TABLE 1:	QA. TYPE OF PHONE
TABLE 2:	QB. CELL PHONE USAGE
TABLE 3:	QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE
TABLE 4:	Q2. AGE
TABLE 5:	Q3. ETHNICITY
TABLE 6:	Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?
TABLE 7:	Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?
TABLE 8:	Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?
TABLE 9:	Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?
TABLE 10:	Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?
TABLE 11:	Q8A. I would like to ask you about America?s relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba
TABLE 12:	Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?
TABLE 13:	Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?
TABLE 14:	Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)
TABLE 15:	Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?
TABLE 16:	Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?
TABLE 17:	Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\int_{\cdot\}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)
TABLE 18:	Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens
TABLE 19:	Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba70
TABLE 20:	Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

TABLE 21:	Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling
TABLE 22:	Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States
TABLE 23:	Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year
TABLE 24:	Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials
TABLE 25:	Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power
TABLE 26:	Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses
TABLE 27:	Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland102
TABLE 28:	Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel.  We do not do that with any other country
TABLE 29:	Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?
TABLE 30:	Q14. Thousands of A1-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program.  Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?
TABLE 31:	Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

TABLE 32:	Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy	L <b>22</b>
TABLE 33:	Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba?s government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores	L26
TABLE 34:	Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests	L30
TABLE 35:	Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America	L34
TABLE 36:	Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status1	L38
TABLE 37:	Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime	L <b>4</b> 2
TABLE 38:	Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea	1.6

TABLE	39:	Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall
TABLE	40:	Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?
TABLE	41:	Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?
TABLE	42:	Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)162
TABLE	43:	Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?
TABLE	44:	Q19. IDEOLOGY
TABLE	45:	Q20. PARTY SELF ID
TABLE	46:	Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?
TABLE	47:	Q20/Q21. OPINION OF TEA PARTY BY PARTY SELF-ID
TABLE	48:	Q22. EDUCATION
TABLE	49:	GENDER
TABLE	50:	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW
TABLE	51:	CENSUS REGION
TABLE	52:	REGION
TABLE	53:	CENSUS DIVISION
TABLE	54:	A/B SPLIT
TABLE	55:	C/D SPLIT
TABLE	56:	Q15/Q16 ROTATION
TABLE	57:	DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS
TABLE	58:	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
YES Yes, cell and can talk sa	<b>59%</b> ifely 59	<b>64</b> % 64	<b>54</b> % 54	<b>78%</b> 78	<b>70%</b> 70	<b>60%</b> 60	<b>53%</b> 53	<b>43</b> % 43	<b>28%</b> 28	<b>75%</b> 75	<b>55%</b> 55	<b>44</b> % 44	<b>56%</b> 56	<b>56%</b> 56	<b>70%</b> 70	<b>66%</b> 66	
NO, not on cell, but own No, not on and do not own		<b>36</b> 26 10	<b>46</b> 35 11	<b>22</b> 15 7	24	<b>40</b> 35 6	<b>47</b> 33 15	<b>57</b> 44 13	<b>72</b> 44 28	<b>25</b> 19 6	<b>45</b> 35 10	<b>56</b> 40 17	<b>44</b> 37 7	<b>44</b> 32 12	<b>30</b> 23 8	<b>34</b> 26 8	
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO			U.S./ RELTNS		~			ELATION A INIT				
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	FAVOR	TOTAL	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
YES Yes, cell and can talk sa	<b>59%</b> afely 59	<b>41</b> % 41	<b>64</b> % 64	<b>63</b> % 63	<b>55%</b> 55	<b>68%</b> 68	<b>59%</b> 59	<b>59</b> % 59	<b>59</b> % 59	<b>55%</b> 55	<b>60%</b> 60	<b>63</b> % 63	<b>57%</b> 57	<b>62</b> % 62	<b>59%</b> 59		
NO, not on cell, but own No, not on and do not own		<b>59</b> 59 0	<b>36</b> 25 11	<b>37</b> 28 9	<b>45</b> 34 11	<b>32</b> 19 14	<b>41</b> 32 10	<b>41</b> 28 13	<b>41</b> 33 8	<b>45</b> 34 12	<b>40</b> 26 13	<b>37</b> 26 11	<b>43</b> 33 10	<b>38</b> 26 12	<b>41</b> 31 10		
		NOR		RELATI TARGET	ONS/ENO GROUPS	BAGE		16 MSG TION		/B LIT		STATE	Q13 CU -SPONSO		ONGS ON RRORISI		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/N
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	7
YES Yes, cell and can talk sa	<b>59%</b> afely 59	<b>60%</b> 60	<b>59</b> % 59	<b>58%</b> 58	<b>69</b> % 69	<b>51</b> % 51	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>57</b> % 57	<b>58%</b> 58	<b>60</b> % 60	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>55%</b> 55	<b>60</b> % 60	<b>60%</b> 60	<b>59</b> % 59	<b>60%</b> 60	<b>55</b>
No, not on cell, but own No, not on and do not own		<b>40</b> 32 9	<b>41</b> 28 13	<b>42</b> 31 11	<b>31</b> 25 6	<b>49</b> 36 13	<b>39</b> 28 11	<b>43</b> 33 10	<b>42</b> 33 10	<b>40</b> 28 11	<b>39</b> 26 13	<b>45</b> 34 11	<b>40</b> 34 6	<b>40</b> 28 12	<b>41</b> 29 12	<b>40</b> 31 9	<b>4</b> 3 1

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

		CELL P			Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY		21 TEA						
Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	- ,	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L						
Base 1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61						
YES Yes, cell and can talk safely 59	<b>91</b> % 91	<b>44</b> % 44	<b>13%</b> 13	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>69</b> % 69	<b>57</b> % 57	<b>56%</b> 56	<b>66%</b> 66	<b>58</b> % 58	<b>57%</b> 57	<b>55</b> % 55	<b>57</b> % 57	<b>62%</b> 62	<b>62</b> % 62	<b>54</b> % 54						
<u>NO</u> 41	9	56	87	39	31	43	44	34	42	43	45	43	38	38	46						
No, not on cell, but own one 30 No, not on and do not own 11	9	56 0	37 51	23 17	26 5	30 13	35 9	26 8	31 11	34 9	30 14	34	28 10	31 7	26 20						
No, not on and do not own	O	O	31	1,	3	13	,	0		,	11	,	10	,	20						
							Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-	·ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base 1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
YES Yes, cell and can talk safely 59	<b>62</b> % 62	<b>54</b> % 54	<b>57%</b> 57	<b>63</b> % 63	<b>53%</b> 53	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>63</b> %	<b>61%</b> 61	<b>68%</b> 68	<b>57%</b> 57	<b>54</b> % 54	<b>44</b> % 44	<b>68%</b> 68	<b>58%</b> 58	<b>66%</b> 66	<b>59%</b> 59	<b>67%</b> 67	<b>59%</b> 59	<b>62%</b> 62	<b>62%</b> 62	
<u>NO</u> 41	38	46	43	37	47	39	37	39	32	43	46	56	32	42	34	41	33	41	38	38	
No, not on cell, but own one 30	27	36	31	26	38	27	25	27	23	35	36	45	24	29	25	25	25	27	24	28	
No, not on and do not own 11	11	9	11	11	9	11	11	12	9	8	9	11	8	14	9	16	8	14	13	11	
			GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDEI	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+		IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base 1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
YES 59%	78%	60%	50%	72%	51%	38%	67%	60%	62%	43%	62%	61%	81%	54%	52%	68%	50%	41%	80%	61%	41%
Yes, cell and can talk safely 59	78	60	50	72	51	38	67	60	62	43	62	61	81	54	52	68	50	41	80	61	41
<u>NO</u> 41	22	40	50	28	49	62	33	40	38	57	38	39	19	46	48	32	50	59	20	39	59
No, not on cell, but own one 30	16	31	35	22	38	44	25	27	28	48	27	28	12	35	31	27	39	46	12	31	38
No, not on and do not own 11	6	10	15	6	11	19	8	13	9	9	11	12	8	11	17	5	10	13	8	7	21

# FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

			Q22 EDI	UCATION	Г		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
Tota	HS OF		COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base 102	1 27	L 280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<u>YES</u> 59				52%	59%	59%		54%	63%	55%	59%	59%	67%	47%		60%	71%	62%	54%	42%	48%
Yes, cell and can talk safely 5	9 5'	7 61	61	52	59	59	65	54	63	55	59	59	67	47	59	60	71	62	54	42	48
<u>NO</u> 4	L 4:	3 39	39	48	41	41	35	46	37	45	41	41	33	53	41	40	29	38	46	58	52
No, not on cell, but own one 3	) 2'	7 30	32	38	28	33	24	33	29	37	32	29	24	39	31	27	21	32	33	42	38
No, not on and do not own 1	L 10	5 9	7	11	12	8	11	14	8	8	9	13	8	14	10	12	9	6	13	15	13

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

			IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN				40-49	50-64	65-74				60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	
Base	916	453	463	196	132	194	217	105	53	328	337	233	133	547	114	341
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	50%	56%		74%	63%	46%	42%	31%	19%	69%	44%	32%	46%	50%		54%
All cell phone	32	37	27	53	39	27	23	19	10	48	25	20	31	33	38	32
Mostly cell phone	18	18	18	21	23	19	18	13	9	22	20	12	15	17	26	22
BOTH EQUALLY	37	35	39	21	34	45	41	37	55	26	43	42	49	36	25	36
Cell and landline equally	37	35	39	21	34	45	41	37	55	26	43	42	49	36	25	36
MOSTLY LANDLINE	11	8	14	4	2	7	16	30	24	3	10	24	4	12	11	9
Mostly landline	11	8	14	4	2	7	16	30	24	3	10	24	4	12	11	9
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		1
			SP/LTN IMMIGR	D	Q7 U.S. IRECTIO	N	Q8A U			EN	NORMAI GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL		
				D.	IRECTIO	N		RELTNS		EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL		
	Total			D	IRECTIC	N	CUBA F	RELTNS	STR	ENO SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR	IAL		
Base	Total  916	BORN/	IMMIGR	D  RIGHT	IRECTIC	)N 	CUBA F	RELTNS  ALL	STR	ENO SMWT	GAGE WI  SMWT	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE		BORN/	IMMIGR IMMIG 83	D  RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	ENO SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA  84	
	916	BORN/BORN 50	IMMIGR IMMIG 83	D RIGHT DIR 	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK	DK/NA  76	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER 289	STR FAVOR  279	ENG SMWT FAVOR  237	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  116	TH CUB STR OPPOS 200	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  516	IAL TOTAL OPPOS 316	DK/NA  84 <b>37</b> %	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	916 <b>50</b> %	BORN/ BORN  50	IMMIGR IMMIG 83 53% 34	D RIGHT DIR  330	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK  510	DK/NA  DK/NA  76	NONE 627	RELTNS ALL OTHER 289	STR FAVOR 279	ENO SMWT FAVOR  237	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 116	TH CUB STR OPPOS 200	TOTAL FAVOR 516	TOTAL OPPOS 316	DK/NA  84 <b>37%</b> 21	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone	916 <b>50%</b> 32	BORN/ BORN 50 32% 25	IMMIGR IMMIG 83 53% 34 19	D RIGHT DIR  330 <b>52%</b> 32	WRONG TRACK  510 48% 30	DK/NA  76 <b>57%</b> 42	NONE 627	ALL OTHER 289	STR FAVOR 279 47% 30	SMWT FAVOR  237 49% 33	SMWT OPPOS 116	TH CUB STR OPPOS 200 58%	TOTAL FAVOR 516	TOTAL OPPOS 316	DK/NA  84 <b>37%</b> 21	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone	916 <b>50%</b> 32 18	BORN/ BORN 50 32% 25 7	IMMIGR IMMIG 83 53% 34 19	D  RIGHT DIR  330 <b>52%</b> 32 20	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 510 48% 30 18	DK/NA  76 <b>57%</b> 42 15	NONE 627 48% 31	ALL OTHER  289 56% 35 21	STR FAVOR 279 47% 30 17	SMWT FAVOR  237 <b>49%</b> 33 16	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  116 58% 33 25	TH CUB STR OPPOS 200 58% 37 20	TOTAL FAVOR 516 48% 31	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 316 58% 36 22	DK/NA  84 <b>37%</b> 21 16	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone BOTH EQUALLY	916 50% 32 18	BORN/ 50 32% 25 7	IMMIGR IMMIG 83 53% 34 19	D  RIGHT DIR  330 <b>52%</b> 32 20 <b>38</b>	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK  510 48% 30 18	DK/NA  76 57% 42 15	CUBA F NONE 627 48% 31 17	ALL OTHER  289 56% 35 21	STR FAVOR 279 47% 30 17	SMWT FAVOR  237 49% 33 16	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 116 58% 33 25	TH CUB STR OPPOS 200 58% 37 20	TOTAL FAVOR 516 48% 31 17	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 316 58% 36 22	DK/NA  84 37% 21 16 49	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone  BOTH EQUALLY Cell and landline equally	916 50% 32 18 37 37	BORN/ BORN 50 32% 25 7 64 64	IMMIGR IMMIG 83 53% 34 19 39 39	DIR 330 52% 32 20 38 38	WRONG TRACK  510 48% 30 18 36	DK/NA 76 57% 42 15 36 36	NONE	ALL OTHER 289 56% 35 21 34 34	STR FAVOR 279 47% 30 17 41 41	SMWT FAVOR 237 49% 33 16 40 40	SAGE WILLIAM SAMWT OPPOS 116 58% 33 25 30 30	TH CUB	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 516 48% 31 17 40 40	TOTAL OPPOS 316 58% 36 22 28 28 12	DK/NA  84 37% 21 16 49 49	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone  BOTH EQUALLY Cell and landline equally  MOSTLY LANDLINE	916 50% 32 18 37 37	BORN/ BORN 50 32% 25 7 64 64	IMMIGR IMMIG 83 53% 34 19 39 39	DIR	WRONG TRACK 510 48% 30 18 36 36 15	DK/NA 76 57% 42 15 36 36 2	CUBA I	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR 279 47% 30 17 41 41 11	SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WING SAMUT OPPOS 1116 58% 33 25 30 30 13	TH CUB	TOTAL FAVOR 516 48% 31 17 40 40 11	TOTAL OPPOS 316 58% 36 22 28 28 12	DK/NA  84 37% 21 16 49 49	

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

		NOR		RELATI FARGET	GROUPS	GAGE		16 MSG TION	SPI	/B LIT		STATE	Q13 CU -SPONSO	BA BELO RED TE			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	916	428	242	247	93	108	462	454	453	463	206	158	268	221	363	489	63
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	50%	50%	53%	48%	61%	41%	53%	47%	50%	51%	54%	44%	50%	54%	50%	52%	44%
All cell phone	32	32	30	33	44	28	33	31	31	32	38	22	29	36	31	32	36
Mostly cell phone	18	18	23	15	17	13	20	16	18	18	16	22	21	17	19	19	8
BOTH EQUALLY	37	38	31	42	31	51	34	40	37	37	36	37	39	34	36	37	42
Cell and landline equally	37	38	31	42	31	51	34	40	37	37	36	37	39	34	36	37	42
MOSTLY LANDLINE	11	11	14	9	6	8	11	12	12	11	7	19	11	11	12	11	10
Mostly landline	11	11	14	9	6	8	11	12	12	11	7	19	11	11	12	11	10
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	1	4
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	1	4
			CELL I			Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
	Total		EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA		FAV/ IND/L	
Base	916	461	338	104	130	87	306	319	254	99	232	193	307	385	92	49	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	50%	100%	0%	0%	57%	57%	52%	48%	50%	47%	48%	43%	49%	54%	40%	48%	
All cell phone	32	63	0	0	35	38	31	31	31	38	29	29	30	36	24	31	
Mostly cell phone	18	37	0	0	22	18	21	16	18	9	19	14	19	19	16	18	
BOTH EQUALLY	37	0	100	0	29	39	31	40	41	33	41	39	41	34	46	35	
Cell and landline equally	37	0	100	0	29	39	31	40	41	33	41	39	41	34	46	35	
MOSTLY LANDLINE	11	0	0	100	9	4	13	12	9	20	11	17	10	10	11	17	
Mostly landline	11	0	0	100	9	4	13	12	9	20	11	17	10	10	11	17	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	916	338	233	256	288	344	195	89	206	82	50	233	61	74	121	56	33	152	132	47	147	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	50%	53%	46%	47%	54%	44%	52%	61%	55%	52%	49%	46%	32%	58%	48%	67%	50%	51%	57%	62%	48%	
All cell phone	32	34	29	29	36	26	32	42	36	34	24	29	18	37	29	43	40	30	41	41	29	
Mostly cell phone	18	19	17	18	18	17	20	19	18	18	25	17	13	21	20	24	10	20	16	22	20	
BOTH EQUALLY	37	37	41	36	37	40	35	28	37	38	38	41	39	30	39	24	34	43	31	28	38	
Cell and landline equally	37	37	41	36	37	40	35	28	37	38	38	41	39	30	39	24	34	43	31	28	38	
MOSTLY LANDLINE	11	9	14	13	9	15	9	10	8	10	13	14	24	10	9	6	16	6	11	6	10	
Mostly landline	11	9	14	13	9	15	9	10	8	10	13	14	24	10	9	6	16	6	11	6	10	
DK/NA	1	0	0	4	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	6	2	4	3	0	0	1	3	4	
DK/NA	1	0	0	4	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	6	2	4	3	0	0	1	3	4	
				~=====================================	D 3.05				ъ	ADMIL D		D					חאר	TY BY				
			1	GENDER	BY AGE	i			Ρ.	ARTY B	Y GENDE.	K					PAR	II BI	AGE			
																		REP	REP	 REP		
		 MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN	WOMEN 40-59	60+	 DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+			60+
Base	Total  916		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
Base ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE		18-39 	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+ 	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39 	40-59  79	60+
	916	18-39  176	MEN 40-59  154	MEN 60+  115	WOMEN 18-39  151	WOMEN 40-59  182	60+  117	MEN  127	DEM WOMEN 160 50% 30	 IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN  167 <b>36%</b> 21	REP MEN 	WOMEN  91	18-39  108	40-59  116	60+  56	IND/L 18-39  115	IND/L 40-59  119 <b>37%</b> 21	IND/L 60+  107	18-39  61	40-59  79	60+  51 <b>24%</b> 13
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	916 <b>50</b> %	18-39  176 <b>74</b> %	MEN 40-59  154 <b>48</b> %	MEN 60+  115	WOMEN 18-39  151 65%	WOMEN 40-59  182 <b>41%</b>	60+  117 <b>26</b> %	MEN  127 <b>59</b> %	DEM WOMEN  160	IND/L MEN  177	IND/L WOMEN  167	REP MEN  104	WOMEN  91 56%	18-39  108 <b>70</b> %	40-59  116 <b>45</b> %	60+  56 <b>44</b> %	IND/L 18-39  115	IND/L 40-59  119	IND/L 60+  107	18-39  61 <b>78%</b> 52	40-59  79 <b>48</b> %	60+  51 <b>24</b> %
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone	916 <b>50%</b> 32	18-39  176 <b>74%</b> 54	MEN 40-59  154 <b>48%</b> 29	MEN 60+  115 38% 22	WOMEN 18-39  151 <b>65%</b> 40	WOMEN 40-59  182 <b>41%</b> 21	60+  117 <b>26</b> % 17	MEN  127 <b>59</b> % 43	DEM WOMEN 160 50% 30	IND/L MEN  177 <b>51%</b> 32	IND/L WOMEN  167 <b>36%</b> 21	REP MEN  104 48% 30	WOMEN  91 <b>56%</b> 33	18-39  108 <b>70%</b> 56	40-59  116 <b>45%</b> 22	60+  56 <b>44%</b> 27	IND/L 18-39  115 <b>64%</b> 39	IND/L 40-59  119 <b>37%</b> 21	IND/L 60+  107 <b>28%</b> 18 9	18-39  61 <b>78%</b> 52 26	40-59  79 <b>48%</b> 26 21	60+  51 <b>24%</b> 13
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone	916 <b>50%</b> 32 18	18-39  176 <b>74%</b> 54 19	MEN 40-59  154 <b>48%</b> 29 19	MEN 60+  115 38% 22 16	WOMEN 18-39  151 <b>65%</b> 40 25	WOMEN 40-59  182 <b>41%</b> 21 20	60+  117 <b>26%</b> 17 9	MEN  127 <b>59%</b> 43 16	DEM WOMEN  160 <b>50%</b> 30 20	IND/L MEN  177 <b>51%</b> 32 20	IND/L WOMEN  167 <b>36%</b> 21 15	REP MEN  104 48% 30 18	WOMEN  91 <b>56%</b> 33 22	18-39  108 <b>70%</b> 56 14	40-59  116 <b>45%</b> 22 23	60+  56 <b>44%</b> 27 17	IND/L 18-39  115 <b>64%</b> 39 25	IND/L 40-59  119 <b>37%</b> 21	IND/L 60+  107 <b>28%</b> 18 9	18-39  61 <b>78%</b> 52 26	40-59  79 <b>48%</b> 26 21 <b>42</b>	60+  51 <b>24%</b> 13 12
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone  BOTH EQUALLY Cell and landline equally MOSTLY LANDLINE	916 50% 32 18 37 37	18-39  176 <b>74%</b> 54 19 <b>24</b> 24	MEN 40-59 154 48% 29 19 42 42	MEN 60+ 115 38% 22 16 44 44	WOMEN 18-39 151 65% 40 25 29 29	WOMEN 40-59  182 41% 21 20 45 45	60+  117 <b>26%</b> 17 9 <b>41</b> 41	MEN 127 59% 43 16 33 33	DEM WOMEN 160 50% 30 20 40 40	IND/L MEN  177 51% 32 20 38 38	IND/L WOMEN  167 36% 21 15 42 42	REP MEN	WOMEN  91 56% 33 22 30 30	18-39  108 70% 56 14 28 28	40-59 116 45% 22 23 42 42	60+  56 44% 27 17 44 44	IND/L 18-39  115 <b>64%</b> 39 25 <b>27</b> 27	IND/L 40-59  119 37% 21 17 50 50	IND/L 60+  107 <b>28%</b> 18 9 <b>43</b> 43	18-39  61 <b>78%</b> 52 26 <b>22</b>	40-59  79 48% 26 21 42 42	60+  51 <b>24%</b> 13 12 <b>44</b> 44
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone  BOTH EQUALLY Cell and landline equally	916 50% 32 18 37	18-39  176 <b>74%</b> 54 19 <b>24</b> 24	MEN 40-59 154 48% 29 19 42	MEN 60+ 115 38% 22 16 44 44	WOMEN 18-39  151 <b>65%</b> 40 25 <b>29</b>	WOMEN 40-59  182 <b>41%</b> 21 20 <b>45</b>	60+  117 <b>26%</b> 17 9 <b>41</b> 41	MEN 127 59% 43 16 33 33	DEM WOMEN 160 50% 30 20 40	IND/L MEN  177 <b>51%</b> 32 20 <b>38</b> 38	IND/L WOMEN  167 <b>36%</b> 21 15 <b>42</b>	REP MEN	WOMEN 91 56% 33 22 30 30	18-39  108 <b>70%</b> 56 14 <b>28</b> 28	40-59 116 45% 22 23 42	60+  56 <b>44%</b> 27 17 <b>44</b> 44	IND/L 18-39  115 <b>64%</b> 39 25 <b>27</b>	IND/L 40-59  119 <b>37%</b> 21 17 <b>50</b>	IND/L 60+  107 28% 18 9 43	18-39  61 <b>78%</b> 52 26 <b>22</b>	40-59  79 48% 26 21 42 42	60+  51 <b>24%</b> 13 12 <b>44</b> 44
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE All cell phone Mostly cell phone  BOTH EQUALLY Cell and landline equally MOSTLY LANDLINE	916 50% 32 18 37 37	18-39  176 <b>74%</b> 54 19 <b>24</b> 24	MEN 40-59 154 48% 29 19 42 42	MEN 60+ 115 38% 22 16 44 44	WOMEN 18-39 151 65% 40 25 29 29	WOMEN 40-59  182 41% 21 20 45 45	60+  117 <b>26%</b> 17 9 <b>41</b> 41	MEN 127 59% 43 16 33 33	DEM WOMEN 160 50% 30 20 40 40	IND/L MEN  177 51% 32 20 38 38	IND/L WOMEN  167 36% 21 15 42 42	REP MEN	WOMEN  91 56% 33 22 30 30	18-39  108 70% 56 14 28 28	40-59 116 45% 22 23 42 42	60+  56 44% 27 17 44 44	IND/L 18-39  115 <b>64%</b> 39 25 <b>27</b> 27	IND/L 40-59  119 37% 21 17 50 50	IND/L 60+  107 <b>28%</b> 18 9 <b>43</b> 43	18-39  61 78% 52 26 22 22	40-59  79 48% 26 21 42 42	60+  51 <b>24%</b> 13 12 <b>44</b> 44

