	84	52	32	70	14	27	26	56	21
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	23%	25%	30%	13%	24%	28%	14%	26%	12%	12%	30%	21%	9%
Strongly favor	7	8	7	0	9	5	0	11	0	0	3	12	0
Somewhat favor	16	16	23	13	15	23	14	16	12	12	27	9	9
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	67	67	61	78	68	65	76	67	75	76	62	72	83
Somewhat oppose	24	24	28	10	23	31	3	20	38	0	12	26	3
Strongly oppose	43	43	33	69	45	34	72	47	37	76	49	45	80
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	8	10	8	8	7	10	7	13	12	8	7	8
DK/NA	9	8	10	8	8	7	10	7	13	12	8	7	8
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	198	50	27	63	49	38	45	18	34	44	35	30	19
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	23%	17%	28%	26%	25%	30%	19%	9%	37%	21%	27%	24%	38%
Strongly favor	7	8	12	3	8	12	7	9	3	5	12	7	3
Somewhat favor	16	9	16	23	17	19	12	0	35	16	15	17	35
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	67	74	72	63	61	67	69	82	56	76	57	64	62
Somewhat oppose	24	40	19	26	11	30	17	39	27	27	16	46	12
Strongly oppose	43	34	53	37	50	37	52	44	29	49	42	17	51
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	0	11	14	3	12	8	7	3	16	13	0
DK/NA	9	9	0	11	14	3	12	8	7	3	16	13	

TABLE 21: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	198	104	31	58	136	61	52	84	30	31	26	12	68	92	26	28	40	28	64
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	23%	28%	7%	24%	23%	23%	19%	26%	21%	26%	46%	20%	21%	19%	46%	20%	21%	23%	18%
Strongly favor	7	7	2	12	6	11	4	6	18	6	14	20	3	7	14	2	4	0	10
Somewhat favor	16	22	5	13	18	12	15	20	3	20	32	0	17	13	32	18	17	23	8
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	67	62	75	73	65	74	72	60	79	69	50	67	74	68	50	74	73	71	66
Somewhat oppose	24	28	36	11	30	13	44	21	8	17	20	0	29	26	20	28	30	39	20
Strongly oppose	43	34	39	62	35	61	28	39	71	52	29	67	45	42	29	46	43	32	47
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	18	3	12	3	9	14	0	5	5	13	6	13	5	6	6	7	16
DK/NA	9	10	18	3	12	3	9	14	0	5	5	13	6	13	5	6	6	7	16

TABLE 22: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	467	227	240	83	80	87	133	74	250	207	163	193	101	39	215	213
TOTAL SUPPORT	66%	71%	62%	72%	64%	68%	73%	50%	68%	65%	68%	70%	58%	69%	70%	62%
Strongly support	37	40	34	44	31	33	41	31	36	37	38	36	36	44	37	35
Somewhat support	29	31	28	28	33	36	32	19	32	28	30	34	21	25	33	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	31	29	32	28	34	25	23	49	29	33	31	24	42	29	26	36
Somewhat oppose	15	16	14	19	18	14	9	20	17	13	19	11	18	10	14	16
Strongly oppose	16	13	18	8	16	11	14	29	12	20	12	14	24	19	12	19
DK/NA	3	1	5	0	2	7	3	1	3	3	1	5	1	2	4	2
DK/NA	3	1	5	0	2	7	3	1	3	3	1	5	1	2	4	2

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	467	189	279	208	193	67	331	137	150	134	64	85	284	149	34
TOTAL SUPPORT	66%	69%	65%	73%	56%	77%	65%	71%	86%	84%	34%	39%	85%	37%	38%
Strongly support	37	38	36	45	26	42	37	36	69	29	10	24	50	18	10
Somewhat support	29	31	29	28	29	35	28	34	17	55	25	15	35	19	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	31	27	33	26	39	21	32	27	12	14	62	61	13	62	42
Somewhat oppose	15	10	18	15	15	12	15	15	5	9	43	14	7	26	28
Strongly oppose	16	17	15	11	23	8	17	12	7	5	19	48	6	35	14
DK/NA	3	5	2	1	6	2	3	2	2	2	3	0	2	1	20
DK/NA	3	5	2	1	6	2	3	2	2	2	3	0	2	1	20

TABLE 22: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	467	201	91	114	48	50	240	227	230	238	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	66%	93%	25%	48%	59%	41%	65%	67%	65%	67%	52%	48%	73%	74%	50%	74%
Strongly support	37	58	9	19	31	13	38	36	35	39	34	12	40	53	23	46
Somewhat support	29	35	16	29	27	28	27	32	30	29	18	37	33	21	27	28
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	6	74	45	36	58	33	28	32	29	44	47	25	24	45	25
Somewhat oppose	15	4	30	26	26	27	17	13	16	13	15	26	16	12	20	14
Strongly oppose	16	2	44	20	10	31	16	16	16	15	29	21	10	12	25	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	1	7	5	1	2	4	2	4	4	5	1	2	4	1
DK/NA	3	1	1	7	5	1	2	4	2	4	4	5	1	2	4	1

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	467	249	134	80	68	59	139	182	128	93	58	123	226
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	66%	66%	72%	58%	62%	68%	65%	64%	73%	75%	53%	72%	64%
Strongly support	37	37	38	32	43	51	43	27	44	45	35	42	33
Somewhat support	29	28	34	26	19	17	22	37	29	30	18	30	31
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	32	22	41	37	31	32	32	26	23	43	26	33
Somewhat oppose	15	17	6	22	10	13	11	17	15	10	16	13	18
Strongly oppose	16	14	16	19	27	18	21	15	11	13	26	14	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	6	0	1	1	3	4	0	2	4	2	3
DK/NA	3	2	6	0	1	1	3	4	0	2	4	2	3

TABLE 22: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS							REP
Base	467	235	116	59	217	145	48	183	34	38	82	133	30
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	66%	71%	74%	38%	70%	72%	37%	69%	73%	31%	71%	70%	34%
Strongly support	37	35	46	25	35	45	21	34	38	20	38	33	20
Somewhat support	29	35	28	13	35	28	15	35	35	11	33	36	14
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	26	23	60	26	25	60	27	20	65	28	25	66
Somewhat oppose	15	14	12	15	14	13	13	14	16	15	14	14	13
Strongly oppose	16	11	11	45	12	12	47	14	5	50	13	11	53
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	3	3	4	2	3	4	6	4	1	5	0
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	4	2	3	4	6	4	1	5	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	467	123	100	127	107	99	118	76	69	97	113	81	61
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	66%	71%	71%	66%	60%	74%	67%	76%	69%	68%	73%	76%	66%
Strongly support	37	38	41	34	34	37	33	49	40	26	42	46	41
Somewhat support	29	33	29	31	26	37	34	27	28	43	30	31	25
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	31	28	29	30	35	25	28	24	26	30	23	19	34
Somewhat oppose	15	20	10	14	15	17	11	11	16	18	11	10	19
Strongly oppose	16	7	19	16	20	7	17	13	10	12	12	9	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	0	5	5	2	6	0	5	2	5	4	0
DK/NA	3	1	0	5	5	2	6	0	5	2	5	4	0

TABLE 22: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	467	256	97	99	353	114	166	188	61	53	60	46	168	195	61	74	92	57	138
TOTAL SUPPORT	66%	68%	64%	61%	67%	64%	73%	63%	65%	62%	72%	77%	69%	60%	73%	71%	67%	57%	61%
Strongly support	37	33	43	39	36	40	40	32	38	43	44	41	41	31	44	40	41	27	32
Somewhat support	29	35	21	22	31	23	32	31	27	19	29	36	28	29	29	31	26	30	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	31	28	31	38	29	35	26	32	35	35	25	14	29	37	24	28	31	39	36
Somewhat oppose	15	15	13	18	14	17	17	11	11	23	9	14	12	19	8	6	17	27	16
Strongly oppose	16	14	18	20	15	19	9	20	24	12	16	0	17	18	16	22	14	12	21
DK/NA	3	3	4	2	4	1	1	6	0	3	3	9	2	3	3	1	3	3	2
DK/NA	3	3	4	2	4	1	1	6	0	3	3	9	2	3	3	1	3	3	2

TABLE 23: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	467	227	240	83	80	87	133	74	250	207	163	193	101	39	215	213
TOTAL SUPPORT	67%	68%	66%	73%	68%	65%	72%	54%	69%	66%	70%	68%	60%	67%	73%	61%
Strongly support	38	43	32	43	41	36	42	19	40	34	42	39	28	54	41	31
Somewhat support	29	25	33	30	27	29	30	35	29	32	29	30	33	13	32	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	29	31	28	26	30	29	24	44	28	31	28	27	38	33	24	34
Somewhat oppose	11	14	9	17	14	9	4	20	13	10	16	7	15	12	10	12
Strongly oppose	18	18	19	8	16	20	20	24	15	21	12	20	23	22	14	22
DK/NA	4	0	7	2	2	6	4	2	3	3	2	5	2	0	3	5
DK/NA	4	0	7	2	2	6	4	2	3	3	2	5	2	0	3	5

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	467	189	279	208	193	67	331	137	150	134	64	85	284	149	34
TOTAL SUPPORT	67%	70%	65%	71%	59%	78%	66%	70%	89%	78%	52%	28%	84%	39%	50%
Strongly support	38	40	36	41	30	50	38	37	71	29	12	21	51	17	14
Somewhat support	29	30	29	30	29	28	28	32	19	49	40	7	33	21	36
TOTAL OPPOSE	29	27	31	28	36	17	30	27	11	19	45	70	15	59	22
Somewhat oppose	11	11	11	12	11	12	11	12	2	11	29	16	6	22	7
Strongly oppose	18	16	19	16	25	5	19	15	8	8	16	54	8	38	15
DK/NA	4	3	4	1	5	5	4	3	0	3	3	2	1	2	28
DK/NA	4	3	4	1	5	5	4	3	0	3	3	2	1	2	28

TABLE 23: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	467	201	91	114	48	50	240	227	230	238	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	67%	90%	28%	52%	65%	41%	66%	68%	70%	64%	55%	50%	74%	71%	53%	73%
Strongly support	38	57	11	21	27	18	40	35	38	37	39	10	43	49	25	46
Somewhat support	29	33	17	31	37	24	26	33	32	27	16	40	30	22	28	27
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	29	8	70	40	35	52	30	29	26	33	40	46	24	25	43	24
Somewhat oppose	11	5	22	16	20	15	12	11	9	13	11	15	12	13	13	12
Strongly oppose	18	3	48	24	14	36	19	17	17	19	28	30	12	12	29	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	2	8	1	7	3	4	4	3	5	4	3	4	5	3
DK/NA	4	2	2	8	1	7	3	4	4	3	5	4	3	4	5	3

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	467	249	134	80	68	59	139	182	128	93	58	123	226
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	67%	67%	72%	59%	51%	79%	59%	65%	81%	73%	56%	68%	66%
Strongly support	38	37	44	29	34	50	38	29	52	48	36	41	34
Somewhat support	29	30	28	30	17	29	21	36	29	25	20	26	32
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	29	31	22	36	48	20	39	32	17	26	39	32	28
Somewhat oppose	11	11	6	21	17	5	12	15	6	11	11	14	12
Strongly oppose	18	20	16	15	31	15	26	16	11	16	28	18	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	5	1	1	2	3	3	1	5	1	5
DK/NA	4	2	6	5	1	1	2	3	3	1	5	1	5

TABLE 23: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	467	235	116	59	217	145	48	183	34	38	82	133	30
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	67%	71%	70%	46%	69%	71%	49%	66%	85%	43%	78%	63%	44%
Strongly support	38	37	43	32	36	43	32	35	41	30	46	31	28
Somewhat support	29	34	26	15	33	28	17	31	45	13	33	32	15
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	29	27	26	50	29	26	47	32	13	52	20	35	55
Somewhat oppose	11	12	11	9	13	10	7	14	10	9	5	18	7
Strongly oppose	18	14	15	42	16	16	40	18	3	43	15	16	48
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	4	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	2	2	1
DK/NA	4	2	4	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	2	2	1

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	467	123	100	127	107	99	118	76	69	97	113	81	61
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	67%	69%	69%	68%	63%	71%	67%	73%	68%	68%	71%	73%	67%
Strongly support	38	44	42	36	27	40	32	47	38	37	35	45	38
Somewhat support	29	25	27	32	36	31	34	25	30	31	36	27	29
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	29	31	31	25	32	28	30	27	25	31	27	23	31
Somewhat oppose	11	18	9	9	10	17	9	10	10	18	9	9	13
Strongly oppose	18	13	22	16	21	10	20	17	15	13	17	15	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	1	7	5	1	4	0	7	1	2	4	2
DK/NA	4	0	1	7	5	1	4	0	7	1	2	4	2

TABLE 23: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	467	256	97	99	353	114	166	188	61	53	60	46	168	195	61	74	92	57	138
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	67%	70%	63%	61%	68%	64%	72%	65%	59%	69%	73%	63%	69%	64%	74%	69%	69%	66%	64%
Strongly support	38	37	36	38	37	40	43	31	44	37	45	24	42	35	46	45	38	30	37
Somewhat support	29	33	28	23	31	23	29	34	16	32	27	39	28	29	28	23	31	36	27
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	29	26	33	37	28	34	28	28	41	27	24	33	28	31	23	31	26	29	33
Somewhat oppose	11	12	9	14	11	13	14	9	14	11	8	19	13	9	7	11	15	12	8
Strongly oppose	18	14	24	23	17	22	14	19	27	16	17	15	15	22	16	20	11	17	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	3	2	4	2	1	7	0	4	3	3	3	4	3	1	5	6	4
DK/NA	4	4	3	2	4	2	1	7	0	4	3	3	3	4	3	1	5	6	4

TABLE 24: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	467	227	240	83	80	87	133	74	250	207	163	193	101	39	215	213
TOTAL SUPPORT	65%	70%	60%	75%	61%	65%	67%	52%	67%	62%	69%	65%	58%	66%	72%	57%
Strongly support	40	43	37	43	39	37	42	35	40	39	41	38	39	43	42	38
Somewhat support	25	27	23	32	22	28	25	17	27	22	27	27	18	23	30	20
TOTAL OPPOSE	32	29	35	25	38	28	31	41	30	35	31	31	37	30	26	39
Somewhat oppose	12	11	12	16	15	7	9	13	13	10	16	8	12	12	10	13
Strongly oppose	20	18	22	9	23	21	22	29	18	24	16	23	24	18	15	26
DK/NA	3	1	5	0	1	6	2	7	2	4	0	4	6	3	2	4
DK/NA	3	1	5	0	1	6	2	7	2	4	0	4	6	3	2	4

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	467	189	279	208	193	67	331	137	150	134	64	85	284	149	34
TOTAL SUPPORT	65%	74%	58%	77%	52%	65%	63%	69%	87%	77%	37%	31%	82%	34%	51%
Strongly support	40	46	35	46	30	48	39	41	78	31	14	17	55	16	16
Somewhat support	25	28	23	30	22	17	24	27	10	46	24	14	27	18	35
TOTAL OPPOSE	32	22	39	23	44	27	33	30	11	20	61	67	15	64	30
Somewhat oppose	12	6	15	10	16	6	13	10	1	11	31	19	6	24	7
Strongly oppose	20	16	23	13	28	22	21	20	10	9	30	48	9	40	23
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	5	7	4	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	18
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	5	7	4	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	18

TABLE 24: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		FIRST		A	B		YES	YES
Base	467	201	91	114	48	50	240	227	230	238	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	65%	90%	21%	48%	63%	40%	63%	66%	66%	63%	50%	48%	73%	71%	49%	72%
Strongly support	40	63	4	22	36	14	40	40	40	40	31	14	45	55	23	49
Somewhat support	25	28	18	26	27	27	23	27	26	23	19	34	28	16	26	23
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	32	9	76	45	37	56	33	31	29	35	45	47	26	27	46	26
Somewhat oppose	12	4	25	19	15	19	11	13	10	13	14	23	12	6	18	9
Strongly oppose	20	5	51	26	22	37	22	18	19	22	32	24	14	21	28	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	3	7	0	3	4	3	4	2	5	5	1	1	5	1
DK/NA	3	1	3	7	0	3	4	3	4	2	5	5	1	1	5	1

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN									
Base	467	249	134	80	68	59	139	182	128	93	58	123	226
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	65%	61%	72%	65%	58%	69%	60%	64%	71%	72%	61%	69%	60%
Strongly support	40	37	46	41	40	55	43	32	50	46	39	43	35
Somewhat support	25	25	26	24	18	14	17	32	21	26	22	25	25
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	32	36	24	32	38	30	37	32	28	26	34	30	36
Somewhat oppose	12	14	8	11	9	8	8	16	11	9	3	13	15
Strongly oppose	20	22	16	22	29	23	29	17	18	17	31	17	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	3	4	1	3	3	1	1	5	1	4
DK/NA	3	3	4	3	4	1	3	3	1	1	5	1	

TABLE 24: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR		NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	467	235	116	59	217	145	48	183	34	38	82	133	30
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	65%	66%	74%	49%	66%	71%	50%	65%	73%	42%	69%	64%	42%
Strongly support	40	40	49	30	39	48	27	39	41	25	47	35	30
Somewhat support	25	27	25	19	27	23	22	26	32	16	22	29	12
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	32	31	24	48	31	27	47	32	27	54	30	33	58
Somewhat oppose	12	14	9	4	13	10	5	12	18	6	11	15	7
Strongly oppose	20	17	15	44	18	17	42	20	10	49	19	18	51
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	0	4	1	3	0
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	0	4	1	3	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN		WOMEN		Total	DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
	18-49	50+	18-49	50+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	467	123	100	127	107	99	118	76	69	97	113	81	61
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	65%	71%	69%	64%	55%	70%	63%	82%	60%	70%	64%	74%	66%
Strongly support	40	41	46	39	33	38	40	58	37	36	42	49	44
Somewhat support	25	31	23	24	22	32	23	24	23	34	22	25	22
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	32	28	30	32	39	29	33	18	36	30	32	23	33
Somewhat oppose	12	14	6	12	14	17	10	2	19	15	11	8	13
Strongly oppose	20	14	23	20	25	12	23	16	17	16	21	15	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	1	4	6	2	4	0	4	0	4	3	1
DK/NA	3	0	1	4	6	2	4	0	4	0	4	3	1

TABLE 24: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	467	256	97	99	353	114	166	188	61	53	60	46	168	195	61	74	92	57	138
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	65%	70%	64%	53%	68%	53%	75%	63%	56%	49%	73%	78%	69%	56%	74%	72%	65%	51%	58%
Strongly support	40	39	42	39	40	41	42	37	46	35	53	29	45	34	52	49	42	29	36
Somewhat support	25	32	21	13	29	12	33	26	10	14	21	49	23	22	22	23	22	22	22
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	32	27	33	43	28	44	24	32	44	43	26	18	27	42	25	26	28	46	40
Somewhat oppose	12	10	9	20	10	18	11	9	12	25	16	9	9	14	16	5	12	21	11
Strongly oppose	20	16	24	23	19	26	13	24	32	19	10	10	18	28	9	21	16	25	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	4	3	3	1	5	0	7	1	3	5	3	1	2	7	3	2
DK/NA	3	3	3	4	3	3	1	5	0	7	1	3	5	3	1	2	7	3	2

TABLE 25: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	263	126	137	51	47	44	74	42	141	116	97	102	58	21	123	118
TOTAL SUPPORT	80%	83%	77%	89%	78%	77%	81%	78%	82%	80%	84%	78%	81%	73%	83%	78%
Strongly support	61	61	60	67	56	47	67	65	57	66	62	58	66	59	64	57
Somewhat support	19	22	17	22	22	30	15	13	25	14	22	20	15	14	19	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	18	15	20	10	18	20	17	19	16	17	14	19	17	27	15	19
Somewhat oppose	6	3	8	5	4	6	5	9	5	7	4	6	7	6	3	8
Strongly oppose	12	12	12	5	15	14	11	10	11	11	9	13	9	21	12	11
DK/NA	2	2	3	1	4	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	0	2	4
DK/NA	2	2	3	1	4	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	0	2	4

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	263	109	154	111	108	43	187	76	87	80	33	44	167	77	19
TOTAL SUPPORT	80%	82%	78%	84%	74%	84%	79%	81%	90%	92%	70%	52%	91%	60%	66%
Strongly support	61	62	60	64	53	71	64	52	86	58	47	36	73	41	35
Somewhat support	19	21	18	20	21	13	15	29	3	34	23	16	18	19	31
TOTAL OPPOSE	18	15	20	14	23	15	18	18	9	8	27	45	9	37	15
Somewhat oppose	6	5	6	5	7	4	6	3	2	4	11	12	3	12	3
Strongly oppose	12	10	14	9	16	11	11	14	8	4	16	33	6	26	12
DK/NA	2	3	2	2	3	1	3	1	1	0	2	3	1	2	19
DK/NA	2	3	2	2	3	1	3	1	1	0	2	3	1	2	19

TABLE 25: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	263	102	50	49	16	27	141	122	131	131	52	36	57	46	88	103
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	80%	94%	58%	73%	89%	61%	75%	85%	83%	77%	73%	82%	89%	75%	77%	83%
Strongly support	61	70	43	46	51	46	60	61	64	57	54	40	65	70	48	67
Somewhat support	19	24	15	27	39	15	15	25	19	20	19	42	24	5	29	16
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	18	5	42	19	6	30	22	13	15	21	26	8	11	22	19	16
Somewhat oppose	6	0	15	7	0	12	8	3	5	6	2	4	6	9	3	7
Strongly oppose	12	5	26	12	6	18	14	10	10	15	24	4	5	13	16	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	8	5	9	3	1	3	2	1	10	0	3	4	1
DK/NA	2	1	1	8	5	9	3	1	3	2	1	10	0	3	4	1

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	263	149	70	41	34	35	75	105	74	47	26	62	113
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	80%	78%	82%	83%	63%	83%	78%	78%	87%	86%	70%	84%	80%
Strongly support	61	60	61	64	45	74	60	52	76	69	47	61	58
Somewhat support	19	18	21	19	18	9	18	26	12	16	23	22	22
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	18	20	17	12	32	15	19	22	10	12	23	15	18
Somewhat oppose	6	6	4	7	11	0	5	9	0	3	10	2	6
Strongly oppose	12	14	13	5	20	15	14	12	10	10	13	13	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	5	5	2	3	0	3	2	6	2	2
DK/NA	2	2	1	5	5	2	3	0	3	2	6	2	2

TABLE 25: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS							REP
Base	263	125	75	31	113	90	27	93	21	23	40	71	17
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	80%	85%	77%	67%	86%	77%	68%	90%	66%	72%	91%	83%	77%
Strongly support	61	62	58	52	64	56	53	68	43	53	77	58	53
Somewhat support	19	23	19	16	22	21	16	21	23	19	15	26	25
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	18	13	20	33	12	21	32	8	30	28	3	15	23
Somewhat oppose	6	5	7	7	5	7	8	4	8	10	0	6	13
Strongly oppose	12	8	13	25	7	14	23	4	22	18	3	9	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	0	3	2	0	2	4	0	5	1	0
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	3	2	0	2	4	0	5	1	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	263	72	51	69	65	49	64	49	41	51	60	49	38
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	80%	85%	85%	78%	77%	85%	86%	82%	71%	90%	81%	76%	83%
Strongly support	61	58	69	56	64	56	70	65	46	62	65	49	70
Somewhat support	19	27	15	22	13	29	16	16	26	29	17	27	13
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	18	12	15	20	19	13	11	16	27	8	15	20	17
Somewhat oppose	6	5	0	5	12	4	6	0	15	3	6	7	7
Strongly oppose	12	7	15	15	7	9	5	16	12	5	9	13	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	0	2	4	2	3	2	2	1	3	3	0
DK/NA	2	3	0	2	4	2	3	2	2	1	3	3	0

TABLE 25: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	263	145	53	52	198	64	94	105	32	32	37	24	95	108	38	42	51	33	75
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	80%	88%	73%	63%	84%	68%	87%	81%	72%	63%	81%	87%	76%	81%	82%	77%	75%	81%	81%
Strongly support	61	65	56	44	63	53	64	62	55	51	63	69	59	59	65	60	58	55	61
Somewhat support	19	22	17	19	21	15	23	19	18	12	18	17	17	22	17	17	18	26	21
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	18	11	22	35	14	30	11	16	26	33	14	13	20	17	13	20	21	19	17
Somewhat oppose	6	3	7	12	4	10	2	6	5	14	3	9	5	6	3	3	7	5	6
Strongly oppose	12	8	16	22	10	20	9	10	21	19	11	4	15	12	10	17	14	14	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	5	0	3	1	5	3	3	0	2
DK/NA	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	5	0	3	1	5	3	3	0	2

TABLE 26: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	266	133	134	43	43	53	80	39	139	119	85	118	54	24	119	123
TOTAL SUPPORT	55%	57%	53%	70%	61%	57%	53%	40%	62%	48%	65%	54%	44%	84%	55%	49%
Strongly support	30	35	26	37	36	30	29	27	34	28	36	27	31	51	27	29
Somewhat support	25	22	27	33	24	27	24	13	28	20	29	27	13	33	28	20
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	33	32	30	33	34	24	49	33	32	31	30	37	12	30	39
Somewhat oppose	15	17	12	27	21	13	9	14	20	11	24	12	10	6	16	15
Strongly oppose	18	16	20	3	13	21	15	34	13	21	8	18	27	5	14	24
DK/NA	13	10	15	1	6	8	24	12	5	20	3	16	18	4	15	12
DK/NA	13	10	15	1	6	8	24	12	5	20	3	16	18	4	15	12
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	266	116	151	125	104	37	190	76	86	75	37	51	161	88	17	
TOTAL SUPPORT	55%	55%	55%	65%	46%	47%	50%	66%	78%	60%	37%	32%	70%	34%	21%	
Strongly support	30	33	28	39	22	26	27	39	57	24	7	18	42	13	12	
Somewhat support	25	21	27	26	24	21	23	27	22	36	29	15	28	21	9	
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	27	37	28	41	24	33	30	19	15	53	60	17	57	50	
Somewhat oppose	15	11	18	13	16	17	16	13	9	12	37	6	10	19	35	
Strongly oppose	18	16	19	15	25	7	18	17	10	3	16	54	7	38	15	
DK/NA	13	18	9	7	13	30	16	4	2	25	10	8	13	9	29	
DK/NA	13	18	9	7	13	30	16	4	2	25	10	8	13	9	29	