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	916	228	254	320	106	482	427	247	236	200	226	168	188	348	212	182	132	207	127	56	55	157
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	50%	57%	52%	48%	38%	55%	45%	60%	49%	50%	42%	47%	54%	55%	43%	49%	54%	57%	48%	53%	39%	44%
All cell phone	32	43	28	30	24	35	28	40	30	33	24	30	32	34	30	30	31	35	31	34	26	32
Mostly cell phone	18	14	24	18	14	20	17	20	19	16	18	17	22	21	13	19	23	22	17	19	13	13
BOTH EQUALLY	37	30	38	38	46	34	40	31	36	40	41	39	35	34	42	37	35	33	37	37	37	43
Cell and landline equally	37	30	38	38	46	34	40	31	36	40	41	39	35	34	42	37	35	33	37	37	37	43
MOSTLY LANDLINE	11	10	8	13	16	9	14	7	11	10	17	14	10	10	13	13	9	8	14	10	23	10
Mostly landline	11	10	8	13	16	9	14	7	11	10	17	14	10	10	13	13	9	8	14	10	23	10
DK/NA	1	3	2	0	0	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	1	3
DK/NA	1	3	2	0	0	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	1	3

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33 21 1	50% 32 17 1	34 24	69% 20 11 1	59% 32 8 1	44% 43 12 2	36% 35 28 2	27% 33 39 1	14% 40 45	65% 25 9 1	40% 39 19 2	27% 35 37 1	42% 45 11 2	44% 32 23 1	59% 23 18 0	50% 34 15 1	
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		~	U.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMA: GAGE WI			,			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS			
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33 21 1	3	35 15	47% 35 17 1	43% 32 24 1	49% 31 15 4	43% 35 22 1	49% 30 19 3	43% 37 18 1	43% 35 21 1	50% 26 24 0	51% 25 22 3	43% 36 20 1	51% 25 23 2	33% 45 20 2		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI TARGET		GAGE		16 MSG TION		/B LIT		STATE	~	BA BELO DRED TE			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33 21 1	19	27 26	42% 37 19 2	57% 29 12 2	36% 44 20 0	48% 30 20 2	42% 36 21 1	45% 33 21 1	45% 33 21 1	47% 31 20 2	39% 33 28 1	47% 36 16 0	47% 30 22 1	44% 32 23 1	47% 33 19 1	38% 36 22 3
			CELL I			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33% 21 1	100% 0% 0 0	0% 100% 0 0	0% 0% 100 0	47% 24% 24 4	54% 37% 9 0	45% 27% 25 3	43% 37% 19 0	46% 38% 16 0	42% 29% 29 1	44% 38% 18 0	37% 33% 29 1	44% 37% 18 1	49% 30% 19 2	37% 43% 18 2	39% 28% 33 0	

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-	ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33 21	48% 33 19	42% 37 22 0	42% 32 23 4	48% 33 19	40% 36 23	46% 31 20	54% 24 20 2	48% 33 19	48% 34 18	45% 35 20	42% 37 22	28% 35 32 5	53% 28 17 2	42% 33 21 4	61% 22 14	42% 29 29 0	47% 40 13	49% 27 23	54% 24 19 3	43% 34 20 3	
022.0	_	J		GENDER	-	_	3	_		ARTY BY	GENDE	R	J	_	-	3		TY BY	AGE	J	3	
	Total	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	,	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+					
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33 21 1	69% 22 8 1	44% 38 17 2	32% 37 30 1	61% 28 11 1	37% 40 21 2	21% 34 44 1	54% 31 15 0	44% 35 21 0	47% 34 18 1	32% 38 28 1	43% 36 19 3	49% 26 21 3	64% 26 10 0	40% 37 22 1	36% 37 27 0	61% 26 12 1	34% 45 20 2	24% 38 38 0	72% 20 8 0	44% 39 12 5	19% 34 42 4
				Q22 EDI	JCATION			EDU	CATION	BY GEN	DER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
ALL/MOSTLY CELL BOTH EQUALLY ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE OTHER	45% 33 21 1	48% 25 24 3	48% 34 16 2	45% 36 20 0	34% 41 25 0	48% 30 20 2	42% 37 21 0	54% 28 17 1	42% 31 23 3	46% 36 18 0	38% 38 24 0	42% 35 22 0	47% 31 21 1	50% 31 17 1	37% 36 25 2	45% 34 22 0	48% 30 20 2	52% 31 16 2	45% 34 20 1	46% 32 22 0	33% 31 34 1	38% 38 22 2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
18-39	34%	38%	31%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	44%	31%	35%	42%
18-24	12	14	10	58	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	16	10	10	17
25-29	9	10	7	42	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	7	9	12	8
30-34	7	8	7	0	55	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	9	7	7	9
35-39	6	6	6	0	45	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	12	5	6	8
40-59	37	34	39	0	0	100	67	0	0	0	100	0	44	34	48	42
40-44	11	9	12	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	30	0	17	9	16	14
45-49	9	9	9	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	25	0	12	8	11	11
50-54	9	9	9	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	24	0	9	8	13	10
55-59	8	7	8	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	21	0	7	8	8	7
60+	27	27	28	0	0	0	33	100	100	0	0	100	8	34	16	13
60-64	8	8	9	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	30	2	9	10	5
65-74	12	12	12	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	43	3	15	6	5
75+	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	26	3	10	0	3
DK/REF	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	3
Don't know/Refused	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	3

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S IRECTIO			U.S./ RELTNS		~	O NORMA GAGE WI				
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR		DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
18-39	34%	32%	51%	40%	29%	45%	31%	41%	32%	39%	40%	31%	36%	34%	24%
18-24	12	14	17	17	8	19	10	16	11	11	14	13	11	13	12
25-29	9	2	9	9	7	15	9	8	10	10	9	7	10	8	4
30-34	7	3	12	8	7	6	7	9	7	10	8	5	8	6	6
35-39	6	13	12	7	6	6	6	7	4	9	9	6	6	7	2
40-59	37	66	33	34	39	34	36	38	36	32	34	40	34	38	48
40-44	11	20	15	11	11	13	11	10	12	8	10	10	11	10	15
45-49	9	21	7	7	11	3	10	8	9	9	5	12	9	9	10
50-54	9	17	4	9	8	10	8	11	7	6	9	10	7	10	19
55-59	8	8	7	7	8	7	7	9	7	9	10	8	8	8	4
60+	27	0	12	24	30	19	31	20	30	27	25	25	29	25	26
60-64	8	0	3	7	10	2	9	6	7	7	12	13	7	12	2
65-74	12	0	4	10	13	8	14	6	16	10	8	9	14	8	13
75+	7	0	5	7	7	8	7	8	7	10	6	4	8	4	11
DK/REF	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	2
Don't know/Refused	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

		CUBA TARGET GROUPS						16 MSG TION	SP	/B LIT 		STATE-	Q13 CUE- SPONSO	RED TE			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST		ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
18-39	34%	34%	32%	36%	39%	37%	37%	31%	34%	34%	34%	31%	41%	29%	33%	35%	37%
18-24	12	11	11	15	19	14	13	11	12	12	13	15	13	8	14	11	11
25-29	9	10	7	9	10	8	9	8	9	8	6	5	11	11	6	11	5
30-34	7	9	7	6	5	6	9	6	7	8	6	5	11	7	5	9	9
35-39	6	5	8	6	5	8	6	6	6	6	8	6	6	3	7	4	11
40-59	37	35	39	37	40	33	36	37	36	37	41	40	32	37	41	34	32
40-44	11	11	9	13	13	11	12	9	11	11	12	11	10	12	11	10	10
45-49	9	9	11	8	9	9	8	10	10	9	10	10	7	10	10	8	8
50-54	9	6	12	10	11	10	7	11	8	9	10	11	8	9	11	8	3
55-59	8	9	8	6	8	3	9	7	8	8	8	7	8	6	8	7	11
60+	27	29	27	25	17	29	26	29	27	27	24	28	25	33	25	29	27
60-64	8	7	13	5	7	4	9	8	8	8	10	9	6	9	10	7	8
65-74	12	13	9	12	5	17	10	13	12	12	9	10	14	13	9	14	12
75+	7	9	4	8	5	8	7	7	7	7	5	8	6	11	6	8	7
DK/REF	2					1	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	4	
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	4

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

			CELL I			Q19	IDEOLO	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA OPIN
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
18-39	34%	49%	25%	16%	24%	50%	27%	33%	42%	18%	29%	18%	29%	46%	14%	17%
18-24	12	19	6	7	11	13	11	10	15	10	4	6	6	20	1	7
25-29	9	12	6	4	5	14	6	9	10	1	10	4	11	9	5	2
30-34	7	12	4	3	3	14	4	9	10	2	10	3	9	9	2	6
35-39	6	6	9	2	5	8	6	6	6	5	6	5	5	8	6	2
40-59	37	32	43	34	37	36	36	40	35	41	41	46	41	29	50	57
40-44	11	11	13	6	9	14	11	11	11	4	13	9	13	11	10	10
45-49	9	8	13	5	11	5	10	11	8	15	9	13	10	6	16	16
50-54	9	6	11	12	7	3	8	11	8	10	9	13	9	8	13	19
55-59	8	7	6	10	10	13	8	7	9	12	10	11	10	5	12	11
60+	27	16	29	49	36	12	34	26	21	38	28	34	28	23	32	25
60-64	8	7	9	11	8	4	10	8	7	10	9	9	9	7	8	6
65-74	12	7	12	22	15	8	15	9	12	18	16	16	14	8	14	11
75+	7	2	9	16	14	0	10	9	2	10	4	8	6	8	10	7
DK/REF	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	3	1	3	1	2	3	2
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	3	1	3	1	2	3	2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

			Q20 PARTY SELF-ID															II	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY.
		DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP		INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT		LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
18-39	34%	36%	33%	28%	36%	32%	30%	46%	32%	47%	38%	33%	21%	40%	25%	65%	16%	41%	31%	36%	28%
18-24	12	12	12	8	13	10	9	25	12	15	10	12	3	15	6	40	2	11	14	10	9
25-29	9	8	9	9	9	8	10	8	6	14	4	9	5	10	10	10	5	12	5	19	7
30-34	7	10	7	5	9	8	5	7	8	11	16	7	6	8	3	8	6	11	6	5	5
35-39	6	6	5	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	5	7	8	6	8	3	7	5	2	8
40.50	27	20	20	26	40	25	20	0.77	4.4	21	21	20	0.77	27	20	10	41	41	20	40	2.77
40-59	37	39	38	36	40		39		44	31	31	38	27	37	39	18		41	39	<b>42</b>	37
40-44	11	11	14	9	11	13	9	8	11	12	10	14	10	10	8	10	5	13	9	,	9
45-49	9	8	10	10	8	9	11	8	8	9	8	10	7	6	14	Ţ	17	9	/	14	10
50-54	9	10	9	8	11	8	9	5	12	9	4	9	4	14	/	5	5	./	16	11	9
55-59	8	10	4	9	10	5	10	.7	13	0	10	4	7	7	11	2	13	13	7	10	10
<u>60+</u>	27	22	29	34	21	33	29	24	22	19	30	29	49	21	33	15	39	15	26	20	32
60-64	8	8	8	8	8	9	7	11	9	4	8	8	12	9	6	7	15	3	12	5	8
65-74	12	10	12	14	9	15	11	8	9	10	18	12	25	10	12	4	14	9	9	7	13
75+	7	4	8	11	4	8	11	5	4	5	3	8	13	2	15	3	9	3	5	8	11
DK/REF	•	3	-1	2	3	1	2	3	•	3	1	1	2	1	3	2	4	2	4	-	2
	2		1	2	<b>3</b>	1	2	2	<b>3</b>	2	1	1	2	1	<b>3</b>	2	4	2	4	1	<b>2</b> 2
Don't know/Refused	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	1	3	2	4	2	4	1	2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

				GENDER	BY AGE	:			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
18-39	34%	100%		0%	100%	0%	0%	38%	35%	39%	23%	29%		100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%		0%	0%
18-24	12	36	0	0	34	0	0	17	10	13	7	6	13	35	0	0	31	0	0	30	0	0
25-29	9	26	0	0	24	0	0	9	8	9	6	10	10	24	0	0	25	0	0	33	0	0
30-34	7	22	0	0	22	0	0	10	8	9	6	4	6	25	0	0	25	0	0	16	0	0
35-39	6	16	0	0	20	0	0	2	9	8	4	9	3	17	0	0	19	0	0	21	0	0
40-59	37	0	100	0	0	100	0	39	41	31	39	35	43	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	100	0
40-44	11	0	28	0	0	31	0	8	13	11	15	8	9	0	27	0	0	37	0	0	23	0
45-49	9	0	26	0	0	24	0	8	8	11	8	7	16	0	20	0	0	27	0	0	29	0
50-54	9	0	25	0	0	23	0	13	10	5	11	11	7	0	28	0	0	22	0	0	24	0
55-59	8	0	21	0	0	21	0	10	10	5	6	9	11	0	24	0	0	15	0	0	25	0
60+	27	0	0	100	0	0	100	21	20	29	37	34	23	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	100
<u>60+</u> 60-64	8	0	0	28	0	0	32	7	8	8	10	9	6	0	0	37	0	0	27	0	0	25
65-74	12	0	0	44	0	0	42	10	8	14	17	13	10	0	0	43	0	0	47	0	0	39
75+	7	0	0	27	0	0	26	5	4	7	10	13	8	0	0	20	0	0	26	0	0	36
DK/REF	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Don't know/Refused	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ī		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
18-39	34%	38%	37%	34%	22%	37%	31%	44%	30%	30%	32%	44%	27%	39%	26%	44%	26%	39%	38%	28%	14%	31%
18-24	12	18	18 17 8 1 17 6						14	5	7	14	13	14	6	15	14	11	16	12	1	8
25-29	9	10	6	10	9	8	10	10	5	10	10	10	7	8	9	9	5	11	6	12	3	11
30-34	7	5	8	9	8	7	8	7	6	9	8	12	5	6	8	12	5	6	7	3	4	9
35-39	6	5	6	8	5	5	7	6	5	7	7	8	1	10	3	8	2	11	10	1	5	2
40-59	37	31	36	40	39	34	40	31	37	39	41	26	45	36	38	27	45	38	32	45	44	36
40-44	11	6	14	13	9	10	12	9	11	10	14	6	13	13	9	7	13	13	13	16	10	8
45-49	9	7	6	10	17	7	12	5	8	13	11	7	12	7	11	7	14	9	4	9	12	10
50-54	9	11	8	9	5	10	8	11	9	6	10	6	8	11	9	6	7	10	12	10	11	9
55-59	8	7	8	8	9	7	8	6	9	10	7	7	11	5	9	7	12	5	4	11	11	9
60+	27	28	26	24	35	27	27	24	30	30	24	28	27	23	32	28	28	22	27	26	41	29
60-64	8	7	8	6	16	8	9	7	8	8	10	10	8	7	8	10	9	8	6	8	9	8
65-74	12	8	12	14	12	10	13	9	12	15		- 8	13	11	15	- 8	15	9	15	10	21	12
75+	7	12	6	4	7	9	5	8	10	7	3	10	5	6	9	10	5	5	6	8	10	9
DK/REF	2						1	3	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	4	
Don't know/Refused	2	<b>2 1 1 4 2 2</b> 2 2 1 1 4 2 2						1	3	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	4