TABLE 26: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	266	99	41	65	32	23	134	133	127	140	40	48	60	40	89	100
TOTAL SUPPORT	55%	79%	14%	43%	55%	34%	46%	63%	51%	59%	41%	16%	82%	66%	28%	76%
Strongly support	30	45	6	20	27	17	28	33	28	33	28	6	33	49	16	39
Somewhat support	25	35	9	23	28	17	19	30	23	26	14	10	49	17	12	36
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	11	74	45	42	63	43	22	38	28	54	57	12	32	56	20
Somewhat oppose	15	9	24	23	26	29	22	8	17	13	21	28	11	13	25	12
Strongly oppose	18	1	50	22	17	34	22	14	21	15	33	30	1	19	31	8
DK/NA	13	10	12	12	3	3	10	15	11	14	4	27	6	2	17	4
DK/NA	13	10	12	12	3	3	10	15	11	14	4	27	6	2	17	4

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	266	135	78	52	40	33	83	102	70	47	31	61	113
TOTAL SUPPORT	55%	61%	51%	46%	50%	58%	53%	56%	61%	69%	42%	68%	51%
Strongly support	30	34	24	32	23	27	26	32	35	38	26	40	24
Somewhat support	25	27	27	14	27	31	27	24	26	30	16	28	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	36	23	35	47	25	41	26	29	21	53	21	36
Somewhat oppose	15	20	7	15	19	5	15	14	14	17	21	15	17
Strongly oppose	18	16	16	20	28	20	26	13	15	3	32	6	19
DK/NA	13	3	26	18	2	17	6	18	10	11	5	11	13
DK/NA	13	3	26	18	2	17	6	18	10	11	5	11	13

TABLE 26: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
											LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP			
Base	266	137	59	36	128	75	29	111	17	23	48	78	17
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	55%	55%	62%	42%	53%	64%	41%	50%	69%	32%	57%	51%	40%
Strongly support	30	27	36	29	25	37	29	25	23	26	26	25	28
Somewhat support	25	29	26	13	28	27	12	25	47	7	31	26	12
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	33	32	25	51	34	25	54	35	24	61	30	35	60
Somewhat oppose	15	19	8	7	20	6	8	20	22	11	19	20	6
Strongly oppose	18	13	17	45	14	19	45	15	3	50	11	15	54
<u>DK/NA</u>	13	13	13	7	14	12	5	15	6	7	13	15	0
DK/NA	13	13	13	7	14	12	5	15	6	7	13	15	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	266	66	62	73	56	57	71	41	34	59	63	39	34
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	55%	61%	57%	63%	39%	57%	49%	55%	74%	56%	49%	74%	56%
Strongly support	30	37	34	31	21	26	24	43	29	23	28	38	39
Somewhat support	25	24	22	32	18	31	25	12	45	33	21	36	18
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	33	35	30	31	34	33	34	28	21	39	31	20	24
Somewhat oppose	15	27	8	13	13	27	14	7	5	25	17	7	5
Strongly oppose	18	8	21	18	21	6	19	21	16	14	14	13	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	13	4	14	6	27	10	17	17	5	5	20	6	20
DK/NA	13	4	14	6	27	10	17	17	5	5	20	6	20

TABLE 26: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	266	146	52	64	197	69	93	104	40	29	33	26	107	100	33	50	57	27	73
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	55%	52%	62%	54%	55%	55%	59%	51%	53%	58%	68%	42%	63%	45%	68%	68%	59%	56%	41%
Strongly support	30	24	30	42	25	44	30	21	46	43	34	15	38	24	35	48	30	23	25
Somewhat support	25	28	32	12	29	11	29	30	7	15	34	27	25	21	34	20	29	33	16
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	33	30	31	40	30	39	29	31	43	34	15	29	27	45	15	28	27	35	49
Somewhat oppose	15	16	16	12	16	12	20	13	12	12	10	12	11	22	10	10	12	18	23
Strongly oppose	18	14	15	28	14	27	9	19	30	22	5	17	16	23	4	18	16	16	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	13	18	7	6	15	6	12	17	5	8	17	29	10	10	17	4	14	9	10
DK/NA	13	18	7	6	15	6	12	17	5	8	17	29	10	10	17	4	14	9	10

TABLE 27: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	464	220	243	89	83	85	123	77	257	200	172	185	100	39	209	215
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	65%	61%	60%	64%	69%	66%	56%	64%	62%	62%	66%	60%	66%	66%	60%
Very important to norm/engage	42	43	41	43	39	38	45	42	40	44	41	41	44	54	41	40
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	20	17	25	31	21	14	24	18	21	25	16	12	24	20
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	28	32	37	31	20	29	38	30	33	34	25	35	34	30	30
Somewhat important keep same	14	14	14	23	14	2	15	16	13	15	19	8	18	15	14	14
Very important keep same	16	14	19	14	17	18	14	22	16	17	15	17	18	20	16	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	6	3	5	11	5	6	6	5	4	8	4	0	4	10
DK/NA	6	6	6	3	5	11	5	6	6	5	4	8	4	0	4	10

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	464	175	289	210	189	65	328	135	150	134	62	82	284	144	36
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	73%	57%	67%	55%	75%	66%	57%	84%	77%	36%	34%	81%	35%	40%
Very important to norm/engage	42	49	37	47	36	43	44	36	66	47	15	24	57	20	9
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	24	20	20	19	31	22	20	18	30	21	10	24	15	31
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	24	35	29	38	15	28	36	14	21	44	62	17	54	37
Somewhat important keep same	14	9	17	14	17	8	13	16	7	14	25	21	11	23	6
Very important keep same	16	15	17	15	21	7	15	20	7	6	19	41	7	32	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	8	4	7	11	6	8	2	2	21	4	2	11	23
DK/NA	6	3	8	4	7	11	6	8	2	2	21	4	2	11	23

TABLE 27: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	464	201	91	114	48	50	228	236	234	229	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	87%	22%	53%	68%	43%	67%	60%	62%	64%	49%	54%	72%	69%	51%	71%
Very important to norm/engage	42	63	11	25	36	15	47	37	40	43	34	29	43	53	32	47
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	24	11	28	32	28	20	23	22	21	15	25	29	16	20	24
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	11	67	38	25	54	30	31	32	29	41	41	24	27	41	25
Somewhat important keep same	14	8	27	17	16	18	14	14	17	11	11	22	18	8	16	14
Very important keep same	16	3	40	21	9	36	16	17	15	18	30	19	6	19	24	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	11	9	6	3	3	9	6	6	10	5	4	4	8	4
DK/NA	6	2	11	9	6	3	3	9	6	6	10	5	4	4	8	4
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	464	243	137	80	64	61	138	172	133	93	58	123	226			
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	61%	70%	61%	59%	68%	61%	61%	70%	70%	55%	68%	62%			
Very important to norm/engage	42	39	52	34	49	44	47	37	47	51	39	47	38			
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	18	26	10	25	14	25	24	19	16	21	25			
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	32	24	37	31	26	30	33	26	29	34	29	32			
Somewhat important keep same	14	19	7	10	11	10	11	17	12	15	9	17	15			
Very important keep same	16	12	16	27	20	16	19	16	14	15	25	12	17			
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	8	7	2	9	6	9	6	4	1	11	4	6			
DK/NA	6	8	7	2	9	6	9	6	4	1	11	4	6			

TABLE 27: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	464	238	111	57	221	139	46	182	39	34	90	126	30
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	65%	70%	45%	64%	67%	46%	64%	63%	41%	74%	58%	48%
Very important to norm/engage	42	42	50	30	41	48	28	40	49	25	47	39	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	23	20	16	23	19	18	25	14	15	27	20	19
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	28	26	47	28	28	47	28	31	50	21	32	46
Somewhat important keep same	14	16	13	9	15	14	9	14	19	3	8	21	6
Very important keep same	16	12	14	39	13	15	38	13	12	46	14	11	41
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	4	7	8	4	7	8	6	10	4	10	6
DK/NA	6	7	4	7	8	4	7	8	6	10	4	10	6

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	464	128	91	130	109	101	120	69	70	93	121	88	51
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	67%	64%	61%	61%	64%	64%	76%	59%	62%	66%	70%	63%
Very important to norm/engage	42	43	44	37	45	39	44	56	41	34	47	47	50
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	24	20	24	16	25	21	20	19	29	19	23	13
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	28	30	31	35	26	30	23	33	33	26	23	37
Somewhat important keep same	14	15	13	11	17	19	12	7	20	18	13	9	22
Very important keep same	16	12	16	20	18	8	18	16	13	15	13	14	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	6	7	4	10	6	1	8	4	8	7	0
DK/NA	6	5	6	7	4	10	6	1	8	4	8	7	0

TABLE 27: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	464	250	103	95	353	110	163	190	57	53	64	43	155	202	65	73	81	61	140
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	63%	68%	60%	52%	66%	55%	70%	63%	53%	57%	63%	83%	65%	58%	64%	67%	62%	60%	57%
Very important to norm/engage	42	44	40	41	42	40	46	40	35	46	49	57	41	37	49	40	41	33	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	25	20	12	23	15	24	23	19	11	14	26	24	21	14	26	21	27	18
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	28	29	40	28	38	25	31	38	37	32	13	29	35	32	28	29	27	38
Somewhat important keep same	14	13	13	19	13	17	15	12	14	20	17	9	15	13	17	13	17	10	15
Very important keep same	16	15	16	21	15	21	10	19	24	17	15	4	14	21	15	15	13	17	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	11	8	6	7	6	6	8	6	5	4	7	7	5	5	8	13	5
DK/NA	6	4	11	8	6	7	6	6	8	6	5	4	7	7	5	5	8	13	5

TABLE 28: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	464	220	243	89	83	85	123	77	257	200	172	185	100	39	209	215
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	47%	53%	42%	56%	38%	47%	56%	34%	47%	48%	47%	50%	44%	58%	51%	42%
Very important to norm/engage	26	30	23	31	23	20	32	21	25	27	27	24	28	45	27	22
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	24	19	25	15	27	25	13	22	20	20	26	16	13	24	20
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	45	41	48	37	51	40	37	63	43	47	44	41	52	37	42	49
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	18	18	15	9	18	17	14	18	16	16	14	12	17	15
Very important keep same	29	28	30	20	36	31	19	46	29	30	28	25	38	25	26	33
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	10	6	11	13	6	3	10	5	9	9	4	5	7	10
DK/NA	8	5	10	6	11	13	6	3	10	5	9	9	4	5	7	10

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	464	175	289	210	189	65	328	135	150	134	62	82	284	144	36
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	47%	52%	44%	55%	39%	48%	45%	54%	66%	51%	28%	32%	59%	30%	25%
Very important to norm/engage	26	35	21	35	17	25	27	25	49	18	11	17	34	15	10
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	17	23	20	22	23	18	29	17	34	17	15	25	16	15
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	45	41	47	41	54	28	46	41	28	38	65	65	33	65	59
Somewhat important keep same	16	14	16	14	18	15	17	11	12	14	23	16	13	19	21
Very important keep same	29	26	31	27	37	13	29	30	16	24	42	49	20	46	38
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	8	4	6	24	9	5	7	11	7	3	9	5	16
DK/NA	8	7	8	4	6	24	9	5	7	11	7	3	9	5	16

TABLE 28: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	464	201	91	114	48	50	228	236	234	229	92	84	117	87	176	204
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	47%	65%	17%	40%	61%	25%	46%	49%	47%	48%	46%	36%	56%	50%	41%	54%
Very important to norm/engage	26	37	8	20	30	14	29	23	27	25	28	10	26	37	19	30
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	28	9	19	31	11	17	25	20	22	18	26	30	13	22	23
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	45	27	79	54	36	73	49	41	43	46	48	63	40	43	55	41
Somewhat important keep same	16	15	24	13	16	14	20	12	13	18	13	22	21	13	17	17
Very important keep same	29	11	54	41	20	59	29	29	30	28	35	40	19	30	37	24
DK/NA	8	8	5	6	4	2	5	11	10	6	6	2	4	7	4	5
DK/NA	8	8	5	6	4	2	5	11	10	6	6	2	4	7	4	5
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	464	243	137	80	64	61	138	172	133	93	58	123	226			
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	47%	45%	57%	38%	43%	65%	43%	42%	63%	62%	48%	58%	41%			
Very important to norm/engage	26	23	32	27	33	45	25	18	40	39	28	35	20			
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	25	10	9	20	18	23	23	23	20	23	21			
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	45	47	30	62	54	29	49	50	31	33	42	38	51			
Somewhat important keep same	16	19	11	13	16	6	18	20	8	11	5	13	21			
Very important keep same	29	28	19	49	38	24	31	30	23	22	37	25	29			
DK/NA	8	7	14	0	4	6	8	8	5	5	10	4	8			
DK/NA	8	7	14	0	4	6	8	8	5	5	10	4	8			

TABLE 28: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	464	238	111	57	221	139	46	182	39	34	90	126	30
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	47%	56%	43%	36%	56%	42%	40%	58%	48%	37%	61%	54%	40%
Very important to norm/engage	26	29	25	21	28	26	23	29	23	23	39	21	27
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	27	18	15	28	16	17	29	25	13	23	33	13
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	45	40	44	52	40	46	48	39	43	53	33	44	54
Somewhat important keep same	16	14	18	13	12	20	11	11	17	7	7	16	17
Very important keep same	29	27	26	39	28	26	37	28	27	47	25	28	37
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	4	13	12	4	12	12	3	9	10	6	2	6
DK/NA	8	4	13	12	4	12	12	3	9	10	6	2	6
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	464	128	91	130	109	101	120	69	70	93	121	88	51
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	47%	52%	56%	42%	41%	64%	49%	49%	35%	52%	60%	48%	32%
Very important to norm/engage	26	29	31	20	25	28	28	32	20	22	32	27	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	23	25	22	16	36	21	17	15	30	28	21	9
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	45	42	39	43	54	32	47	43	48	41	39	40	56
Somewhat important keep same	16	16	9	11	25	9	14	11	29	9	14	14	30
Very important keep same	29	26	30	32	29	22	32	32	19	32	25	26	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	5	15	5	4	4	7	16	7	1	12	12
DK/NA	8	5	5	15	5	4	4	7	16	7	1	12	12

TABLE 28: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	464	250	103	95	353	110	163	190	57	53	64	43	155	202	65	73	81	61	140
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	47%	48%	49%	40%	48%	44%	57%	41%	42%	47%	50%	63%	51%	41%	50%	53%	49%	39%	41%
Very important to norm/engage	26	26	29	22	27	26	33	21	21	30	30	22	32	22	31	39	25	23	21
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	23	20	18	22	19	25	20	21	17	20	41	19	19	20	14	24	15	20
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	45	43	45	52	44	49	38	49	51	46	39	29	43	51	38	40	47	42	55
Somewhat important keep same	16	17	15	17	16	14	16	16	4	26	9	21	15	17	9	15	16	14	18
Very important keep same	29	26	30	35	28	34	21	33	47	21	30	8	28	34	29	24	32	29	37
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	9	6	8	8	7	5	11	7	7	11	8	6	8	12	7	4	19	4
DK/NA	8	9	6	8	8	7	5	11	7	7	11	8	6	8	12	7	4	19	4

TABLE 29: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	259	119	139	57	50	42	64	45	148	109	106	93	58	21	118	120
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	64%	42%	61%	42%	58%	57%	43%	54%	51%	52%	54%	52%	43%	49%	57%
Very important to norm/engage	28	32	26	33	21	17	33	36	24	34	27	24	38	29	23	34
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	33	16	28	21	40	24	7	29	17	25	29	14	14	26	23
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	32	49	37	43	36	37	53	39	44	40	39	45	51	43	38
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	18	16	18	18	16	9	17	13	17	19	8	19	16	14
Very important keep same	26	20	31	21	25	18	21	44	21	30	23	21	37	32	27	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	9	2	15	7	6	4	8	5	8	7	3	6	8	5
DK/NA	7	3	9	2	15	7	6	4	8	5	8	7	3	6	8	5

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	259	95	163	112	105	42	184	74	87	79	31	41	166	72	21
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	59%	49%	62%	42%	54%	52%	54%	72%	61%	35%	19%	67%	26%	27%
Very important to norm/engage	28	30	28	41	16	25	28	30	52	23	11	11	38	11	8
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	29	21	20	25	30	24	24	20	38	24	8	29	15	19
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	34	45	37	53	23	42	39	22	33	59	81	27	72	46
Somewhat important keep same	16	15	16	10	23	11	15	18	9	15	19	27	12	24	17
Very important keep same	26	19	29	26	30	12	27	22	13	18	40	54	15	48	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	6	2	5	23	7	6	6	6	6	0	6	2	28
DK/NA	7	8	6	2	5	23	7	6	6	6	6	0	6	2	28

TABLE 29: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	259	102	50	49	16	27	129	130	136	123	52	36	57	46	88	103
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	74%	23%	35%	52%	31%	49%	56%	54%	50%	45%	41%	48%	70%	43%	58%
Very important to norm/engage	28	40	8	14	23	10	28	29	32	25	32	14	13	45	25	27
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	34	15	21	29	20	21	27	22	26	13	27	36	25	19	31
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	22	77	52	26	69	47	35	39	44	51	55	43	27	53	36
Somewhat important keep same	16	10	28	20	9	28	20	11	10	21	12	24	28	3	17	17
Very important keep same	26	12	48	32	17	41	27	24	28	22	39	31	15	25	35	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	0	13	21	0	4	9	7	6	4	5	8	3	4	6
DK/NA	7	4	0	13	21	0	4	9	7	6	4	5	8	3	4	6
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
		-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----			
Base	259	143	73	41	30	36	73	96	79	47	26	62	113			
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	53%	51%	50%	39%	73%	46%	45%	70%	55%	61%	52%	50%			
Very important to norm/engage	28	29	27	31	25	50	19	24	43	32	48	28	20			
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	25	24	19	14	23	26	21	27	23	13	24	30			
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	43	32	50	58	25	46	48	27	40	36	45	43			
Somewhat important keep same	16	16	16	13	4	9	9	23	12	15	8	16	19			
Very important keep same	26	27	16	38	55	16	37	25	15	25	28	29	24			
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	17	0	3	2	9	8	2	5	3	4	7			
DK/NA	7	3	17	0	3	2	9	8	2	5	3	4	7			

TABLE 29: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP						
Base	259	128	69	29	117	84	26	91	26	19	49	65	17
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	58%	48%	55%	60%	46%	56%	61%	58%	59%	73%	54%	61%
Very important to norm/engage	28	33	24	24	36	22	21	37	31	20	48	28	14
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	25	25	30	25	24	35	24	27	39	24	26	47
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	39	38	45	37	41	44	37	39	41	26	43	39
Somewhat important keep same	16	12	15	22	9	19	23	10	5	13	9	9	17
Very important keep same	26	27	23	24	28	22	21	27	33	28	17	34	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	14	0	3	13	0	2	3	0	1	4	0
DK/NA	7	3	14	0	3	13	0	2	3	0	1	4	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	259	77	42	71	67	51	66	43	41	47	68	57	28
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	63%	66%	43%	42%	71%	52%	63%	29%	62%	60%	47%	44%
Very important to norm/engage	28	26	41	22	30	40	33	28	16	30	40	19	28
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	37	25	21	12	31	20	35	13	31	21	28	16
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	34	29	44	53	28	44	30	53	37	36	37	49
Somewhat important keep same	16	17	5	18	19	5	11	16	22	13	6	18	22
Very important keep same	26	17	24	26	34	23	33	13	31	23	31	19	27
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	4	13	6	1	3	8	18	2	3	16	7
DK/NA	7	3	4	13	6	1	3	8	18	2	3	16	7

TABLE 29: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	259	139	59	47	198	60	91	107	28	31	41	21	82	115	42	41	39	38	77
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	52%	59%	42%	42%	54%	47%	67%	43%	57%	39%	43%	67%	51%	54%	45%	56%	44%	46%	58%
Very important to norm/engage	28	30	26	25	28	29	32	25	29	28	26	50	25	28	26	29	21	22	30
Somewhat important norm/engage	24	29	17	17	26	19	34	18	28	11	17	17	26	26	19	27	23	24	28
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	41	32	53	56	38	51	29	46	43	58	51	33	44	37	49	36	53	42	35
Somewhat important keep same	16	15	14	23	15	19	13	16	12	25	18	11	17	15	17	16	18	21	12
Very important keep same	26	17	39	33	24	32	16	30	31	33	33	23	27	22	32	20	36	21	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	9	5	2	8	2	4	10	0	3	6	0	5	9	5	8	3	12	7
DK/NA	7	9	5	2	8	2	4	10	0	3	6	0	5	9	5	8	3	12	7

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	259	119	139	57	50	42	64	45	148	109	106	93	58	21	118	120
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	64%	73%	56%	68%	66%	59%	67%	59%	65%	64%	67%	63%	62%	56%	65%	64%
Very important to norm/engage	38	43	33	47	30	36	43	31	38	38	39	39	35	42	39	36
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	30	23	21	36	23	24	28	27	25	28	23	27	14	26	28
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	30	24	35	30	33	26	31	27	30	29	31	30	26	41	26	32
Somewhat important keep same	13	9	16	15	11	9	18	7	12	13	13	15	7	11	10	15
Very important keep same	18	16	19	15	22	17	13	20	18	16	18	15	19	30	16	17
DK/NA	6	2	9	2	1	15	2	14	5	7	2	7	12	3	9	3
DK/NA	6	2	9	2	1	15	2	14	5	7	2	7	12	3	9	3
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	259	95	163	112	105	42	184	74	87	79	31	41	166	72	21	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	64%	72%	59%	71%	52%	76%	64%	63%	86%	85%	22%	19%	86%	20%	39%	
Very important to norm/engage	38	44	34	47	28	39	40	32	69	36	10	11	53	10	10	
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	28	25	24	24	37	24	31	17	49	13	8	32	10	30	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	30	22	35	24	40	21	29	32	12	13	60	76	13	69	33	
Somewhat important keep same	13	5	17	7	20	9	12	14	2	7	37	29	4	33	10	
Very important keep same	18	17	18	18	20	12	17	18	10	7	23	47	9	37	23	
DK/NA	6	6	6	5	8	3	7	4	1	1	17	5	1	10	28	
DK/NA	6	6	6	5	8	3	7	4	1	1	17	5	1	10	28	

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	259	102	50	49	16	27	129	130	136	123	52	36	57	46	88	103
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	64%	91%	11%	54%	77%	48%	65%	63%	62%	66%	49%	66%	68%	67%	56%	67%
Very important to norm/engage	38	55	7	20	20	23	38	38	34	42	35	23	30	51	30	40
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	36	4	34	57	26	27	25	28	24	13	44	38	16	26	28
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	30	8	74	35	19	47	33	28	31	29	43	23	29	26	35	28
Somewhat important keep same	13	1	35	19	12	22	12	13	14	11	20	8	19	2	15	12
Very important keep same	18	7	39	16	7	25	21	15	17	18	22	15	10	23	19	16
DK/NA	6	1	15	11	4	4	3	9	7	4	9	10	3	7	9	5
DK/NA	6	1	15	11	4	4	3	9	7	4	9	10	3	7	9	5
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	259	143	73	41	30	36	73	96	79	47	26	62	113			
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	64%	59%	74%	60%	57%	75%	62%	62%	73%	68%	60%	64%	62%			
Very important to norm/engage	38	37	43	31	43	57	40	31	49	44	35	34	35			
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	22	31	30	14	17	22	32	24	24	25	30	27			
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	30	35	21	32	38	19	34	29	23	28	31	34	30			
Somewhat important keep same	13	18	7	2	2	6	6	17	10	12	8	15	15			
Very important keep same	18	16	14	29	36	13	28	12	13	16	23	19	15			
DK/NA	6	6	5	8	6	6	4	8	4	3	9	3	8			
DK/NA	6	6	5	8	6	6	4	8	4	3	9	3	8			

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	259	128	69	29	117	84	26	91	26	19	49	65	17
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	64%	71%	63%	43%	70%	65%	38%	72%	63%	42%	76%	69%	38%
Very important to norm/engage	38	40	38	31	40	39	26	41	39	25	50	34	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	31	25	12	30	26	12	32	25	16	26	35	14
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	27	29	49	26	29	53	24	37	49	19	29	48
Somewhat important keep same	13	10	14	15	8	15	17	10	1	0	6	10	17
Very important keep same	18	17	15	34	18	14	36	13	35	49	14	18	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	8	8	3	7	9	4	0	9	5	2	14
DK/NA	6	3	8	8	3	7	9	4	0	9	5	2	14

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	259	77	42	71	67	51	66	43	41	47	68	57	28
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	64%	73%	73%	55%	58%	76%	66%	80%	49%	69%	72%	70%	55%
Very important to norm/engage	38	46	38	30	38	40	40	50	27	37	43	39	38
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	27	34	26	20	36	26	30	22	33	29	31	17
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	23	27	38	31	23	29	20	37	27	24	27	32
Somewhat important keep same	13	9	8	15	17	6	10	9	22	7	9	13	20
Very important keep same	18	14	19	23	14	17	19	12	15	21	15	14	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	0	7	11	1	5	0	14	3	3	3	14
DK/NA	6	4	0	7	11	1	5	0	14	3	3	3	14

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL														
Base	259	139	59	47	198	60	91	107	28	31	41	21	82	115	42	41	39	38	77
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	64%	74%	51%	49%	67%	53%	75%	61%	66%	42%	71%	75%	61%	62%	70%	66%	56%	52%	67%
Very important to norm/engage	38	39	42	28	40	32	47	34	32	33	34	58	39	35	35	42	35	30	38
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	35	10	21	28	21	29	27	34	9	37	16	22	27	35	24	21	22	29
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	30	22	41	41	28	39	23	32	28	48	28	17	33	32	27	28	39	30	32
Somewhat important keep same	13	10	14	22	11	18	10	12	4	30	7	11	11	16	6	9	14	22	13
Very important keep same	18	12	28	19	17	21	13	20	24	19	21	7	22	15	20	20	25	8	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	7	10	5	8	1	8	6	10	2	8	7	7	3	6	6	18	1
DK/NA	6	4	7	10	5	8	1	8	6	10	2	8	7	7	3	6	6	18	1