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<u>WHITE</u> Anglo/White	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>63%</b> 63	<b>59%</b> 59	<b>56%</b> 56	<b>54</b> % 54	<b>54</b> % 54	<b>62%</b> 62	<b>76%</b> 76	<b>85%</b> 85	<b>55%</b> 55	<b>56%</b> 56	<b>76%</b> 76	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>100%</b> 100	<b>0%</b> 0	<b>0</b> % 0
NON-WHITE	36	34	38	43	46	45	33	16	13	44	41	17	100	0	100	100
Hispanic or Latino	14	12	16	16	22	20	10	3	7	18	17	4	100	0	0	39
African-American or Black Asian	12 2	12 1	12 3	13 3	12 4	16 0	15 2	6 1	0	12	16 1	7 1	0	0	100	33 5
Something else	8	9	3 7	12	8	8	6	6	6	11	8	± 5	0	0	0	23
Bomeening erse	O		,	12	O	O	· ·	· ·	O		O	5	O	0	O	23
REF/NA	3	2	3	1	0	2	5	8	3	0	2	7	0	0	0	0
Refused/NA	3	2	3	1	0	2	5	8	3	0	2	7	0	0	0	0
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA H			~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total				IRECTIO		~		STR FAVOR	~				IAL TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	Total  1024	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIO	)N	CUBA F	RELTNS  ALL		ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL TOTAL	DK/NA  93	
WHITE	1024 <b>61</b> %	BORN/ BORN 50	IMMIGR IMMIG 94	D: RIGHT DIR  364	WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  B8 64%	NONE 694	ALL OTHER  330	FAVOR  304 55%	ENO SMWT FAVOR  268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 <b>69</b> %	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>44</b> %	
	1024	BORN/ BORN 	IMMIGR IMMIG 94	RIGHT DIR 	TRECTIONS WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  88	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304	ENG SMWT FAVOR  268	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93	
WHITE	1024 <b>61</b> %	BORN/ BORN 50	IMMIGR IMMIG 94	D: RIGHT DIR  364	WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  B8 64%	NONE 694	ALL OTHER  330	FAVOR  304 55%	ENO SMWT FAVOR  268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 <b>69</b> %	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>44</b> %	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino	1024 61% 61 36 14	BORN/ BORN 50 0%	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 0%	RIGHT DIR 364 52% 52 47 19	WRONG TRACK  572 66% 66	DK/NA  88 64% 64 33	CUBA F NONE 694 62% 62 34 13	ALL OTHER 330 59% 59 40 16	FAVOR 304 55% 55 40 16	SMWT FAVOR  268 67% 67 33	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 134 69% 69 31	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 64% 64 32 9	TOTAL FAVOR 572 61%	TOTAL OPPOS 359 66% 66	93 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>49</b> 20	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black	1024 61% 61 36 14	BORN/  BORN  50  0% 0  100 100 0	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 0% 0 100 100	DIR  RIGHT DIR  364 52% 52 47 19	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK  572 66% 66 29 11	DK/NA  88 <b>64%</b> 64 <b>33</b> 10	NONE 694 62% 62 34 13 11	ALL OTHER 330 59% 59 40 16 15	FAVOR 304 55% 55 40 16 11	ENWT FAVOR  268 67% 67 33 14	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 69% 69 31 13	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 64% 64 32 9 10	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 61% 61 37 15	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 66% 66 32 11 11	93 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>49</b> 20 20	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black Asian	1024 61% 61 36 14 12 2	BORN/ BORN 50 0% 0 100 100 0 0	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 0% 0 100 100 0	DI RIGHT DIR 364 52% 52 47 19 17 2	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 572 66% 66 29 11 8 2	DK/NA 88 64% 64 33 10 17 2	NONE	ALL OTHER 330 59% 59 40 16 15 3	FAVOR 304 55% 55 40 16 11 2	SMWT FAVOR 268 67% 67 33 14 11 1	GAGE WILL SMWT OPPOS 134 69% 69 31 13 13 3	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 64% 64 32 9 10 2	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 61% 61 37 15 11	TOTAL OPPOS 359 66% 66 32 11 11 2	93 44% 44 49 20 20 4	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black	1024 61% 61 36 14	BORN/  BORN  50  0% 0  100 100 0	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 0% 0 100 100	DIR  RIGHT DIR  364 52% 52 47 19	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK  572 66% 66 29 11	DK/NA  88 <b>64%</b> 64 <b>33</b> 10	NONE 694 62% 62 34 13 11	ALL OTHER 330 59% 59 40 16 15	FAVOR 304 55% 55 40 16 11	ENWT FAVOR  268 67% 67 33 14	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 69% 69 31 13	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 64% 64 32 9 10	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 61% 61 37 15	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 66% 66 32 11 11	93 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>49</b> 20 20	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black Asian	1024 61% 61 36 14 12 2	BORN/ BORN 50 0% 0 100 100 0 0	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 0% 0 100 100 0	DI RIGHT DIR 364 52% 52 47 19 17 2	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 572 66% 66 29 11 8 2	DK/NA 88 64% 64 33 10 17 2	NONE	ALL OTHER 330 59% 59 40 16 15 3	FAVOR 304 55% 55 40 16 11 2	SMWT FAVOR 268 67% 67 33 14 11 1	GAGE WILL SMWT OPPOS 134 69% 69 31 13 13 3	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 64% 64 32 9 10 2	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 61% 61 37 15 11	TOTAL OPPOS 359 66% 66 32 11 11 2	93 44% 44 49 20 20 4	

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

		NOR		RELATI FARGET		FAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A/ SPI			STATE	~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
WHITE	61%	61%	69%	53%	50%	59%	63%	59%	61%	61%	62%	50%		68%	57%	64%	62%
Anglo/White	61	61	69	53	50	59	63	59	61	61	62	50	61	68	57	64	62
NON-WHITE	36	36	28	44	48	<b>39</b> 23	34	38	36	36	36	46		29	41	32	37
Hispanic or Latino	14		13 9 20 2 12 9 16 2 2 2 2				13	15	14	14	14	19	17	8	16	12	12
African-American or Black	12				19	10	12	12	12	12	12	16		10	13	11	14
Asian	2				3	0	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	4	1	2	4
Something else	8	9	8	6	6	6	8	9	9	8	10	9	6	8	10	7	7
REF/NA	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	2
Refused/NA	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	2
			CELL I			Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	.VORABI	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
		LAM	ALLINE O														
	Total	ALL/M		ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	Total  1024	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M			CONS  354	MOD 	LIB  275								
Base WHITE		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	LIB				FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV  337	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
	1024	ALL/M CELL  461	BOTH EQUAL 	ALL/M LNDLN  212	CONS  156	LIB  92	354	350	275	FAV  111	UNFAV  254	FAV  226	UNFAV  337	RF/NA  427	REPS  99	IND/L  61	
WHITE	1024 <b>61</b> %	ALL/M CELL  461 59%	BOTH EQUAL  338	ALL/M LNDLN  212 67%	CONS  156 <b>73</b> %	LIB  92 <b>43</b> %	354 <b>67</b> %	350 <b>61</b> %	275 <b>52</b> %	FAV  111 <b>61</b> %	UNFAV  254 <b>58</b> %	FAV  226 <b>67</b> %	UNFAV  337 <b>62</b> %	RF/NA  427 <b>57</b> %	REPS  99	IND/L 61 62%	
WHITE Anglo/White	1024 <b>61%</b> 61	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>59%</b> 59	BOTH EQUAL  338 <b>59%</b> 59	ALL/M LNDLN  212 <b>67%</b> 67	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 73	LIB  92 <b>43%</b> 43	354 <b>67%</b> 67	350 <b>61</b> % 61	275 <b>52%</b> 52	FAV  111 <b>61%</b> 61	UNFAV  254 <b>58%</b> 58	FAV  226 <b>67</b> % 67	UNFAV  337 <b>62</b> % 62	RF/NA  427 <b>57%</b> 57	REPS  99 <b>80%</b> 80	IND/L  61 <b>62%</b> 62	
WHITE Anglo/White NON-WHITE	1024 61% 61	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>59%</b> 59	BOTH EQUAL  338 <b>59%</b> 59	ALL/M LNDLN  212 67% 67	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 73	LIB  92 <b>43%</b> 43	354 67% 67 28	350 <b>61%</b> 61 <b>36</b>	275 52% 52 46	FAV  111 <b>61%</b> 61	UNFAV  254 <b>58%</b> 58 <b>40</b>	FAV  226 <b>67%</b> 67	UNFAV  337 <b>62%</b> 62	RF/NA  427 <b>57%</b> 57	REPS  99 <b>80%</b> 80	IND/L 61 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>37</b>	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino	1024 61% 61 36 14	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>40</b>	BOTH EQUAL  338 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>37</b>	ALL/M LNDLN  212 67% 67	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>26</b> 10	LIB  92 <b>43%</b> 43 <b>57</b> 27	354 67% 67 28	350 61% 61 36 17	275 52% 52 46 16	FAV 111 61% 61 24 11	UNFAV  254 <b>58%</b> 58 <b>40</b> 11	FAV  226 <b>67%</b> 67 <b>23</b> 8	UNFAV  337 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>36</b> 10	FF/NA  427 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>42</b> 20	REPS  99 <b>80%</b> 80 <b>13</b> 6	IND/L 61 62% 62 37 4	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black	1024 61% 61 36 14 12	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>40</b> 13 16	BOTH EQUAL 338 59% 59 37 19 8	ALL/M LNDLN  212 67% 67 27 7	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>26</b> 10 5	LIB  92 <b>43%</b> 43 <b>57</b> 27 17	 354 <b>67%</b> 67 <b>28</b> 9	350 61% 61 36 17 13	275 52% 52 46 16 18	FAV 111 61% 61 24 11 3	UNFAV  254 <b>58%</b> 58 <b>40</b> 11 20	FAV 226 <b>67%</b> 67 <b>23</b> 8 4	UNFAV  337 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>36</b> 10 17	FF/NA  427 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>42</b> 20 12	REPS  99 <b>80%</b> 80 <b>13</b> 6	IND/L 61 62% 62 37 4 7	
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black Asian	1024 61% 61 36 14 12 2	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>40</b> 13 16	BOTH EQUAL 3388 59% 59 37 19 8 1	ALL/M LNDLN  212 67% 67 27 7 10	CONS  156 73% 73 26 10 5	LIB  92 43% 43 57 27 17 3	354 67% 67 28 9 8 3	350 61% 61 36 17 13 0	275 52% 52 46 16 18 2	FAV 111 61% 61 24 11 3 1	UNFAV  254 58% 58 40 11 20 1	FAV 226 67% 67 23 8 4 3	UNFAV  337 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>36</b> 10 17	FF/NA  427 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>42</b> 20 12	REPS  99 <b>80%</b> 80 <b>13</b> 6 0	IND/L 61 62% 62 37 4 7	

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

								Q2	0 PART	SELF-	·ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	.TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
<u>WHITE</u> Anglo/White	<b>61%</b> 61	<b>51%</b> 51	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>75%</b> 75	<b>47</b> % 47	<b>64</b> % 64	<b>77</b> % 77	<b>59</b> % 59	<b>41</b> % 41	<b>63%</b> 63	<b>70%</b> 70	<b>61</b> % 61	<b>69</b> % 69	<b>68%</b> 68	<b>83%</b> 83	<b>59</b> % 59	<b>58%</b> 58	<b>47</b> % 47		<b>66%</b> 66	<b>81%</b> 81	
NON-WHITE	36	48	37	19	51	32	20	38	57	37	28	37	17	26	16	40	34	52	52	31	16	
Hispanic or Latino	14	17	16     7     17     15     7     17     20     10     15     16     8     6     7     19       7     1     28     7     1     5     31     20     11     7     2     4     0     5       2     1     2     2     1     2     2     1     4     0     7													15	13		17	3		
African-American or Black Asian	12 2	25 2														6	29 3	27 0	3	1		
Something else	8	4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												11	7	•		10			
REF/NA	3	1	2	6	1	4	3	4	2	0	2	2	14	6	1	1	8	1	2	3	3	
Refused/NA	3	1	2	6	1	4	3	4	2	0	2	2	14	6	1	1	8	1	2		3	
			1 2 6 1 4 3 4 2 0 2 2 14 6 1 1																			
			2 6 1 4 3 4 2 0 2 2 14 6 1 1 8												RTY BY	AGE						
		 MEN 18-39		GENDER  MEN 60+	BY AGE WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN					REP	 DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+		IND/L		REP 18-39	REP 40-59	 REP 60+
Base	Total  1024	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP				IND/L	IND/L	IND/L 60+	18-39 		
Base <u>WHITE</u> Anglo/White		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71</b> %	18-39  66	40-59	60+ 
WHITE	1024 <b>61</b> %	MEN 18-39  188 <b>57</b> %	MEN 40-59  171 <b>59</b> %	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161 53%	WOMEN 40-59  204 53%	60+  144 <b>74</b> %	DEM MEN  138	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	REP WOMEN  102 <b>71%</b>	18-39  117 <b>44</b> %	40-59  130 <b>39</b> %	60+  67 <b>73</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132 58%	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71%</b> 71	18-39  66 <b>61%</b> 61	40-59  85 <b>77</b> %	60+  64 <b>94</b> %
WHITE Anglo/White  MON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino	1024 61% 61 36 14	MEN 18-39  188 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>43</b> 17	MEN 40-59  171 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>37</b>	MEN 60+  135 <b>78%</b> 78 <b>19</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>47</b> 19	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>45</b> 21	60+  144 <b>74%</b> 74 <b>15</b>	DEM MEN 138 46% 46 53 13	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 48	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 62 35	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>65%</b> 65 <b>29</b>	REP MEN  118 83% 83 13	REP WOMEN  102 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>27</b> 10	18-39  117 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>55</b> 20	40-59  130 <b>39%</b> 39 <b>61</b> 20	60+  67 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>23</b> 3	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>38</b> 19	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>58%</b> 58 <b>38</b>	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>19</b> 6	18-39  66 <b>61%</b> 61 <b>39</b> 11	40-59  85 <b>77%</b> 77	60+  64 <b>94%</b> 94 2
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black	1024 61% 61 36 14 12	MEN 18-39  188 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>43</b> 17	MEN 40-59  171 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>37</b> 12 17	MEN 60+  135 <b>78%</b> 78	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>47</b> 19	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>45</b> 21	60+  144 <b>74%</b> 74 <b>15</b>	DEM MEN 138 46% 46 53 13 30	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 48	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 62 35 17	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>65%</b> 65 <b>29</b> 12 5	REP MEN  118 83% 83	REP WOMEN  102 <b>71%</b> 71	18-39  117 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>55</b> 20 25	40-59  130 <b>39%</b> 39 <b>61</b> 20 36	60+  67 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>23</b> 3	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>62%</b> 62	IND/L 40-59  132 58% 58	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>19</b> 6	18-39  66 <b>61%</b> 61 <b>39</b> 11	40-59  85 <b>77%</b> 77 <b>18</b>	60+  64 <b>94%</b> 94 <b>2</b> 0
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black Asian	1024 61% 61 36 14 12 2	MEN 18-39  188 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>43</b> 17 10	MEN 40-59 171 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>37</b> 12 17 0	MEN 60+ 135 78% 78 19 5 8 1	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>47</b> 19 15	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>45</b> 21	60+  144 <b>74%</b> 74 <b>15</b> 3 7	DEM MEN 138 46% 46 53 13 30 2	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 48 50 21 26 1	IND/L MEN 195 62% 62 35 17 8 0	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>65%</b> 65 <b>29</b> 12 5	REP MEN  118 83% 83 13 4 0	REP WOMEN  102 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>27</b> 10 3	18-39  117 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>55</b> 20 25 4	40-59  130 <b>39%</b> 39 <b>61</b> 20 36 0	60+  67 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>23</b> 3 20 0	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>38</b> 19 7	IND/L 40-59  132 58% 58 38 19 8	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>19</b> 6 4	18-39  66 <b>61%</b> 61 <b>39</b> 11 5	40-59  85 <b>77%</b> 77 <b>18</b> 9 0	60+ 64 94% 94 2 0 0
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black	1024 61% 61 36 14 12	MEN 18-39  188 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>43</b> 17	MEN 40-59  171 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>37</b> 12 17	MEN 60+  135 <b>78%</b> 78 <b>19</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>47</b> 19	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>45</b> 21 15	60+  144 <b>74%</b> 74 <b>15</b>	DEM MEN 138 46% 46 53 13 30	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 48	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 62 35 17	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>65%</b> 65 <b>29</b> 12 5	REP MEN  118 83% 83 13	REP WOMEN  102 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>27</b> 10	18-39  117 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>55</b> 20 25	40-59  130 <b>39%</b> 39 <b>61</b> 20 36	60+  67 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>23</b> 3	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>38</b> 19	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>58%</b> 58 <b>38</b>	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>19</b> 6	18-39  66 <b>61%</b> 61 <b>39</b> 11	40-59  85 <b>77%</b> 77 <b>18</b>	60+  64 <b>94%</b> 94 <b>2</b> 0
WHITE Anglo/White  NON-WHITE Hispanic or Latino African-American or Black Asian	1024 61% 61 36 14 12 2	MEN 18-39  188 <b>57%</b> 57 <b>43</b> 17 10	MEN 40-59 171 <b>59%</b> 59 <b>37</b> 12 17 0	MEN 60+ 135 78% 78 19 5 8 1	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>47</b> 19 15	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 53 <b>45</b> 21 15	60+  144 <b>74%</b> 74 <b>15</b> 3 7	DEM MEN 138 46% 46 53 13 30 2	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 48 50 21 26 1	IND/L MEN 195 62% 62 35 17 8 0	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>65%</b> 65 <b>29</b> 12 5	REP MEN  118 83% 83 13 4 0	REP WOMEN  102 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>27</b> 10 3	18-39  117 <b>44%</b> 44 <b>55</b> 20 25 4	40-59  130 <b>39%</b> 39 <b>61</b> 20 36 0	60+  67 <b>73%</b> 73 <b>23</b> 3 20 0	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>62%</b> 62 <b>38</b> 19 7	IND/L 40-59  132 58% 58 38 19 8	IND/L 60+  124 <b>71%</b> 71 <b>19</b> 6 4	18-39  66 <b>61%</b> 61 <b>39</b> 11 5	40-59  85 <b>77%</b> 77 <b>18</b> 9 0	60+ 64 94% 94 2 0 0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

				Q22 EDU				EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
WHITE	61%	52%	59%	66%	72%	56%	67%	57%	55%	72%	63%	64%	75%	52%	60%	63%	71%	57%	44%	82%	73%	56%	
Anglo/White	61	52	59	66	72	56	67	57	55	72	63	64	75	52	60	63	71	57	44	82	73	56	
NON-WHITE	36	46	40	29	25	43	28	42	44	24	31	34	23	44	38	34	27	42	47	12	23	43	
Hispanic or Latino	14	25	17	8	1	21	6	17	24	6	7	12	6	13	24	11	6	7	25	5	16	27	
African-American or Black	12	15	13	10	10	14	10	14	13	9	11	11	10	19	3	13	14	22	12	0	0	5	
Asian	2	1	2	3	1	1	2	1	2	1	4	1	0	3	3	1	1	1	4	0	1	3	
Something else	8	6	8	8	13	7	9	9	5	8	10	10	7	8	7	10	7	11	6	7	6	7	
REF/NA	3	1	1	5	3	1	5	1	2	4	6	2	3	4	2	2	2	2	9	6	3	2	
Refused/NA	3	1	1	5	3	1	5	1	2	4	6	2	3	4	2	2	2	2	9	6	3	2.	