TABLE 31: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN											CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+			
Base	263	126	137	49	46	51	69	42	146	111	95	110	53	25	113	125
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	57%	64%	60%	68%	58%	65%	53%	62%	60%	64%	62%	53%	78%	69%	50%
Very important to norm/engage	38	38	39	42	39	23	47	35	34	43	41	35	39	54	42	32
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	20	25	18	29	35	17	18	27	17	23	27	14	24	26	19
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	38	32	34	29	35	32	47	33	38	31	32	47	19	27	45
Somewhat important keep same	15	17	13	17	13	12	13	24	14	17	15	11	25	2	14	19
Very important keep same	20	21	19	17	16	24	19	23	19	21	16	22	22	17	13	27
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	4	7	3	7	3	0	6	2	5	5	0	3	5	4
DK/NA	4	4	4	7	3	7	3	0	6	2	5	5	0	3	5	4
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN												TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
				RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR			
Base	263	102	161	127	101	35	188	75	86	74	35	48	160	83	19	
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	71%	55%	58%	57%	80%	65%	51%	81%	85%	23%	25%	83%	24%	35%	
Very important to norm/engage	38	50	31	42	34	39	42	29	61	45	4	22	53	14	19	
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	21	23	17	23	41	23	22	20	40	20	4	29	10	16	
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	27	40	37	39	15	30	46	15	15	75	69	15	72	41	
Somewhat important keep same	15	9	19	19	12	9	10	27	9	6	40	22	8	29	12	
Very important keep same	20	18	21	18	27	6	20	19	6	9	35	48	7	42	29	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	5	4	4	4	5	2	4	0	2	5	2	4	24	
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	4	4	5	2	4	0	2	5	2	4	24	

TABLE 31: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	263	99	41	65	32	23	121	141	131	131	40	48	60	40	89	100
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	89%	11%	41%	49%	30%	63%	59%	60%	62%	53%	35%	73%	61%	44%	68%
Very important to norm/engage	38	57	10	15	30	0	39	38	39	37	31	22	37	45	26	40
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	33	1	26	20	30	24	21	20	25	22	14	36	16	18	28
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	8	81	53	51	59	35	34	36	34	44	57	23	37	51	29
Somewhat important keep same	15	7	22	28	35	28	17	13	13	17	9	29	19	9	20	15
Very important keep same	20	1	59	25	16	31	19	21	23	17	34	28	5	28	31	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	8	6	0	11	2	6	5	4	3	8	4	2	5	3
DK/NA	4	3	8	6	0	11	2	6	5	4	3	8	4	2	5	3
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	263	128	80	52	37	34	81	92	75	47	31	61	113			
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	55%	73%	60%	52%	74%	53%	59%	74%	72%	58%	63%	56%			
Very important to norm/engage	38	30	49	45	36	47	36	34	49	48	33	39	31			
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	25	25	15	16	26	17	24	26	24	25	24	24			
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	41	20	39	47	22	45	35	22	18	34	29	41			
Somewhat important keep same	15	20	7	15	15	7	15	19	11	7	2	16	21			
Very important keep same	20	22	13	24	32	15	30	16	11	11	32	13	21			
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	6	1	1	4	2	6	4	10	8	8	3			
DK/NA	4	4	6	1	1	4	2	6	4	10	8	8	3			

TABLE 31: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	263	140	54	35	132	69	27	109	23	19	57	72	17
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	60%	77%	41%	58%	74%	45%	53%	81%	35%	72%	48%	30%
Very important to norm/engage	38	38	47	31	37	46	34	37	36	27	44	33	30
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	22	30	10	21	28	11	16	46	8	28	15	0
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	35	22	52	37	26	46	41	19	52	23	46	65
Somewhat important keep same	15	16	12	12	16	12	9	19	5	0	11	22	4
Very important keep same	20	19	10	40	21	13	37	22	14	52	12	25	61
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	1	7	5	1	9	6	0	13	5	5	5
DK/NA	4	5	1	7	5	1	9	6	0	13	5	5	5

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	263	71	54	75	58	58	73	35	34	55	71	46	23
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	61%	54%	62%	66%	52%	62%	73%	74%	53%	62%	77%	67%
Very important to norm/engage	38	39	37	30	48	28	44	50	41	24	46	41	54
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	22	17	31	18	25	18	23	33	28	17	35	13
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	34	42	31	34	40	35	27	25	39	36	22	33
Somewhat important keep same	15	15	21	13	13	22	12	17	8	18	17	7	22
Very important keep same	20	19	21	18	21	18	23	10	17	21	19	15	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	4	7	0	8	3	0	2	9	2	1	0
DK/NA	4	5	4	7	0	8	3	0	2	9	2	1	0

TABLE 31: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	263	140	57	59	197	65	90	107	36	29	37	24	95	108	37	49	45	32	76
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	61%	67%	54%	55%	63%	55%	61%	65%	49%	63%	77%	68%	64%	51%	77%	64%	64%	51%	51%
Very important to norm/engage	38	40	34	41	38	39	39	38	34	44	55	48	35	33	55	42	28	38	32
Somewhat important norm/engage	22	27	20	14	25	16	22	27	14	19	22	20	29	18	22	22	36	14	19
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	31	40	38	34	38	36	32	45	30	22	14	33	45	22	35	33	44	46
Somewhat important keep same	15	14	22	11	16	11	21	12	7	15	12	14	13	18	12	9	17	28	13
Very important keep same	20	17	18	27	18	28	15	20	38	15	9	0	20	28	9	25	15	16	33
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	7	3	7	4	2	6	7	1	18	3	4	1	2	4	5	3
DK/NA	4	2	6	7	3	7	4	2	6	7	1	18	3	4	1	2	4	5	3

TABLE 32: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	263	126	137	49	46	51	69	42	146	111	95	110	53	25	113	125
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	60%	59%	62%	70%	51%	61%	66%	50%	61%	60%	61%	63%	56%	81%	65%	52%
Very important to norm/engage	37	40	35	48	30	23	42	39	34	41	39	33	41	46	40	33
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	19	27	22	21	37	24	11	27	19	22	30	14	34	25	19
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	38	32	23	49	32	28	50	34	36	36	31	43	19	30	43
Somewhat important keep same	17	17	16	13	44	6	13	11	20	12	28	10	12	0	18	19
Very important keep same	18	21	16	10	6	25	14	39	14	24	8	21	31	19	12	24
DK/NA	5	3	6	7	0	8	6	0	5	4	4	7	1	0	5	5
DK/NA	5	3	6	7	0	8	6	0	5	4	4	7	1	0	5	5

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	263	102	161	127	101	35	188	75	86	74	35	48	160	83	19
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	60%	68%	56%	64%	53%	71%	63%	54%	78%	83%	21%	30%	80%	26%	42%
Very important to norm/engage	37	42	34	47	26	34	39	33	64	33	15	19	50	17	21
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	26	21	17	27	37	24	21	14	51	6	11	31	9	21
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	31	38	32	41	26	34	37	18	17	77	62	17	68	39
Somewhat important keep same	17	14	18	18	16	12	17	16	8	12	41	19	10	28	23
Very important keep same	18	17	20	15	25	14	17	21	10	4	36	43	8	40	16
DK/NA	5	2	6	4	6	3	3	8	4	0	2	8	2	6	19
DK/NA	5	2	6	4	6	3	3	8	4	0	2	8	2	6	19

TABLE 32: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	
		FAVOR	OPPOS								SWING	MOVER				MOVER
Base	263	99	41	65	32	23	121	141	131	131	40	48	60	40	89	100
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	60%	82%	10%	48%	64%	38%	62%	59%	62%	59%	51%	42%	60%	62%	46%	61%
Very important to norm/engage	37	51	9	21	38	6	43	32	45	30	36	16	38	43	25	40
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	31	1	27	25	32	19	27	17	29	15	26	22	19	21	21
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	14	78	47	36	59	34	36	34	36	39	54	32	38	47	34
Somewhat important keep same	17	11	27	24	20	29	15	18	14	19	10	28	28	7	20	19
Very important keep same	18	4	51	23	16	30	19	18	20	17	29	26	4	31	28	15
DK/NA	5	3	12	4	0	3	4	5	4	5	10	3	8	0	6	5
DK/NA	5	3	12	4	0	3	4	5	4	5	10	3	8	0	6	5
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA			
Base	263	128	80	52	37	34	81	92	75	47	31	61	113			
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	60%	55%	72%	59%	60%	74%	56%	55%	76%	73%	51%	66%	54%			
Very important to norm/engage	37	35	37	45	41	57	35	27	56	52	34	44	27			
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	20	35	14	19	17	21	28	20	21	17	22	27			
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	38	24	41	36	26	42	39	23	19	35	27	44			
Somewhat important keep same	17	22	11	13	3	12	10	25	15	16	4	21	21			
Very important keep same	18	16	14	28	33	14	32	14	8	3	31	7	23			
DK/NA	5	7	3	0	4	0	3	7	1	8	14	6	2			
DK/NA	5	7	3	0	4	0	3	7	1	8	14	6	2			

TABLE 32: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS							REP
Base	263	140	54	35	132	69	27	109	23	19	57	72	17
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	60%	62%	68%	45%	61%	64%	48%	56%	85%	38%	71%	55%	33%
Very important to norm/engage	37	40	37	38	38	39	43	35	55	33	52	30	28
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	22	31	7	23	25	5	21	31	5	19	26	5
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	34	32	46	34	36	41	38	15	54	28	38	67
Somewhat important keep same	17	20	17	4	19	17	5	22	2	7	19	20	8
Very important keep same	18	14	16	42	15	19	36	15	12	47	8	18	58
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	0	9	5	0	11	6	0	8	2	7	0
DK/NA	5	5	0	9	5	0	11	6	0	8	2	7	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	263	71	54	75	58	58	73	35	34	55	71	46	23
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	60%	61%	57%	60%	64%	60%	62%	60%	68%	54%	67%	66%	60%
Very important to norm/engage	37	37	44	30	38	41	37	38	39	28	45	33	50
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	24	12	30	26	19	25	22	29	26	22	33	10
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	35	41	34	32	33	34	40	32	39	29	34	40
Somewhat important keep same	17	20	14	21	11	19	19	20	14	27	14	18	13
Very important keep same	18	15	27	13	21	14	16	21	18	12	15	16	27
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	3	6	4	7	3	0	0	6	4	0	0
DK/NA	5	4	3	6	4	7	3	0	0	6	4	0	0

TABLE 32: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	263	140	57	59	197	65	90	107	36	29	37	24	95	108	37	49	45	32	76
<u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u>	60%	67%	47%	58%	61%	59%	62%	61%	51%	69%	84%	76%	60%	49%	85%	60%	59%	51%	48%
Very important to norm/engage	37	37	37	39	37	38	42	33	34	43	50	22	35	38	50	34	36	39	38
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	30	10	19	24	21	19	28	17	25	34	54	25	11	34	27	23	13	10
<u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u>	35	30	46	37	35	36	34	35	49	21	14	0	39	46	14	40	38	49	46
Somewhat important keep same	17	17	23	10	19	9	20	19	10	8	10	0	17	22	9	14	20	19	24
Very important keep same	18	13	23	27	16	28	14	17	39	13	5	0	22	24	5	25	18	30	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	7	5	4	5	5	4	0	10	1	24	1	4	1	0	3	0	6
DK/NA	5	3	7	5	4	5	5	4	0	10	1	24	1	4	1	0	3	0	6

TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
TOTAL YES	43%	37%	49%	51%	39%	51%	41%	36%	47%	39%	45%	47%	35%	39%	46%	42%
Definitely yes	23	21	25	30	19	27	21	16	26	19	25	23	18	30	21	23
Probably yes	21	17	25	20	19	24	20	20	21	20	20	23	17	9	25	19
TOTAL NO	50	59	42	47	56	39	50	61	47	54	51	45	58	48	50	51
Probably no	29	31	27	24	43	25	30	21	30	27	33	27	25	36	31	26
Definitely no	21	28	15	23	13	14	20	40	17	27	18	18	33	12	19	25
DK/NA	6	4	9	2	5	10	9	3	6	7	4	8	6	13	4	8
DK/NA	6	4	9	2	5	10	9	3	6	7	4	8	6	13	4	8

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32
TOTAL YES	43%	43%	44%	48%	45%	24%	40%	53%	28%	38%	64%	53%	33%	58%	64%
Definitely yes	23	20	24	28	21	12	19	32	19	14	32	36	17	34	18
Probably yes	21	22	20	20	24	12	21	21	9	23	32	17	16	24	46
TOTAL NO	50	48	51	48	51	55	53	43	65	50	31	45	58	39	36
Probably no	29	34	26	26	29	40	32	22	33	36	19	23	34	21	18
Definitely no	21	14	26	22	23	15	21	21	32	15	11	22	24	17	18
DK/NA	6	9	5	5	4	21	7	5	6	12	5	1	9	3	0
DK/NA	6	9	5	5	4	21	7	5	6	12	5	1	9	3	0

TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
TOTAL YES	43%	27%	64%	56%	47%	67%	45%	41%	44%	43%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Definitely yes	23	14	40	24	17	30	23	22	23	22	100	0	0	0	52	0
Probably yes	21	13	23	32	29	37	23	19	20	21	0	100	0	0	48	0
TOTAL NO	50	63	34	40	51	32	48	53	46	54	0	0	100	100	0	100
Probably no	29	38	18	22	30	17	26	32	29	28	0	0	100	0	0	57
Definitely no	21	26	16	18	22	14	22	21	17	26	0	0	0	100	0	43
DK/NA	6	10	3	4	2	1	7	6	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	6	10	3	4	2	1	7	6	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226			
TOTAL YES	43%	42%	43%	48%	49%	45%	39%	48%	42%	37%	57%	38%	43%			
Definitely yes	23	24	20	22	33	26	26	21	21	23	41	22	19			
Probably yes	21	18	24	26	16	19	14	27	21	14	16	16	25			
TOTAL NO	50	54	48	43	48	45	54	48	51	57	42	58	48			
Probably no	29	29	33	23	12	23	29	30	28	29	10	30	33			
Definitely no	21	25	15	20	36	22	25	18	22	28	33	28	15			
DK/NA	6	4	9	9	3	10	7	4	7	6	1	5	9			
DK/NA	6	4	9	9	3	10	7	4	7	6	1	5	9			

TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS							REP
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
TOTAL YES	43%	47%	37%	47%	47%	40%	41%	50%	33%	33%	43%	50%	33%
Definitely yes	23	23	19	28	24	21	22	24	22	14	17	28	20
Probably yes	21	24	18	19	23	19	19	26	11	18	25	23	13
TOTAL NO	50	48	52	53	48	50	58	45	63	66	48	48	65
Probably no	29	29	36	16	28	35	17	26	37	20	25	30	13
Definitely no	21	19	16	36	20	15	41	19	26	46	23	18	52
DK/NA	6	5	11	1	5	10	1	5	4	1	9	2	2
DK/NA	6	5	11	1	5	10	1	5	4	1	9	2	2
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
TOTAL YES	43%	43%	29%	51%	48%	42%	52%	33%	46%	57%	39%	36%	45%
Definitely yes	23	24	16	27	22	25	22	18	24	31	18	23	18
Probably yes	21	19	13	24	26	16	30	15	22	26	21	13	27
TOTAL NO	50	54	67	40	43	55	42	62	39	39	56	54	45
Probably no	29	30	32	30	22	31	25	38	33	28	27	41	27
Definitely no	21	24	35	10	20	24	17	24	6	11	28	13	18
DK/NA	6	3	4	9	9	4	6	5	15	4	5	10	9
DK/NA	6	3	4	9	9	4	6	5	15	4	5	10	9

TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
TOTAL YES	43%	46%	39%	46%	44%	43%	40%	47%	31%	57%	43%	49%	38%	47%	42%	34%	41%	40%	49%
Definitely yes	23	25	14	26	22	24	23	22	14	36	21	19	24	23	21	19	28	20	24
Probably yes	21	20	25	19	22	18	17	26	16	21	22	31	14	23	21	15	14	20	25
TOTAL NO	50	46	60	47	50	51	57	44	65	35	44	46	55	49	45	58	53	51	48
Probably no	29	29	44	15	33	15	36	30	14	16	31	21	34	26	33	40	29	20	28
Definitely no	21	17	16	32	17	36	21	14	51	19	12	25	21	23	12	18	23	31	20
DK/NA	6	8	1	7	6	6	3	9	4	9	13	5	7	4	13	8	6	9	3
DK/NA	6	8	1	7	6	6	3	9	4	9	13	5	7	4	13	8	6	9	3

TABLE 34: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	176	73	104	37	27	39	46	24	103	70	64	78	31	13	86	77
TOTAL YES	78%	78%	78%	83%	86%	63%	80%	90%	76%	83%	84%	71%	89%	92%	82%	71%
Definitely yes	40	42	39	48	49	30	44	28	41	39	48	37	31	66	35	42
Probably yes	38	37	39	35	37	33	36	61	35	45	35	33	58	26	47	30
TOTAL NO	20	19	20	17	13	32	17	10	22	15	15	25	11	8	14	28
Probably no	11	10	11	6	9	30	4	3	16	4	7	17	2	8	10	12
Definitely no	9	9	9	11	4	2	13	7	6	11	8	8	9	0	4	16
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	2	5	3	0	2	2	1	4	0	0	3	1
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	2	5	3	0	2	2	1	4	0	0	3	1

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	176	65	111	86	78	13	113	64	36	43	37	40	79	77	20
TOTAL YES	78%	80%	77%	81%	78%	62%	76%	83%	77%	82%	84%	74%	80%	78%	71%
Definitely yes	40	36	43	43	38	36	39	43	39	29	44	58	34	51	25
Probably yes	38	45	34	38	40	26	37	40	38	53	40	16	46	27	46
TOTAL NO	20	16	22	18	19	35	22	16	23	17	15	26	19	21	16
Probably no	11	7	13	12	8	17	11	11	5	12	15	8	9	11	16
Definitely no	9	9	9	6	11	18	11	5	17	5	0	19	11	10	0
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	3	3	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	13
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	3	3	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	13

TABLE 34: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		FIRST		A	B		YES	YES
Base	176	55	58	64	23	34	94	83	88	88	92	84	0	0	176	0
TOTAL YES	78%	79%	80%	76%	81%	78%	77%	79%	78%	79%	83%	73%	0%	0%	78%	0%
Definitely yes	40	36	57	29	33	27	44	36	33	47	65	13	0	0	40	0
Probably yes	38	44	22	47	49	51	33	43	44	32	18	59	0	0	38	0
TOTAL NO	20	19	20	19	13	22	23	16	18	21	15	25	0	0	20	0
Probably no	11	7	12	12	9	17	16	4	12	10	5	17	0	0	11	0
Definitely no	9	12	8	7	4	5	7	12	6	11	10	8	0	0	9	0
DK/NA	2	1	0	5	5	0	0	5	4	0	2	2	0	0	2	0
DK/NA	2	1	0	5	5	0	0	5	4	0	2	2	0	0	2	0
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA			
Base	176	90	52	32	30	23	48	75	48	35	33	46	97			
TOTAL YES	78%	76%	90%	70%	83%	65%	79%	77%	80%	79%	79%	84%	75%			
Definitely yes	40	43	40	33	61	47	56	29	40	43	46	41	38			
Probably yes	38	32	49	37	22	18	23	48	40	35	33	43	37			
TOTAL NO	20	23	5	30	17	30	21	20	18	20	21	15	21			
Probably no	11	12	5	10	2	8	9	13	8	6	15	4	12			
Definitely no	9	11	0	19	15	22	12	7	10	14	6	11	9			
DK/NA	2	1	5	0	0	5	0	4	2	1	0	1	3			
DK/NA	2	1	5	0	0	5	0	4	2	1	0	1	3			

TABLE 34: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	176	97	36	23	91	50	16	81	10	10	32	59	9
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	78%	77%	84%	77%	76%	83%	77%	80%	46%	67%	73%	78%	73%
Definitely yes	40	35	40	48	34	42	44	35	24	27	32	35	53
Probably yes	38	42	44	29	42	41	33	44	22	40	41	43	20
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	20	21	11	23	22	13	23	18	54	33	24	21	27
Probably no	11	9	8	19	9	9	18	7	28	24	11	8	16
Definitely no	9	13	3	4	12	4	6	11	26	9	12	13	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	5	0	2	4	0	2	0	0	4	1	0
DK/NA	2	2	5	0	2	4	0	2	0	0	4	1	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	176	46	25	57	45	38	52	20	30	47	40	27	23
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	78%	77%	86%	75%	81%	77%	76%	92%	77%	77%	78%	76%	91%
Definitely yes	40	47	35	37	40	36	33	51	37	39	28	42	43
Probably yes	38	30	51	38	41	40	43	41	40	38	50	34	48
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	20	23	8	21	19	20	24	8	17	22	18	17	9
Probably no	11	16	0	16	5	10	9	3	14	17	1	10	9
Definitely no	9	6	8	6	13	10	14	5	3	5	17	7	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	6	4	0	4	1	0	6	1	4	7	0
DK/NA	2	0	6	4	0	4	1	0	6	1	4	7	0

TABLE 34: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	176	101	34	38	136	41	57	78	16	25	21	20	50	84	21	19	32	21	63
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	78%	78%	75%	80%	78%	81%	81%	75%	69%	88%	66%	82%	86%	76%	66%	84%	87%	69%	79%
Definitely yes	40	41	34	43	40	43	45	35	29	52	36	32	54	35	36	56	52	33	36
Probably yes	38	37	41	37	38	38	36	40	40	36	30	50	32	41	30	28	34	36	42
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	20	20	19	19	20	18	16	23	31	11	31	18	12	22	31	12	12	22	21
Probably no	11	12	11	6	12	7	10	14	13	2	15	9	11	10	15	12	10	18	7
Definitely no	9	8	8	13	8	12	7	9	18	8	16	10	1	12	16	0	2	5	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	5	1	2	1	3	2	0	2	4	0	2	2	4	4	1	9	0
DK/NA	2	1	5	1	2	1	3	2	0	2	4	0	2	2	4	4	1	9	0

TABLE 35: Q13/Q14. COMBINED

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
TOTAL YES	34%	29%	38%	42%	33%	32%	32%	33%	36%	32%	38%	33%	31%	36%	38%	30%
Definitely yes	18	16	19	24	19	15	18	10	19	15	22	17	11	26	16	17
Probably yes	16	14	19	18	14	17	14	22	16	17	16	16	20	10	22	12
TOTAL NO	59	66	52	56	61	55	57	65	57	60	58	57	62	51	57	62
Probably no	33	35	33	27	46	40	32	22	38	28	37	35	26	39	36	30
Definitely no	25	32	19	28	15	15	26	42	20	32	22	22	36	12	21	32
DK/NA	7	4	10	2	6	12	10	3	7	8	4	10	6	13	6	8
DK/NA	7	4	10	2	6	12	10	3	7	8	4	10	6	13	6	8
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32	
TOTAL YES	34%	34%	34%	38%	35%	15%	30%	44%	22%	31%	54%	39%	26%	46%	45%	
Definitely yes	18	15	19	20	17	9	15	22	11	11	28	31	11	30	16	
Probably yes	16	19	15	18	18	6	15	21	11	20	26	8	15	16	29	
TOTAL NO	59	55	61	56	60	63	62	51	72	56	40	59	65	51	46	
Probably no	33	37	31	32	32	44	36	27	35	40	29	27	37	28	28	
Definitely no	25	18	30	25	27	19	26	24	37	16	11	32	27	23	18	
DK/NA	7	10	5	5	5	22	8	5	6	13	6	1	9	3	8	
DK/NA	7	10	5	5	5	22	8	5	6	13	6	1	9	3	8	

TABLE 35: Q13/Q14. COMBINED

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
TOTAL YES	34%	21%	51%	43%	38%	52%	35%	33%	34%	34%	83%	73%	0%	0%	78%	0%
Definitely yes	18	10	37	16	15	18	20	15	15	20	65	13	0	0	40	0
Probably yes	16	12	14	27	23	34	15	18	19	14	18	59	0	0	38	0
TOTAL NO	59	69	47	51	57	46	58	59	54	63	15	25	100	100	20	100
Probably no	33	39	26	29	34	29	33	34	34	33	5	17	100	0	11	57
Definitely no	25	29	21	22	24	18	25	26	20	30	10	8	0	100	9	43
DK/NA	7	10	3	6	4	1	7	8	12	3	2	2	0	0	2	0
DK/NA	7	10	3	6	4	1	7	8	12	3	2	2	0	0	2	0
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226			
TOTAL YES	34%	32%	39%	34%	41%	29%	31%	37%	34%	29%	45%	32%	33%			
Definitely yes	18	18	18	16	30	21	22	14	17	16	26	15	17			
Probably yes	16	14	21	18	11	8	9	23	17	13	19	16	16			
TOTAL NO	59	64	50	57	57	59	62	58	58	64	54	63	57			
Probably no	33	34	35	27	13	27	32	36	32	31	18	31	39			
Definitely no	25	29	15	30	43	32	30	21	27	33	36	32	19			
DK/NA	7	5	11	9	3	12	7	6	8	7	1	5	10			
DK/NA	7	5	11	9	3	12	7	6	8	7	1	5	10			

TABLE 35: Q13/Q14. COMBINED

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	34%	36%	31%	36%	36%	33%	31%	39%	15%	22%	31%	39%	24%
Definitely yes	18	16	15	22	16	17	18	18	8	9	14	18	17
Probably yes	16	19	16	14	20	16	13	22	7	13	17	21	7
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	59	58	56	63	58	55	68	54	81	77	58	58	74
Probably no	33	33	39	25	32	39	24	30	46	28	30	34	19
Definitely no	25	25	17	38	26	16	43	25	35	49	28	24	56
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	13	1	6	11	1	6	4	1	11	3	2
DK/NA	7	6	13	1	6	11	1	6	4	1	11	3	2
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	34%	33%	25%	38%	39%	32%	39%	31%	36%	43%	30%	28%	41%
Definitely yes	18	20	10	19	20	15	17	17	17	22	11	15	20
Probably yes	16	13	15	19	20	17	22	14	19	21	19	12	22
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	59	64	69	51	52	63	54	64	47	52	63	60	49
Probably no	33	37	32	38	25	35	29	39	39	37	28	44	31
Definitely no	25	26	37	13	27	28	25	26	8	14	35	15	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	6	11	9	5	7	5	17	5	7	13	9
DK/NA	7	3	6	11	9	5	7	5	17	5	7	13	9

TABLE 35: Q13/Q14. COMBINED

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
TOTAL YES	34%	36%	29%	37%	34%	35%	32%	35%	21%	50%	28%	40%	32%	35%	27%	28%	36%	28%	39%
Definitely yes	18	19	13	20	17	18	18	17	9	29	15	16	20	17	15	19	22	13	18
Probably yes	16	17	16	17	17	16	14	19	12	20	13	24	12	19	12	10	14	14	21
TOTAL NO	59	55	67	56	59	59	64	55	75	41	57	55	60	59	58	62	58	60	59
Probably no	33	34	48	18	38	18	40	37	18	17	38	26	38	30	39	44	33	27	32
Definitely no	25	21	19	38	20	41	23	18	57	23	19	29	22	29	19	18	24	33	27
DK/NA	7	9	3	8	7	7	4	10	4	10	15	5	8	5	14	10	7	12	3
DK/NA	7	9	3	8	7	7	4	10	4	10	15	5	8	5	14	10	7	12	3

TABLE 36: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	71%	60%	78%	70%	60%	68%	49%	69%	61%	74%	65%	52%	71%	66%	63%
Very convincing	33	37	29	46	30	28	34	27	35	32	38	34	24	48	35	29
Somewhat convincing	32	33	31	32	40	32	33	22	34	29	36	31	28	23	32	34
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	29	26	32	22	24	29	25	51	25	35	23	26	46	25	29	29
Not convincing	22	21	22	20	18	23	16	39	20	25	19	19	35	24	21	23
Don't believe	7	5	9	2	6	6	9	12	5	10	4	8	11	2	9	6
DK/NA	6	3	8	0	6	11	7	0	6	5	3	9	3	3	5	7
DK/NA	6	3	8	0	6	11	7	0	6	5	3	9	3	3	5	7

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	64%	66%	73%	57%	67%	64%	67%	83%	78%	49%	43%	81%	45%	30%
Very convincing	33	34	33	40	28	29	34	32	56	28	19	23	43	21	11
Somewhat convincing	32	30	33	33	29	37	31	35	27	50	30	20	38	25	19
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	29	27	30	25	36	20	29	28	16	15	46	54	16	50	42
Not convincing	22	21	23	19	27	13	22	22	14	13	31	44	13	38	21
Don't believe	7	6	8	5	9	7	7	7	2	3	15	10	2	12	21
DK/NA	6	9	4	2	7	13	6	5	1	6	5	3	4	4	28
DK/NA	6	9	4	2	7	13	6	5	1	6	5	3	4	4	28

TABLE 36: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	65%	87%	30%	55%	77%	41%	64%	66%	65%	65%	62%	48%	75%	75%	55%	75%
Very convincing	33	49	9	25	47	10	33	33	30	37	32	13	34	56	23	43
Somewhat convincing	32	38	21	31	31	31	31	33	36	28	30	34	41	19	32	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	29	9	69	33	12	57	32	25	28	30	36	44	21	25	40	22
Not convincing	22	8	53	23	7	43	25	18	19	25	23	33	18	19	28	18
Don't believe	7	1	16	10	5	13	7	7	9	5	12	10	3	6	11	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	2	12	11	3	3	8	7	4	3	9	4	1	5	3
DK/NA	6	4	2	12	11	3	3	8	7	4	3	9	4	1	5	3

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	65%	67%	64%	63%	59%	72%	62%	62%	77%	68%	65%	70%	63%
Very convincing	33	37	24	36	36	47	33	29	44	40	35	37	31
Somewhat convincing	32	30	40	27	23	26	29	33	33	27	31	33	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	29	29	26	33	41	23	33	31	20	29	30	27	30
Not convincing	22	24	20	20	31	18	24	25	14	22	26	20	22
Don't believe	7	6	6	12	10	4	9	6	5	7	4	7	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	10	4	0	5	5	7	4	4	5	3	7
DK/NA	6	4	10	4	0	5	5	7	4	4	5	3	7

TABLE 36: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS		W/LNS		W/LNS		DEM	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	65%	70%	62%	51%	69%	64%	52%	67%	82%	46%	76%	66%	56%
Very convincing	33	35	30	26	36	30	23	35	45	22	45	31	25
Somewhat convincing	32	35	32	24	33	34	29	32	38	24	31	35	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	29	25	29	45	27	29	42	28	18	47	19	31	44
Not convincing	22	21	18	36	22	19	35	22	18	37	14	26	36
Don't believe	7	5	11	9	5	10	7	6	0	9	5	5	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	9	4	4	8	6	5	0	7	5	3	0
DK/NA	6	4	9	4	4	8	6	5	0	7	5	3	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	65%	70%	72%	68%	50%	75%	64%	69%	58%	66%	71%	73%	51%
Very convincing	33	39	36	30	28	39	33	38	22	33	39	36	21
Somewhat convincing	32	31	36	37	22	36	30	32	36	33	32	37	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	29	28	25	23	44	22	31	27	30	30	26	22	38
Not convincing	22	26	16	15	33	17	26	24	15	24	21	16	23
Don't believe	7	2	9	8	11	5	5	3	16	6	5	6	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	3	10	6	3	5	4	11	4	3	5	11
DK/NA	6	2	3	10	6	3	5	4	11	4	3	5	11

TABLE 36: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	65%	65%	65%	63%	65%	66%	71%	60%	69%	63%	66%	78%	71%	58%	67%	70%	71%	57%	59%
Very convincing	33	34	32	29	33	33	41	27	29	37	41	28	38	29	40	38	39	32	27
Somewhat convincing	32	31	33	34	32	33	31	32	40	26	25	49	33	30	27	32	32	25	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	29	28	32	30	29	28	26	32	27	29	25	18	26	35	24	26	26	28	38
Not convincing	22	20	27	25	22	22	20	23	26	19	18	14	20	26	17	21	20	22	28
Don't believe	7	8	5	6	7	6	6	9	1	11	7	4	6	9	7	6	6	6	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	3	6	6	5	3	8	4	7	9	4	4	7	9	4	4	15	3
DK/NA	6	7	3	6	6	5	3	8	4	7	9	4	4	7	9	4	4	15	3

TABLE 37: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	201	94	107	41	37	34	54	35	111	88	78	75	47	15	96	90
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	66%	75%	57%	79%	73%	53%	69%	52%	69%	62%	76%	60%	60%	63%	61%	71%
Very convincing	42	55	30	40	36	27	57	41	35	51	38	44	45	44	37	47
Somewhat convincing	24	20	28	38	37	26	12	11	34	11	38	16	15	19	25	24
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	31	24	37	21	22	40	25	48	27	34	22	34	39	37	34	26
Not convincing	25	20	29	20	15	27	20	42	21	28	18	24	34	25	31	18
Don't believe	6	4	8	1	7	13	5	7	7	6	4	10	5	13	4	8
DK/NA	4	1	6	0	5	7	6	0	4	3	2	6	1	0	4	3
DK/NA	4	1	6	0	5	7	6	0	4	3	2	6	1	0	4	3

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	201	73	128	83	88	30	141	60	64	59	27	34	123	61	17
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	66%	62%	68%	78%	52%	71%	62%	74%	90%	75%	49%	32%	83%	40%	32%
Very convincing	42	35	45	55	26	52	39	47	75	42	21	14	59	17	3
Somewhat convincing	24	27	22	23	26	19	23	27	15	33	28	19	24	23	28
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	31	31	31	21	43	22	35	20	10	23	47	65	16	57	44
Not convincing	25	23	25	17	33	20	27	18	9	18	40	57	13	49	21
Don't believe	6	8	6	4	9	2	8	2	1	5	7	8	3	8	23
DK/NA	4	7	2	0	5	7	2	6	0	2	4	2	1	3	24
DK/NA	4	7	2	0	5	7	2	6	0	2	4	2	1	3	24

TABLE 37: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	201	102	50	49	16	27	106	95	103	98	52	36	57	46	88	103
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	90%	29%	52%	84%	42%	62%	69%	67%	64%	58%	57%	65%	79%	57%	71%
Very convincing	42	69	12	14	31	5	40	43	42	41	44	16	39	64	33	50
Somewhat convincing	24	21	17	37	52	37	22	26	25	23	13	41	26	14	25	21
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	9	69	38	0	58	37	24	29	32	38	34	33	21	37	28
Not convincing	25	5	60	29	0	52	30	18	22	28	32	29	28	13	31	21
Don't believe	6	3	10	9	0	6	7	5	8	5	6	5	5	8	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	1	2	10	16	0	0	7	3	4	4	8	2	0	6	1
DK/NA	4	1	2	10	16	0	0	7	3	4	4	8	2	0	6	1

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	201	115	56	28	27	27	56	80	58	47	26	62	113
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	66%	67%	57%	56%	69%	65%	59%	78%	56%	65%	66%	65%
Very convincing	42	43	43	29	40	61	42	36	52	42	60	45	36
Somewhat convincing	24	23	24	29	16	8	23	23	26	13	5	21	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	32	24	43	44	27	35	34	19	39	35	29	31
Not convincing	25	27	14	35	38	21	29	27	14	33	25	25	25
Don't believe	6	4	9	8	7	6	6	7	5	6	10	5	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	9	0	0	4	0	7	3	5	0	4	4
DK/NA	4	2	9	0	0	4	0	7	3	5	0	4	4

TABLE 37: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP						
Base	201	99	57	22	89	70	19	73	16	16	33	55	13
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	76%	57%	45%	75%	60%	45%	72%	86%	44%	82%	71%	51%
Very convincing	42	53	34	21	56	33	19	55	57	20	58	55	18
Somewhat convincing	24	22	23	25	19	27	26	17	28	24	24	16	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	20	39	55	21	35	55	23	12	56	13	25	49
Not convincing	25	15	32	46	17	27	50	18	12	50	8	20	42
Don't believe	6	5	7	8	5	8	5	6	0	6	5	5	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	4	0	4	5	0	5	3	0	5	4	0
DK/NA	4	5	4	0	4	5	0	5	3	0	5	4	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	201	57	37	54	51	42	47	34	35	38	50	42	28
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	68%	86%	70%	45%	89%	61%	71%	50%	80%	72%	62%	57%
Very convincing	42	42	76	27	33	76	37	44	23	44	66	31	37
Somewhat convincing	24	26	11	43	12	13	24	27	27	36	6	31	20
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	31	12	23	51	8	33	29	40	16	23	31	41
Not convincing	25	26	9	14	42	6	26	24	30	7	22	25	30
Don't believe	6	5	2	9	9	2	7	5	10	9	1	6	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	1	2	7	4	3	5	0	10	4	5	7	2
DK/NA	4	1	2	7	4	3	5	0	10	4	5	7	2

TABLE 37: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH SOUTH	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	201	111	45	36	156	45	73	83	22	24	27	19	61	94	28	24	36	29	65
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	66%	68%	59%	67%	62%	77%	58%	69%	56%	63%	68%	62%	68%	65%	71%	55%	58%	72%
Very convincing	42	42	46	28	43	36	59	30	43	30	38	47	39	43	38	40	38	36	47
Somewhat convincing	24	24	22	30	23	26	18	28	26	26	25	21	23	24	27	30	18	22	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	31	28	39	30	35	21	37	31	38	37	32	33	27	35	22	42	32	25
Not convincing	25	23	25	32	23	29	19	27	22	36	17	23	28	25	17	22	32	32	22
Don't believe	6	8	3	7	6	5	2	10	9	3	19	9	5	3	18	0	9	0	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	4	3	4	3	2	5	0	6	0	0	4	5	0	7	3	10	3
DK/NA	4	4	4	3	4	3	2	5	0	6	0	0	4	5	0	7	3	10	3

TABLE 38: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	201	94	107	41	37	34	54	35	111	88	78	75	47	15	96	90
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	73%	57%	84%	59%	56%	69%	46%	67%	60%	72%	60%	57%	58%	58%	72%
Very convincing	36	46	27	51	26	23	51	19	34	38	39	39	26	32	32	40
Somewhat convincing	28	27	29	33	33	33	18	27	33	22	33	21	31	26	26	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	26	35	16	35	36	24	52	28	35	25	32	41	42	37	23
Not convincing	24	21	27	16	23	31	13	47	23	26	19	22	36	34	31	15
Don't believe	7	5	9	0	12	5	11	5	5	9	5	10	5	8	5	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	1	8	0	6	7	7	2	4	5	3	7	3	0	5	5
DK/NA	5	1	8	0	6	7	7	2	4	5	3	7	3	0	5	5

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	201	73	128	83	88	30	141	60	64	59	27	34	123	61	17
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	67%	63%	70%	54%	78%	59%	77%	85%	78%	42%	38%	82%	40%	26%
Very convincing	36	33	38	42	27	45	34	40	64	25	21	21	46	21	17
Somewhat convincing	28	34	25	28	27	34	25	37	20	53	21	17	36	18	9
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	25	35	29	38	14	37	18	15	19	49	62	17	56	42
Not convincing	24	19	27	22	30	14	30	12	14	19	32	47	16	41	24
Don't believe	7	6	8	7	9	0	7	6	1	1	17	15	1	16	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	8	2	1	7	7	4	5	0	2	9	0	1	4	32
DK/NA	5	8	2	1	7	7	4	5	0	2	9	0	1	4	32

TABLE 38: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	
		FAVOR	OPPOS													SWING
Base	201	102	50	49	16	27	106	95	103	98	52	36	57	46	88	103
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	92%	30%	42%	76%	28%	62%	67%	67%	61%	56%	60%	66%	73%	58%	69%
Very convincing	36	54	16	19	41	11	37	35	37	35	40	19	22	60	31	39
Somewhat convincing	28	38	14	22	35	17	25	33	30	26	16	41	44	14	26	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	7	67	46	4	72	37	24	28	35	38	34	30	27	36	29
Not convincing	24	7	49	36	0	64	31	17	19	30	26	34	27	16	29	22
Don't believe	7	0	18	10	4	7	6	7	9	5	12	0	3	10	7	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	1	3	13	20	0	1	8	5	4	6	6	3	0	6	2
DK/NA	5	1	3	13	20	0	1	8	5	4	6	6	3	0	6	2

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA
Base	201	115	56	28	27	27	56	80	58	47	26	62	113
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	67%	67%	45%	56%	79%	71%	49%	80%	58%	67%	55%	69%
Very convincing	36	40	32	25	41	63	37	26	50	47	43	38	33
Somewhat convincing	28	28	35	20	15	16	34	23	30	11	24	17	36
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	30	23	53	44	18	29	43	16	37	33	40	26
Not convincing	24	23	15	47	42	18	24	32	13	36	25	35	18
Don't believe	7	7	7	6	2	0	5	11	3	2	8	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	10	2	0	2	0	8	5	5	0	5	6
DK/NA	5	3	10	2	0	2	0	8	5	5	0	5	6

TABLE 38: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM					REP							
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	201	99	57	22	89	70	19	73	16	16	33	55	13
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	69%	59%	53%	70%	59%	49%	73%	57%	53%	78%	65%	58%
Very convincing	36	42	28	18	44	29	11	43	50	11	49	42	3
Somewhat convincing	28	26	32	35	26	30	38	31	7	42	29	23	55
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	27	32	47	26	33	51	22	43	47	18	31	42
Not convincing	24	22	28	32	20	29	33	16	39	40	14	24	26
Don't believe	7	5	5	15	6	4	17	6	4	7	4	6	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	8	0	4	8	0	5	0	0	4	4	0
DK/NA	5	5	8	0	4	8	0	5	0	0	4	4	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	201	57	37	54	51	42	47	34	35	38	50	42	28
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	69%	80%	66%	46%	78%	64%	70%	48%	73%	68%	60%	57%
Very convincing	36	42	52	26	29	56	33	41	16	40	48	27	31
Somewhat convincing	28	27	28	39	17	22	31	29	32	33	19	33	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	30	20	27	46	22	29	28	38	24	28	31	35
Not convincing	24	28	12	18	37	15	24	23	35	17	23	29	29
Don't believe	7	2	9	9	9	7	4	5	3	7	4	2	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	1	0	7	8	0	8	2	14	3	5	8	8
DK/NA	5	1	0	7	8	0	8	2	14	3	5	8	8

TABLE 38: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	201	111	45	36	156	45	73	83	22	24	27	19	61	94	28	24	36	29	65
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	64%	70%	58%	52%	66%	57%	76%	58%	62%	53%	57%	80%	72%	58%	59%	78%	66%	58%	58%
Very convincing	36	32	34	39	33	47	44	23	52	42	33	63	36	31	33	41	32	44	25
Somewhat convincing	28	38	24	13	34	10	32	35	10	10	25	17	36	27	26	37	34	14	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	25	38	43	29	39	24	33	35	43	38	20	25	35	36	19	30	32	37
Not convincing	24	18	30	38	22	33	18	24	32	35	28	11	16	31	27	14	18	26	33
Don't believe	7	7	7	6	7	6	5	9	3	8	10	9	9	4	10	5	12	6	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	4	5	5	4	0	9	4	4	5	0	3	6	4	3	4	10	5
DK/NA	5	5	4	5	5	4	0	9	4	4	5	0	3	6	4	3	4	10	5

TABLE 39: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	205	101	104	33	33	43	59	32	109	91	66	92	42	18	92	95
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	66%	71%	62%	77%	60%	64%	67%	62%	67%	66%	69%	64%	67%	72%	75%	57%
Very convincing	33	35	32	51	25	19	35	34	31	35	38	30	30	39	43	23
Somewhat convincing	33	36	30	25	36	45	32	28	36	31	30	35	37	33	32	34
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	31	26	35	23	35	29	33	38	29	34	29	32	33	28	23	38
Not convincing	21	19	23	23	27	19	20	23	23	21	25	20	22	20	15	28
Don't believe	9	6	12	0	8	10	12	15	6	13	4	13	11	8	9	10
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	5	7	0	0	4	0	2	3	0	0	2	5
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	5	7	0	0	4	0	2	3	0	0	2	5

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	205	80	125	97	84	23	144	61	63	54	31	41	118	72	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	66%	79%	58%	72%	60%	68%	67%	64%	80%	76%	47%	58%	78%	53%	37%
Very convincing	33	43	27	37	31	26	34	31	48	38	11	26	43	20	20
Somewhat convincing	33	36	31	35	29	42	33	32	32	38	36	32	35	34	17
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	31	20	38	26	35	32	29	34	20	24	52	38	22	44	36
Not convincing	21	14	26	20	21	28	23	17	12	18	39	28	15	33	15
Don't believe	9	6	11	6	14	4	6	16	8	5	12	10	7	11	22
DK/NA	3	1	4	2	5	0	3	2	0	0	1	4	0	3	27
DK/NA	3	1	4	2	5	0	3	2	0	0	1	4	0	3	27

TABLE 39: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	205	99	41	65	32	23	99	106	98	107	40	48	60	40	89	100
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	88%	32%	54%	83%	27%	65%	68%	71%	62%	42%	50%	81%	81%	46%	81%
Very convincing	33	50	11	22	38	7	27	39	28	38	30	23	34	47	26	39
Somewhat convincing	33	39	21	32	45	20	38	29	44	23	12	27	47	33	20	42
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	12	68	36	10	73	33	29	25	36	58	41	16	19	49	17
Not convincing	21	6	51	26	7	49	24	19	15	27	37	33	9	13	35	11
Don't believe	9	6	17	10	2	24	8	10	10	9	21	8	7	6	14	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	10	8	0	2	4	3	3	0	9	3	0	5	2
DK/NA	3	0	0	10	8	0	2	4	3	3	0	9	3	0	5	2

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	205	100	64	39	34	25	65	77	54	47	31	61	113
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	66%	74%	54%	64%	74%	66%	67%	75%	78%	61%	75%	63%
Very convincing	33	32	34	38	32	40	30	36	37	43	34	39	30
Somewhat convincing	33	34	40	16	32	34	36	31	38	35	26	37	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	30	22	46	36	18	34	28	22	20	34	23	34
Not convincing	21	22	13	35	6	15	15	26	15	17	11	20	25
Don't believe	9	9	9	11	29	3	19	2	7	3	23	3	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	4	0	0	7	0	5	3	2	5	2	3
DK/NA	3	4	4	0	0	7	0	5	3	2	5	2	3

TABLE 39: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	205	111	41	27	104	55	21	91	13	15	41	61	13
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	69%	68%	60%	68%	68%	62%	68%	65%	63%	71%	66%	68%
Very convincing	33	37	39	13	39	32	10	42	22	11	38	40	16
Somewhat convincing	33	32	28	48	29	36	52	26	43	53	33	26	52
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	29	32	34	30	32	30	29	35	27	24	33	32
Not convincing	21	22	23	6	23	19	6	21	35	8	17	26	9
Don't believe	9	7	10	28	7	13	24	7	0	19	7	6	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	0	6	3	0	8	3	0	10	4	2	0
DK/NA	3	2	0	6	3	0	8	3	0	10	4	2	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	205	51	49	58	42	50	54	26	29	46	53	31	23
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	70%	74%	64%	55%	77%	59%	62%	73%	61%	74%	77%	56%
Very convincing	33	40	30	22	41	36	42	37	28	27	48	37	27
Somewhat convincing	33	30	45	42	15	41	17	25	46	34	26	40	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	27	26	31	45	19	39	38	27	37	26	23	44
Not convincing	21	23	16	22	27	16	29	29	9	31	19	18	20
Don't believe	9	3	10	9	18	3	10	9	17	6	8	5	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	0	5	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
DK/NA	3	3	0	5	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0

TABLE 39: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	205	111	44	47	155	50	72	83	29	21	23	22	73	87	23	32	41	24	63
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	66%	66%	79%	57%	69%	57%	72%	67%	69%	41%	90%	63%	65%	62%	90%	65%	65%	54%	65%
Very convincing	33	35	37	26	36	25	36	35	30	18	29	40	37	29	29	33	41	19	32
Somewhat convincing	33	30	42	31	34	32	36	32	39	23	61	23	27	33	61	32	24	35	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	31	32	21	36	29	36	26	31	25	52	10	29	35	33	10	35	34	40	31
Not convincing	21	21	18	24	20	25	18	22	22	28	10	14	24	24	10	16	31	26	23
Don't believe	9	11	3	12	9	12	8	9	3	23	0	16	10	9	0	19	3	14	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	0	7	2	7	2	2	6	7	0	7	1	5	0	0	1	6	4
DK/NA	3	3	0	7	2	7	2	2	6	7	0	7	1	5	0	0	1	6	4

TABLE 40: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	205	101	104	33	33	43	59	32	109	91	66	92	42	18	92	95
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	66%	75%	78%	73%	74%	72%	55%	75%	66%	75%	71%	62%	87%	83%	55%
Very convincing	38	39	38	37	42	27	50	28	35	42	39	39	34	58	50	24
Somewhat convincing	32	27	37	41	31	46	22	27	40	24	36	32	28	29	34	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	32	23	22	27	22	27	45	23	33	25	25	38	13	15	41
Not convincing	19	24	14	19	16	12	20	34	15	25	17	16	30	11	8	32
Don't believe	8	7	9	3	12	9	7	11	8	8	7	9	8	2	7	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	0	0	5	2	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	4
DK/NA	2	2	3	0	0	5	2	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	4

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	205	80	125	97	84	23	144	61	63	54	31	41	118	72	15
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	80%	65%	77%	62%	78%	69%	75%	83%	93%	49%	43%	88%	46%	57%
Very convincing	38	55	28	45	33	32	39	38	62	45	9	19	54	15	30
Somewhat convincing	32	25	37	32	28	47	30	37	21	48	40	24	34	31	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	19	32	22	34	22	28	25	17	7	49	53	12	51	26
Not convincing	19	14	22	16	22	22	21	15	16	3	39	31	10	35	13
Don't believe	8	5	10	6	12	0	7	10	1	4	10	21	2	16	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	1	4	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	0	3	17
DK/NA	2	1	3	1	4	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	0	3	17

TABLE 40: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	205	99	41	65	32	23	99	106	98	107	40	48	60	40	89	100
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	94%	21%	66%	93%	45%	66%	75%	70%	71%	59%	52%	89%	69%	56%	81%
Very convincing	38	61	1	27	45	12	36	41	33	44	30	27	52	45	28	49
Somewhat convincing	32	33	20	39	48	33	30	34	38	27	30	26	37	25	28	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	6	79	26	7	55	33	22	26	28	38	41	11	31	40	19
Not convincing	19	6	58	15	2	35	23	16	16	22	21	35	6	22	28	12
Don't believe	8	0	21	12	5	20	10	6	10	6	17	6	5	9	11	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	7	0	0	1	4	3	1	2	7	0	0	5	0
DK/NA	2	0	0	7	0	0	1	4	3	1	2	7	0	0	5	0

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	205	100	64	39	34	25	65	77	54	47	31	61	113
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	71%	73%	65%	65%	68%	67%	70%	81%	81%	57%	76%	71%
Very convincing	38	37	46	33	41	38	35	33	55	52	30	49	35
Somewhat convincing	32	34	28	32	24	30	31	37	26	30	27	27	36
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	27	24	32	35	24	33	28	15	19	38	24	26
Not convincing	19	17	20	24	17	23	20	22	15	16	25	18	18
Don't believe	8	10	4	9	17	2	14	6	1	3	13	6	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	0	7	0	2	3	0	5	0	3
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	0	7	0	2	3	0	5	0	3