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	143	61	83	33	31	41	25	4	5	63	63	11	143	0	0	143	
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fifty English all or most	23% y 38 39	22% 34 44	24% 41 35	21% 23 56	13% 55 32	33% 40 27	37% 33 29	0% 0 100	0% 0 100	17% 38 44	36% 35 29	0% 21 79	23% 38 39	0% 0 0	0% 0 0	23% 38 39	
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		~	U.S./ RELTNS		~			ELATION A INIT				
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	143	50	94	69	65	9	91	52	49	38	17	21	87	39	18		
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fifty English all or most	23% y 38 39	61% 33 7	4% 41 56	10% 44 46	38% 34 28	18% 22 60	24% 39 37	23% 35 42	22% 41 37	15% 45 40	21% 47 31	10% 32 58	19% 43 38	15% 39 46	61% 13 26		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI TARGET		GAGE		16 MSG ATION		/B LIT		STATE	~		ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	143	63	24	56	20	28	67	77	70	73	33	34	48	19	68	67	9
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fifty English all or most	23% y 38 39	27% 36 38	0% 42 58	30% 38 32	58% 24 18	6% 51 43	20% 35 45	27% 40 33	27% 38 35	20% 38 42	23% 46 31	30% 27 43	30% 41 30	9% 25 66	26% 36 37	24% 36 40	0% 63 37
			CELL E			Q19	) IDEOL	ogy		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
	Total	ALL/M CELL		ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	143	61	65	16	16	25	33	59	43	13	29	18	33	87	6	2	
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fift English all or most	23% y 38 39	16% 34 51	34% 40 25	11% 52 37	43% 22 35	17% 46 37	21% 40 40	35% 34 31	10% 46 44	54% 6 40	28% 43 30	48% 17 35	28% 37 35	18% 40 41	0% 39 61	72% 28 0	

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-	-ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	143	64	42	20	56	56	15	17	46	9	8	42	5	5	10	12	6	22	34	9	5	
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fifty English all or most	23% 7 38 39	27% 43 30	37% 39 25	0% 24 76	31% 43 26	28% 36 36	0% 32 68	6% 31 63	37% 41 22	0% 55 45	44	37% 39 25	0% 0 100	0% 52 48	0% 23 77	10% 16 75	0% 62 38	20% 44 36	38% 43 19	0% 51 49	0% 0 100	
				GENDER	BY AGE	]			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	lR					PAR	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	143	33	21	7	31	42	5	17	38	34	22	5	10	23	26	2	23	26	7	7	8	0
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fifty English all or most	23% 7 38 39	26% 27 48	25% 56 20	0% 0 100	8% 51 41	42% 25 34	0% 52 48	37% 17 46	28% 55 17	21% 36 43	38% 36 26	0% 15 85	0% 41 59	30% 46 25	40% 33 28	0% 0 100	18% 40 42	44% 33 22	0% 33 67	0% 25 75	0% 40 60	0% 0 0
				Q22 EDI	UCATION	I		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	ī				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	143	67	48	28	1	114	29	49	66	12	17	21	12	50	60	21	9	17	33	3	10	50
Spanish all or most English/Spanish fifty-fifty English all or most	23% 7 38 39	35% 33 32	13% 37 50	13% 53 34	0% 0 100	26% 35 39	12% 51 37	23% 38 39	29% 32 39	20% 17 62	75	0% 52 48	0% 25 75	27% 54 19	34% 22 44	0% 52 48	0% 26 74	0% 85 15	41% 38 22	0% 23 77	51% 7 42	30% 25 44

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	143	61	83	33	31	41	25	4	5	63	63	11	143	0	0	143	
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65	31% 69		25% 75	26% 74	50% 50	49% 51		0% 100	25% 75	52% 48	0% 100	35% 65	0% 0	0% 0	35% 65	
			SP/LTN IMMIGR	D	Q7 U.S. IRECTIO	ON		U.S./ RELTNS			GAGE WI		ELATION A INIT				
	Total	DODM	IMMIG		WRONG		NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR		TOTAL	DV /NA		
		BURN															
Base	143	50	94	69	65	9	91	52	49	38	17	21	87	39	18		
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65		0% 100	26% 74	47% 53	14% 86	38% 62		36% 64	36% 64	30% 70	20% 80	36% 64	24% 76	51% 49		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI TARGET		GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG TION	A, SPI	LIT					ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO	CON	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	143	63	24	56	20	28	67	77	70	73	33	34	48	19	68	67	9
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65	38% 62		41% 59	61% 39	27% 73	34% 66		39% 61	31% 69	36% 64	25% 75	60% 40	4% 96	30% 70	44% 56	0% 100
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE		Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY		21 TEA	
	Total		BOTH EQUAL		VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	143	61	65	16	16	25	33	59	43	13	29	18	33	87	6	2	
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65	27% 73	50% 50	11% 89	43% 57	17% 83	28% 72		20% 80	54% 46	43% 57	45% 55	41% 59	30% 70	21% 79	0% 100	

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

								Q2	0 PART	SELF-	-ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	143	64	42	20	56	56	15	17	46	9	8	42	5	5	10	12	6	22	34	9	5	
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65	46% 54	31% 69	12% 88	52% 48	24% 76	17% 83	29% 71	60% 40	13% 87	0% 100	31% 69	0% 100	25% 75	13% 87	24% 76	38% 62	33% 67	64% 36	13% 87	24% 76	
									P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	IR.					PAR	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+			IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	143	33	21	7	31	42	5	17	38	34	22	5	10	23	26	2	23	26	7	7	8	0
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65	24% 76	51% 49	0% 100	26% 74	52% 48	0% 100	50% 50	53% 47	20% 80	30% 70	25% 75	12% 88	50% 50	64% 36	0% 100	17% 83	37% 63	0% 100	0% 100	32% 68	0% 0
				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	143	67	48	28	1	114	29	49	66	12	17	21	12	50	60	21	9	17	33	3	10	50
Immigrated to U.S. Born in U.S.	35% 65	41% 59	37% 63	17% 83	0% 100	39% 61	17% 83	31% 69		31% 69	7% 93	19% 81	0% 100	54% 46	32% 68	19% 81	0% 100	59% 41	52% 48	0% 100	33% 67	31% 69

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	50	19	31	8	8	20	12	0	0	16	33	0	50	0	0	50	
Bolivia	3%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%	
Columbia	6	0	10	0	38	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	6	0	0	6	
Cuba	29	62	8	85	0	26	18	0	0	43	23	0	29	0	0	29	
El Salvador	2	0	3	0	11	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	2	
Japan	2	0	3	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	2	
Mexico	49	25	64	0	52	57	61	0	0	26	59	0	49	0	0	49	
Venezuala	3	0	5	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	3	
Guatemala	5	0	8	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	0	5	
Refused	2	7	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	2	
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.			J.S./ RELTNS			NORMA GAGE WI						
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	т∩тат.	TOTAL			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR		DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR			OPPOS	FAVOR		DK/NA		
Base	50	50	0	18	31	1	35	15	18	13	5	4	31	9	9		
Bolivia	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	13%	0%		
Columbia	6	6	0	17	0	0	0	20	0	22	0	0	10	0	0		
Cuba	29	29	0	50	17	0	35	14	44	0	84	50	25	68	0		
El Salvador	2	2	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	16	0	0	9	0		
Japan	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	21	0	10	0		
Mexico	49	49	0	16	71	0	47	54	47	51	0	0	49	0	100		
Venezuala	3	3	0	0	5	0	5	0	9	0	0	0	5	0	0		
Guatemala Refused	5 2	5 2	0	13	0	0 100	7 4	0	0	17 9	0	0	8 4	0	0		
Refused	2	۷	O	O	U	100	-	O	U	9	0	U	-	U	O		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI TARGET		GAGE		16 MSG TION		/B LIT		STATE			ONGS ON RRORISM	1 LIST	
		CONS	CONS		POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRST	FIRST	A	В	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	DK/NA
Base	50	24	3	23	12	8	22	27	27	23	12	8	29	1	20	29	0
Bolivia	3%	0%	0%	5%	10%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%
Columbia	6	0	0	13	0	40	13	0	0	13	0	0	10	0	0	10	0
Cuba	29	24	71	28	35	29	33	25	37	19	54	30	18	0	44	18	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	4	7	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	100	0	3	0
Japan	2	0	29	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	8	0	0	0	4	0	0
Mexico	49	64	0	40	48	0	27	67	52	45	28	70	53		45	51	0
Venezuala	3	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0		0	0	6	0
Guatemala	5%	0%	0%	10%	0%	31%	10%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	8%		0%	8%	0%
Refused	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

		LAND	CELL E	SAGE		Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	JITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY							
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L						
Base	50	16	32	2	7	4	9	31	8	7	12	8	14	26	1	0						
Bolivia	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	100%	0%						
Columbia	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	11	0	0						
Cuba	29	42	23	0	100	0	74	24	0	100	18	85	16	12	0	0						
El Salvador	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0						
Japan	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	0						
Mexico	49	32	60	0	0	100	13	57	65	0	65	0	68	58	0	0						
Venezuala	3	0	0	100	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0						
Guatemala	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0						
Refused	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	9	0	0	0						
								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-	-ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
						TAIDED		NE DO	CMD	NOTED	TNDED		TNDED	MORD	CED.	NOT			MD / CNT	MD /T D	CONC	
	m-+-1	DEM	TAIDED	REP	DEM	INDEP	DED	NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	TATELLE	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DIC /NIN	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LINS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	50	29	13	2	29	13	2	5	28	1	0	13	0	1	1	3	2	7	22	1	1	
Bolivia	3%	0%	0%	51%	0%	0%	51%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Columbia	6	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	
Cuba	29	31	23	0	31	23	0	43	32	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	100	0	41	0	0	
El Salvador	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	
Japan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	
Mexico	49	45	68	49	45	68	49	22	43	100	0	68	0	100	0	39	0	59	40	100	0	
Venezuala	3	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	
Guatemala	5	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	
Refused	2	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				GENDER	BY AGE				P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	TY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	DED	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total		MEN 40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	#OMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	БЕМ 60+	18-39	40-59	60+		40-59	60+
Base	50	8	11	0	8	22	0	9	20	7	7	1	1	11	16	0	4	10	0	0	2	0
Bolivia	3%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	51%	0%
Columbia	6	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cuba	29	53	69	0	32	0	0	75	13	45	0	0	0	60	13	0	0	32	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Japan	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	49	31	20	0	21	78	0	25	53	37	100	0	100	14	62	0	67	68	0	0	49	0
Venezuala	3	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	100	0	100	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	5	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
VCT 09E0	2	10	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	10	U	U	U	U	U	U	33	U	U	U	U	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEI	NDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	_	HS OR		COLL	POST	NON-			NCOLL			NORTH	MID			NORTH	MID		SOUTH	CNTRL		
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	50		17	5	0	45	5	15	30	4	1	4	0	27	19	4	0	10	17	0	3	16
Bolivia	3%	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	34%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Columbia	6	0	17	0	0	7	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0
Cuba	29	41	17	0	0	32	0	77	9	0	0	0	0	45	11	0	0	45	45	0	0	14
El Salvador	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Japan	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Mexico	49	49	42	74	0	46	74	14	62	66	100	0	0	36	77	0	0	12	50	0	100	72
Venezuala	3	0	9	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	41	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	5	0	14	0	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	59	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	5	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

			IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39		60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	94	42	52	25	23	20	13	4	5	47	30	11	94	0	0	94
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Cuba	8	13	3	4	6	23	0	0	0	5	15	0	8	0	0	8
Ecuador	2	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	2
El Salvador	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
India	1	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mexico	34	23	43	42	35	51	6	0	0	39	36	0	34	0	0	34
Nicaragua	5	0	9	0	0	11	19	0	0	0	8	21	5	0	0	5
Puerto Rico	9	11	8	9	23	0	11	0	0	15	4	0	9	0	0	9
Spain	1	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1
United States	29	35	23	30	22	14	44	100	0	26	27	36	29	0	0	29
Italy	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	19	2	0	0	2
Poland	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	0	24	3	0	0	3
Peru	2	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	2
Refused	2	0	3	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	2
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		CUBA I	J.S./ RELTNS				TH CUB	ELATION BA INITI			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	94	0	94	51	35	8	56	37	31	24	12	17	56	29	9	
Argentina	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	
Cuba	8	0	8	8	8	0	7	8	13	12	0	0	13	0	0	
Ecuador	2	0	2	4	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	
El Salvador	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	
India	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	9	0	0	4	0	
Mexico	34	0	34	39	23	50	36	31	23	42	39	50	31	46	12	
Nicaragua	5	0	5	0	14	0	4	6	8	0	0	0	4	0	26	
Puerto Rico	9	0	9	3	21	0	9	9	7	4	22	16	6	19	0	
Spain	1	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	4	0	
United States	29	0	29	32	20	50	23	38	32	31	30	25	31	27	17	
Italy	2	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	
Poland	3	0	3	5	0	0	5	0	0	11	0	0	5	0	0	
Peru	2	0	2	0	5	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	
Refused	2	0	2	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

		NOR		RELATI		BAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A, SPI				Q13 CU				
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	94	39	21	33	8	21	44	49	43	50	21	26	19	18	47	38	9
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Cuba	8	18	0	0	0	0	14	2	6	9	5	12	7	0	9	4	17
Ecuador	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	5	0
El Salvador	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	2	0
India	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	2	0	0
Mexico	34	23	33	47	80	45	38	30	37	31	38	37	34	33	38	33	14
Nicaragua	5	6	0	7	0	0	0	10	11	0	11	9	0	0	10	0	0
Puerto Rico	9	8	26	0	0	0	2	16	9	10	0	0	24	0	0	12	46
Spain	1	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	3	0	0
United States	29	24	30	34	20	47	36	22	22	34	31	30	16	41	31	28	22
Italy	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	12	0	6	0
Poland	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	15	0	7	0
Peru	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	9	0	0	0	4	0	0
Refused	2	0	0	5	0	8	0	4	4	0	0	7	0	0	4	0	0
		QA/QB	CELL E	LOME /											Q20/Q2	ZI IEA	
			DLINE U  BOTH		 VERY	Q19  VERY	IDEOL	OGY 		Q21  VERY	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII  TOTAL		PARTY FAV/	OPIN  FAV/	
			DLINE U  BOTH		VERY CONS		CONS	OGY  MOD	LIB				TOTAL		PARTY		
Base	Total  94	ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	ALL/M		VERY			LIB  35	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	FAV/	
Base Argentina		ALL/M CELL	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD		VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
	94	ALL/M CELL  45	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 33	ALL/M LNDLN 	CONS  9	VERY LIB	CONS  24	MOD  28	35	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV 	TOTAL UNFAV  20	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS 	FAV/ IND/L  2	
Argentina	94 1%	ALL/M CELL  45	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 33	ALL/M LNDLN  14	CONS  9	VERY LIB  21	CONS  24	MOD  28	35 0%	VERY FAV 6	VERY UNFAV  17	TOTAL FAV  10	TOTAL UNFAV  20	NO/DK RF/NA  61	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5	FAV/ IND/L  2	
Argentina Cuba	94 1% 8	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5	BOTH EQUAL  33	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11	CONS 9 0% 16	VERY LIB  21 0%	CONS  24 0% 24	MOD  28 3% 5	35 0% 0	VERY FAV 6	VERY UNFAV  17 5% 0	TOTAL FAV  10 0% 0	TOTAL UNFAV  20 4% 0	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5	FAV/ IND/L  2 0% 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador	 94 1% 8	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5	BOTH EQUAL  33 0% 10	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11	CONS 9 0% 16 0	VERY LIB  21 0% 0	CONS  24 0% 24 0	MOD  28 3% 5	 35 0% 0 5	VERY FAV6	VERY UNFAV  17 5% 0	TOTAL FAV  10 0% 0	TOTAL UNFAV  20 4% 0	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5 0% 0	FAV/ IND/L  2 0% 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador	94 1% 8 2	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4	BOTH EQUAL  33 0% 10 0	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0	CONS 9 0% 16 0	VERY LIB  21 0% 0	CONS 24 0% 24 0	MOD  28 3% 5 0	35 0% 0 5	VERY FAV 6	VERY UNFAV  17 5% 0 0	TOTAL FAV  10 0% 0	TOTAL UNFAV  20 4% 0 0	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5 0% 0 0	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India	94 1% 8 2 1	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4 2	BOTH EQUAL 33 0% 10 0 0	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0	CONS 9 0% 16 0	VERY LIB 21 0% 0 9 4	CONS 24 0% 24 0 0	MOD 28 3% 5 0 0 0 0	 35 0% 0 5 3	VERY FAV 6 0% 0 0 0 0	VERY UNFAV  17 5% 0 0 0	TOTAL FAV  10 0% 0	TOTAL UNFAV 20 4% 0 0 0 5	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9 3 2	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5 0% 0 0 0	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India Mexico	 94 1% 8 2 1 1 34	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4 2 2 37	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 33 0% 10 0 0 0 35	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0	CONS 9 0% 16 0 0	VERY LIB  21 0% 0 9 4 5	CONS 24 0% 24 0 0 0 43 0 6	MOD 28 3% 5 0 0 0 32	 35 0% 0 5 3 3	VERY FAV 6 0% 0 0 0 0 41	VERY UNFAV  17 5% 0 0 0 0 6 38	TOTAL FAV  10 0% 0 0 0	TOTAL UNFAV 20 4% 0 0 0 5 33	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9 3 2 0 35	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5 0% 0 0 0 50	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India Mexico Nicaragua	 94 1% 8 2 1 1 34 5	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4 2 2 37 0	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 33 0% 10 0 0 0 35 14	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0 0 0	CONS 9 0% 16 0 0 32 0	VERY LIB  21 0% 0 9 4 5 23	CONS 24 0% 24 0 0 0 43 0	MOD 28 3% 5 0 0 0 32 8	35 0% 0 5 3 3 25	VERY FAV6 0% 0 0 0 41 0	VERY UNFAV  17 5% 0 0 0 6 38	TOTAL FAV 10 0% 0 0 0 0 0 24 24	TOTAL UNFAV 20 4% 0 0 5 33 12	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9 3 2 0 35	PARTY FAV/ REPS 5 0% 0 0 0 0 50 50	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0 0 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India Mexico Nicaragua Puerto Rico	94 1% 8 2 1 1 34 5	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4 2 2 2 37 0	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 333 0% 10 0 0 0 15 14 8	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 111 0 0 0 9	CONS 9 0% 16 0 0 32 0 0	VERY LIB 21 0% 0 9 4 5 5 23 12 0	CONS 24 0% 24 0 0 0 43 0 6	MOD 28 3% 5 0 0 0 32 8 16	35 0% 0 5 3 3 25 7	VERY FAV 6 0% 0 0 0 0 41 0 0 0	VERY UNFAV 17 5% 0 0 0 6 38 15 0	TOTAL FAV 10 0% 0 0 0 0 24 24 0	TOTAL UNFAV 20 4% 0 0 5 5 33 12 0	NO/DK RF/NA  61 0% 9 3 2 0 35 0	PARTY	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0 0 0 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India Mexico Nicaragua Puerto Rico Spain	 94 1% 8 2 2 1 1 34 5 9	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4 2 2 2 37 0 13	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 333 0% 10 0 0 0 14 8	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0 0 0 0	CONS 9 0% 16 0 0 32 0 0 14	VERY LIB	CONS 24 0% 24 0 0 0 0 0 6 5	MOD 28 3% 5 0 0 0 0 32 8 16 0 0	35 0% 0 5 3 3 25 7 8	VERY FAV6 0% 0 0 0 0 0 41 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV 20 4 % 0 0 0 5 33 11 2 0 0 0	NO/DK RF/NA 61 0% 9 3 2 0 35 5 0	PARTY	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0 0 0 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India Mexico Nicaragua Puerto Rico Spain United States	 94 1% 8 2 1 1 34 5 9 9 1 29	ALL/M CELL  45 2% 5 4 2 2 2 37 0 0 13 3 31	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 33 0% 10 0 0 0 355 14 88 0 24	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0 0 0 0 0	CONS 9 0 % 16 0 0 0 32 2 0 0 14 37	VERY LIB	CONS 24 0% 24 0 0 0 0 6 5 22	MOD 28 3	35 0% 0 5 3 25 7 8 0 44	VERY FAV 6 0% 0 0 0 0 0 41 0 0 0 12	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV 20 4% 0 0 0 5 33 12 0 0 45	NO/DK RF/NA 61 0% 9 3 2 0 35 0 14 2 28	PARTY	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Argentina Cuba Ecuador El Salvador India Mexico Nicaragua Puerto Rico Spain United States Italy	94 1% 8 2 1 1 34 5 9 1 29	ALL/M CELL 45 2% 5 4 2 2 37 0 13 3 31	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 33 0% 10 0 0 35 14 8 0 24	ALL/M LNDLN  14 0% 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 38 16	CONS 9  0% 16 0 0 0 32 0 0 14 37 0	VERY LIB	CONS 24 0% 244 0 0 0 43 0 6 5 222	MOD 28 3% 5 0 0 0 32 8 16 0 0 18 8 8	35 0% 0 5 3 3 25 7 8 0 44	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA 61 0% 9 3 2 0 35 0 14 2 2 28	PARTY	FAV/ IND/L 2 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

Part   Part
Base 94 35 29 17 27 42 12 12 19 8 8 8 29 5 4 9 9 9 4 14 12 8 4  Argentina 1% 3% 0% 0% 3% 0% 0% 0% 11% 0 19 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Cuba  8 0 16 0 0 11 0 19 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 11 39 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 11 39 0 0 0 0 0 12 39 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Ecuador 2 5 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
El Salvador  1 0 3 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
India 1 3 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Mexico 34 26 18 65 27 22 72 51 35 10 20 18 48 100 60 72 0 26 29 72 72 72 Nicaragua 5 0 8 13 0 6 19 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 26 0 0 0 0 0 28 0 Puerto Rico 9 22 3 0 21 7 0 0 0 15 35 26 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 23 0 0 Spain 1 4 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Nicaragua 5 0 8 13 0 6 19 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 26 0 0 0 0 28 0 Puerto Rico 9 22 3 0 21 7 0 0 15 35 26 3 0 0 0 0 0 19 23 0 0 Spain 1 4 0 0 5 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 United States 29 32 45 7 33 37 9 12 28 44 30 45 0 0 0 13 17 0 35 31 0 28 Italy 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Puerto Rico 9 22 3 0 21 7 0 0 15 35 26 3 0 0 0 0 0 19 23 0 0 Spain 1 4 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 United States 29 32 45 7 33 37 9 12 28 44 30 45 0 0 13 17 0 35 31 0 28 Italy 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Spain 1 4 0 0 5 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 United States 29 32 45 7 33 37 9 12 28 44 30 45 0 0 13 17 0 35 31 0 28 Italy 2 0 0 0 0 0 15 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
United States 29 32 45 7 33 37 9 12 28 44 30 45 0 0 13 17 0 35 31 0 28  Italy 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
United States 29 32 45 7 33 37 9 12 28 44 30 45 0 0 13 17 0 35 31 0 28  Italy 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Poland  3 0 0 15 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 52 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Peru 2 5 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Refused 2 0 6 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
GENDER BY AGE PARTY BY GENDER PARTY BY AGE  MEN MEN MEN WOMEN WOMEN WOMEN DEM DEM IND/L IND/L REP REP DEM DEM DEM IND/L IND/L IND/L REP REP Total 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ MEN WOMEN MEN WOMEN 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+
MEN MEN WOMEN WOMEN WOMEN DEM DEM IND/L IND/L REP REP DEM DEM DEM IND/L IND/L IND/L REP REP REP Total 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ MEN WOMEN MEN WOMEN MEN WOMEN 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+
Total 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ MEN WOMEN MEN WOMEN MEN WOMEN 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+
Argentina 1% 0% 9% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Cuba 8 10 31 0 0 7 0 0 0 12 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 29 0 0 0 0
Ecuador 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 21 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
El Salvador 1 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0
India 1 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Mexico 34 25 34 0 54 38 0 9 36 19 27 100 60 11 41 0 26 27 0 84 56 0
Nicaraqua 5 0 0 0 0 11 52 0 0 0 16 0 27 0 0 0 0 33 0 44 0
Puerto Rico 9 18 0 0 12 7 0 16 23 12 0 0 0 37 15 0 17 0 0 0 0 0
Spain 1 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
United States 29 32 26 61 19 28 0 44 27 41 30 0 13 15 34 100 43 32 31 16 0 0
Italy 2 0 0 0 0 48 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Poland 3 0 0 39 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 36 0 0 0
Peru 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0
Refused 2 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

				Q22 EDI				EDU	JCATION					REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+	COLL+ WOMEN		MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL PLAIN		WEST
Base	94	39	30	23	1	69	24	33	36	8					41	17	9		16	3	7	34
Argentina	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Cuba	8	6	11	6	0	8	6	13	4	17	0	9	0	24	0	9	0	81	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	6	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
India	1	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Mexico	34	35	39	21	100	37	26	20	52	35	21	6	41	35	43	6	19	0	50	100	11	49
Nicaragua	5	0	0	21	0	0	20	0	0	0	30	0	19	0	6	0	26	0	0	0	0	7
Puerto Rico	9	6	7	18	0	6	17	9	4	17	17	42	0	6	0	42	0	19	0	0	0	0
Spain	1	0	0	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
United States	29	31	27	28	0	30	26	36	23	32	24	0	23	35	39	0	31	0	50	0	63	34
Italy	2	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	3	7	0	0	0	4	0	8	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	2	5	0	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	26	0

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Right direction	36%	37%	34%	44%	38%	32%	33%	30%	36%	42%	33%	32%			51%	47%	
Wrong track DK/NA	56 9	55 8	57 9	42 14	55 7	61 7	61 7	64 6	54 10	47 11	59 8	62 6			37 12	45 8	
DR/ NA	9	0	9	14	,	,	,	0	10	11	0	0	0	9	12	0	
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S IRECTIO			J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMA GAGE WI						
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Right direction	36%	36%	55%	100%	0%	0%	33%	41%	43%	41%	30%	25%	42%	27%	29%		
Wrong track	56	61	37	0	100	0	59	50	50	49	58	71		66	53		
DK/NA	9	2	8	0	0	100	8	9	7	10	11	4	8	6	19		
		NOR		RELATI FARGET		GAGE		16 MSG TION		/B LIT			~		ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Right direction	36%	43%	23%	36%	32%	39%	37%	34%	35%	36%	33%	36%	33%	42%	34%	37%	32%
Wrong track	56	50	71	51	54	52	55	56	57	55	65	52		52	59	53	56
DK/NA	9	8	6	13	14	9	8	9	8	9	3	13	12	7	7	9	12
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE		Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
	Total		BOTH EQUAL		VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
Right direction	36%	37%	37%	30%	24%	53%	23%	40%	50%	15%	56%	14%	54%	33%	4%	17%	
Wrong track	56	53	55	64	72	41	73	52	39	83	38	83		54	94		
DK/NA	9	9	8	6	4	6	5	8	11	1	6	3	5	13	2	5	

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

								Q2	0 PART	Z SELF-	ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Right direction	36%	55%	29%	19%	55%	30%	19%	27%	58%	48%	52%	29%	20%	30%	14%	31%	20%	58%	54%	46%	11%	
Wrong track DK/NA	56 9	35 10	61 10	77 4	35 10	61 9	77 4	59 14	33 9	41 11	38 9	61 10	77 3	65 6	83	51 19	73 7	34 9	35 11	46 8	87 3	
				GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Right direction	36%	38%	38%	35%	46%	28%	29%	59%	53%	35%	26%	21%	17%	59%	54%	52%	31%	29%	31%	36%	12%	12%
Wrong track DK/NA	56 9	51 11	54 8		42 12	63 8	64 7	34 7	36 12	56 10	66 8	73 5	80 3	33 8	34 12	41 7	57 11	65 7	60 9	55 8	85 3	86 2
				Q22 ED	UCATION	ſ		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	ſ				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Right direction Wrong track	36% 56	34% 57	36% 55	58	45% 52	35% 56	37% 57	37% 55	32% 57	38% 56	36% 57	32% 63		36% 54	39% 54	32% 61	36% 52	40%	28% 64	30% 61	29% 68	43% 49
DK/NA	9	9	9	8	3	9	7	8	11	6	7	6	11	10	7	6	12	10	8	9	3	8

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America?s relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74			40-59		HISP/ LATNO		AFRCN AMRCN	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
NONE	68%	72%		61%	61%	71%		83%	66%	61%	67%	76%		69%	60%	64%
1	53	56	50	48	50	54	51	68	48	49	51	60	55	52	47	53
2	15	16	13	13	11	17	15	15	18	12	16	16	8	17	12	11
OTHER	32	28		39	39	29	34	17	34		33	24		31	40	36
3	10	9		8	16	8	10	6	11	12	9	9	-	11	8	8
4	7	7	7	8	8	7	6	3	10	8	8	5	4	7	7	7
5	6	3	9	13	5	5	5	2	5	9	5	4	9	6	7	7
6	2	2	2	1	4	3	3	0	0	2	3	1	5	1	7	5
7	2	2	3	3	1	2	4	0	3	3	3	1	4	2	3	4
8	5	4	6	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	6	4	8	4	8	6
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		IRECTIO	DN 	CUBA I	RELTNS		Q9 EN:	NORMAI	LIZE RETTH CUB	ELATION A INIT	S/ [AL 		
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
NONE	68%	70%	60%	63%	71%	65%	100%	0%	73%	60%	64%	75%	67%	71%	62%	
1	53	64	50	48	56	50	78	0	54	49	45	65	51	58	45	
2	15	6	10	15	15	15	22	0	19	11	19	10	15	13	17	
OTHER	32	30	40	37	29	35	0	100	27	40	36	25	33	29	38	
3	10	6	6	11	10	6	0	30	7	14	14	7	10	10	7	
4	7	0	6	7	7	4	0	21	5	10	8	7	7	7	3	
5	6	6	11	8	5	7	0	19	6	8	8	4	7	5	4	
6	2	4	6	2	3	1	0	7	4	1	1	2	3	2	0	
7	2	2	5	3	1	10	0	8	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	
8	5	12	6	7	3	8	0	15	4	4	4	2	4	3	18	

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America?s relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

		NOR:		RELATI FARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	16 MSG		'B LIT		STATE	~	RED TE	ONGS ON		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
NONE	68%	70%	74%	58%	57%	56%	67%	69%	71%	65%	68%	65%	70%	70%	67%	70%	58%
1	53	54	61	43	39	44	51	55	56	50	53	50	57	54	52	56	42
2	15	16	12	15	18	13	15	14	15	15	15	15	13	16	15	14	16
OTHER	32	30	26	42	43	44	33	31	29	35	32	35	30	30	33	30	42
3	10	10	10	8	6	9	9	10	9	10	11	6	11	10	9	10	10
4	7	7	6	7	9	8	6	8	8	6	7	7	6	8	7	7	6
5	6	6	5	8	8	10	7	5	5	7	5	8	7	6	6	6	6
6	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	2	4	1	2	1	3	2	3
7	2	1	2	5	5	5	2	2	1	4	2	4	3	1	3	2	3
8	5	2	2	12	13	10	6	3	3	6	4	9	1	4	6	2	14
		LANI	CELL E	SAGE		~	DEOL	OGY		~			VORABII		Q20/Q2 PARTY		
	Total		BOTH	ALL/M LNDLN			CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY			TOTAL		FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
NONE	68%	65%	71%	71%	67%	65%	69%	65%	70%	83%	68%	76%	68%	64%	82%	68%	
1	53	52	52	58	53	54	55	51	55	64	50	60	52	50	63	51	
2	15	13	19	12	13	11	14	14	15	18	18	16	16	14	19	17	
OTHER	32	35	29	29	33	35	31	35	30	17	32	24	32	36	18	32	
3	10	13	7		10	12	9	11	11	5	11	6	12	9	5	9	
4	7	7	6	7	7	3	9	8	3	3	5	8	6	7	5	14	
5	6	6	6	7	8	7	5	5	9	4	5	5	4	8	4	8	
6	2	3	1	2	0	6	2	2	3	2	3	1	3	2	1	0	
7	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	3	1	2	1	1	2	4	2	0	
8	5	4	6	4	5	5	4	7	3	1	7	2	5	6	1	2	

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America?s relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

								~	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM		REP	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
NONE	68%	64%	69%	73%	65%	68%	73%	66%	65%	66%	59%	69%	72%	64%	77%	57%	79%	71%	59%	64%	75%	
1	53	52	48	57	53	50	58	54	53	55	46	48	56	46	64	45	68	58	50	52	59	
2	15	12	20	15	12	18	15	11	12	10	12	20	16	18	13	11	11	14	9	12	16	
OTHER	32	36	31	27	35	32	27	34	35	34	41	31	28	36	23	43	21	29	41	36	25	
3	10	11	11	9	11	11	8	6	11	10	9	11	12	9	7	6	6	10	12	4	9	
4	7	4	7	10	4	7	9	8	4	4	5	7	11	16	5	12	3	3	5	16	7	
5	6	9	5	4	9	6	4	6	6	15	15	5	2	6	4	5	7	11	6	4	5	
6	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	2	4	2	4	2	2	0	1	3	0	2	4	3	0	
7	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	9	3	1	0	2	0	2	2	14	1	1	3	3	2	
8	5	6	6	2	6	5	3	4	7	3	8	6	1	2	3	3	4	2	11	6	1	
				GENDER	BY AGE	:			P.	ARTY BY	GENDE	R						TY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	R 6
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	
NONE	68%	68%	69%	82%	54%	65%	71%	68%	62%	73%	62%	76%	69%	63%	60%	76%	64%	67%	73%	52%	79%	
1	53	52		61	45	48	59	63	46	50	49	58	57	59	45	61	41	51	55	46	58	
2	15	16		20	9	18	12	5	17	23	13	18	12	5	16	15	23	15	18	6	21	
OTHER	32	32	31	18	46	35	29	32	38	27	38	24	31	37	40	24	36	33	27	48	21	
3	10	11	10	7	13	8	10	11	11	10	12	7	9	12	10	11	13	10	10	14	5	
4	7	8	7	5	7	8	4	4	4	6	9	10	9	3	6	2	7	9	6	15	8	
5	6	7	2	1	12	8	7	5	11	3	8	3	6	13	5	6	8	7	2	8	2	
6	2	2	3	0	2	2	2	4	3	1	3	0	2	2	6	1	4	0	2	1	1	
7	2	1	4	1	5	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	3	1	4	2	1	1	1	4	3	
Q.	5	3	5	4	6	6	4	4	8	6	5	3	2	6	8	2	4	6	7	5	2	

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America?s relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

				~	JCATION			EDU	JCATION				CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
NONE	<b>68</b> %	<b>61</b> % 51	<b>64</b> % 46	<b>70%</b> 57	<b>84%</b> 61	<b>63</b> %	<b>74%</b> 58	51	47	<b>79%</b> 62	<b>69%</b> 55	58	<b>69%</b> 56	47	<b>71%</b> 55	58	<b>67</b> %	<b>61</b> % 46	<b>67%</b> 49	<b>74%</b> 58	<b>69</b> % 53	<b>71%</b> 56
OTHER	15 <b>32</b>	10 <b>39</b>	36	13 <b>30</b>	24 <b>16</b>	14 <b>37</b>	15 <b>26</b>	15 <b>34</b>		17 <b>21</b>	15 <b>31</b>	14 <b>28</b>	13 <b>31</b>	16 <b>37</b>	16 <b>29</b>	13 <b>29</b>	13 <b>33</b>	14 <b>39</b>	19 <b>33</b>	16 <b>26</b>	16 <b>31</b>	15 <b>29</b>
3	10	8	14	8	11	11	9	11	10	7	10	11	11	10	8		11	13	6	10	8 10	8
5	6	7	7 7	6	2	7	5	4	10	2	8	4	5	8	6	4	6	10	5	3	4	7
7 8	2 5	4 9	3	2 4	1	3	1 3	3 5	4 8	1 3	2 4	1 3	2 5	4 5	2 6	1 4	2 4	5	3	3	2	1 5

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	502	246	256	107	64	103	122	60	36	171	183	138	70	306	60	181
TOTAL FAVOR	60%	64%	56%	60%	74%	60%	52%	65%	54%	65%	56%	58%	62%	59%	64%	61%
Strongly favor	32	38	27	34	34	35	26	41	23	34	32	31	42	27	39	39
Somewhat favor	28	26	29	26	41	25	26	24	31	32	25	27	20	32	25	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	32	35	35	23	31	44	24	26	31	36	32	21	36	29	29
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	14	15	8	19	9	12	15	13	13	15	15	16	12
Strongly oppose	20	19	21	21	8	22	25	15	14	16	24	18	6	21	13	18
DK/NA	7	5	9	5	3	9	4	11	20	4	7	10	17	6	7	10
DK/NA	7	5	9	5	3	9	4	11	20	4	7	10	17	6	7	10
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	502	27	43	177	286	39	355	147	161	139	67	99	300	166	36	
TOTAL FAVOR	60%	73%	55%	71%	52%	66%	61%	56%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Strongly favor	32	55	34	43	26	28	35	26	100	0	0	0	54	0	0	
Somewhat favor																
Somewhat lavor	28	18	21	28	26	38	27	30	0	100	0	0	46	0	0	
TOTAL OPPOSE	28 <b>33</b>	18 <b>15</b>	21 <b>25</b>	28 <b>22</b>	26 <b>41</b>	38 <b>24</b>	27 <b>32</b>	30 <b>36</b>	0 <b>0</b>	100 <b>0</b>	0 <b>100</b>	0 <b>100</b>	46 <b>0</b>	0 <b>100</b>	0 <b>0</b>	
TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose				<b>22</b> 9	<b>41</b> 15		<b>32</b> 12				ŭ	Ū		-		
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	15	25	22	41	24	32	36	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	
TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	<b>33</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b> 17	<b>22</b> 9	<b>41</b> 15	<b>24</b> 20	<b>32</b> 12	<b>36</b> 17	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b> 0	<b>100</b> 100	<b>100</b> 0	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b> 40	<b>0</b>	

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI ARGET		GAGE	~ ~	16 MSG		/B LIT			Q13 CU -SPONSO		ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	502	256	137	109	28	52	236	266	502	0	120	70	145	127	189	272	41
TOTAL FAVOR	60%	100%	0%	41%	0%	68%	63%	57%	60%	0%	37%	39%	78%	73%	38%	76%	56%
Strongly favor	32	58	0	13	0	22	33	31	32	0	20	11	35	56	16	44	23
Somewhat favor	28	42	0	28	0	46	30	26	28	0	17	28	43	17	21	31	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	0	100	26	84	0	32	34	33	0	57	43	20	23	52	21	25
Somewhat oppose	13	0	35	16	49	0	12	15	13	0	14	20	13	9	16	11	16
Strongly oppose	20	0	65	10	35	0	21	19	20	0	43	23	7	14	36	10	9
DK/NA	7	0	0	33	16	32	5	10	7	0	6	17	2	4	10	3	19
DK/NA	7	0	0	33	16	32	5	10	7	0	6	17	2	4	10	3	19
			CELL E			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
			BOTH		 VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY		TOTAL		FAV/	FAV/	
	Total		EQUAL		CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV		RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	502	226	166	104	69	48	177	167	136	52	129	106	166	210	46	31	
TOTAL FAVOR	60%	59%	65%	55%	34%	73%	50%	64%	74%	43%	77%	45%	76%	55%	32%	40%	
Strongly favor	32	30	40	26	18	50	26	32	43	31	50	27	46	24	18	22	
Somewhat favor	28	29	25	29	16	23	24	31	31	11	26	18	30	31	14	18	
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	36		36	62	22	48	23	21	57	17	51		35	63		
Somewhat oppose	13	15	9	17	10	13	15	11	11	12	7	11	10	16	13		
Strongly oppose	20	21	17	19	51	9	33	12	10	46	10	40	8	19	50	40	
DT /313	_	-	•	1.0	_	_	_					_	_		_	_	
DK/NA DK/NA	<b>7</b> 7	<b>5</b> 5	<b>9</b> 9	10 10	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b> 2	13 13	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b> 4	<b>6</b> 6	10 10	<b>5</b> 5	<b>6</b> 6	