TABLE 40: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB MD/CN CONS		
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP				DEM	DEM	REP
Base	205	111	41	27	104	55	21	91	13	15	41	61	13
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	77%	71%	43%	77%	70%	39%	77%	83%	31%	78%	78%	38%
Very convincing	38	46	38	21	45	40	18	43	57	15	50	41	18
Somewhat convincing	32	31	34	22	33	30	21	34	26	16	28	37	19
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	21	29	51	21	30	53	21	17	59	18	22	62
Not convincing	19	17	19	31	18	17	34	19	14	35	17	18	43
Don't believe	8	4	9	21	3	13	19	3	3	23	1	4	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	6	2	0	8	2	0	10	4	0	0
DK/NA	2	2	0	6	2	0	8	2	0	10	4	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	205	51	49	58	42	50	54	26	29	46	53	31	23
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	72%	63%	77%	70%	75%	80%	67%	72%	78%	78%	78%	59%
Very convincing	38	38	41	31	44	42	47	42	38	31	56	46	32
Somewhat convincing	32	34	21	45	26	33	33	25	34	47	22	32	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	28	37	20	28	22	20	33	28	22	22	22	41
Not convincing	19	20	30	12	18	18	18	19	16	21	17	6	33
Don't believe	8	8	7	8	10	3	2	14	12	1	5	16	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	2	0	0	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 40: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	205	111	44	47	155	50	72	83	29	21	23	22	73	87	23	32	41	24	63
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	71%	78%	65%	57%	74%	59%	72%	76%	52%	70%	77%	84%	72%	64%	77%	72%	73%	50%	70%
Very convincing	38	44	36	30	42	28	47	37	19	40	39	41	41	36	39	43	39	26	40
Somewhat convincing	32	34	28	27	32	31	25	39	32	30	38	42	32	28	38	29	34	24	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	27	21	35	36	25	34	28	22	42	23	23	9	27	33	23	28	26	50	26
Not convincing	19	13	26	27	17	25	20	15	36	11	17	0	17	26	17	18	16	44	20
Don't believe	8	7	9	9	8	9	8	8	6	11	6	9	10	7	6	11	9	7	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	7	1	7	0	2	6	7	0	7	1	3	0	0	1	0	4
DK/NA	2	1	0	7	1	7	0	2	6	7	0	7	1	3	0	0	1	0	4

TABLE 41: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	352	170	182	63	61	65	98	59	189	156	124	146	75	11	182	159
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	57%	51%	60%	44%	51%	63%	43%	52%	56%	52%	56%	51%	72%	57%	49%
Very convincing	26	27	25	26	25	15	27	31	22	29	26	21	32	43	28	23
Somewhat convincing	28	30	26	34	18	36	36	12	29	27	26	35	19	29	29	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	41	43	38	52	43	35	51	44	41	45	41	43	28	40	46
Not convincing	28	30	27	29	37	28	17	42	31	26	33	23	34	17	29	28
Don't believe	14	11	16	9	16	15	18	9	13	15	13	18	9	11	11	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	2	4	6	1	6	4	3	3	3	5	0	3	5
DK/NA	4	2	6	2	4	6	1	6	4	3	3	3	5	0	3	5
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	352	121	231	154	151	47	245	107	107	96	54	65	203	119	29	
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	68%	46%	59%	49%	54%	53%	56%	70%	65%	34%	37%	68%	36%	31%	
Very convincing	26	30	24	34	17	28	26	26	49	19	13	16	35	14	10	
Somewhat convincing	28	38	23	24	32	26	27	29	21	45	21	21	33	21	21	
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	30	49	40	46	40	42	43	30	33	63	59	31	61	45	
Not convincing	28	17	34	26	33	24	29	28	23	25	39	39	24	39	16	
Don't believe	14	13	15	14	13	16	13	15	7	8	24	20	7	22	29	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	5	2	5	6	5	1	0	2	3	4	1	4	24	
DK/NA	4	2	5	2	5	6	5	1	0	2	3	4	1	4	24	

TABLE 41: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	352	171	81	101	43	43	175	177	178	174	82	78	95	76	160	171
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	76%	25%	39%	66%	23%	50%	57%	55%	53%	49%	47%	58%	57%	48%	57%
Very convincing	26	40	6	17	33	6	25	26	29	22	34	14	22	31	25	26
Somewhat convincing	28	36	18	22	33	16	25	31	26	30	15	33	36	26	23	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	24	74	49	26	76	47	38	40	45	48	47	40	41	47	41
Not convincing	28	16	47	34	16	60	33	23	23	34	32	33	27	28	32	27
Don't believe	14	8	27	14	10	16	13	14	17	11	16	14	14	13	15	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	2	12	8	1	3	5	6	2	3	6	2	2	5	2
DK/NA	4	0	2	12	8	1	3	5	6	2	3	6	2	2	5	2
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	352	187	101	61	55	46	102	141	95	76	54	99	198			
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	52%	67%	36%	63%	55%	62%	50%	55%	57%	62%	54%	52%			
Very convincing	26	30	24	19	30	38	31	20	31	27	40	26	22			
Somewhat convincing	28	23	43	17	33	17	31	30	24	30	22	28	30			
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	45	27	58	37	40	38	45	41	42	36	45	43			
Not convincing	28	30	21	37	28	20	31	28	24	27	31	30	27			
Don't believe	14	15	7	22	9	19	7	17	17	15	5	15	16			
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	6	6	0	5	0	5	3	1	3	2	5			
DK/NA	4	3	6	6	0	5	0	5	3	1	3	2	5			

TABLE 41: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	352	187	86	39	174	107	31	149	25	24	63	109	20
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	59%	54%	44%	62%	48%	51%	62%	59%	45%	53%	67%	51%
Very convincing	26	31	26	10	33	22	10	35	23	5	32	34	5
Somewhat convincing	28	28	27	34	28	26	41	27	36	40	21	33	47
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	38	44	50	36	49	44	35	41	48	41	32	49
Not convincing	28	28	24	35	26	29	34	24	36	35	27	25	49
Don't believe	14	10	19	15	10	20	10	11	4	14	15	7	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	3	6	2	3	5	3	0	7	5	1	0
DK/NA	4	3	3	6	2	3	5	3	0	7	5	1	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	352	96	73	93	84	82	91	53	54	73	94	65	42
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	52%	65%	51%	48%	69%	55%	42%	54%	59%	63%	49%	46%
Very convincing	26	19	37	25	21	36	31	23	21	27	36	21	24
Somewhat convincing	28	33	28	26	27	32	24	19	32	32	27	28	22
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	46	35	42	46	29	42	58	40	39	35	46	53
Not convincing	28	36	22	26	30	19	32	39	18	31	25	27	31
Don't believe	14	10	13	17	16	10	10	19	21	9	11	19	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	0	6	6	2	3	0	7	1	2	5	1
DK/NA	4	2	0	6	6	2	3	0	7	1	2	5	1

TABLE 41: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	352	200	79	66	278	74	129	149	41	33	50	40	83	180	50	6	77	53	126
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	58%	49%	47%	55%	47%	60%	51%	47%	47%	62%	73%	54%	47%	63%	90%	51%	44%	49%
Very convincing	26	28	22	21	26	24	30	23	17	32	24	26	32	23	25	65	29	20	25
Somewhat convincing	28	30	28	26	29	23	30	28	30	15	38	47	21	24	37	25	21	24	24
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	42	39	46	47	41	47	39	43	49	45	35	23	40	50	35	10	42	50	50
Not convincing	28	25	34	33	28	31	27	29	39	20	16	6	30	36	16	10	32	36	36
Don't believe	14	14	12	13	13	17	12	14	10	25	19	17	9	14	19	0	10	14	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	5	6	3	6	1	5	4	7	3	4	7	3	3	0	7	6	1
DK/NA	4	3	5	6	3	6	1	5	4	7	3	4	7	3	3	0	7	6	1

TABLE 42: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	58%	65%	72%	65%	60%	48%	75%	66%	58%	69%	54%	68%	61%	64%	59%
Very convincing	29	27	31	30	31	24	24	41	28	30	30	25	34	34	28	29
Somewhat convincing	33	31	34	42	35	36	24	34	37	28	38	28	34	27	36	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	36	29	26	30	31	45	22	29	37	28	40	27	39	31	34
Not convincing	24	29	19	23	26	19	28	19	22	25	24	23	24	36	24	22
Don't believe	9	7	11	3	5	12	17	2	7	12	4	17	3	4	7	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	6	2	4	9	7	3	5	5	3	7	6	0	5	7
DK/NA	6	6	6	2	4	9	7	3	5	5	3	7	6	0	5	7
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32	
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	54%	66%	65%	64%	41%	58%	69%	49%	58%	73%	77%	53%	75%	68%	
Very convincing	29	26	31	31	30	16	27	32	29	14	29	54	22	43	25	
Somewhat convincing	33	28	35	33	34	25	31	36	20	44	44	23	31	32	43	
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	40	29	32	31	40	34	30	49	34	23	19	41	21	17	
Not convincing	24	29	21	25	20	31	27	16	35	26	21	11	31	15	7	
Don't believe	9	11	8	7	11	9	7	13	14	8	2	8	11	5	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	6	3	5	19	8	2	3	9	4	4	5	4	14	
DK/NA	6	6	6	3	5	19	8	2	3	9	4	4	5	4	14	

TABLE 42: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG		PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRST	FIRST	A	B	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	48%	80%	71%	65%	85%	62%	61%	64%	59%	76%	79%	52%	53%	77%	53%
Very convincing	29	16	47	37	26	48	33	25	29	29	47	28	13	38	38	24
Somewhat convincing	33	31	33	34	39	36	29	36	35	30	29	51	39	15	40	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	47	18	20	28	15	34	32	29	36	22	17	42	45	20	43
Not convincing	24	35	13	13	21	10	24	24	21	26	17	10	32	32	13	32
Don't believe	9	12	5	6	7	5	10	8	8	10	5	8	10	13	6	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	2	9	7	0	4	7	7	5	3	3	6	2	3	4
DK/NA	6	6	2	9	7	0	4	7	7	5	3	3	6	2	3	4

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK
		CELL	EQUAL	LANDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	63%	53%	76%	69%	44%	63%	70%	53%	53%	73%	59%	60%
Very convincing	29	29	23	39	50	22	36	27	25	24	40	27	27
Somewhat convincing	33	33	30	36	19	22	27	43	28	29	33	32	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	33	37	20	31	47	33	24	43	43	27	38	31
Not convincing	24	22	30	14	24	34	28	17	30	30	15	26	25
Don't believe	9	11	8	6	7	13	6	7	13	14	13	12	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	10	4	0	8	4	6	4	4	0	3	9
DK/NA	6	4	10	4	0	8	4	6	4	4	0	3	9

TABLE 42: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP						
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	66%	51%	73%	67%	52%	79%	67%	68%	83%	57%	74%	83%
Very convincing	29	27	23	47	28	24	51	28	27	53	22	31	57
Somewhat convincing	33	39	27	26	39	28	28	39	41	29	35	43	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	30	42	27	29	42	21	29	32	17	38	23	17
Not convincing	24	20	32	19	19	32	12	18	25	15	21	18	15
Don't believe	9	10	10	8	10	9	9	10	7	2	16	6	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	7	0	4	7	0	5	0	0	6	2	0
DK/NA	6	4	7	0	4	7	0	5	0	0	6	2	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	62%	54%	70%	61%	63%	71%	47%	56%	82%	58%	55%	47%
Very convincing	29	28	25	28	35	22	33	27	21	30	27	27	19
Somewhat convincing	33	34	29	41	27	41	38	21	34	52	31	27	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	36	38	23	36	30	28	49	35	18	37	37	48
Not convincing	24	30	28	15	22	19	20	44	21	10	26	31	34
Don't believe	9	5	10	8	13	11	9	5	13	8	11	7	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	8	7	3	7	1	4	10	0	5	8	5
DK/NA	6	3	8	7	3	7	1	4	10	0	5	8	5

TABLE 42: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	61%	64%	57%	62%	62%	58%	58%	66%	57%	60%	64%	60%	65%	58%	63%	64%	66%	57%	59%
Very convincing	29	32	29	23	31	22	27	34	24	21	15	23	32	32	15	33	32	34	31
Somewhat convincing	33	33	29	39	31	36	31	32	33	40	49	38	33	27	48	31	34	24	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	33	28	39	33	31	37	36	27	37	37	33	32	31	35	34	34	27	27	38
Not convincing	24	22	29	25	24	24	32	16	20	27	19	12	24	27	19	27	23	18	31
Don't believe	9	6	11	8	8	14	4	11	16	10	13	20	6	7	16	7	4	9	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	3	5	6	4	6	6	7	2	3	8	5	7	3	1	7	15	3
DK/NA	6	7	3	5	6	4	6	6	7	2	3	8	5	7	3	1	7	15	3

TABLE 43: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	201	94	107	41	37	34	54	35	111	88	78	75	47	15	96	90
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	61%	56%	72%	67%	52%	36%	73%	64%	51%	69%	46%	59%	76%	58%	56%
Very convincing	36	34	38	26	44	31	26	59	33	39	34	31	47	55	32	38
Somewhat convincing	22	27	18	46	23	21	10	14	31	11	35	16	12	21	25	19
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	34	39	27	29	32	60	27	29	47	28	47	37	20	34	42
Not convincing	26	30	23	21	14	23	44	22	19	35	17	34	30	12	27	28
Don't believe	11	5	16	7	16	8	16	5	10	12	11	13	7	9	8	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	4	1	4	16	4	0	6	3	2	7	5	4	8	1
DK/NA	5	5	4	1	4	16	4	0	6	3	2	7	5	4	8	1
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	201	73	128	83	88	30	141	60	64	59	27	34	123	61	17	
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	45%	66%	66%	62%	28%	54%	69%	40%	53%	84%	85%	47%	84%	52%	
Very convincing	36	29	40	44	35	20	33	44	28	26	47	61	27	55	35	
Somewhat convincing	22	15	26	22	27	8	21	25	12	27	37	23	19	29	17	
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	46	32	31	34	62	40	31	56	38	16	15	48	16	33	
Not convincing	26	35	21	27	20	42	27	23	41	26	7	15	34	12	21	
Don't believe	11	11	11	5	13	20	12	8	15	12	9	0	14	4	12	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	10	2	3	5	10	7	0	3	8	0	0	6	0	15	
DK/NA	5	10	2	3	5	10	7	0	3	8	0	0	6	0	15	

TABLE 43: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		YES		NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Base	201	102	50	49	16	27	106	95	103	98	52	36	57	46	88	103
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	43%	87%	61%	64%	68%	59%	57%	55%	61%	71%	74%	51%	40%	72%	46%
Very convincing	36	25	60	37	26	45	39	34	34	38	53	36	27	33	46	30
Somewhat convincing	22	18	27	25	38	22	21	24	21	23	18	39	25	7	27	17
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	52	13	30	36	26	39	35	38	36	24	26	46	55	25	50
Not convincing	26	38	10	19	30	12	27	25	25	27	18	13	34	41	16	37
Don't believe	11	14	3	11	6	14	12	10	13	9	6	13	12	14	9	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	0	9	0	6	2	8	6	3	4	0	2	5	3	4
DK/NA	5	5	0	9	0	6	2	8	6	3	4	0	2	5	3	4
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB					FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA			
Base	201	115	56	28	27	27	56	80	58	47	26	62	113			
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	60%	52%	67%	71%	48%	67%	62%	46%	42%	80%	52%	57%			
Very convincing	36	37	32	44	50	33	42	40	29	31	59	36	31			
Somewhat convincing	22	23	20	22	22	15	25	21	17	11	21	16	26			
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	34	42	33	29	52	30	31	54	54	17	45	37			
Not convincing	26	25	28	25	22	36	21	24	37	48	14	39	22			
Don't believe	11	10	15	8	7	16	8	7	17	7	4	6	15			
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	6	0	0	0	4	7	0	4	2	3	6			
DK/NA	5	5	6	0	0	0	4	7	0	4	2	3	6			

TABLE 43: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	201	99	57	22	89	70	19	73	16	16	33	55	13
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	63%	42%	87%	62%	48%	88%	60%	71%	89%	49%	69%	93%
Very convincing	36	42	22	58	45	23	54	43	56	57	34	53	48
Somewhat convincing	22	21	20	30	17	25	35	17	15	32	15	16	45
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	36	46	13	37	42	12	39	29	11	51	29	7
Not convincing	26	25	34	9	27	30	8	27	24	6	36	22	7
Don't believe	11	11	12	3	10	12	4	11	6	5	15	7	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	1	13	0	1	10	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
DK/NA	5	1	13	0	1	10	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	201	57	37	54	51	42	47	34	35	38	50	42	28
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	69%	48%	59%	52%	69%	56%	42%	53%	73%	53%	50%	43%
Very convincing	36	28	44	39	36	47	44	23	23	42	46	22	25
Somewhat convincing	22	41	5	20	16	22	12	19	30	30	7	29	18
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	27	46	32	48	31	42	44	40	25	47	38	48
Not convincing	26	24	39	15	33	23	30	41	20	16	35	27	36
Don't believe	11	3	7	18	15	8	13	3	20	9	12	11	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	6	9	0	0	2	14	7	3	0	12	8
DK/NA	5	4	6	9	0	0	2	14	7	3	0	12	8

TABLE 43: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH SOUTH	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	201	111	45	36	156	45	73	83	22	24	27	19	61	94	28	24	36	29	65
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	58%	59%	57%	66%	59%	58%	63%	54%	52%	63%	64%	55%	62%	55%	62%	74%	56%	50%	57%
Very convincing	36	37	38	37	37	34	34	40	33	34	48	30	38	34	46	47	33	27	36
Somewhat convincing	22	23	19	28	21	24	29	15	19	28	17	25	25	21	16	28	23	23	21
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	37	37	34	31	36	39	31	41	44	35	33	45	33	39	34	23	39	36	40
Not convincing	26	24	20	29	23	38	25	21	44	33	23	40	21	28	24	14	24	30	27
Don't believe	11	13	14	1	14	1	6	20	0	2	11	5	12	11	10	9	15	6	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	9	4	5	3	5	5	4	3	2	0	5	6	5	3	5	14	3
DK/NA	5	3	9	4	5	3	5	5	4	3	2	0	5	6	5	3	5	14	3

TABLE 44: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	205	101	104	33	33	43	59	32	109	91	66	92	42	18	92	95
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	60%	49%	75%	44%	59%	44%	65%	59%	51%	59%	53%	55%	53%	54%	55%
Very convincing	23	33	13	34	22	22	16	29	26	20	28	19	26	32	19	25
Somewhat convincing	32	28	35	40	22	36	28	36	33	31	31	34	29	21	35	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	36	43	25	52	33	52	29	36	44	39	40	40	41	43	36
Not convincing	31	29	32	20	35	29	40	22	28	34	28	31	36	34	32	29
Don't believe	9	7	11	5	16	4	12	6	8	10	11	9	5	6	12	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	8	0	5	8	5	6	5	5	2	7	4	6	3	9
DK/NA	6	3	8	0	5	8	5	6	5	5	2	7	4	6	3	9
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	205	80	125	97	84	23	144	61	63	54	31	41	118	72	15	
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	52%	56%	53%	58%	46%	55%	52%	45%	48%	77%	59%	46%	67%	61%	
Very convincing	23	20	24	24	25	7	21	26	23	11	33	32	18	32	17	
Somewhat convincing	32	32	32	29	33	39	34	26	22	36	44	27	28	34	44	
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	43	38	43	36	37	38	43	54	44	19	36	49	29	17	
Not convincing	31	33	29	32	27	37	29	34	37	38	14	31	37	24	11	
Don't believe	9	10	8	11	10	0	9	9	17	6	5	5	12	5	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	7	4	5	17	6	5	2	8	4	4	5	4	22	
DK/NA	6	5	7	4	5	17	6	5	2	8	4	4	5	4	22	

TABLE 44: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	205	99	41	65	32	23	99	106	98	107	40	48	60	40	89	100
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	40%	70%	67%	66%	84%	53%	56%	55%	54%	77%	73%	36%	51%	75%	42%
Very convincing	23	9	38	33	24	58	25	20	21	24	48	25	7	27	36	15
Somewhat convincing	32	31	31	34	43	26	28	35	34	29	29	47	30	24	39	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	56	30	20	26	9	40	40	36	43	23	20	60	49	21	55
Not convincing	31	42	26	16	26	9	28	33	27	34	16	14	51	29	15	42
Don't believe	9	14	5	4	0	0	12	7	9	9	7	5	8	19	6	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	0	13	8	8	7	5	9	3	0	8	4	0	4	2
DK/NA	6	4	0	13	8	8	7	5	9	3	0	8	4	0	4	2

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	205	100	64	39	34	25	65	77	54	47	31	61	113
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	55%	55%	53%	74%	56%	61%	54%	50%	46%	77%	52%	49%
Very convincing	23	24	20	24	45	14	34	19	16	20	36	22	19
Somewhat convincing	32	31	35	30	29	42	27	35	34	25	41	30	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	41	40	34	23	37	32	42	46	54	19	48	41
Not convincing	31	29	34	28	9	30	22	37	34	42	19	38	30
Don't believe	9	12	6	6	14	7	10	5	12	12	0	9	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	5	12	3	7	6	3	3	0	4	0	10
DK/NA	6	4	5	12	3	7	6	3	3	0	4	0	10

TABLE 44: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	205	111	41	27	104	55	21	91	13	15	41	61	13
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	55%	43%	80%	58%	40%	91%	56%	70%	93%	58%	57%	91%
Very convincing	23	22	25	35	23	24	37	25	6	37	19	25	47
Somewhat convincing	32	33	18	45	35	16	55	31	64	56	40	32	44
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	43	46	20	40	52	9	41	30	7	37	42	9
Not convincing	31	32	37	13	30	42	0	31	22	0	26	33	0
Don't believe	9	11	9	6	10	10	9	10	8	7	12	9	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	11	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	4	1	0
DK/NA	6	2	11	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	4	1	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	205	51	49	58	42	50	54	26	29	46	53	31	23
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	65%	58%	54%	43%	60%	56%	58%	24%	66%	55%	45%	32%
Very convincing	23	37	29	16	11	29	17	40	9	31	18	26	20
Somewhat convincing	32	27	29	38	32	31	39	18	14	35	37	19	12
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	32	42	40	46	36	43	42	61	34	45	49	56
Not convincing	31	23	37	33	30	24	35	42	42	24	35	41	44
Don't believe	9	9	5	7	16	12	8	0	19	9	10	9	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	0	6	11	4	1	0	16	0	0	5	12
DK/NA	6	3	0	6	11	4	1	0	16	0	0	5	12

TABLE 44: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	205	111	44	47	155	50	72	83	29	21	23	22	73	87	23	32	41	24	63
<u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u>	54%	56%	54%	53%	55%	51%	64%	48%	52%	50%	44%	57%	61%	51%	44%	60%	61%	50%	52%
Very convincing	23	25	24	16	25	16	38	14	20	10	16	8	25	27	16	27	23	30	25
Somewhat convincing	32	31	30	37	31	35	26	34	31	40	28	49	36	25	28	32	38	20	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u>	40	35	46	43	38	45	34	41	42	50	42	43	35	42	42	40	31	38	44
Not convincing	31	29	37	29	31	29	29	33	30	29	32	34	28	31	32	29	28	27	33
Don't believe	9	6	9	15	7	16	5	8	12	21	10	9	7	11	10	12	3	11	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	9	0	4	7	4	2	11	6	0	14	0	5	6	14	0	8	12	4
DK/NA	6	9	0	4	7	4	2	11	6	0	14	0	5	6	14	0	8	12	4

TABLE 45: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	201	97	104	33	36	37	59	35	105	93	69	82	49	15	97	89
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	67%	56%	76%	62%	61%	68%	39%	66%	57%	69%	65%	46%	68%	68%	53%
Strongly favor	38	46	30	47	30	31	52	22	36	41	39	45	27	56	39	33
Somewhat favor	24	21	26	29	31	30	15	18	30	16	30	21	18	12	28	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	31	36	24	35	30	32	51	30	39	30	30	47	32	30	37
Somewhat oppose	12	13	12	19	11	22	8	5	17	7	15	14	6	16	12	12
Strongly oppose	21	18	24	5	24	8	24	46	13	32	15	16	41	17	19	25
DK/NA	5	2	8	0	3	9	1	10	4	4	2	5	7	0	2	10
DK/NA	5	2	8	0	3	9	1	10	4	4	2	5	7	0	2	10
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	201	80	122	94	82	26	149	53	59	62	28	32	122	60	20	
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	68%	57%	68%	47%	83%	56%	75%	94%	71%	36%	27%	82%	31%	23%	
Strongly favor	38	38	38	46	28	40	35	46	82	33	8	15	57	12	0	
Somewhat favor	24	30	19	22	20	42	22	29	12	39	28	12	26	19	23	
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	30	36	30	45	10	37	24	6	25	64	66	16	65	46	
Somewhat oppose	12	6	16	12	14	8	11	14	3	12	28	7	8	17	24	
Strongly oppose	21	24	20	18	31	2	25	10	3	13	36	59	8	48	22	
DK/NA	5	2	7	2	8	7	7	1	0	3	0	7	2	4	31	
DK/NA	5	2	7	2	8	7	7	1	0	3	0	7	2	4	31	

TABLE 45: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	201	100	39	62	23	29	101	101	201	0	47	41	59	34	88	94
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	100%	0%	37%	100%	0%	64%	59%	61%	0%	46%	45%	81%	57%	46%	72%
Strongly favor	38	69	0	11	30	0	38	37	38	0	39	13	50	44	27	48
Somewhat favor	24	31	0	26	70	0	25	22	24	0	7	32	31	13	19	25
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	0	100	46	0	100	33	34	34	0	48	47	19	36	47	25
Somewhat oppose	12	0	26	23	0	50	11	13	12	0	13	19	11	9	16	11
Strongly oppose	21	0	74	23	0	50	22	21	21	0	35	28	7	27	32	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	0	0	17	0	0	3	7	5	0	6	8	0	6	7	2
DK/NA	5	0	0	17	0	0	3	7	5	0	6	8	0	6	7	2

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	201	106	57	35	29	23	58	75	59	43	30	56	116
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	61%	63%	58%	67%	72%	69%	57%	67%	66%	67%	61%	60%
Strongly favor	38	37	38	38	52	61	46	33	41	48	59	42	30
Somewhat favor	24	24	25	19	15	10	22	23	26	18	8	18	30
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	37	30	32	33	20	31	37	30	34	28	39	32
Somewhat oppose	12	18	2	10	2	8	8	13	13	6	7	11	14
Strongly oppose	21	18	28	22	32	12	23	24	16	29	21	28	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	7	10	0	8	0	7	4	0	5	0	8
DK/NA	5	3	7	10	0	8	0	7	4	0	5	0	8