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	502	175	135	146	150	194	112	46	113	38	24	135	34	39	72	28	18	84	63	30	81	
TOTAL FAVOR	60%	71%	55%	52%	68%	60%	50%	54%	65%	79%	89%	55%	59%	71%	39%	58%	47%	73%	65%	59%	47%	
Strongly favor	32	44	25	28	44	30	24	22	40	58	40	25	39	33	19	14	34	46	45	28	23	
Somewhat favor	28	27	30	24	24	30	26	32	25	21	49	30	20	38	19	44	13	27	20	31	24	
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	25	32	43	27	30	45	34	32	14	8	32	39	29	53	33	37	25	30	29	50	
Somewhat oppose	13	12	14	11	14	12	11	21	17	6	2	14	11	11	11	19	24	12	17	9	12	
Strongly oppose	20	12	18	32	13	19	33	14	15	8	5	18	29	17	42	14	13	13	14	20	38	
DK/NA	7	4	13	4	4	9	5	12	4	7	3	13	2	0	8	9	17	2	5	11	3	
DK/NA	7	4	13	4	4	9	5	12	4	7	3	13	2	0	8	9	17	2	5	11	3	
				GENDER		] 			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDEI	R 						RTY BY A	AGE			
	moto!	 MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	 DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	 MEN 18-39					 WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN					REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+				REP		REP 60+
Base		18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN	60+	MEN	DEM	IND/L MEN	IND/L	REP MEN	WOMEN		40-59		IND/L	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP	60+
Base TOTAL FAVOR		18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	60+ 
	502	18-39  93	MEN 40-59  84	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59 	60+  73	MEN  78	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  55	18-39  53	40-59  65	60+  28	IND/L 18-39  59	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+ 	REP 18-39  39	REP 40-59  47	60+  25
TOTAL FAVOR	502 <b>60</b> %	18-39  93 <b>66</b> %	MEN 40-59  84 <b>64</b> %	MEN 60+  65	WOMEN 18-39  78 <b>64</b> %	WOMEN 40-59  99	60+  73 <b>55</b> %	MEN  78 <b>67</b> %	DEM WOMEN  72	IND/L MEN  84	IND/L WOMEN  110	REP MEN  57	WOMEN  55 <b>42</b> %	18-39  53 <b>73</b> %	40-59  65 <b>67</b> %	60+  28 <b>66</b> %	IND/L 18-39  59	IND/L 40-59  59	IND/L 60+  73	REP 18-39  39 <b>64%</b>	REP 40-59  47 <b>42%</b>	60+  25 <b>45</b> %
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	502 60% 32	18-39  93 <b>66%</b> 41	MEN 40-59  84 <b>64%</b> 41	MEN 60+  65 <b>61%</b> 30	WOMEN 18-39  78 <b>64%</b> 25	WOMEN 40-59  99 <b>50%</b> 24	60+  73 <b>55</b> % 32	MEN  78 <b>67</b> % 45	DEM WOMEN 72 69% 43	IND/L MEN  84 <b>66%</b> 35	IND/L WOMEN  110 <b>55%</b> 26	REP MEN  57 <b>58%</b> 38	WOMEN  55 <b>42%</b> 10	18-39  53 <b>73%</b> 52	40-59  65 <b>67%</b> 43	60+  28 <b>66%</b> 33	IND/L 18-39  59 <b>63%</b> 26	IND/L 40-59  59 <b>58%</b> 31	IND/L 60+  73 <b>59%</b> 32	REP 18-39  39 <b>64%</b> 33	REP 40-59  47 <b>42%</b> 19	60+  25 <b>45%</b> 21
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	502 60% 32 28	18-39  93 <b>66%</b> 41 25	MEN 40-59  84 <b>64%</b> 41 23	MEN 60+  65 <b>61%</b> 30 31	WOMEN 18-39  78 <b>64%</b> 25 40	WOMEN 40-59  99 <b>50%</b> 24 26	60+  73 <b>55%</b> 32 24	MEN  78 <b>67%</b> 45 22	DEM WOMEN  72 <b>69%</b> 43 26	IND/L MEN  84 <b>66%</b> 35 32	IND/L WOMEN  110 55% 26 29	REP MEN  57 <b>58%</b> 38 20	WOMEN  55 <b>42%</b> 10 32	18-39  53 <b>73%</b> 52 21	40-59 65 <b>67%</b> 43 24	60+  28 <b>66%</b> 33 33	IND/L 18-39  59 <b>63%</b> 26 37	IND/L 40-59  59 <b>58%</b> 31 26	IND/L 60+  73 <b>59%</b> 32 27	REP 18-39  39 <b>64%</b> 33 30	REP 40-59  47 <b>42%</b> 19 23	60+  25 <b>45%</b> 21 25
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	502 60% 32 28	18-39  93 <b>66%</b> 41 25	MEN 40-59 84 64% 41 23	MEN 60+  65 <b>61%</b> 30 31	WOMEN 18-39  78 <b>64%</b> 25 40	WOMEN 40-59  99 <b>50%</b> 24 26	60+  73 <b>55%</b> 32 24	MEN  78 <b>67%</b> 45 22	DEM WOMEN 72 69% 43 26 25	IND/L MEN  84 66% 35 32	IND/L WOMEN  110 55% 26 29	REP MEN  57 58% 38 20	WOMEN  55 <b>42%</b> 10 32 <b>51</b>	18-39  53 <b>73%</b> 52 21 <b>27</b>	40-59  65 <b>67%</b> 43 24 <b>26</b>	60+  28 <b>66%</b> 33 33	IND/L 18-39  59 <b>63%</b> 26 37	IND/L 40-59  59 <b>58%</b> 31 26	IND/L 60+  73 <b>59%</b> 32 27	REP 18-39  39 <b>64%</b> 33 30	REP 40-59  47 <b>42%</b> 19 23 <b>50</b>	60+  25 <b>45%</b> 21 25 <b>49</b>
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	502 60% 32 28 33	18-39  93 <b>66%</b> 41 25 <b>30</b> 12	MEN 40-59 84 64% 41 23 35 14	MEN 60+ 65 61% 30 31 29 12	WOMEN 18-39  78 <b>64%</b> 25 40 <b>31</b> 17	WOMEN 40-59  99 <b>50%</b> 24 26 <b>37</b> 12	60+  73 <b>55%</b> 32 24 <b>34</b> 14	MEN  78 <b>67%</b> 45 22 <b>30</b> 12	DEM WOMEN 72 69% 43 26 25 16	IND/L MEN  84 66% 35 32 26 11	IND/L WOMEN  110 <b>55%</b> 26 29 <b>34</b> 13	REP MEN 57 58% 38 20 38	WOMEN  55 <b>42%</b> 10 32 <b>51</b> 12	18-39  53 <b>73%</b> 52 21 <b>27</b> 13	40-59 65 <b>67%</b> 43 24 <b>26</b> 13	60+  28 <b>66%</b> 33 33 <b>32</b> 21	IND/L 18-39  59 <b>63%</b> 26 37 <b>31</b> 15	IND/L 40-59  59 <b>58%</b> 31 26 <b>34</b> 11	IND/L 60+  73 <b>59%</b> 32 27 <b>27</b>	REP 18-39  39 <b>64%</b> 33 30 <b>34</b> 11	REP 40-59  47 <b>42%</b> 19 23 <b>50</b> 10	60+  25 <b>45%</b> 21 25 <b>49</b> 15

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Γ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST
Base	502	122	125	182	67	248	248	126	122	116	132	90	105	186	120	99	78	109	67	28	36	85
TOTAL FAVOR	60%	52%	59%	63%	69%	56%	64%	57%	54%	71%	58%	60%	62%	58%	60%	58%	59%	53%	68%	73%	45%	67%
Strongly favor	32	27	27	39	37	27	38	32	22	45	32	31	26	34	35	31	25	30	42	28	29	38
Somewhat favor	28	25	32	24	32	29	26	25	33	26	26	29	37	23	25	28	34	22	26	45	16	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	39	33	33	21	36	30	39	33	23	36	38	28	36	30	39	29	42	25	23	41	25
Somewhat oppose	13	19	13	11	9	16	10	17	14	7	13	15	12	15	10	16	11	19	8	13	2	14
Strongly oppose	20	20	20	22	11	20	19	22	19	16	23	22	16	21	20	23	18	23	17	10	39	12
DK/NA	7	9	8	4	11	9	6	4	13	6	6	2	10	6	10	3	12	5	7	4	14	8
DK/NA	7	9	8	4	11	9	6	4	13	6	6	2	10	6	10	3	12	5	7	4	14	8

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	522	256	266	103	76	102	132	61	38	179	191	142	73	318	63	188
TOTAL FAVOR	52%	58%	46%	55%	47%	48%	44%	64%	76%	51%	48%	60%	59%	53%	39%	53%
Strongly favor	27	35	20	28	15	29	24	41	35	23	26	35	26	27	17	27
Somewhat favor	25	23	26	27	32	19	21	23	41	29	21	25	33	25	22	26
TOTAL OPPOSE	37	33	41	36	45	39	42	26	16	40	36	33	33	40	37	32
Somewhat oppose	13	9	17	14	18	11	13	8	9	16	12	11	9	15	13	10
Strongly oppose	24	24	24	22	27	27	28	18	7	24	25	22	23	25	25	22
DK/NA	11	9	13	9	7	13	14	10	7	9	16	7	8	7	24	15
DK/NA	11	9	13	9	7	13	14	10	7	9	16	7	8	7	24	15
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	522	23	50	187	286	49	339	183	143	130	68	125	273	193	57	
TOTAL FAVOR	52%	50%	63%	61%	48%	44%	49%	59%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Strongly favor	27	12	33	29	28	18	29	24	100	0	0	0	52	0	0	
Somewhat favor	25	38	30	32	20	26	19	35	0	100	0	0	48	0	0	
TOTAL OPPOSE	37	24	37	32	42	28	42	28	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	
Somewhat oppose	13	9	9	13	13	15	13	12	0	0	100	0	0	35	0	
Strongly oppose	24	15	27	19	29	13	28	16	0	0	0	100	0	65	0	
DK/NA	11	26	0	7	10	28	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	
DK/NA	11	26	0	7	10	28	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI ARGET		GAGE	~ ~	16 MSG	A/ SPI				~		ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	522	212	141	169	72	72	283	239	0	522	117	107	140	125	225	265	32
TOTAL FAVOR	52%	100%	0%	36%	0%	76%	51%	53%	0%	52%	28%	37%	65%	76%	32%	70%	42%
Strongly favor	27	61	0	7	0	16	28	26	0	27	15	8	31	53	11	41	23
Somewhat favor	25	39	0	28	0	61	23	27	0	25	13	29	34	23	21	29	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	37	0	100	31	69	0	38	36	0	37	66	44	26	19	55	23	25
Somewhat oppose	13	0	29	16	35	0	13	13	0	13	16	17	14	8	16	11	6
Strongly oppose	24	0	71	15	35	0	25	23	0	24	50	27	12	11	39	12	19
DK/NA	11	0	0	34	31	24	11	11	0	11	6	19	9	5	12	7	33
DK/NA	11	0	0	34	31	24	11	11	0	11	6	19	9	5	12	7	33
			CELL E			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY		21 TEA	
			BOTH										TOTAL				
	Total		EQUAL		VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	FAV		RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	522	235	172	108	87	44	176	183	139	59	125	119	171	217	53	30	
TOTAL FAVOR	52%	48%	58%	51%	48%	57%	42%	54%	61%	45%	65%	48%	66%	45%	45%	67%	
Strongly favor	27	28	27	26	23	36	20	28	35	26	45	20	43	20	20	38	
Somewhat favor	25	21	31	25	25	21	22	26	27	20	20	28	23	25	25	29	
TOTAL OPPOSE	37	43	27	41	47	32	51	33	26	50	22	44		44	41	31	
Somewhat oppose	13	14	11	14	14	15	15	11	14	15	10	12	11	16	6	17	
Strongly oppose	24	29	15	26	33	16	35	22	12	35	12	33	13	28	35	14	
DK/NA	11	9	15	8	5	12	7	13	<b>12</b> 12	5	13	7	11	11	14		
DK/NA	11	9	15	8	5	12	7	13		5	1.3	7	11	11	14	2	

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

								~	0 PART	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	522	203	121	143	173	186	109	55	120	53	30	121	34	40	68	33	22	81	90	25	84	
TOTAL FAVOR	52%	50%	66%	52%	48%	63%	52%	30%	45%	54%	64%	66%	52%	52%	52%	35%	21%	59%	37%	63%	48%	
Strongly favor	27	23	40	28	23	34	30	13	22	27	24	40	22	32	29	18	6	29	18	49	24	
Somewhat favor	25	27	26	24	25	29	22	16	24	27	40	26	30	20	23	17	15	31	20	15	24	
TOTAL OPPOSE	37	37	27	39	37	31	38	52	38	37	36	27	42	29	43	49	56	26	49	27	41	
Somewhat oppose	13	16	12	9	17	11	10	14	16	19	11	12	9	8	10	18	8	13	20	6	11	
Strongly oppose	24	21	15	29	21	20	28	38	22	18	25	15	33	21	33	32	48	13	29	21	31	
DK/NA	11	12	7	9	14	6	10	18	17	9	0	7	6	19	5	16	22	15	14	9	10	
DK/NA	11	12	7	9	14	6	10	18	17	9	0	7	6	19	5	16	22	15	14	9	10	
			(	GENDER	BY AGE	I			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R 					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total		MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	 DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	 REP 60+
Base	Total  522		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
Base TOTAL FAVOR		18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN 	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+ 	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39 	40-59	60+ 
	522	18-39  96	MEN 40-59 	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59  104	60+  71	MEN  60	DEM WOMEN 	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  47	18-39  64	40-59  65	60+  39	IND/L 18-39 	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+ 	18-39  28	40-59	60+  39
TOTAL FAVOR	522 <b>52</b> %	18-39  96 <b>52</b> %	MEN 40-59  87	MEN 60+  71	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>51</b> %	WOMEN 40-59  104 <b>40%</b>	60+  71 <b>51</b> %	MEN  60 <b>58</b> %	DEM WOMEN  113	IND/L MEN  111	IND/L WOMEN  74	REP MEN  61	WOMEN  47 43%	18-39  64 <b>49</b> %	40-59  65 <b>42</b> %	60+  39 <b>58</b> %	IND/L 18-39  61	IND/L 40-59  73	IND/L 60+  51 <b>70</b> %	18-39  28 <b>57</b> %	40-59  38 <b>39</b> %	60+  39 <b>60</b> %
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	522 <b>52%</b> 27	18-39  96 <b>52%</b> 26	MEN 40-59  87 <b>56%</b> 39	MEN 60+  71 <b>69</b> % 44	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>51%</b> 19	WOMEN 40-59  104 <b>40%</b> 16	60+  71 <b>51%</b> 25	MEN  60 <b>58%</b> 29	DEM WOMEN 113 43% 20	IND/L MEN  111 63% 41	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>62%</b> 24	REP MEN  61 <b>59%</b> 37	WOMEN  47 <b>43%</b> 20	18-39  64 <b>49%</b> 18	40-59  65 <b>42%</b> 20	60+  39 <b>58%</b> 36	IND/L 18-39  61 <b>61%</b> 35	IND/L 40-59  73 <b>60%</b> 31	IND/L 60+  51 <b>70%</b> 37	18-39  28 <b>57%</b> 19	40-59  38 <b>39%</b> 28	60+  39 <b>60%</b> 40
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	522 <b>52%</b> 27 25	18-39  96 <b>52%</b> 26 27	MEN 40-59  87 <b>56%</b> 39 17	MEN 60+  71 <b>69%</b> 44 25	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>51%</b> 19 32	WOMEN 40-59  104 <b>40%</b> 16 24	60+  71 <b>51%</b> 25 25	MEN  60 <b>58%</b> 29 29	DEM WOMEN 113 43% 20 23	IND/L MEN  111 63% 41 23	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>62%</b> 24 38	REP MEN 61 <b>59%</b> 37 22	WOMEN  47 <b>43%</b> 20 22	18-39  64 <b>49%</b> 18 31	40-59 65 <b>42%</b> 20 22	60+  39 <b>58%</b> 36 22	IND/L 18-39  61 <b>61%</b> 35 25	IND/L 40-59  73 <b>60%</b> 31 29	IND/L 60+  51 <b>70%</b> 37 33	18-39  28 <b>57%</b> 19 38	40-59  38 <b>39%</b> 28 11	60+  39 <b>60%</b> 40 20
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	522 52% 27 25	18-39  96 <b>52%</b> 26 27 <b>41</b>	MEN 40-59 87 56% 39 17 28	MEN 60+  71 <b>69%</b> 44 25	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>51%</b> 19 32	WOMEN 40-59  104 40% 16 24	60+  71 <b>51%</b> 25 25	MEN  60 <b>58%</b> 29 29	DEM WOMEN 113 43% 20 23	IND/L MEN  111 63% 41 23	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>62%</b> 24 38	REP MEN 61 <b>59%</b> 37 22	WOMEN  47 <b>43%</b> 20 22 <b>45</b>	18-39  64 <b>49%</b> 18 31 <b>45</b>	40-59 65 <b>42%</b> 20 22 <b>27</b>	60+ 39 58% 36 22	IND/L 18-39  61 61% 35 25	IND/L 40-59  73 60% 31 29	IND/L 60+  51 70% 37 33	18-39  28 <b>57%</b> 19 38 <b>35</b>	40-59  38 39% 28 11 46	60+  39 <b>60%</b> 40 20
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	522 52% 27 25 37	18-39  96 <b>52%</b> 26 27 <b>41</b> 15	MEN 40-59 87 56% 39 17 28 3	MEN 60+  71 <b>69%</b> 44 25 <b>26</b>	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>51%</b> 19 32 <b>39</b> 17	WOMEN 40-59  104 <b>40%</b> 16 24 <b>43</b>	60+  71 <b>51%</b> 25 25 <b>40</b> 14	MEN  60 <b>58%</b> 29 29 <b>28</b> 8	DEM WOMEN 113 43% 20 23 42 21	IND/L MEN  111 63% 41 23	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>62%</b> 24 38 <b>32</b> 15	REP MEN 61 <b>59%</b> 37 22 <b>33</b> 8	WOMEN  47 <b>43%</b> 20 22 <b>45</b> 11	18-39 64 <b>49%</b> 18 31 <b>45</b> 21	40-59 65 <b>42%</b> 20 22 <b>27</b> 14	60+  39 <b>58%</b> 36 22 <b>38</b> 13	IND/L 18-39  61 <b>61%</b> 35 25 <b>30</b> 13	IND/L 40-59  73 60% 31 29	IND/L 60+  51 70% 37 33 24	18-39  28 <b>57%</b> 19 38 <b>35</b> 9	40-59  38 39% 28 11 46 12	60+  39 <b>60%</b> 40 20 <b>31</b> 8

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST	
Base	522	149	154	163	53	303	216	152	151	102	114	94	110	193	125	102	73	117	68	36	29	96	
TOTAL FAVOR	52%	40%	57%	56%	65%	48%	58%	53%	43%	66%	51%	57%	53%	48%	54%	57%	50%	52%	40%	60%	49%	56%	
Strongly favor	27	18	28	31	43	23	34	31	15	42	27	39	31	19	29	38	29	21	14	33	24	30	
Somewhat favor	25	22	29	25	21	26	24	23	28	24	24	18	23	29	25	19	20	31	26	28	24	26	
TOTAL OPPOSE	37	42	34	38	30	38	36	36	40	27	43	38	37	37	36	38	40	34	42	29	42	34	
Somewhat oppose	13	11	18	13	6	14	11	12	17	4	17	15	9	16	10	15	11	11	25	5	6	11	
Strongly oppose	24	31	16	25	25	23	25	24	23	23	26	24	27	21	26	23	29	23	17	24	36	23	
DK/NA	11	18	9	6	5	14	6	11	17	6	6	5	10	15	10	6	10	14	17	11	9	10	
DK/NA	11	18	9	6	5	14	6	11	17	6	6	5	10	15	10	6	10	14	17	11	9	10	