TABLE 45: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	201	96	55	26	90	64	22	80	11	17	36	53	12
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	63%	68%	47%	64%	67%	40%	61%	89%	27%	68%	63%	33%
Strongly favor	38	40	41	29	41	38	29	39	60	25	42	42	29
Somewhat favor	24	23	27	17	23	29	11	22	28	3	26	21	4
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	34	28	47	33	30	53	36	7	64	25	37	67
Somewhat oppose	12	13	10	5	10	13	7	11	7	8	5	14	0
Strongly oppose	21	21	18	42	23	17	47	26	0	55	20	23	67
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	3	6	3	3	7	3	5	9	6	0	0
DK/NA	5	3	3	6	3	3	7	3	5	9	6	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	201	49	46	56	48	50	40	26	39	37	50	40	24
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	68%	69%	64%	46%	69%	58%	75%	62%	63%	66%	73%	58%
Strongly favor	38	44	50	29	32	47	35	43	35	30	52	38	38
Somewhat favor	24	24	19	35	14	22	23	32	27	34	14	34	20
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	32	31	28	46	28	40	25	34	36	33	23	42
Somewhat oppose	12	19	7	16	7	13	7	18	11	15	7	17	8
Strongly oppose	21	13	24	12	39	14	33	7	23	21	25	6	34
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	0	0	8	9	4	3	0	5	1	1	5	0
DK/NA	5	0	0	8	9	4	3	0	5	1	1	5	0

TABLE 45: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	201	116	38	43	154	47	75	79	22	25	25	20	66	90	26	29	37	20	71
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	68%	65%	41%	68%	41%	76%	59%	36%	46%	60%	61%	66%	58%	61%	71%	62%	58%	58%
Strongly favor	38	43	33	31	40	29	51	30	28	30	37	28	34	43	38	33	34	47	42
Somewhat favor	24	25	32	11	27	12	25	29	8	16	24	33	32	15	23	38	28	11	16
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	28	30	50	28	51	24	33	55	46	40	23	28	38	39	29	28	32	39
Somewhat oppose	12	13	13	11	13	10	14	11	8	12	8	13	10	14	8	6	13	16	14
Strongly oppose	21	15	17	39	16	40	10	21	47	34	32	10	18	23	31	23	15	16	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	5	9	4	8	0	8	8	8	0	16	5	4	0	0	10	9	3
DK/NA	5	4	5	9	4	8	0	8	8	8	0	16	5	4	0	0	10	9	3

TABLE 46: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	205	98	106	41	34	40	54	32	115	86	75	85	41	17	91	96
TOTAL FAVOR	62%	67%	57%	57%	55%	57%	75%	60%	56%	69%	56%	64%	67%	75%	62%	59%
Strongly favor	41	50	32	42	23	30	46	60	32	51	34	34	67	65	33	44
Somewhat favor	21	17	24	14	32	27	28	0	24	18	22	30	0	11	29	15
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	33	39	43	41	41	23	38	42	29	42	34	30	25	34	40
Somewhat oppose	13	10	16	23	21	10	5	11	18	7	22	8	9	0	15	13
Strongly oppose	23	22	23	21	20	31	18	27	24	21	20	26	21	25	19	27
DK/NA	2	0	4	0	5	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	0	4	1
DK/NA	2	0	4	0	5	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	0	4	1

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	205	73	131	87	91	27	136	68	68	51	30	43	119	73	12
TOTAL FAVOR	62%	76%	53%	64%	59%	61%	62%	60%	86%	83%	22%	28%	85%	26%	50%
Strongly favor	41	42	40	50	29	51	42	39	78	34	10	18	59	15	15
Somewhat favor	21	34	13	15	30	10	21	21	8	49	12	10	25	11	35
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	22	44	34	38	37	36	36	14	16	71	72	15	71	32
Somewhat oppose	13	9	15	18	10	10	12	14	4	9	27	21	6	24	16
Strongly oppose	23	13	29	16	28	27	24	22	10	7	44	50	9	48	16
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	4	0	1	7	0	0	3	18
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	4	0	1	7	0	0	3	18

TABLE 46: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	205	101	52	51	25	21	105	100	0	205	45	44	58	52	88	110
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	100%	0%	49%	100%	0%	55%	68%	0%	62%	33%	51%	72%	82%	42%	77%
Strongly favor	41	70	0	25	51	0	39	43	0	41	27	15	42	72	21	56
Somewhat favor	21	30	0	24	49	0	16	26	0	21	5	37	30	10	21	20
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	36	0	100	42	0	100	43	29	0	36	65	47	24	17	56	21
Somewhat oppose	13	0	33	18	0	44	17	9	0	13	12	22	15	6	17	11
Strongly oppose	23	0	67	24	0	56	26	20	0	23	53	25	9	11	39	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	9	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	1	4	1	2	3
DK/NA	2	0	0	9	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	1	4	1	2	3

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	205	109	64	32	32	28	63	82	54	51	28	66	110
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	60%	71%	48%	61%	65%	60%	56%	77%	70%	64%	70%	56%
Strongly favor	41	43	39	38	47	56	45	28	59	54	49	50	33
Somewhat favor	21	17	33	10	15	9	15	28	18	16	15	19	23
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	36	37	28	49	39	32	40	41	22	29	36	30	40
Somewhat oppose	13	14	11	14	6	10	9	16	8	14	0	13	16
Strongly oppose	23	23	17	35	32	22	31	25	14	14	36	16	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	1	3	0	2	0	3	1	1	0	1	4
DK/NA	2	3	1	3	0	2	0	3	1	1	0	1	4

TABLE 46: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	205	114	43	24	103	60	18	84	19	14	39	63	14
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	70%	52%	40%	68%	56%	43%	70%	60%	45%	76%	65%	44%
Strongly favor	41	45	41	29	45	41	29	48	32	27	56	38	27
Somewhat favor	21	25	12	10	23	15	14	22	28	18	19	26	18
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	36	28	46	60	31	40	57	29	40	55	23	35	56
Somewhat oppose	13	12	18	13	13	15	11	13	18	10	10	15	15
Strongly oppose	23	16	28	47	18	25	46	17	22	45	13	21	41
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	0	1	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
DK/NA	2	2	1	0	1	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	205	58	40	57	46	42	60	35	25	46	52	34	26
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	57%	82%	55%	58%	75%	63%	61%	50%	55%	80%	56%	57%
Strongly favor	41	36	71	29	34	61	34	50	29	30	56	36	48
Somewhat favor	21	22	11	26	24	15	29	11	21	25	24	20	9
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	36	43	18	41	37	25	36	39	41	45	18	40	40
Somewhat oppose	13	18	0	18	14	13	14	7	25	23	6	16	12
Strongly oppose	23	25	18	23	24	11	22	32	17	22	13	23	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	5	5	0	1	0	8	0	1	5	2
DK/NA	2	0	0	5	5	0	1	0	8	0	1	5	2

TABLE 46: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	205	106	51	40	157	48	70	87	28	20	24	21	68	91	25	27	40	34	57
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	62%	61%	57%	64%	60%	67%	64%	57%	76%	54%	79%	71%	62%	55%	80%	75%	53%	52%	56%
Strongly favor	41	30	50	52	36	56	42	31	69	37	53	42	44	35	51	51	40	40	32
Somewhat favor	21	31	8	12	24	11	21	26	7	17	27	29	18	20	29	23	12	12	24
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	36	36	38	36	37	33	36	37	24	46	16	29	37	43	15	25	45	43	42
Somewhat oppose	13	13	15	10	14	10	14	14	2	21	5	29	10	14	5	9	11	9	17
Strongly oppose	23	23	23	26	23	23	23	23	21	26	10	0	27	29	10	17	34	34	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	4	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	5	0	2	3	5	0	3	5	2
DK/NA	2	2	4	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	5	0	2	3	5	0	3	5	2

TABLE 47: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	67%	56%	65%	58%	58%	71%	49%	61%	63%	62%	65%	55%	72%	65%	56%
Strongly favor	39	48	31	45	27	30	50	40	34	46	36	39	45	60	37	38
Somewhat favor	22	19	25	21	32	28	21	9	27	17	26	26	10	11	28	18
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	32	37	35	38	36	27	45	36	34	36	32	39	28	32	39
Somewhat oppose	13	12	14	21	16	16	6	8	18	7	18	11	8	7	14	12
Strongly oppose	22	20	24	14	22	20	21	37	19	27	18	21	32	21	19	26
DK/NA	4	1	6	0	4	6	2	6	3	3	2	4	5	0	3	5
DK/NA	4	1	6	0	4	6	2	6	3	3	2	4	5	0	3	5
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32	
TOTAL FAVOR	61%	72%	55%	66%	54%	72%	59%	67%	90%	77%	29%	28%	84%	28%	33%	
Strongly favor	39	40	39	48	28	46	38	42	80	33	9	17	58	14	6	
Somewhat favor	22	32	16	18	25	26	21	24	10	43	20	11	26	15	28	
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	26	40	32	41	24	36	31	10	21	68	69	15	68	41	
Somewhat oppose	13	7	16	15	12	9	12	14	4	11	28	15	7	21	21	
Strongly oppose	22	19	24	17	30	15	25	17	7	10	40	54	8	48	20	
DK/NA	4	2	5	2	5	5	4	3	0	2	3	3	1	3	26	
DK/NA	4	2	5	2	5	5	4	3	0	2	3	3	1	3	26	

TABLE 47: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	100%	0%	42%	100%	0%	59%	64%	61%	62%	39%	48%	77%	72%	44%	75%
Strongly favor	39	69	0	18	41	0	39	40	38	41	33	14	46	61	24	52
Somewhat favor	22	31	0	25	59	0	21	24	24	21	6	35	30	11	20	22
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	35	0	100	44	0	100	38	32	34	36	56	47	21	25	52	23
Somewhat oppose	13	0	30	21	0	47	14	11	12	13	13	20	13	7	16	11
Strongly oppose	22	0	70	23	0	53	24	21	21	23	44	27	8	17	36	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	0	13	0	0	3	5	5	2	4	5	2	3	4	2
DK/NA	4	0	0	13	0	0	3	5	5	2	4	5	2	3	4	2

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	61%	67%	53%	64%	68%	64%	56%	71%	68%	65%	66%	58%
Strongly favor	39	40	38	38	49	59	46	30	50	51	54	47	32
Somewhat favor	22	20	29	15	15	10	19	26	22	17	11	19	27
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	35	37	29	40	36	27	36	39	26	31	32	34	36
Somewhat oppose	13	16	7	12	4	9	9	15	11	10	3	12	15
Strongly oppose	22	20	22	28	32	17	27	24	15	21	28	22	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	4	7	0	5	0	5	3	1	3	1	6
DK/NA	4	3	4	7	0	5	0	5	3	1	3	1	6

TABLE 47: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	67%	61%	43%	66%	62%	41%	66%	70%	35%	72%	64%	39%
Strongly favor	39	43	41	29	43	40	29	43	42	26	50	40	28
Somewhat favor	22	24	20	14	23	22	12	22	28	10	22	24	11
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	35	31	36	53	32	35	55	33	28	60	24	36	61
Somewhat oppose	13	12	14	9	12	14	9	12	14	9	7	15	8
Strongly oppose	22	18	23	45	20	21	46	21	14	51	17	22	53
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	2	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	4	0	0
DK/NA	4	2	2	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	4	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	62%	75%	59%	52%	72%	61%	67%	57%	59%	73%	65%	58%
Strongly favor	39	39	60	29	33	53	34	47	32	30	54	37	43
Somewhat favor	22	23	15	31	19	19	27	20	25	29	19	28	14
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	35	38	25	34	42	26	37	33	37	41	25	31	41
Somewhat oppose	13	18	4	17	10	13	11	12	16	19	7	17	10
Strongly oppose	22	20	22	17	32	13	26	21	20	21	19	14	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	0	6	7	2	2	0	6	1	1	5	1
DK/NA	4	0	0	6	7	2	2	0	6	1	1	5	1

TABLE 47: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	61%	65%	61%	52%	64%	54%	70%	58%	59%	49%	70%	66%	64%	56%	70%	73%	57%	55%	57%
Strongly favor	39	37	43	41	38	42	47	31	51	33	45	35	39	39	45	42	37	43	37
Somewhat favor	22	28	18	11	25	12	23	27	8	16	25	31	25	18	26	31	20	12	20
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	35	32	35	43	33	42	30	35	38	46	28	26	33	40	27	27	37	39	41
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	11	13	10	14	13	5	16	7	21	10	14	7	7	12	12	15
Strongly oppose	22	19	21	33	19	32	16	22	33	30	21	5	23	26	21	20	25	27	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	5	5	4	4	0	7	4	5	2	8	3	3	2	0	6	6	2
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	4	4	0	7	4	5	2	8	3	3	2	0	6	6	2

TABLE 48: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
Yes	59%	71%	49%	70%	53%	59%	62%	53%	61%	58%	61%	58%	59%	70%	62%	55%
No	33	26	39	26	40	29	30	44	32	35	33	31	38	25	27	40
DK/NA	8	3	12	4	7	11	8	4	8	7	6	11	3	5	11	5
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32	
Yes	59%	62%	58%	66%	49%	72%	61%	56%	84%	60%	44%	40%	73%	42%	31%	
No	33	24	38	29	39	26	31	37	14	26	51	57	20	54	46	
DK/NA	8	13	4	5	12	2	8	7	2	14	5	3	8	4	23	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
Yes	59%	81%	27%	46%	74%	22%	58%	61%	65%	54%	44%	31%	76%	77%	38%	76%
No	33	10	71	43	20	72	36	30	29	37	50	51	20	22	51	21
DK/NA	8	8	1	11	6	7	6	9	7	9	6	18	5	1	12	3
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY							
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226			
Yes	59%	61%	61%	51%	58%	73%	64%	54%	68%	71%	62%	69%	53%			
No	33	33	29	40	40	22	34	35	25	25	37	26	36			
DK/NA	8	6	11	8	2	5	2	11	7	4	1	5	11			

TABLE 48: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
Yes	59%	60%	59%	59%	59%	59%	62%	57%	70%	61%	67%	55%	59%
No	33	29	37	41	30	36	38	30	26	39	23	33	41
DK/NA	8	11	4	0	11	5	0	13	4	0	10	12	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L		
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
Yes	59%	66%	77%	55%	41%	75%	44%	70%	48%	58%	61%	62%	54%
No	33	32	20	31	49	19	39	29	43	32	28	30	46
DK/NA	8	2	3	13	10	6	16	1	8	10	11	8	0

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER					CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL		NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH		NORTH	SOUTH			
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	SOUTH	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
Yes	59%	57%	61%	60%	58%	63%	70%	48%	72%	52%	65%	53%	64%	56%	64%	64%	64%	58%	55%
No	33	32	32	37	32	35	27	37	25	47	24	32	30	38	24	28	32	36	39
DK/NA	8	10	7	3	9	2	3	15	4	1	11	15	6	6	12	9	4	6	6

TABLE 49: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCS	MEXCN ANCS	OTHER ANCS
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
<u>CONSERVATIVE</u>	30%	34%	25%	30%	25%	31%	29%	34%	29%	31%	27%	31%	31%	39%	28%	29%
Very conservative	13	16	11	16	8	14	11	21	13	15	13	13	17	22	11	14
Somewhat conservative	16	18	14	13	17	18	18	13	16	16	15	18	14	16	18	15
<u>MODERATE</u>	38	36	39	36	39	42	36	38	39	37	37	39	38	35	39	37
Moderate	38	36	39	36	39	42	36	38	39	37	37	39	38	35	39	37
<u>LIBERAL</u>	28	26	30	29	33	23	31	21	28	27	31	26	26	24	28	29
Somewhat liberal	15	14	16	19	20	18	12	10	19	11	19	14	12	20	15	14
Very liberal	13	12	14	11	12	5	19	11	9	16	12	12	14	5	13	15
<u>DK/NA/REF</u>	4	3	6	5	3	4	4	7	4	5	4	4	6	2	4	5
DK/NA/Refused	4	3	6	5	3	4	4	7	4	5	4	4	6	2	4	5
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
<u>CONSERVATIVE</u>	30%	26%	32%	25%	32%	40%	29%	31%	32%	20%	39%	42%	26%	40%	15%	
Very conservative	13	11	15	12	17	7	12	16	14	6	21	22	10	22	5	
Somewhat conservative	16	15	18	13	14	33	17	15	18	14	18	19	16	19	9	
<u>MODERATE</u>	38	38	38	38	40	31	39	35	28	49	37	34	38	36	42	
Moderate	38	38	38	38	40	31	39	35	28	49	37	34	38	36	42	
<u>LIBERAL</u>	28	33	25	33	24	24	29	27	38	27	23	17	33	19	28	
Somewhat liberal	15	18	14	19	13	12	17	12	18	20	11	4	19	7	21	
Very liberal	13	15	12	15	12	12	12	16	20	7	12	13	14	13	7	
<u>DK/NA/REF</u>	4	4	5	4	4	6	3	7	2	4	1	7	3	5	15	
DK/NA/Refused	4	4	5	4	4	6	3	7	2	4	1	7	3	5	15	

TABLE 49: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		FAVOR	OPPOS													
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
CONSERVATIVE	30%	28%	39%	26%	45%	15%	31%	28%	28%	31%	34%	20%	30%	35%	27%	32%
Very conservative	13	13	21	15	29	6	15	11	13	14	22	12	6	25	17	14
Somewhat conservative	16	16	18	11	16	9	16	17	16	17	12	8	23	10	10	18
MODERATE	38	34	39	46	39	51	34	42	35	40	36	49	40	33	42	37
Moderate	38	34	39	46	39	51	34	42	35	40	36	49	40	33	42	37
LIBERAL	28	37	16	21	14	29	30	26	31	25	26	28	27	29	27	28
Somewhat liberal	15	21	6	12	6	20	17	13	19	11	11	17	17	16	14	17
Very liberal	13	16	10	9	8	9	13	13	12	14	14	11	10	13	13	11
DK/NA/REF	4	1	6	7	2	5	5	4	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
DK/NA/Refused	4	1	6	7	2	5	5	4	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
CONSERVATIVE	30%	32%	30%	21%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	12%	55%	19%	30%
Very conservative	13	15	13	9	100	0	45	0	0	6	40	10	11
Somewhat conservative	16	17	17	12	0	0	55	0	0	6	15	9	18
MODERATE	38	38	36	40	0	0	0	100	0	36	30	39	40
Moderate	38	38	36	40	0	0	0	100	0	36	30	39	40
LIBERAL	28	26	32	29	0	100	0	0	100	50	9	41	25
Somewhat liberal	15	16	16	11	0	0	0	0	54	19	4	17	17
Very liberal	13	10	16	18	0	100	0	0	46	31	5	24	8
DK/NA/REF	4	4	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	2	5
DK/NA/Refused	4	4	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	2	5

TABLE 49: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP				DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>CONSERVATIVE</u>	30%	23%	27%	64%	24%	27%	62%	21%	43%	70%	0%	42%	100%
Very conservative	13	11	10	30	11	11	30	10	17	38	0	19	47
Somewhat conservative	16	12	17	34	13	16	33	11	26	32	0	23	53
<u>MODERATE</u>	38	35	51	25	34	49	25	36	25	18	0	58	0
Moderate	38	35	51	25	34	49	25	36	25	18	0	58	0
<u>LIBERAL</u>	28	40	19	11	40	22	12	42	30	11	100	0	0
Somewhat liberal	15	21	10	3	20	14	3	19	23	2	50	0	0
Very liberal	13	19	9	8	20	8	9	23	7	9	50	0	0
<u>DK/NA/REF</u>	4	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	4	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>CONSERVATIVE</u>	30%	36%	34%	22%	28%	31%	20%	22%	33%	20%	27%	27%	27%
Very conservative	13	18	14	8	15	16	7	6	16	10	13	10	11
Somewhat conservative	16	18	20	14	13	15	12	16	17	10	14	17	16
<u>MODERATE</u>	38	38	36	40	38	31	36	52	45	32	37	50	49
Moderate	38	38	36	40	38	31	36	52	45	32	37	50	49
<u>LIBERAL</u>	28	22	29	35	26	38	41	24	19	47	34	19	22
Somewhat liberal	15	14	15	24	8	20	20	14	14	29	13	15	13
Very liberal	13	8	14	11	18	18	22	10	5	18	21	4	9
<u>DK/NA/REF</u>	4	5	1	4	8	1	3	2	3	1	3	3	2
DK/NA/Refused	4	5	1	4	8	1	3	2	3	1	3	3	2

TABLE 49: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
<u>CONSERVATIVE</u>	30%	31%	26%	32%	30%	30%	35%	26%	34%	25%	22%	30%	36%	27%	22%	37%	35%	18%	30%
Very conservative	13	15	9	15	13	14	17	10	15	13	10	15	14	13	9	13	16	7	16
Somewhat conservative	16	16	17	16	17	16	18	15	19	12	13	15	22	13	13	25	19	11	14
<u>MODERATE</u>	38	39	37	36	39	35	39	38	29	42	39	46	32	40	39	30	34	46	38
Moderate	38	39	37	36	39	35	39	38	29	42	39	46	32	40	39	30	34	46	38
<u>LIBERAL</u>	28	25	34	28	27	32	24	30	33	30	36	24	26	29	35	29	23	35	27
Somewhat liberal	15	13	20	13	15	16	14	16	14	19	20	20	16	12	19	21	11	12	13
Very liberal	13	12	14	15	12	15	10	15	19	11	16	4	10	17	16	8	12	23	14
<u>DK/NA/REF</u>	4	5	3	4	4	4	3	6	4	3	3	0	6	4	4	3	8	2	5
DK/NA/Refused	4	5	3	4	4	4	3	6	4	3	3	0	6	4	4	3	8	2	5

TABLE 50: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST	
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243	
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	47%	43%	50%	38%	42%	31%	60%	54%	37%	58%	40%	49%	53%	26%	53%	45%	
Strong Democrat	38	31	45	26	33	24	51	51	28	51	30	40	48	15	45	36	
Not so strong Democrat	8	12	5	11	9	7	9	3	9	7	10	8	4	10	8	9	
<u>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</u>	30	33	28	23	39	38	29	24	33	27	31	31	28	46	28	30	
Independent/lean Democrat	4	4	4	3	5	4	6	1	4	4	4	4	4	9	3	4	
Independent/no leans	24	28	22	19	33	30	22	21	27	21	25	25	22	36	23	24	
Independent/lean Republican	2	2	2	1	2	5	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	10	12	9	12	9	13	7	12	12	9	11	10	10	17	9	11	
Not so strong Republican	2	2	3	4	2	3	3	0	3	2	3	3	1	3	3	2	
Strong Republican	8	10	6	8	7	11	4	12	9	7	8	7	10	14	5	9	
<u>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</u>	13	12	13	27	10	18	4	10	18	6	18	10	10	11	11	14	
No, not registered to vote	10	10	10	24	6	13	4	6	14	5	15	8	6	8	9	11	
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	4	5	0	4	4	2	3	2	3	3	2	4	
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38		
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	47%	53%	43%	56%	43%	29%	46%	48%	52%	46%	44%	39%	49%	41%	46%		
Strong Democrat	38	49	31	45	35	25	39	38	42	39	36	34	40	35	38		
Not so strong Democrat	8	4	11	10	8	3	7	10	10	7	9	5	9	7	8		
<u>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</u>	30	25	34	24	30	51	30	32	30	36	31	27	33	29	16		
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	4	4	4	2	3	5	5	4	5	1	4	3	0		
Independent/no leans	24	21	27	18	24	47	24	25	25	30	23	20	27	21	14		
Independent/lean Republican	2	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	5	1	4	2		
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	10	9	12	8	16	3	11	9	9	4	7	26	7	18	9		
Not so strong Republican	2	1	4	4	2	0	2	4	4	1	2	4	2	3	0		
Strong Republican	8	8	8	4	14	3	9	5	6	3	5	22	4	15	9		
<u>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</u>	13	13	12	13	11	17	14	10	8	14	18	8	11	12	28		
No, not registered to vote	10	12	9	11	7	14	11	8	7	13	12	7	10	9	14		
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	5	1	2	3	13		

TABLE 50: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
DEMOCRAT	47%	51%	42%	45%	50%	46%	43%	50%	45%	49%	50%	53%	46%	46%	51%	46%
Strong Democrat	38	42	38	39	46	38	34	43	37	40	43	50	36	36	46	36
Not so strong Democrat	8	9	5	6	5	8	9	7	7	9	7	4	9	9	5	9
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	30	33	27	29	21	37	29	32	31	30	29	28	37	21	28	31
Independent/lean Democrat	4	5	1	5	5	4	4	3	2	5	2	5	6	1	4	4
Independent/no leans	24	27	22	21	10	32	22	27	27	22	21	20	30	19	21	25
Independent/lean Republican	2	1	4	3	6	2	2	2	2	2	6	2	1	2	4	1
REPUBLICAN	10	5	19	12	14	10	12	9	12	9	9	9	6	19	9	11
Not so strong Republican	2	2	2	2	3	2	4	1	3	2	4	2	1	2	3	1
Strong Republican	8	3	16	10	11	8	8	8	9	7	5	7	5	16	6	10
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	13	10	12	15	15	6	16	9	13	13	12	10	11	14	11	12
No, not registered to vote	10	9	12	8	5	5	13	6	10	10	12	8	7	11	10	9
DK/NA	3	1	0	6	10	1	3	3	3	3	1	2	4	4	1	4

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
DEMOCRAT	47%	46%	43%	54%	39%	71%	38%	42%	65%	63%	43%	62%	41%
Strong Democrat	38	35	40	46	29	67	27	37	57	56	31	55	35
Not so strong Democrat	8	12	3	8	10	4	12	5	9	7	11	8	6
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	30	28	40	23	24	18	27	39	23	25	23	23	37
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	4	5	1	1	0	5	6	6	1	6	4
Independent/no leans	24	23	34	16	18	17	22	33	17	19	13	17	31
Independent/lean Republican	2	2	2	1	5	0	5	1	0	0	9	0	2
REPUBLICAN	10	11	10	9	23	7	22	7	4	9	27	10	5
Not so strong Republican	2	4	1	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	6	2	1
Strong Republican	8	7	9	8	22	5	19	4	3	7	21	8	4
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	13%	15%	8%	14%	14%	4%	12%	12%	7%	3%	7%	4%	18%
No, not registered to vote	10	12	6	10	10	3	9	9	6	3	3	4	14
DK/NA	3	3	2	4	4	1	3	3	1	0	4	0	4

TABLE 50: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP						
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	47%	93%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%
Strong Democrat	38	76	0	0	82	0	0	100	0	0	87	80	0
Not so strong Democrat	8	16	0	0	18	0	0	0	100	0	13	20	0
<u>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</u>	30	7	100	17	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/lean Democrat	4	7	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/no leans	24	0	100	0	0	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/lean Republican	2	0	0	17	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	10	0	0	83	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	100
Not so strong Republican	2	0	0	20	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	15
Strong Republican	8	0	0	63	0	0	76	0	0	100	0	0	85
<u>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</u>	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No, not registered to vote	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	47%	35%	53%	39%	62%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%
Strong Democrat	38	23	41	32	60	72	90	0	0	75	88	0	0
Not so strong Democrat	8	12	12	7	2	28	10	0	0	25	12	0	0
<u>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</u>	30	33	33	33	22	0	0	100	100	0	0	100	100
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	5	4	3	0	0	11	13	0	0	11	14
Independent/no leans	24	28	26	26	18	0	0	83	78	0	0	81	79
Independent/lean Republican	2	2	2	3	1	0	0	6	9	0	0	8	7
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	10	11	13	12	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not so strong Republican	2	1	3	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strong Republican	8	10	10	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</u>	13	21	1	16	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No, not registered to vote	10	17	1	12	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	3	4	0	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 50: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	47%	50%	45%	35%	49%	40%	43%	54%	41%	39%	53%	60%	39%	49%	53%	35%	42%	34%	55%
Strong Democrat	38	45	31	29	41	32	30	50	34	29	45	49	30	42	44	24	35	29	47
Not so strong Democrat	8	6	14	7	8	8	14	3	6	11	8	10	9	7	9	11	7	4	8
<u>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</u>	30	27	37	34	30	31	36	25	27	35	30	20	31	32	30	30	33	48	25
Independent/lean Democrat	4	2	9	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	5	0	5	3	5	5	4	5	2
Independent/no leans	24	23	25	29	24	26	30	19	22	31	22	20	23	27	22	23	24	43	20
Independent/lean Republican	2	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	2	0	3	0	3	2	2	3	4	0	2
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	10	7	6	22	7	22	7	7	25	17	7	9	13	9	7	22	5	10	9
Not so strong Republican	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	0	3	3	3	0	4	2	5	2
Strong Republican	8	5	3	20	4	19	5	4	24	14	7	6	10	7	7	17	4	5	7
<u>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</u>	13	15	12	8	14	7	14	14	6	8	10	10	17	10	11	13	20	8	11
No, not registered to vote	10	13	9	6	11	5	13	11	3	7	7	5	14	8	7	11	18	5	9
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	1	3	5	2	2	4	2	2	2	2

TABLE 51: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	14%	21%	8%	15%	9%	21%	10%	21%	15%	14%	12%	15%	18%	22%	11%	16%
Strongly favor	7	13	2	8	4	8	4	13	7	8	6	7	10	14	5	8
Somewhat favor	7	8	7	7	5	12	5	8	8	6	6	8	8	8	6	8
<u>TOTAL UNFAVORABLE</u>	30	37	24	26	25	23	38	37	25	38	26	28	44	31	31	29
Somewhat unfavorable	7	11	4	4	7	5	7	14	5	10	6	5	15	4	4	11
Strongly unfavorable	23	26	20	22	18	18	31	23	19	28	20	23	29	27	27	18
<u>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</u>	56	43	68	59	66	57	52	42	60	49	62	58	38	47	57	55
No opinion/don't know	53	39	65	58	60	54	49	41	57	46	59	55	37	40	55	53
Refused	3	4	3	1	6	3	3	1	3	2	3	3	1	7	3	3
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32	
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	14%	9%	17%	14%	18%	2%	11%	23%	14%	12%	8%	24%	13%	17%	9%	
Strongly favor	7	3	9	9	8	0	5	13	9	8	5	8	8	7	0	
Somewhat favor	7	6	8	5	11	2	6	10	5	4	3	17	5	11	9	
<u>TOTAL UNFAVORABLE</u>	30	33	28	38	26	17	31	27	38	27	20	30	33	26	29	
Somewhat unfavorable	7	5	8	10	5	3	8	5	6	5	10	13	5	12	2	
Strongly unfavorable	23	28	20	28	21	14	23	22	32	22	10	17	27	14	27	
<u>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</u>	56	57	55	48	56	80	58	50	48	61	72	46	54	57	62	
No opinion/don't know	53	54	52	46	52	77	55	47	47	59	69	40	53	52	54	
Refused	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	1	2	3	6	1	5	8	

TABLE 51: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	NO		YES	NO
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
TOTAL FAVOR	14%	15%	17%	11%	16%	6%	12%	17%	15%	14%	26%	11%	5%	22%	19%	12%
Strongly favor	7	10	6	3	7	0	4	10	5	9	16	3	1	11	10	5
Somewhat favor	7	5	11	8	9	6	7	7	10	5	10	8	3	11	9	7
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	30	31	23	35	38	42	34	27	28	33	29	23	31	40	26	35
Somewhat unfavorable	7	5	10	10	16	7	10	5	7	8	6	8	8	10	7	9
Strongly unfavorable	23	26	13	25	23	34	24	22	21	25	23	16	23	30	20	26
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	56	54	61	54	46	52	55	56	57	54	46	66	64	39	55	53
No opinion/don't know	53	53	56	49	45	49	54	52	54	51	42	61	62	36	51	51
Refused	3	1	4	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	4	4	2	2	4	2
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB					UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA		
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226			
TOTAL FAVOR	14%	15%	12%	16%	38%	6%	26%	11%	5%	0%	100%	0%	0%			
Strongly favor	7	9	4	7	24	5	13	7	2	0	50	0	0			
Somewhat favor	7	6	9	9	14	1	13	4	3	0	50	0	0			
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	30	30	30	31	20	58	19	31	44	100	0	100	0			
Somewhat unfavorable	7	9	4	9	11	1	10	9	3	0	0	24	0			
Strongly unfavorable	23	22	26	22	8	57	9	21	42	100	0	76	0			
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	56	55	58	53	42	36	55	58	51	0	0	0	100			
No opinion/don't know	53	51	55	52	40	33	52	55	49	0	0	0	95			
Refused	3	4	3	1	2	4	3	3	2	0	0	0	5			

TABLE 51: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP				DEM	DEM	REP
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	14%	12%	8%	42%	13%	11%	39%	11%	22%	39%	4%	19%	47%
Strongly favor	7	7	5	16	7	7	11	8	4	10	2	11	11
Somewhat favor	7	5	2	26	6	4	28	3	18	29	2	8	35
<u>TOTAL UNFAVORABLE</u>	30	40	21	25	40	23	32	41	33	32	49	34	26
Somewhat unfavorable	7	10	3	9	9	4	11	9	10	13	2	13	12
Strongly unfavorable	23	31	18	16	31	19	20	32	23	20	47	21	14
<u>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</u>	56	48	71	32	48	66	29	48	45	29	47	47	27
No opinion/don't know	53	46	65	31	46	61	28	47	42	27	44	47	27
Refused	3	1	6	1	2	5	1	1	3	2	2	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	14%	20%	22%	10%	6%	20%	6%	17%	5%	17%	10%	9%	13%
Strongly favor	7	12	15	2	1	14	1	14	0	8	7	4	11
Somewhat favor	7	9	6	8	6	6	5	3	5	9	3	5	3
<u>TOTAL UNFAVORABLE</u>	30	27	50	22	27	46	33	32	14	33	47	23	22
Somewhat unfavorable	7	6	17	4	3	14	4	9	0	3	14	6	1
Strongly unfavorable	23	21	33	18	24	32	29	24	14	30	32	17	21
<u>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</u>	56	53	29	68	67	34	60	51	81	50	43	67	65
No opinion/don't know	53	49	28	65	64	31	60	45	77	48	43	64	58
Refused	3	4	1	2	3	3	0	5	5	1	0	4	7

TABLE 51: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST	
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
<u>TOTAL FAVOR</u>	14%	12%	8%	28%	11%	25%	15%	7%	36%	13%	15%	12%	13%	16%	16%	9%	15%	19%	14%
Strongly favor	7	7	2	14	6	12	11	1	19	3	10	4	4	9	10	3	5	10	9
Somewhat favor	7	5	6	15	5	13	5	6	17	9	5	8	9	6	6	6	10	9	5
<u>TOTAL UNFAVORABLE</u>	30	24	36	35	27	40	33	22	47	31	26	28	31	31	25	40	26	26	33
Somewhat unfavorable	7	5	11	10	7	9	11	3	12	5	8	3	11	5	7	9	12	0	8
Strongly unfavorable	23	19	25	24	21	31	22	19	35	26	18	24	21	26	18	32	13	26	26
<u>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</u>	56	64	56	37	62	35	52	71	17	56	59	61	56	54	59	51	59	56	53
No opinion/don't know	53	62	53	32	59	31	49	68	10	54	59	59	48	53	59	45	51	56	51
Refused	3	2	3	5	3	4	3	3	6	2	0	2	7	1	0	6	8	0	1

TABLE 52: Q22. EDUCATION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	75%	73%	77%	83%	73%	69%	76%	79%	75%	77%	78%	73%	77%	68%	83%	69%
Grades 1-8	3	3	3	3	0	6	3	4	3	3	2	4	3	5	4	1
Grades 9-11	15	10	20	6	11	12	26	18	9	23	8	19	21	8	21	11
High school graduate (12)	36	37	35	47	43	33	30	32	41	31	45	32	29	26	41	33
Community college/some/bus	21	24	19	27	19	19	18	25	22	20	23	18	24	29	17	24
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	24	27	22	17	27	30	24	21	24	23	22	26	23	32	17	30
College graduate (4)	21	23	20	15	20	26	20	21	21	20	18	22	23	27	15	26
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	4	3	2	6	4	5	0	4	3	4	5	0	5	2	4
<u>REF</u>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	75%	82%	71%	80%	69%	78%	77%	72%	75%	84%	78%	57%	79%	66%	80%	
Grades 1-8	3	6	1	3	3	1	3	3	4	2	3	4	3	4	0	
Grades 9-11	15	30	5	14	15	19	17	10	9	24	13	8	16	10	27	
High school graduate (12)	36	32	39	38	31	44	35	38	34	39	38	32	36	35	43	
Community college/some/bus	21	14	26	25	19	13	21	22	29	19	23	13	24	17	10	
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	24	18	29	20	31	21	23	27	25	16	22	43	21	34	17	
College graduate (4)	21	14	26	16	29	15	20	23	19	13	20	41	16	32	16	
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	3	3	4	2	7	3	4	6	2	2	2	4	2	1	
<u>REF</u>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	

TABLE 52: Q22. EDUCATION

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER										
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
NON-COLLEGE	75%	79%	67%	80%	81%	80%	77%	73%	74%	77%	75%	79%	88%	61%	77%	76%
Grades 1-8	3	3	0	7	13	3	3	3	3	3	5	1	4	4	3	4
Grades 9-11	15	14	13	15	18	13	14	16	14	16	10	23	9	12	16	10
High school graduate (12)	36	37	38	36	41	32	37	35	38	34	46	29	41	29	38	36
Community college/some/bus	21	25	17	21	9	32	23	19	19	23	13	26	33	17	19	26
COLLEGE+	24	21	33	20	19	20	23	26	26	23	25	21	12	39	23	24
College graduate (4)	21	17	32	18	19	14	19	23	23	19	24	19	11	31	21	19
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	4	1	3	0	6	3	3	3	4	2	2	1	9	2	5
REF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
NON-COLLEGE	75%	71%	80%	83%	74%	72%	75%	77%	73%	69%	59%	69%	85%
Grades 1-8	3	3	1	5	13	0	7	3	0	3	9	2	3
Grades 9-11	15	8	29	17	8	12	14	17	14	14	5	12	18
High school graduate (12)	36	35	31	46	40	36	36	37	33	28	32	29	42
Community college/some/bus	21	24	19	15	14	23	18	21	25	24	12	26	22
COLLEGE+	24	29	20	17	26	28	25	23	27	31	41	31	15
College graduate (4)	21	24	19	17	24	24	23	20	21	22	41	23	14
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	5	1	1	1	5	2	3	6	10	1	8	1
REF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 52: Q22. EDUCATION

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP				DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	75%	79%	74%	55%	79%	75%	49%	80%	75%	41%	72%	83%	45%
Grades 1-8	3	4	1	0	4	1	0	5	0	0	0	7	0
Grades 9-11	15	18	13	6	18	13	3	22	1	0	20	18	5
High school graduate (12)	36	35	38	35	36	35	34	36	38	32	34	37	28
Community college/some/bus	21	23	22	14	20	26	12	17	36	9	18	21	12
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	24	21	26	45	21	25	51	20	25	59	28	17	55
College graduate (4)	21	17	25	39	16	24	45	16	17	52	19	14	52
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	5	1	6	5	1	6	4	8	7	9	3	4
<u>REF</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	75%	76%	72%	74%	81%	74%	83%	78%	72%	77%	82%	80%	70%
Grades 1-8	3	4	1	2	5	4	4	2	0	5	3	2	0
Grades 9-11	15	6	15	13	30	8	26	13	13	12	25	6	24
High school graduate (12)	36	45	27	37	34	36	37	36	34	40	33	45	21
Community college/some/bus	21	20	29	23	13	26	16	27	25	19	21	27	25
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	24	24	28	25	19	26	17	22	28	23	18	20	30
College graduate (4)	21	21	22	20	19	19	14	20	27	18	13	17	30
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	3	6	5	0	7	3	2	1	6	5	2	0
<u>REF</u>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 52: Q22. EDUCATION

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	75%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	78%	69%	73%	78%	79%	64%	81%	70%	81%
Grades 1-8	3	6	0	0	4	0	4	4	0	0	3	0	2	5	3	1	3	0	7
Grades 9-11	15	28	0	0	20	0	13	26	0	0	25	20	10	15	25	11	9	16	15
High school graduate (12)	36	66	0	0	48	0	50	46	0	0	34	31	35	38	33	29	42	33	40
Community college/some/bus	21	0	100	0	28	0	32	24	0	0	16	18	25	20	17	22	28	20	19
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	24	0	0	100	0	100	0	0	100	100	22	31	27	22	21	36	19	30	18
College graduate (4)	21	0	0	100	0	86	0	0	85	88	20	22	22	21	20	27	17	26	18
Post-graduate work/prof schl	3	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	15	12	2	9	5	1	2	9	2	4	0
<u>REF</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

TABLE 53: GENDER

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Men	48%	100%	0%	58%	45%	46%	46%	45%	50%	46%	52%	45%	48%	56%	45%	50%
Women	52	0	100	42	55	54	54	55	50	54	48	55	52	44	55	50
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
Men	48%	42%	52%	57%	39%	45%	47%	52%	53%	51%	40%	49%	52%	45%	24%	
Women	52	58	48	43	61	55	53	48	47	49	60	51	48	55	76	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Men	48%	54%	43%	42%	47%	46%	48%	48%	48%	48%	44%	39%	51%	64%	41%	57%
Women	52	46	57	58	53	54	52	52	52	52	56	61	49	36	59	43
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
Men	48%	54%	44%	39%	58%	45%	56%	46%	44%	54%	70%	59%	37%			
Women	52	46	56	61	42	55	44	54	56	46	30	41	63			

TABLE 53: GENDER

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
Men	48%	44%	54%	52%	44%	53%	54%	39%	69%	60%	42%	46%	73%
Women	52	56	46	48	56	47	46	61	31	40	58	54	27

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		DEM		IND/L	
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Men	48%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	47%	42%	50%	55%
Women	52	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	100	53	58	50	45

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL															
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150	
Men	48%	44%	54%	52%	47%	53%	100%	0%	100%	0%	43%	51%	51%	47%	42%	54%	49%	46%	47%	
Women	52	56	46	48	53	47	0	100	0	100	57	49	49	53	58	46	51	54	53	

TABLE 54: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
English	73%	85%	63%	94%	74%	73%	65%	61%	81%	63%	84%	69%	62%	80%	61%	84%
Spanish	27	15	37	6	26	27	35	39	19	37	16	31	38	20	39	16

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
English	73%	45%	92%	79%	68%	72%	69%	83%	76%	66%	79%	86%	71%	83%	52%
Spanish	27	55	8	21	32	28	31	17	24	34	21	14	29	17	48

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
English	73%	67%	83%	73%	74%	78%	75%	72%	70%	77%	77%	68%	68%	82%	73%	74%
Spanish	27	33	17	27	26	22	25	28	30	23	23	32	32	18	27	26

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
English	73%	85%	61%	58%	84%	66%	80%	71%	69%	68%	84%	74%	69%
Spanish	27	15	39	42	16	34	20	29	31	32	16	26	31

TABLE 54: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM				REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
English	73%	67%	77%	84%	66%	78%	85%	59%	97%	84%	63%	69%	92%
Spanish	27	33	23	16	34	22	15	41	3	16	37	31	8

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L	IND/L	DEM		IND/L	IND/L	
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
English	73%	90%	77%	72%	52%	83%	53%	82%	73%	71%	61%	82%	70%
Spanish	27	10	23	28	48	17	47	18	27	29	39	18	30

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER					CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL		COLL+	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH		SOUTH	MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN						WOMEN	EAST			
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
English	73%	61%	84%	90%	68%	91%	82%	55%	90%	91%	72%	75%	73%	74%	72%	72%	74%	81%	71%
Spanish	27	39	16	10	32	9	18	45	10	9	28	25	27	26	28	28	26	19	29

TABLE 55: CENSUS REGION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Northeast	14%	12%	15%	9%	16%	15%	16%	14%	13%	15%	13%	14%	17%	12%	5%	23%
Midwest	9	9	9	11	5	10	15	2	8	10	8	14	1	0	13	7
South	36	38	34	39	40	39	26	38	39	30	40	29	40	82	28	35
West	41	40	42	41	39	37	43	46	39	44	40	42	41	6	54	35
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
Northeast	14%	18%	11%	18%	12%	7%	16%	9%	12%	18%	10%	12%	15%	11%	19%	
Midwest	9	9	9	10	10	4	11	5	10	12	0	10	11	6	8	
South	36	39	34	36	34	41	35	39	40	33	34	37	37	36	30	
West	41	34	46	36	44	47	39	46	38	37	55	41	38	47	42	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Northeast	14%	14%	8%	13%	15%	13%	17%	11%	14%	14%	11%	13%	13%	7%	12%	11%
Midwest	9	13	9	6	2	5	8	10	9	9	8	15	8	12	11	9
South	36	35	30	32	33	33	38	34	36	36	35	22	39	33	29	36
West	41	39	53	49	51	50	37	45	41	41	46	50	40	49	48	44
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY							
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
Northeast	14%	11%	19%	15%	10%	17%	11%	14%	18%	10%	13%	11%	13%			
Midwest	9%	11%	9%	4%	10%	3%	9%	11%	8%	11%	8%	9%	11%			
South	36	40	32	31	38	28	44	31	33	30	30	34	33			
West	41	39	39	50	41	52	37	44	42	50	49	46	43			

TABLE 55: CENSUS REGION

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP	
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34	
Northeast	14%	16%	13%	11%	16%	14%	9%	16%	14%	12%	21%	13%	9%	
Midwest	9	11	8	7	12	6	8	12	11	7	9	14	4	
South	36	31	34	47	30	37	45	28	39	47	26	30	46	
West	41	42	45	36	43	43	37	44	35	34	44	43	41	

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Northeast	14%	12%	14%	15%	17%	15%	17%	10%	18%	16%	16%	14%	14%
Midwest	9	12	7	5	13	16	8	4	8	10	13	6	7
South	36	42	32	37	29	34	27	41	33	35	25	39	32
West	41	35	47	43	41	36	48	45	41	39	45	41	48

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER					CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
Northeast	14%	16%	11%	13%	15%	12%	12%	16%	13%	12%	100%	0%	0%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Midwest	9	8	8	9	8	11	11	6	5	18	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South	36	32	43	38	35	40	37	33	41	39	0	0	100	0	3	100	100	0	0
West	41	44	38	40	42	36	39	45	42	31	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	100	100

TABLE 56: REGION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Northeast	14%	12%	16%	9%	17%	16%	16%	14%	14%	15%	13%	14%	18%	12%	5%	24%
Midwest	6	8	4	10	4	6	8	2	7	6	7	8	1	0	8	6
South	17	19	15	18	18	19	15	18	18	16	18	15	20	75	6	18
South Central	18	19	18	21	21	19	11	20	20	14	21	14	19	7	22	17
Central Plains	3	1	4	1	1	3	7	0	2	5	1	6	0	0	5	2
Mountain	12	12	13	3	11	12	16	20	9	17	7	14	19	0	15	12
West	29	28	29	37	28	25	27	26	30	27	33	28	22	6	39	23
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
Northeast	14%	19%	11%	18%	13%	7%	16%	9%	12%	19%	10%	12%	15%	11%	19%	
Midwest	6	5	7	8	5	4	8	2	9	7	0	4	8	3	8	
South	17	24	13	19	15	18	17	17	21	17	13	16	19	15	13	
South Central	18	14	21	18	17	23	17	22	19	15	22	21	17	21	17	
Central Plains	3	3	3	2	4	0	3	3	1	5	0	5	3	3	0	
Mountain	12	7	16	8	15	18	11	17	14	8	24	8	11	15	12	
West	29	28	29	28	29	29	28	29	25	29	32	33	26	33	30	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Northeast	14%	14%	8%	13%	15%	13%	17%	12%	14%	14%	11%	13%	14%	7%	12%	11%
Midwest	6	8	4	5	0	5	6	6	7	5	2	8	5	12	5	8
South	17	16	10	12	17	12	19	15	18	17	12	10	19	12	11	16
South Central	18	18	20	20	16	20	19	18	18	19	23	12	19	21	18	20
Central Plains	3	5	5	1	2	0	2	4	2	4	6	7	3	0	7	1
Mountain	12	13	17	10	7	10	10	15	9	16	12	13	9	19	12	13
West	29	26	35	38	43	40	28	30	32	25	34	38	31	30	36	30

TABLE 56: REGION

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	
	Total	CELL	EQUAL		LNDLN								
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
Northeast	14%	11%	19%	15%	10%	17%	11%	15%	18%	10%	14%	11%	13%
Midwest	6	9	4	3	7	2	7	6	6	9	6	8	6
South	17	18	17	15	16	11	22	14	18	19	9	18	13
South Central	18	21	15	16	22	17	22	16	15	11	20	16	20
Central Plains	3	2	5	1	3	1	2	5	2	2	3	1	5
Mountain	12	10	13	18	7	22	7	15	15	15	17	11	13
West	29	29	26	32	35	30	29	29	27	35	31	35	30
Q20 PARTY SELF-ID													
										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM	REP	INDEP	STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS				
Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
Northeast	14%	16%	13%	11%	16%	14%	9%	16%	15%	12%	21%	14%	9%
Midwest	6	8	4	5	8	3	6	8	8	7	7	9	4
South	17	14	16	33	13	17	36	11	23	38	15	11	37
South Central	18	17	18	14	16	20	9	17	15	9	11	19	9
Central Plains	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	0	2	4	0
Mountain	12	10	22	10	9	20	12	9	7	8	15	5	10
West	29	33	24	25	34	23	25	35	28	26	29	38	31
GENDER BY AGE													
					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	
Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Northeast	14%	12%	14%	16%	17%	15%	17%	10%	18%	17%	16%	14%	15%
Midwest	6	10	7	4	5	14	4	3	3	8	8	5	0
South	17	20	20	17	12	16	11	19	16	17	11	17	18
South Central	18	22	12	19	16	17	16	22	17	18	14	22	12
Central Plains	3	2	0	1	8	2	5	1	6	2	5	1	7
Mountain	12	5	21	12	14	6	11	19	20	6	12	13	31
West	29	29	26	31	27	29	37	25	21	33	34	28	16

TABLE 56: REGION

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
Northeast	14%	16%	11%	14%	15%	13%	12%	17%	13%	13%	100%	0%	1%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Midwest	6	6	7	4	6	7	9	3	5	9	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South	17	13	18	22	15	26	17	12	26	26	0	0	48	0	0	100	0	0	0
South Central	18	18	24	15	20	14	20	20	15	13	0	0	51	0	0	0	100	0	0
Central Plains	3	3	1	5	2	4	2	3	0	9	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mountain	12	11	12	15	11	15	11	12	14	17	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	100	0
West	29	33	26	24	31	21	28	33	28	14	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 57: CENSUS DIVISION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN	MEXCN	OTHER
														ANCST	ANCST	ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
New England	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%
Mid-Atlantic	12	11	14	8	16	12	13	13	12	13	12	12	15	10	5	20
East North Central	6	8	4	10	4	6	8	2	7	6	7	8	1	0	8	6
West North Central	3	1	4	1	1	3	7	0	2	5	1	6	0	0	5	2
South Atlantic	18	19	16	18	19	20	15	18	19	16	18	15	21	75	6	18
West South Central	18	19	18	21	21	19	11	20	20	14	21	14	19	7	22	17
Mountain	12	12	13	3	11	12	16	20	9	17	7	14	19	0	15	12
West	29	28	29	37	28	25	27	26	30	27	33	28	22	6	39	23
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
New England	2%	4%	0%	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	
Mid-Atlantic	12	14	11	15	11	7	14	9	9	16	10	12	12	11	17	
East North Central	6	5	7	8	5	4	8	2	9	7	0	4	8	3	8	
West North Central	3	3	3	2	4	0	3	3	1	5	0	5	3	3	0	
South Atlantic	18	25	13	19	16	18	18	17	21	18	13	16	20	15	13	
West South Central	18	14	21	18	17	23	17	22	19	15	22	21	17	21	17	
Mountain	12	7	16	8	15	18	11	17	14	8	24	8	11	15	12	
West	29	28	29	28	29	29	28	29	25	29	32	33	26	33	30	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
New England	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Mid-Atlantic	12	12	7	12	13	12	14	10	13	12	10	11	12	7	10	10
East North Central	6	8	4	5	0	5	6	6	7	5	2	8	5	12	5	8
West North Central	3	5	5	1	2	0	2	4	2	4	6	7	3	0	7	1
South Atlantic	18	17	10	12	17	12	19	16	18	17	12	10	20	12	11	17
West South Central	18	18	20	20	16	20	19	18	18	19	23	12	19	21	18	20
Mountain	12	13	17	10	7	10	10	15	9	16	12	13	9	19	12	13
West	29	26	35	38	43	40	28	30	32	25	34	38	31	30	36	30