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL FAVOR	56%	61%	51%	57%	60%	54%	48%	65%	65%	58%	52%	59%		56%	51%	57%
Strongly favor Somewhat favor	30 26	36 25	23 28	31 27	23 36	32 22	25 23	41 23	29 36	28 30	29 23	33 26	34 26	27 29	28 24	33 24
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	32	38	35	35	35	43	25	21	35	36	32		38	34	31
Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	13 22	11 21	15 22	14 21	17 18	10 25	16 27	8 17	10 11	15 20	12 24	12 20	12 15	15 23	15 19	11 20
DK/NA	9	7	11	7	5	11	9	10	13	6	12	9	13	7	15	12
DK/NA	9	7	11	7	5	11	9	10	13	6	12	9	13	7	15	12
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS			NORMA GAGE WI					
	Total	~			IRECTIC		CUBA I		STR FAVOR				A INIT		DK/NA	
Base	Total  1024	BORN/	IMMIGR	D: RIGHT	IRECTIC	N 	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		EN  SMWT	GAGE WI SMWT	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL TOTAL	DK/NA  93	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	EN SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS	A INIT	TOTAL OPPOS		
	1024	BORN/S	IMMIGR IMMIG 94	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK 	DK/NA  88	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304	EN SMWT FAVOR  268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93	
TOTAL FAVOR	1024 <b>56</b> %	BORN/3 BORN 50 62%	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 59%	DI RIGHT DIR  364	WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  88 54%	NONE 694	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304 100%	EN SMWT FAVOR 268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 134 0%	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 0%	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	1024 <b>56%</b> 30	BORN/3 BORN 50 62%	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 59% 33	RIGHT DIR 364	WRONG TRACK  572 50% 27	DK/NA  88 <b>54%</b> 23	NONE 694 55% 32	ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304 <b>100%</b> 100	SMWT FAVOR 268 100%	SMWT OPPOS 134	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 0%	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>0%</b> 0	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	1024 56% 30 26	BORN/: BORN 50 62% 35 27	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 59% 33 26	RIGHT DIR 364 66% 36 30	WRONG TRACK  572 50% 27 23	DK/NA  88 <b>54%</b> 23 31	NONE 694 55% 32 23	ALL OTHER 330 58% 25 33	FAVOR  304 100% 100 0	SMWT FAVOR 268 100% 0 100	SMWT OPPOS 134	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 0% 0	TOTAL FAVOR 572 100% 53 47	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 0% 0	93 0% 0	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	1024 56% 30 26	BORN/: 50 62% 35 27	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 59% 33 26 31	RIGHT DIR 364 66% 36 30 27	WRONG TRACK  572 50% 27 23	DK/NA  88 54% 23 31 26	CUBA II  NONE 694 55% 32 23	ALL OTHER 330 58% 25 33	FAVOR 304 100% 100 0	EN SMWT FAVOR 268 100% 0 100	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 134 0% 0 100	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 0% 0 100	TOTAL FAVOR 572 100% 53 47	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 0% 0 100	93 0% 0	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	1024 56% 30 26 35	BORN/: 50 62% 35 27 19	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 59% 33 26 31 13	RIGHT DIR 364 66% 36 30 27	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK  572 50% 27 23 42	DK/NA  88 54% 23 31 26 17	NONE	ALL OTHER 330 58% 25 33 32 15	FAVOR 304 100% 100 0 0	EN  SMWT  FAVOR  268  100%  0 100  0 0	SMWT OPPOS 134 0% 0 0	TH CUB  STR OPPOS  225  0% 0 0  100 0	TOTAL FAVOR 572  100% 53 47	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 0% 0 100 37	93 0% 0 0	

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A/ SPI	JIT		STATE	Q13 CU	RED TE			
	m . 1	CONS	CONS	G11T17G	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF		TOTAL	DIF (373
	Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRST	FIRST	A 	В	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL FAVOR	56%	100%	0%	38%	0%	73%	57%	55%	60%	52%	32%	38%	72%	75%	35%	73%	50%
Strongly favor	30	59	0	10	0	19	31	29	32	27	17	9	33	54	14	43	23
Somewhat favor	26	41	0	28	0	54	26	26	28	25	15	29	39	20	21	30	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	0	100	29	74	0	35	35	33	37	61	44	23	21	54	22	25
Somewhat oppose	13	0	32	16	39	0	12	14	13	13	15	18	13	8	16	11	12
Strongly oppose	22	0	68	13	35	0	23	21	20	24	47	26	10	12	38	11	13
DK/NA	9	0	0	33	26	27	8	10	7	11	6	18		5	11	5	25
DK/NA	9	0	0	33	26	27	8	10	7	11	6	18	5	5	11	5	25
		QA/QB	CELL E	HONE/											Q20/Q2	21 TEA	
		LANI	OLINE U	SAGE		Q19	IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	PARTY	OPIN	
		ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	Total			LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV		RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL FAVOR	56%	54%	61%	53%	42%	65%	46%	59%	68%	44%	71%	47%	71%	50%	39%	54%	
Strongly favor	30	29	34	26	21	44	23	30	39	28	48	23	45	22	19	30	
Somewhat favor	26	25	28	27	21	22	23	29	29	16	23	23	26	28	20	24	
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	40	26	38	53	26	49	29	24	53	19	48	21	39	51	43	
Somewhat oppose	13	15	10	15	12	14	15	11	12	13	8	12	10	16	9	16	
Strongly oppose	22	25	16	23	41	12	34	18	11	40	11	36	11	23	42	27	
DK/NA	9	7	12	9	5	8	5	13	8	3	10	6	8	11	10	4	
DK/NA	9	7	12	9	5	8	5	13	8	3	10	6	8	11	10	4	

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	,	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL FAVOR	56%	60%	60%	52%	57%	61%	51%	41%	55%	64%	75%	60%	56%	62%	45%	46%	33%	66%	49%	61%	48%	
Strongly favor	30	33	32		33	32	27	17	30	40	31	32	31	32	24	16	18	37	29	38	24	
Somewhat favor	26	27	28	24	24	30	24	24	24	25	44	28	25	29	21	30	14	29	20	24	24	
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	31	30	41	33	31	41	44	35	28	23	30	40	29	48	42	48	25	41	28	46	
Somewhat oppose	13	14	13	10	15	12	11	17	16	14	7	13	10	10	11	18	15	12	19	8	11	
Strongly oppose	22	17	17	31	17	19	31	27	19	14	16	17	31	19	37	23	33	13	22	20	34	
DK/NA	9	9	10	7	10	8	8	15	10	8	1	10	4	10	7	12	20	9	10	10	7	
DK/NA	9	9	10	7	10	8	8	15	10	8	1	10	4	10	7	12	20	9	10	10	7	
				GENDER	BY AGE	2			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+		40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
TOTAL FAVOR	56%	59%	60%	65%	57%	45%	53%	63%	53%	65%	58%	58%	42%	60%	55%	62%	62%	59%	64%	61%	41%	55%
Strongly favor	30	33	40	37	22	20	28	38	29	38	25	37	15	33	32	34	31	31	34	27	23	33
Somewhat favor	26	26	20	28	35	25	25	25	24	27	33	21	28	26	23	27	31	28	30	34	18	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	36	32	27	35	40	37	29	36	29	33	35	48	37	27	35	31	36	26	34	49	38
Somewhat oppose	13	14	8	9	17	15	14	10	19	10	14	9	12	17	13	17	14	11	10	10	11	11
Strongly oppose	22	22	23	18	18	25	22	19	16	19	20	26	36	20	13	19	16	24	16	24	38	27
DK/NA	9	5	8	7	8	15	10	8	11	7	9	6	9	3	19	3	8	5	10	5	11	7
DK/NA	9	5	8	7	8	15	10	8	11	7	9	6	9	3	19	3	8	5	10	5	11	7

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

				~	UCATION			EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
TOTAL FAVOR	56%	45%	58%	60%	67%	52%	61%	55%	48%	69%	55%	58%	58%	53%	57%	57%	54%	52%	54%	66%	47%	61%
Strongly favor	30	22	27	35	40	25	36	31	18	44	30	35	28	27	32	34	27	25	28	31	27	34
Somewhat favor	26	23	30	25	27	27	25	24	30	25	25	23	30	26	25	23	27	27	26	35	20	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	41	34	35	25	37	33	37	37	25	39	38	32	36	33	38	35	38	34	26	41	30
Somewhat oppose	13	15	15	12	8	15	11	14	16	6	15	15	11	16	10	15	11	15	17	9	4	13
Strongly oppose	22	26	18	24	17	22	22	23	21	19	24	23	22	21	23	23	23	23	17	18	38	18
DK/NA	9	14	9	5	8	11	6	8	15	6	6	4	10	11	10	4	11	10	12	8	12	9
DK/NA	9	14	9	5	8	11	6	8	15	6	6	4	10	11	10	4	11	10	12	8	12	9

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withi. \*/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	202	90	113	43	16	41	59	21	17	59	80	58	27	127	22	71
TOTAL FAVOR	16%	13%	18%	20%	28%	16%	14%	0%	18%	23%	13%	13%	36%	11%	32%	26%
Strongly favor	3	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	18	1	2	5	8	2	6	5
Somewhat favor	13	11	15	19	28	16	12	0	0	22	11	8	27	9	26	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	81	67	74	57	70	78	78	62	69	76	71	43	79	50	60
Somewhat oppose	24	30	20	31	20	9	29	39	12	28	20	26	25	28	19	19
Strongly oppose	48	51	47	42	37	61	49	39	50	41	56	45	18	50	31	41
DK/NA	11	6	15	6	14	14	8	22	20	8	11	16	21	11	18	14
DK/NA	11	6	15	6	14	14	8	22	20	8	11	16	21	11	18	14
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		~	J.S./ RELTNS		EN	NORMAI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	202	7	19	51	138	13	138	64	0	0	67	99	0	166	36	
TOTAL FAVOR	16%	0%	49%	27%	12%	15%	16%	15%	0%	0%	26%	5%	0%	14%	27%	
Strongly favor	3	0	11	8	0	5	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	10	
Somewhat favor	13	0	38	18	11	10	14	12	0	0	26	3	0	12	17	
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	54	39	60	77	73	74	70	0	0	68	92	0	82	28	
Somewhat oppose	24	30	23	26	21	53	23	26	0	0	55	8	0	27	13	
Strongly oppose	48	24	16	34	57	20	51	44	0	0	13	84	0	56	15	
DK/NA	11	46	12	13	11	12	10	15	0	0	5	3	0	4	45	
DK/NA	11	46	12	13	11	12	10	15	0	0	5	3	0	4	45	

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withi¿ many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		NOR		RELATI		SAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A/ SPI				~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	202	0	137	65	28	17	87	115	202	0	76	42	32	34	118	66	18
TOTAL FAVOR	16%	0%	8%	33%	48%	21%	12%	19%	16%	0%	10%	16%	16%	28%	12%	22%	15%
Strongly favor	3	0	1	7	5	4	1	4	3	0	0	2	0	14	1	7	0
Somewhat favor	13	0	7	26	43	17	11	15	13	0	10	14	16	14	12	15	15
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	0	88	40	52	53	81	67	73	0	81	69	81	70	77	75	38
Somewhat oppose	24	0	27	18	19	29	25	24	24	0	18	25	42	26	20	33	15
Strongly oppose	48	0	61	22	33	24	56	43	48	0	63	44	39	44	56	42	22
DK/NA	11	0	4	27	0	26	8	14	11	0	9	15	3	2	11	2	47
DK/NA	11	0	4	27	0	26	8	14	11	0	9	15	3	2	11	2	47
		~ ~	CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
	Total	LANI	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE	VERY CONS	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS	OGY MOD	LIB	Q21  VERY FAV	TEA PA VERY UNFAV		TOTAL		~ ~		
Base	Total  202	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	FAV/	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		LANI  ALL/M CELL	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY FAV/ REPS	OPIN FAV/ IND/L	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	202	LANI ALL/M CELL 92	BOTH EQUAL 59	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 47 19%	CONS  46 5% 3	VERY LIB  13 40%	CONS  89 11%	MOD  61 <b>16%</b> 6	LIB  35 <b>27%</b> 2	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 30 19%	TOTAL FAV  59	TOTAL UNFAV  40 <b>17%</b> 7	NO/DK RF/NA  94 18% 3	PARTY FAV/ REPS 	FAV/ IND/L  18	
TOTAL FAVOR	202 <b>16</b> %	LANI ALL/M CELL 92	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 59	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 47	CONS  46 5%	VERY LIB  13	CONS  89	MOD  61 <b>16</b> %	LIB  35 <b>27</b> %	VERY FAV  29	VERY UNFAV  30	TOTAL FAV  59	TOTAL UNFAV  40	NO/DK RF/NA  94	PARTY FAV/ REPS  31	FAV/ IND/L  18	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	202 16%	LANI ALL/M CELL 92 17%	BOTH EQUAL 59	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 47 19%	CONS  46 5% 3	VERY LIB  13 40%	CONS  89 11%	MOD  61 <b>16%</b> 6	LIB  35 <b>27%</b> 2	VERY FAV 29 0% 0	VERY UNFAV 30 19%	TOTAL FAV  59 <b>9%</b> 0	TOTAL UNFAV  40 17% 7 10	NO/DK RF/NA  94 18% 3	PARTY FAV/ REPS 31 8%	FAV/ IND/L  18	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	202 16% 3 13	LANI ALL/M CELL 92 17% 1 16 75 28	BOTH EQUAL 59  12% 0 12 74	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 47  19% 9 10 65 23	CONS 46	VERY LIB  13 40% 0 40 60 49	CONS  89 11% 1 9	MOD 61 16% 6 10 58 21	LIB  35 <b>27%</b> 2 24 <b>73</b> 37	VERY FAV 29 0% 0 0 93 17	VERY UNFAV  30 19% 6 13 69 34	TOTAL FAV  59 <b>9%</b> 0	TOTAL UNFAV 40 17% 7 10 73 42	NO/DK RF/NA  94 18% 3 15 67 24	PARTY FAV/ REPS 31 8% 0 8	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 18 15% 0 15 85	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	202 16% 3 13	LANI ALL/M CELL 92 17% 1 16	BOTH EQUAL 59  12% 0 12 74	ALL/M LNDLN  47 19% 9 10	CONS 46 5% 3 3	VERY LIB  13 40% 0 40	CONS  89 11% 1 9	MOD 61 16% 6 10 58	LIB 35 27% 2 24 73	VERY FAV 29 0% 0 0	VERY UNFAV  30 19% 6 13	TOTAL FAV  59 <b>9%</b> 0 9	TOTAL UNFAV  40 17% 7 10	NO/DK RF/NA  94 18% 3 15	PARTY FAV/ REPS 31 8% 0 8	FAV/ IND/L 18 15% 0 15	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	202 16% 3 13 73 24	LANI ALL/M CELL 92 17% 1 16 75 28	BOTH EQUAL 59  12% 0 12 74	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 47  19% 9 10 65 23	CONS 46	VERY LIB  13 40% 0 40 60 49	CONS  89 11% 1 9	MOD 61 16% 6 10 58 21	LIB  35 <b>27%</b> 2 24 <b>73</b> 37	VERY FAV 29 0% 0 0 93 17	VERY UNFAV  30 19% 6 13 69 34	TOTAL FAV  59 <b>9%</b> 0 9	TOTAL UNFAV 40 17% 7 10 73 42	NO/DK RF/NA  94 18% 3 15 67 24	PARTY FAV/ REPS 31 8% 0 8	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 18 15% 0 15 85	

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

								Q2	O PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	202	50	61	70	48	78	56	21	40	8	3	61	14	11	44	12	10	23	22	12	43	
TOTAL FAVOR	16%	29%	10%	10%	28%	10%	11%	22%	32%	9%	47%	10%	4%	19%	9%	22%	23%	30%	30%	29%	6%	
Strongly favor	3	3	0	1	1	2	0	16	2	0	30	0	4	0	0	11	23	0	3	0	0	
Somewhat favor	13	26	10	9	27	8	11	6	31	9	18	10	0	19	9	11	0	30	27	29	6	
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	66	72	81	67	76	77	64	65	75	53	72	96	73	78	64	64	70	64	37	88	
Somewhat oppose	24	29	24	18	31	23	17	33	30	38	0	24	19	22	16	30	38	33	29	3	22	
Strongly oppose	48	37	48	63	36	53	60	31	36	38	53	48	76	51	62	35	26	37	35	35	66	
DK/NA	11	5	18	10	5	14	12	13	3	16	0	18	0	8	13	14	13	0	6	34	6	
DK/NA	11	5	18	10	5	14	12	13	3	16	0	18	0	8	13	14	13	0	6	34	6	
				GENDER	BY AGE				P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R 					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	202	31	30	25	28	50	33	26	22	28	49	24	32	14	21	10	22	25	30	14	27	13
TOTAL FAVOR	16%	13%	13%	16%	34%	13%	11%	21%	36%	7%	12%	8%	13%	43%	17%	32%	16%	11%	5%	17%	11%	5%
Strongly favor	3	0	4	3	2	0	7	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
Somewhat favor	13	13	9	12	31	13	5	21	33	4	11	8	13	43	17	32	13	11	3	17	11	5
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	79	85	74	58	70	69	77	56	88	68	85	71	57	77	59	77	76	73	70	76	84
Somewhat oppose	24	32	27	29	24	15	24	33	29	34	16	25	12	21	34	31	31	12	26	25	10	26
Strongly oppose	48	47	59	45	34	54	45	44	27	54	52	60	59	35	42	28	46	64	47	45	67	58
DK/NA	11	8	1	11	9	17	20	2	8	5	20	7	16	0	7	9	7	13	21	13	13	11
DK/NA	11	8	1	11	9	17	20	2	8	5	20	7	16	0	7	9	7	13	21	13	13	11

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	I		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	202	59	52	68	21	110	89	55	56	33	56	36	40	79	48	42	32	52	22	7	20	28
TOTAL FAVOR	16%	23%	14%	14%	6%	19%	13%	18%	20%	7%	16%	11%	16%	19%	14%	12%	15%	24%	7%	19%	11%	17%
Strongly favor	3	6	0	2	3	3	2	2	4	2	2	0	9	2	1	0	11	1	4	0	0	2
Somewhat favor	13	17	14	12	3	16	10	15	16	4	14	11	7	17	13	12	4	23	3	19	11	14
TOTAL OPPOSE	73	70	64	78	86	67	79	74	60	91	73	88	61	70	75	85	62	71	71	59	71	77
Somewhat oppose	24	30	20	19	34	25	22	33	18	27	20	24	25	22	28	21	28	25	20	12	2	46
Strongly oppose	48	40	44	59	52	42	57	42	41	64	53	64	36	48	47	64	34	46	51	47	69	31
DK/NA	11	7	22	8	8	14	8	8	20	2	11	1	23	11	11	3	23	6	22	21	17	6
DK/NA	11	7	22	8	8	14	8	8	20	2	11	1	23	11	11	3	23	6	22	21	17	6

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withiz/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	249	107	143	47	40	53	73	22	9	87	100	57	30	151	38	89
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	18%	18%	17%	19%	18%	12%	28%	18%	18%	17%	16%	16%	17%	16%	19%
Strongly favor	3	2	3	1	0	4	0	0	18	1	2	3	7	2	3	4
Somewhat favor	15	16	14	16	19	14	12	28	0	18	15	14	9	15	13	15
TOTAL OPPOSE	69	72	66	70	74	65	73	62	51	72	64	73	73	74	54	61
Somewhat oppose	24	22	26	32	31	24	21	12	7	32	21	18	28	25	27	24
Strongly oppose	44	50	40	38	43	41	52	50	44	40	43	55	44	48	27	37
DK/NA	14	10	16	12	7	18	14	10	31	10	19	10	11	9	30	20
DK/NA	14	10	16	12	7	18	14	10	31	10	19	10		9	30	20
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT	STR OPPOS		TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	249	11	18	73	149	27	174	76	0	0	68	125	0	193	57	
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	24%	12%	14%	20%	19%	15%	24%	0%	0%	21%	6%	0%	11%	40%	
Strongly favor	3	0	12	5	2	0	3	1	0	0	3	3	0	3	2	
Somewhat favor	15	24	0	9	17	19	12	22	0	0	18	3	0	8	38	
TOTAL OPPOSE	69	48	88	72	71	49	72	60	0	0	76	94	-	87	5	
Somewhat oppose	24	19	35	28	22	26	22	29	0	0	64	13	-	31	1	
Strongly oppose	44	30	54	43	49	23	50	31	0	0	12	81	0	56	3	
DK/NA	14	28	0	15	10	32	13	16	0	0	3	0	0	1	55	
DK/NA	14	28	0	15	10	32	13	16	0	0	3	0	0	1	55	