TABLE 57: CENSUS DIVISION

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	
	Total	CELL	EQUAL		LIB								
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
New England	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%	3%	0%	1%
Mid-Atlantic	12	9	18	12	9	14	10	12	16	9	10	10	12
East North Central	6	9	4	3	7	2	7	6	6	9	6	8	6
West North Central	3	2	5	1	3	1	2	5	2	2	3	1	5
South Atlantic	18	19	17	15	16	11	22	14	18	19	10	18	13
West South Central	18	21	15	16	22	17	22	16	15	11	20	16	20
Mountain	12	10	13	18	7	22	7	15	15	15	17	11	13
West	29	29	26	32	35	30	29	29	27	35	31	35	30
	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	W/LNS		W/LNS		DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
New England	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	0%
Mid-Atlantic	12	14	11	11	14	12	9	14	12	12	19	10	9
East North Central	6	8	4	5	8	3	6	8	8	7	7	9	4
West North Central	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	0	2	4	0
South Atlantic	18	14	16	33	13	18	36	11	24	38	15	11	37
West South Central	18	17	18	14	16	20	9	17	15	9	11	19	9
Mountain	12	10	22	10	9	20	12	9	7	8	15	5	10
West	29	33	24	25	34	23	25	35	28	26	29	38	31
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	
	Total	18-49	50+		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
New England	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
Mid-Atlantic	12	10	12	14	14	13	14	8	17	14	13	12	13
East North Central	6	10	7	4	5	14	4	3	3	8	8	5	0
West North Central	3	2	0	1	8	2	5	1	6	2	5	1	7
South Atlantic	18	20	20	18	13	16	11	19	16	17	11	17	19
West South Central	18	22	12	19	16	17	16	22	17	18	14	22	12
Mountain	12	5	21	12	14	6	11	19	20	6	12	13	31
West	29	29	26	31	27	29	37	25	21	33	34	28	16

TABLE 57: CENSUS DIVISION

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
New England	2%	1%	4%	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	12%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mid-Atlantic	12	15	7	13	12	12	10	15	13	11	88	0	0	0	85	0	0	0	0
East North Central	6	6	7	4	6	7	9	3	5	9	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West North Central	3	3	1	5	2	4	2	3	0	9	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Atlantic	18	14	19	23	15	26	17	13	26	26	0	0	49	0	3	100	0	0	0
West South Central	18	18	24	15	20	14	20	20	15	13	0	0	51	0	0	0	100	0	0
Mountain	12	11	12	15	11	15	11	12	14	17	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	100	0
West	29	33	26	24	31	21	28	33	28	14	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 58: A/B SPLIT

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
A Split	50%	50%	50%	50%	51%	49%	50%	50%	50%	50%	51%	48%	52%	43%	52%	49%
B Split	50	50	50	50	49	51	50	50	50	50	49	52	48	57	48	51

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
A Split	50%	51%	49%	52%	49%	46%	52%	45%	49%	50%	50%	48%	49%	49%	60%
B Split	50	49	51	48	51	54	48	55	51	50	50	52	51	51	40

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
A Split	50%	50%	43%	55%	48%	57%	50%	50%	100%	0%	51%	48%	51%	40%	50%	46%
B Split	50	50	57	45	52	43	50	50	0	100	49	52	49	60	50	54

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
A Split	50%	50%	47%	54%	48%	48%	48%	47%	56%	46%	51%	46%	51%
B Split	50	50	53	46	52	52	52	53	44	54	49	54	49

TABLE 58: A/B SPLIT

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
A Split	50%	46%	56%	54%	48%	51%	56%	48%	46%	54%	51%	45%	47%
B Split	50	54	44	46	52	49	44	52	54	46	49	55	53

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
A Split	50%	47%	52%	53%	48%	54%	43%	43%	60%	50%	47%	53%	46%
B Split	50	53	48	47	52	46	57	57	40	50	53	47	54

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER					CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	SOUTH	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST			EAST		CNTRL		
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
A Split	50%	51%	44%	55%	49%	53%	49%	49%	52%	54%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	52%	48%	35%	57%
B Split	50	49	56	45	51	47	51	51	48	46	50	50	50	50	50	48	52	65	43

TABLE 59: C/D SPLIT

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
C Split	50%	50%	50%	51%	50%	46%	52%	50%	49%	51%	51%	48%	52%	46%	52%	49%
D Split	50	50	50	49	50	54	48	50	51	49	49	52	48	54	48	51

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
C Split	50%	52%	49%	47%	52%	55%	50%	50%	50%	52%	48%	48%	51%	48%	50%
D Split	50	48	51	53	48	45	50	50	50	48	52	52	49	52	50

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
C Split	50%	51%	55%	43%	33%	54%	54%	46%	50%	50%	56%	43%	49%	53%	50%	51%
D Split	50	49	45	57	67	46	46	54	50	50	44	57	51	47	50	49

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
C Split	50%	54%	47%	44%	48%	50%	48%	53%	50%	50%	46%	51%	50%
D Split	50	46	53	56	52	50	52	47	50	50	54	49	50

TABLE 59: C/D SPLIT

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM				REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
C Split	50%	47%	58%	47%	46%	57%	50%	46%	48%	55%	41%	50%	51%
D Split	50	53	42	53	54	43	50	54	52	45	59	50	49

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L	IND/L	DEM		IND/L	IND/L	
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
C Split	50%	51%	49%	48%	53%	46%	47%	59%	54%	48%	46%	52%	62%
D Split	50	49	51	52	47	54	53	41	46	52	54	48	38

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER					CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL		COLL+	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH		MNTN	WEST	
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN				WEST	EAST			SOUTH
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
C Split	50%	51%	48%	47%	50%	50%	51%	50%	47%	52%	50%	50%	50%	50%	51%	46%	53%	51%	50%
D Split	50	49	52	53	50	50	49	50	53	48	50	50	50	50	49	54	47	49	50

TABLE 60: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
Q15 first	50%	50%	50%	53%	51%	46%	47%	58%	50%	51%	52%	47%	55%	61%	45%	53%
Q16 first	50	50	50	47	49	54	53	42	50	49	48	53	45	39	55	47
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38	
Q15 first	50%	51%	49%	54%	47%	48%	49%	51%	56%	48%	36%	53%	52%	46%	50%	
Q16 first	50	49	51	46	53	52	51	49	44	52	64	47	48	54	50	
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
Q15 first	50%	50%	48%	53%	44%	67%	100%	0%	50%	49%	51%	55%	45%	52%	53%	48%
Q16 first	50	50	52	47	56	33	0	100	50	51	49	45	55	48	47	52
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA			
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226			
Q15 first	50%	51%	43%	58%	57%	50%	53%	45%	53%	52%	41%	56%	50%			
Q16 first	50	49	57	42	43	50	47	55	47	48	59	44	50			

TABLE 60: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	DEM	DEM	REP
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
Q15 first	50%	47%	45%	56%	46%	47%	57%	44%	56%	52%	46%	47%	49%
Q16 first	50	53	55	44	54	53	43	56	44	48	54	53	51

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
Q15 first	50%	51%	49%	49%	52%	49%	45%	46%	48%	46%	49%	43%	51%
Q16 first	50	49	51	51	48	51	55	54	52	54	51	57	49

	Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION					
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	NON-	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	SOUTH	MNTN	WEST	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	COLL		COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN			EAST	WEST	EAST			WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
Q15 first	50%	50%	54%	46%	51%	46%	51%	51%	49%	44%	59%	45%	53%	45%	58%	56%	51%	39%	48%
Q16 first	50	50	46	54	49	54	49	49	51	56	41	55	47	55	42	44	49	61	52

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	525	252	273	99	93	95	144	84	287	228	192	211	112	46	237	243
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	98%	98%
MEN 18-49	27	57	0	58	45	46	0	0	50	0	52	21	0	29	27	27
MEN 50+	20	42	0	0	0	0	46	45	0	46	0	24	48	27	16	22
WOMEN 18-49	27	0	53	42	55	54	0	0	50	0	48	24	0	32	31	23
WOMEN 50+	23	0	45	0	0	0	54	55	0	54	0	31	52	9	24	26
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	35	73	0	51	30	32	34	31	38	33	41	32	34	40	35	34
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	0	77	31	44	37	42	48	37	44	37	41	43	28	48	35
COLL+ MEN	13	27	0	7	15	13	12	14	12	13	11	12	14	16	9	16
COLL+ WOMEN	12	0	22	10	11	16	12	7	13	10	11	14	8	17	8	14
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	76	78	61	81	69	89	78	70	85	71	80	80	72	80	75
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	43	0	22	15	15	27	20	17	24	19	22	21	16	19	23
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	0	50	16	27	17	33	34	20	33	21	27	32	10	33	22
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	33	0	14	17	18	14	17	17	15	16	14	19	27	16	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	0	28	9	22	19	15	7	17	12	15	17	9	19	11	16
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	74	76	61	81	69	89	78	70	85	71	80	80	72	78	73
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	20	21	38	42	31	0	0	37	0	40	14	0	21	23	17
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	22	28	0	0	0	60	54	0	58	0	35	53	5	28	26
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	19	18	23	39	38	0	0	33	0	31	17	0	23	19	17
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	14	10	0	0	0	29	24	0	27	0	14	28	23	8	13
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	52	52	46	48	37	64	59	44	62	47	54	57	35	56	52
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	16	21	15	24	14	23	14	18	19	19	19	15	6	21	19
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	26	28	22	18	17	37	37	19	37	20	29	35	19	31	25
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	10	3	8	7	6	5	8	7	6	8	5	7	9	5	8

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	525	211	314	237	209	79	374	151	173	154	68	92	327	160	38
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	99%	97%	99%	97%	98%	98%	98%	99%	100%	98%	92%	99%	95%	100%
MEN 18-49	27	20	32	33	23	19	26	30	26	31	31	27	28	29	12
MEN 50+	20	22	19	24	13	26	20	20	27	21	9	17	24	14	13
WOMEN 18-49	27	25	29	25	28	31	27	27	24	26	38	22	25	29	45
WOMEN 50+	23	32	18	17	32	22	24	21	22	23	20	26	23	23	31
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	100	100	99	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	98
NON-COLL MEN	35	32	37	48	21	33	35	35	40	41	34	24	40	28	16
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	51	33	32	48	44	41	38	36	43	44	32	39	37	64
COLL+ MEN	13	10	15	9	17	12	11	17	13	10	6	25	12	17	8
COLL+ WOMEN	12	8	14	10	14	9	12	10	11	6	16	19	9	17	9
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	78	76	79	73	80	75	81	82	82	75	66	82	70	63
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	16	23	30	12	14	18	26	24	18	23	19	21	21	14
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	37	19	25	31	15	28	22	28	29	21	21	28	21	32
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	15	16	15	13	26	16	15	18	22	9	13	20	11	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	10	17	9	17	25	13	17	12	13	22	14	13	17	16
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	78	74	78	71	78	74	78	81	82	73	59	81	65	63
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	20	20	27	18	6	19	24	18	20	29	18	19	23	20
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	32	21	27	24	21	26	24	33	26	13	18	29	16	27
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	13	22	14	18	32	18	19	18	22	20	14	20	17	10
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	13	11	10	11	19	12	12	12	14	11	10	13	10	7
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	56	49	58	54	29	52	53	56	49	49	57	52	54	44
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	25	15	24	15	13	19	18	25	19	11	10	22	10	24
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	27	27	30	29	16	27	29	26	27	33	28	27	30	19
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	5	8	4	11	1	6	7	5	3	5	19	4	13	1

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO
Base	525	201	91	114	48	50	262	263	263	262	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	99%	99%	97%	97%	100%	99%	97%	98%	98%	99%	98%	98%	99%	98%	99%
MEN 18-49	27	25	26	29	33	33	28	27	26	29	28	24	28	29	26	29
MEN 50+	20	29	17	11	14	12	20	20	21	19	15	13	23	35	14	28
WOMEN 18-49	27	25	24	35	32	34	27	28	29	26	33	32	29	13	32	22
WOMEN 50+	23	20	32	22	17	20	25	22	23	24	22	29	18	22	26	20
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	35	42	29	29	36	32	36	34	35	36	36	29	45	34	32	41
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	37	38	50	45	47	42	39	40	41	39	50	43	26	44	36
COLL+ MEN	13	12	14	12	11	13	13	13	13	12	8	10	6	30	9	16
COLL+ WOMEN	12	9	19	8	8	7	10	13	12	11	18	11	6	10	14	8
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	85	69	74	72	84	72	82	76	78	79	81	83	67	80	76
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	26	19	19	28	13	20	21	22	19	25	18	25	25	22	25
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	25	23	26	23	33	23	29	23	30	24	36	21	20	30	21
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	18	8	14	8	25	15	17	14	18	12	11	20	17	11	18
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	15	19	15	13	12	14	15	17	11	17	17	18	5	17	12
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	84	68	71	69	84	71	79	74	77	77	79	81	66	78	75
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	19	22	22	22	28	19	22	20	20	28	26	20	11	27	16
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	31	19	20	25	18	25	25	24	27	20	26	24	34	23	28
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	19	10	23	20	26	16	21	19	17	19	11	26	11	15	19
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	14	17	6	1	11	12	11	11	13	10	16	12	11	13	11
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	54	55	51	62	50	49	55	50	55	55	57	49	59	56	53
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	24	8	17	11	21	17	20	19	18	14	22	16	19	18	18
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	27	33	27	39	23	25	29	24	30	35	31	29	24	33	27
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	2	14	7	11	6	6	7	6	7	6	4	3	16	5	8

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA
Base	525	278	151	93	70	69	156	198	149	93	58	123	226
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	98%	98%	99%	100%	93%	98%	99%	97%	99%	100%	99%	97%
MEN 18-49	27	34	19	21	36	17	33	27	21	24	38	24	25
MEN 50+	20	18	25	19	21	22	23	19	20	30	32	35	11
WOMEN 18-49	27	28	30	21	16	23	20	29	34	22	19	20	34
WOMEN 50+	23	17	25	39	26	32	22	24	21	24	10	20	28
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	35	40	32	27	43	26	41	36	29	35	39	39	33
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	31	48	55	31	46	35	41	43	34	20	30	52
COLL+ MEN	13	14	11	12	15	19	15	10	15	19	31	20	4
COLL+ WOMEN	12	15	8	5	11	9	10	13	12	12	10	11	11
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	74	83	77	63	89	66	81	89	88	66	86	77
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	25	16	14	25	28	21	17	27	32	32	35	14
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	21	27	40	14	43	17	25	38	32	11	27	27
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	15	20	14	7	13	12	22	14	15	18	16	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	13	20	9	17	5	16	17	10	10	5	7	23
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	71	81	76	63	82	64	81	85	87	66	85	75
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	22	17	21	15	28	13	17	34	27	25	23	18
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	22	25	33	24	40	23	25	30	36	18	39	20
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	18	22	11	14	5	17	24	12	14	12	14	22
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	9	18	12	10	8	11	15	9	11	12	9	15
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	52	49	57	62	71	60	42	65	67	64	68	43
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	16	21	23	0	71	0	0	65	37	5	30	15
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	30	21	29	39	0	38	42	0	26	37	33	24
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	7	7	6	23	0	22	0	0	4	21	6	3

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	STR	NSTR	STR	LIB DEM	MD/CN	CONS
		W/LNS		W/LNS		W/LNS		DEM	DEM	DEM		DEM	DEM
Base	525	264	128	66	245	159	54	202	43	41	97	143	34
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	98%	98%	98%	97%	98%	98%	97%	98%	97%	97%	97%	100%
MEN 18-49	27	21	31	28	20	30	29	16	39	34	19	22	41
MEN 50+	20	23	21	24	23	21	25	21	30	26	21	25	31
WOMEN 18-49	27	24	29	33	23	30	31	23	24	26	33	17	19
WOMEN 50+	23	30	17	13	31	17	12	36	6	11	24	34	9
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	35	33	42	24	33	41	22	27	59	21	23	39	31
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	46	31	32	46	34	27	53	16	19	48	44	13
COLL+ MEN	13	11	12	29	11	12	32	12	10	39	18	7	41
COLL+ WOMEN	12	10	15	16	10	13	19	9	15	20	10	10	14
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	100	100	17	100	100	0	100	100	0	100	100	0
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	41	0	0	44	0	0	39	69	0	42	46	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	52	0	0	56	0	0	61	31	0	58	54	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	4	54	8	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	4	46	10	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	98	98	17	97	98	0	97	98	0	97	97	0
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	40	0	0	44	0	0	40	62	0	52	38	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	50	0	0	54	0	0	58	36	0	45	59	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	4	60	11	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	3	38	6	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	91	0	52	98	0	62	98	97	70	100	100	100
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	37	0	0	40	0	0	42	30	0	100	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	54	0	0	58	0	0	56	68	0	0	100	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	0	0	52	0	0	62	0	0	70	0	0	100

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
Base	525	143	105	144	123	107	137	84	75	107	132	95	61
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MEN 18-49	27	100	0	0	0	46	0	56	0	47	0	50	0
MEN 50+	20	0	100	0	0	52	0	41	0	0	42	0	55
WOMEN 18-49	27	0	0	100	0	0	41	0	64	53	0	50	0
WOMEN 50+	23	0	0	0	100	0	55	0	36	0	58	0	45
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	35	76	72	0	0	74	0	78	0	36	31	39	46
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	0	0	74	81	0	83	0	72	40	51	41	24
COLL+ MEN	13	24	28	0	0	26	0	22	0	10	11	11	9
COLL+ WOMEN	12	0	0	25	19	0	17	0	28	13	7	9	21
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	68	86	73	84	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	35	53	0	0	100	0	0	0	47	42	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	0	0	39	62	0	100	0	0	53	58	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	33	33	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	50	55
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	0	0	33	22	0	0	0	100	0	0	50	45
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	68	86	73	84	98	97	97	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	35	0	39	0	46	41	0	0	100	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	0	53	0	62	52	55	0	0	0	100	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	33	0	33	0	0	0	56	64	0	0	100	0
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	0	33	0	22	0	0	41	36	0	0	0	100
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	44	63	44	61	99	97	0	0	99	97	0	0
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	13	20	23	19	38	41	0	0	47	34	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	22	34	17	40	62	56	0	0	52	64	0	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	10	10	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 61: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	525	285	111	111	395	129	184	211	68	61	73	47	189	215	76	91	96	65	150
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98%	99%	98%	95%	99%	95%	100%	98%	93%	98%	99%	100%	96%	98%	99%	99%	94%	100%	98%
MEN 18-49	27	28	26	27	28	26	59	0	50	0	23	36	31	23	23	31	33	12	28
MEN 50+	20	16	27	21	19	23	41	0	43	0	19	15	18	23	19	23	13	34	18
WOMEN 18-49	27	26	30	26	27	28	0	51	0	59	29	15	28	29	30	27	28	27	30
WOMEN 50+	23	30	14	21	25	18	0	47	0	38	28	34	19	24	28	17	20	27	22
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99
NON-COLL MEN	35	44	54	0	47	0	100	0	0	0	31	43	36	34	30	35	38	31	35
NON-COLL WOMEN	40	56	46	0	53	0	0	100	0	0	47	26	37	44	48	29	43	39	46
COLL+ MEN	13	0	0	52	0	53	0	0	100	0	12	7	15	13	11	19	11	15	12
COLL+ WOMEN	12	0	0	48	0	47	0	0	0	100	10	24	12	9	10	17	8	16	6
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	77	78	82	69	79	71	79	79	68	75	83	80	70	80	82	65	74	82	80
DEMOCRAT MEN	20	18	25	18	20	22	43	0	41	0	22	36	19	18	21	19	19	11	21
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	26	32	19	17	29	19	0	54	0	39	31	24	20	31	32	16	22	23	34
INDEP W/LNS MEN	16	15	20	16	17	14	36	0	27	0	12	8	18	17	11	17	19	25	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	14	12	17	18	14	17	0	25	0	35	18	13	13	14	18	13	13	23	10
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	75	77	81	64	78	67	79	78	62	72	82	80	67	79	82	65	69	82	77
DEMOCRAT 18-49	20	21	19	17	21	19	21	20	16	23	23	23	20	19	23	20	19	9	24
DEMOCRAT 50+	25	28	25	15	27	18	22	32	22	14	29	36	17	28	29	16	19	24	29
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	18	18	24	15	19	15	20	19	15	14	18	12	20	18	18	18	22	19	18
INDEP W/LNS 50+	12	10	14	16	11	14	15	7	8	21	12	9	10	14	12	12	8	30	7
<u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u>	52	53	47	51	52	55	49	54	61	47	56	63	45	55	56	47	43	39	62
LIB DEMOCRAT	19	18	16	17	18	21	12	22	26	16	27	18	14	20	27	16	11	23	19
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	27	31	27	18	30	19	30	30	15	23	25	42	23	29	26	17	28	11	36
CONS REPUBLICAN	6	4	4	16	4	15	6	2	21	8	4	3	8	6	4	14	3	5	7

TABLE 62: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	GENDER			Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	18-39	40-59	60+	CUBAN ANCST	MEXCN ANCST	OTHER ANCST
Base	406	195	211	74	70	77	113	66	220	179	143	167	89	33	188	185
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	76%	69%	75%	58%	67%	86%	68%	67%	79%	67%	78%	70%	80%	69%	74%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	56	44	49	41	49	64	39	46	54	45	57	45	62	52	45
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	20	25	26	17	18	22	29	21	25	22	21	25	18	18	28
<u>SWING</u>	28	24	31	25	42	33	14	32	33	21	33	22	30	20	31	26
SWING	28	24	31	25	42	33	14	32	33	21	33	22	30	20	31	26
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	23	25	25	38	27	12	26	30	18	31	18	24	20	28	21
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	12	12	17	18	9	7	10	14	8	17	8	10	10	13	11
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	12	13	9	20	17	5	16	15	9	14	10	14	10	15	10
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	406	153	253	180	172	53	285	121	127	114	58	75	241	133	32	
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	75%	70%	68%	74%	82%	75%	66%	90%	77%	68%	69%	84%	68%	0%	
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	60	43	51	44	63	50	49	90	77	0	0	84	0	0	
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	16	27	16	30	19	24	18	0	0	68	69	0	68	0	
<u>SWING</u>	28	25	30	32	26	18	25	34	10	23	32	31	16	32	100	
SWING	28	25	30	32	26	18	25	34	10	23	32	31	16	32	100	
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	22	25	30	21	14	21	31	10	21	29	28	15	28	74	
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	12	12	15	10	9	9	18	0	0	29	28	0	28	33	
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	10	14	16	11	5	12	13	10	21	0	0	15	0	41	

TABLE 62: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST					
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB	PROB	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER	MOVER		FIRST		B		YES	YES		NO	YES
Base	406	201	91	114	48	50	206	200	201	205	92	84	117	87	176	204
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	71%	74%	69%	75%	70%	57%	79%	76%	64%	78%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	100	0	0	0	0	49	50	50	49	30	32	65	60	31	63
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	0	100	0	0	0	21	24	19	26	40	25	14	16	33	15
<u>SWING</u>	28	0	0	100	100	100	29	26	31	25	30	43	21	24	36	22
SWING	28	0	0	100	100	100	29	26	31	25	30	43	21	24	36	22
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	0	0	87	100	100	27	22	26	23	26	39	20	20	32	20
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	0	0	42	100	0	10	14	12	12	9	17	12	12	13	12
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	0	0	44	0	100	16	8	14	10	16	22	7	8	19	8
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY						
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK			
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB		LIB	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA				
Base	406	215	120	67	61	51	121	157	113	93	58	123	226			
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	73%	80%	56%	73%	79%	76%	67%	79%	69%	78%	67%	73%			
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	48	60	37	41	61	47	44	66	56	52	50	48			
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	25	20	19	31	18	29	23	13	13	26	17	24			
<u>SWING</u>	28	27	20	44	27	21	24	33	21	31	22	33	27			
SWING	28	27	20	44	27	21	24	33	21	31	22	33	27			
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	24	16	38	27	16	24	28	19	30	19	32	21			
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	13	7	16	23	7	18	12	6	12	13	15	10			
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	12	9	22	5	9	6	16	13	18	6	17	12			

TABLE 62: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		STR DEM	NSTR DEM	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	CONS REP
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP						
Base	406	209	98	50	193	124	40	164	30	31	75	116	26
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	73%	76%	66%	74%	74%	67%	73%	77%	65%	75%	74%	69%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	54	56	24	54	54	24	52	62	18	65	47	19
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	19	20	42	20	20	43	21	14	47	10	26	50
<u>SWING</u>	28	27	24	34	26	26	33	27	23	35	25	26	31
SWING	28	27	24	34	26	26	33	27	23	35	25	26	31
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	25	21	31	25	23	29	25	22	30	22	26	31
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	13	5	19	13	8	17	13	8	17	7	16	21
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	12	16	11	12	15	12	12	14	13	14	10	11

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+
		18-49	50+	18-49	50+								
Base	406	108	86	113	93	92	101	61	64	84	103	74	51
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	69%	85%	65%	73%	76%	71%	73%	74%	70%	78%	64%	87%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	47	67	45	43	57	50	61	47	46	62	52	57
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	22	18	19	31	19	21	12	27	24	17	13	30
<u>SWING</u>	28	31	15	35	27	24	29	27	26	30	22	36	13
SWING	28	31	15	35	27	24	29	27	26	30	22	36	13
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	31	15	29	20	22	27	27	19	30	21	31	12
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	15	8	14	9	15	11	6	10	13	12	13	1
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	16	7	15	11	7	17	21	10	17	9	18	11

TABLE 62: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	MNTN	WEST
Base	406	222	89	83	311	95	145	166	51	45	50	41	134	181	51	56	77	53	128
<u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u>	72%	70%	73%	76%	71%	76%	77%	66%	72%	79%	70%	84%	73%	69%	71%	75%	71%	78%	66%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	50	49	56	41	51	44	58	45	48	41	55	64	52	43	56	58	47	48	41
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	22	21	17	35	20	31	19	21	25	38	15	20	20	27	15	16	24	30	25
<u>SWING</u>	28	30	27	24	29	24	23	34	28	21	30	16	27	31	29	25	29	22	34
SWING	28	30	27	24	29	24	23	34	28	21	30	16	27	31	29	25	29	22	34
<u>MOVERS</u>	24	27	23	20	25	20	23	27	24	16	28	8	24	27	27	25	23	16	32
POSITIVE MOVERS	12	16	5	11	13	10	12	13	11	8	15	2	12	13	14	14	10	7	16
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	11	18	9	13	11	11	14	13	8	13	6	12	14	13	11	13	9	16