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		NOR		RELATI ARGET	ONS/ENO	GAGE		16 MSG TION	A/ SPI						ONGS ON		
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA
Base	249	0	141	108	72	17	137	112	0	249	84	68	49	30	152	79	19
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	0%	4%	36%	45%	29%	15%	22%	0%	18%	9%	13%	43%	20%	11%	34%	7%
Strongly favor	3	0	1	5	8	0	2	4	0	3	4	1	4	4	2	4	0
Somewhat favor	15	0	3	31	37	29	13	18	0	15	6	12	38	16	9	30	7
TOTAL OPPOSE	69	0	96	33	46	15	70	67	0	69	87	65	51	72	77	59	43
Somewhat oppose	24	0	28	19	27	5	24	25	0	24	22	28	30	23	24	27	10
Strongly oppose	44	0	68	14	18	10	46	42	0	44	65	37	20	49	52	31	33
DK/NA	14		0	31	9	56	15	12	0	14	4	23	7	8	12		50
DK/NA	14	0	0	31	9	56	15	12	0	14	4	23	7	8	12	7	50
			CELL E													21 TEA	
		LANI	DLINE U			Q19	DEOL			Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABII		PARTY	OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH		VERY CONS	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS	OGY  MOD 	LIB	VERY FAV	TEA PA VERY UNFAV		TOTAL		FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	Total  249	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M		VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L  10	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	249 <b>18%</b> 3	ALL/M CELL  121 <b>16%</b>	BOTH EQUAL  72 <b>26%</b> 5	ALL/M LNDLN  53 13% 3	CONS  45 <b>6%</b> 2	VERY LIB  19 12%	CONS  102 16%	MOD  84 <b>15%</b>	LIB  54 <b>29%</b> 6	VERY FAV 33	VERY UNFAV  44 17%	TOTAL FAV  62 11%	TOTAL UNFAV  59 <b>21%</b> 2	NO/DK RF/NA  120 21% 4	FAV/ REPS  29 10%	FAV/ IND/L  10 <b>24%</b> 5	
TOTAL FAVOR	249 <b>18</b> %	ALL/M CELL  121	BOTH EQUAL  72 26%	ALL/M LNDLN  53	CONS  45 <b>6</b> %	VERY LIB  19	CONS  102 16%	MOD  84 <b>15</b> %	LIB  54 <b>29</b> %	VERY FAV  33	VERY UNFAV  44	TOTAL FAV  62	TOTAL UNFAV  59	NO/DK RF/NA  120 21%	FAV/ REPS  29	FAV/ IND/L  10	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	249 <b>18%</b> 3	ALL/M CELL  121 16%	BOTH EQUAL  72 <b>26%</b> 5	ALL/M LNDLN  53 13% 3	CONS  45 <b>6%</b> 2	VERY LIB  19 12%	CONS  102 16%	MOD  84 <b>15%</b>	LIB  54 <b>29%</b> 6	VERY FAV 33	VERY UNFAV  44 17%	TOTAL FAV  62 11%	TOTAL UNFAV  59 <b>21%</b> 2	NO/DK RF/NA  120 21% 4	FAV/ REPS  29 10%	FAV/ IND/L  10 <b>24%</b> 5	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	249 <b>18%</b> 3 15	ALL/M CELL  121 16% 1 14	BOTH EQUAL  72 <b>26%</b> 5 21	ALL/M LNDLN  53 13% 3 10	CONS  45 <b>6%</b> 2 4	VERY LIB  19 12% 3 9 70 25	CONS  102 16% 3 13	MOD  84 <b>15%</b> 1	LIB  54 <b>29%</b> 6 23 <b>55</b> 24	VERY FAV 33 7% 0 7	VERY UNFAV  44 17% 3 14 55	TOTAL FAV 62 11% 1	TOTAL UNFAV  59 <b>21%</b> 2	NO/DK RF/NA  120 <b>21%</b> 4 17	FAV/ REPS  29 10% 0	FAV/ IND/L  10 24% 5 19	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	249 18% 3 15	ALL/M CELL  121 16% 1 14	BOTH EQUAL 72 26% 5 21	ALL/M LNDLN  53 13% 3 10	CONS  45 <b>6%</b> 2 4	VERY LIB  19 12% 3 9	CONS  102 16% 3 13	MOD  84 15% 1 15	LIB 54 29% 6 23	VERY FAV  33 7% 0 7	VERY UNFAV  44 17% 3 14	TOTAL FAV 62 11% 10 80	TOTAL UNFAV  59 21% 2 19	NO/DK RF/NA  120 21% 4 17	FAV/ REPS  29 10% 0 10	FAV/ IND/L  10 24% 5 19	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	249 18% 3 15 69	ALL/M CELL  121 <b>16%</b> 1 14 <b>73</b> 28 46	BOTH EQUAL 72 26% 5 21 55	ALL/M LNDLN  53 13% 3 10 77 21	CONS 45 6% 2 4 87 23	VERY LIB  19 12% 3 9 70 25	CONS 102 16% 3 13 76 21	MOD84 15% 1 15 69 28	LIB  54 <b>29%</b> 6 23 <b>55</b> 24	VERY FAV  33 7% 0 7	VERY UNFAV  44 17% 3 14 55	TOTAL FAV 62 11% 10 80 17	TOTAL UNFAV 59 21% 2 19 57 18	NO/DK RF/NA  120 21% 4 17 70	FAV/ REPS  29 10% 0 10	FAV/ IND/L  10 24% 5 19	

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	249	101	42	69	90	69	52	39	66	24	11	42	16	19	33	22	17	33	56	9	43	
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	20%	16%	15%	22%	15%	14%	19%	19%	27%	10%	16%	17%	18%	12%	11%	28%	26%	19%	11%	14%	
Strongly favor	3	6	0	2	6	0	2	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	9	5	6	2	
Somewhat favor	15	15	16	13	15	15	11	19	14	18	10	16	17	18	8	11	28	17	14	6	13	
TOTAL OPPOSE	69	64	74	73	61	76	72	67	64	54	90	74	74	61	79	69	64	56	65	69	73	
Somewhat oppose	24	30	27	13	27	27	16	26	26	28	56	27	6	17	15	32	18	24	28	18	15	
Strongly oppose	44	34	46	59	34	50	57	41	37	27	34	46	68	44	64	37	46	31	37	51	58	
DK/NA	14	15	10	13	17	8	14	14	17	18	0	10	9	22	9	20	8	19	16	20	13	
DK/NA	14	15	10	13	17	8	14	14	17	18	0	10	9	22	9	20	8	19	16	20	13	
		 MEN	MEN	GENDER	BY AGE	O WOMEN	 WOMEN	 DEM	P.  DEM	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R  REP	 REP	 DEM	 DEM	DEM	PAF  IND/L	RTY BY .	AGE  IND/L	 REP	REP	 REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	249	46	38	22	41	62	35	25	64	41	28	25	27	33	38	16	24	29	15	12	23	16
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	20%	19%	12%	16%	15%	19%	24%	21%	18%	12%	20%	9%	17%	21%	20%	26%	7%	15%	20%	15%	9%
Strongly favor	3	0	5	0	1	0	5	5	7	0	0	3	2	0	3	10	0	0	0	4	3	0
Somewhat favor	15	20	14	12	14	15	14	19	14	18	12	16	7	17	18	10	26	7	15	16	12	9
			_	_				-	_		_	_	•					•	_		_	-
TOTAL OPPOSE	69	75	64	78	69	65	71	63	60	78	74	70	74	76	44	80	65	89	70	68	71	75
Somewhat oppose	24	37	11	7	25	28	25	27	27	21	34	15	16	38	18	26	26	34	13	11	17	16
Strongly oppose	44	37	52	71	44	37	46	37	34	57	39	55	58	38	26	54	39	55	57	57	54	59
DK/NA	14	5	17	10	16	20	10	13	19	4	14	10	17	7	35	0	9	4	15	12	14	16
DK/NA	14	5	17	10	16	20	10	13							35				15	12	14	16

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with \(\frac{1}{2}\)/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	Г		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	249	90	67	71	19	156	90	71	85	34	56	41	51	100	58	44	37	56	40	14	15	43
TOTAL FAVOR	18%	19%	24%	15%	0%	21%	12%	24%	19%	7%	15%	22%	19%	15%	18%	20%	16%	18%	12%	28%	14%	20%
Strongly favor	3	2	3	4	0	3	3	3	3	0	5	2	2	3	4	2	1	5	0	3	0	5
Somewhat favor	15	16	21	11	0	18	9	21	16	7	10	20	17	12	15	18	15	13	12	24	14	15
TOTAL OPPOSE	69	66	61	77	81	64	78	67	62	82	75	74	72	64	70	73	73	65	63	68	82	66
Somewhat oppose	24	21	30	25	20	25	24	22	27	23	25	24	26	26	19	28	28	16	37	22	12	21
Strongly oppose	44	45	31	52	61	39	54	45	34	60	50	49	45	38	51	46	45	49	26	46	70	44
DK/NA	14	15	14	8	19	15	11	9	20	11	10	5	9	21	12	7	11	17	25	4	4	15
DK/NA	14	15	14	8	19	15	11	9	20	11	10	5	9	21	12	7	11	17	25	4	4	15

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withiz/many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+		40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	452	196	255	89	56	94	132	43	26	146	180	115	57	277	60	160
TOTAL FAVOR	17%	16%	18%	19%	22%	17%	13%	14%	18%	20%	15%	15%	25%	14%	22%	22%
Strongly favor	3	2	3	1	0	2	1	0	18	1	2	4	8	2	4	4
Somewhat favor	14	14	15	18	22	15	12	14	0	19	13	11	18	12	18	18
TOTAL OPPOSE	70	76	66	72	69	67	76	70	58	71	69	72	59	76	52	61
Somewhat oppose	24	26	23	32	28	18	25	25	10	30	21	22	27	27	24	22
Strongly oppose	46	50	43	40	42	49	51	45	48	41	49	50	32	49	28	39
DK/NA	13	8	16	9	9	16	11	16	24	9	16	13	16	10	26	17
DK/NA	13	8	16	9	9	16	11	16	24	9	16	13	16	10	26	17
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO	N	Q8A U CUBA I			~	NORMAI BAGE WI					
	Total	BORN/			IRECTIC	ON			STR FAVOR	~			A INIT		DK/NA	
Base	Total  452	BORN/	IMMIGR	D: RIGHT	IRECTIC  WRONG	ON	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENC  SMWT	GAGE WI  SMWT	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  93	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENC SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS	TOTAL	TOTAL OPPOS		
	452	BORN/I	IMMIGR IMMIG 38	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK 	DK/NA  41	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 140	FAVOR	ENC SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93	
TOTAL FAVOR	452 <b>17</b> %	BORN/19 BORN 19	IMMIGR IMMIG IMMIG 38	DI RIGHT DIR  124	WRONG TRACK 287	DK/NA  41	NONE 312	RELTNS ALL OTHER 140	FAVOR 0	ENC SMWT FAVOR 0	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 24%	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 0	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>35</b> %	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	452 <b>17%</b> 3	BORN/1 BORN 19 14% 0	IMMIGR IMMIG 38 31% 12	RIGHT DIR 124	WRONG TRACK  287	DK/NA  41 18% 2	NONE 312 16% 3	ALL OTHER 140	FAVOR  0 <b>0%</b> 0	SMWT FAVOR 0	SMWT OPPOS  134 24% 2	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 6%	TOTAL FAVOR0	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>35</b> % 5	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	452 17% 3 14	BORN/: BORN 19 14% 0 14	IMMIGR IMMIG 38 31% 12 19	D: RIGHT DIR  124 19% 6	WRONG TRACK  287 16% 1	DK/NA  41 18% 2 16	NONE 312  16% 3 13	ALL OTHER 140  20% 217	FAVOR0 0 0% 0 0	SMWT FAVOR 0 0% 0%	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 24% 2	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 6% 2 3	TOTAL FAVOR 0	TOTAL OPPOS 359  12% 2	93 <b>35%</b> 5	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	452 17% 3 14	BORN/: BORN	IMMIGR IMMIG 38 31% 12 19	RIGHT DIR 124 19% 6 13	WRONG TRACK  287 16% 1 14	DK/NA  41 18% 2 16	NONE 312  16% 3 13	ALL OTHER  140 20% 2 17	FAVOR0 0 0% 0 0 0	SMWT FAVOR 0 0% 0	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 24% 2 22	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 6% 2 3	TOTAL FAVOR 0	TOTAL OPPOS 359  12% 2 10	93 35% 5 30	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	452 17% 3 14 70 24	BORN/: BORN 19 14% 0 14 50 23	IMMIGR IMMIG 38 31% 12 19 63 29	DIR DIR 124  19% 6 13 67	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK  287 16% 1 14 74 21	DK/NA  41 18% 2 16 57 35	NONE 312  16% 3 13 73 23	ALL OTHER 140  20% 2 17 65 28	FAVOR 0  0% 0 0 0 0 0	SMWT FAVOR 0  0  0 0 0 0 0 0	SAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS  134 24% 2 22 72 60	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 6% 2 3 93	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 0 0% 0 0	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 12% 2 10 85	93 35% 5 30 14	

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withiz many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI FARGET		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA			/B LIT			~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL	DK/NA
Base	452	0	278	173	100	34	225	227	202	249	160	110	81	64	270	145	37
TOTAL FAVOR	17%	0%	6%	35%	46%	25%	13%	20%	16%	18%	10%	14%	32%	24%	11%	29%	11%
Strongly favor	3	0	1	6	7	2	1	4	3	3	2	1	3	9	2	6	0
Somewhat favor	14	0	5	29	39	23	12	16	13	15	8	13	29	15	10	23	11
TOTAL OPPOSE	70	0	92	35	48	34	74	67	73	69	84	66	63	71	77	66	40
Somewhat oppose	24	0	28	19	25	17	24	24	24	24	20	27	35	24	23	30	12
Strongly oppose	46	0	64	17	22	17	50	42	48	44	64	40	28	47	54	36	28
DK/NA	13	0	2	29	7		12	13	11	14	6	20	5	5	12	5	49
DK/NA	13	0	2	29	7	41	12	13	11	14	6	20	5	5	12	5	49
		~ ~	CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	452	213	131	100	91	32	191	145	89	62	74	120	98	214	60	28	
TOTAL FAVOR	17%	16%	20%	16%	6%	23%	13%	15%	28%	4%	18%	10%	19%	20%	9%	18%	
Strongly favor	3	1	3	6	2		2	3	5	0	4	0	4	4	0	2	
Somewhat favor	14	15	17	10	3	22	11	13	23	4	14	9	15	16	9	16	
TOTAL OPPOSE	70	74	63	71	87	66	80	64	62	90	60	82	63	69	75	80	
Somewhat oppose	24		22	22	19	35	22	25	29	20	25	16	28	28	8	18	
Strongly oppose	46	46	42	49	67	31	58	39	33	70	35	66	36	40	66	62	
DK/NA	13	10	17	13	8	11	7	20	10	6	22	9	17	11	16	2	
DK/NA	13	10	17	13	8	11	7	20	10	6	22	9	17	11	16	2	

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withi; many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

								Q2	) PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	.TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	452	151	102	138	138	146	108	60	105	32	14	102	30	31	77	33	27	56	78	21	86	
TOTAL FAVOR	17%	23%	12%	12%	24%	13%	12%	20%	24%	23%	18%	12%	11%	18%	10%	15%	26%	27%	22%	21%	10%	
Strongly favor	3	5	0	1	5	1	1	6	4	7	6	0	2	0	2	4	8	5	4	2	1	
Somewhat favor	14	19	12	11	19	12	11	14	20	16	12	12	9	18	8	11	18	22	18	19	9	
TOTAL OPPOSE	70	65	73	77	63	76	75	66	64	60	82	73	84	65	78	68	64	62	65	51	80	
Somewhat oppose	24	30	25	16	28	25	16	29	27	30	45	25	12	19	16	32	25	28	28	9	18	
Strongly oppose	46	35	47	61	35	51	58	37	37	29	38	47	72	46	63	36	39	34	36	42	62	
DK/NA	13	12	15	11	13	12	13	14	12	18	0	15	5	16	11	17	10	11	13	28	9	
DK/NA	13	12	15	11	13	12	13	14	12	18	0	15	5	16	11	17	10	11	13	28	9	
				GENDER	BY AGE	: 			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R 					PAF 	RTY BY I	AGE			
	Total	 MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	GENDER  MEN 60+	BY AGE  WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	 WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	ARTY BY  IND/L MEN	Y GENDE:  IND/L WOMEN	R  REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	PAF  IND/L 18-39	ETY BY 2  IND/L 40-59	AGE  IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	 REP 60+
Base	Total  452		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	 IND/L	IND/L			
Base TOTAL FAVOR		18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39  26	40-59	60+
	452	18-39  77	MEN 40-59 	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59  112	60+  68	MEN  51	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  59	18-39  47	40-59  59	60+  26	IND/L 18-39  46	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  45	18-39  26	40-59  50	60+  29
TOTAL FAVOR	452 17%	18-39  77 <b>17</b> %	MEN 40-59  68	MEN 60+  47	WOMEN 18-39  69 23%	WOMEN 40-59  112	60+  68 <b>15</b> %	MEN  51 23%	DEM WOMEN  87	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN  49	WOMEN  59	18-39  47 <b>25</b> %	40-59  59 <b>19</b> %	60+  26 <b>25</b> %	IND/L 18-39  46	IND/L 40-59  54	IND/L 60+  45	18-39  26 <b>19</b> %	40-59  50 <b>13</b> %	60+  29 <b>7%</b>
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	452 17%	18-39  77 <b>17%</b> 0	MEN 40-59  68 <b>17%</b> 5	MEN 60+  47 <b>14%</b> 2	WOMEN 18-39  69 <b>23%</b> 2	WOMEN 40-59  112 <b>14%</b> 0	60+  68 <b>15%</b>	MEN  51 <b>23%</b> 2	DEM WOMEN  87 <b>25%</b> 6	IND/L MEN  69 13%	IND/L WOMEN  77 <b>12%</b> 1	REP MEN  49 <b>14%</b>	WOMEN  59 <b>11%</b> 1	18-39  47 <b>25%</b> 0	40-59  59 <b>19%</b> 2	60+  26 <b>25%</b> 6	IND/L 18-39  46 <b>21%</b>	IND/L 40-59  54 <b>9%</b> 0	IND/L 60+  45 <b>8%</b> 2	18-39  26 <b>19%</b> 2 17	40-59  50 <b>13%</b> 2	60+  29 <b>7%</b> 0
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	452 17% 3 14	18-39  77 <b>17%</b> 0 17	MEN 40-59 68 17% 5 12	MEN 60+  47 <b>14%</b> 2 12	WOMEN 18-39  69 23% 2 21	WOMEN 40-59  112 <b>14%</b> 0 14	60+  68 <b>15%</b> 6	MEN 51 23% 2	DEM WOMEN  87 <b>25%</b> 6	IND/L MEN  69 13% 1	IND/L WOMEN  77 <b>12%</b> 1	REP MEN  49 <b>14%</b> 2	WOMEN  59 <b>11%</b> 1	18-39  47 <b>25%</b> 0 25	40-59  59 <b>19%</b> 2 17	60+  26 <b>25%</b> 6 18	IND/L 18-39  46 <b>21%</b> 1 20	IND/L 40-59  54 <b>9%</b> 0	IND/L 60+  45 <b>8%</b> 2 7	18-39  26 <b>19%</b> 2 17	40-59  50 <b>13%</b> 2 11	60+  29 <b>7%</b> 0 7
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	452 17% 3 14	18-39  77 <b>17%</b> 0 17	MEN 40-59 68 17% 5 12	MEN 60+  47 <b>14%</b> 2 12	WOMEN 18-39  69 23% 2 21	WOMEN 40-59  112 <b>14%</b> 0 14	60+  68 <b>15%</b> 6 10	MEN  51 23% 2 20	DEM WOMEN  87 <b>25%</b> 6 19	IND/L MEN  69 13% 1 12	IND/L WOMEN  77 12% 1 11	REP MEN 49 14% 2 12	WOMEN 59 11%	18-39  47 <b>25%</b> 0 25 <b>70</b>	40-59  59 <b>19%</b> 2 17	60+  26 <b>25%</b> 6 18	IND/L 18-39  46 21% 1 20	IND/L 40-59  54 <b>9%</b> 0 9	IND/L 60+  45 <b>8%</b> 2 7	18-39  26 <b>19%</b> 2 17	40-59  50 <b>13%</b> 2 11	60+  29 <b>7%</b> 0 7
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	452 17% 3 14 70 24	18-39  77 17% 0 17 77 35	MEN 40-59 68 17% 5 12 73 18	MEN 60+  47 <b>14%</b> 2 12 <b>75</b>	WOMEN 18-39  69 23% 2 21 64 25	WOMEN 40-59  112 <b>14%</b> 0 14 <b>67</b> 22	60+  68 <b>15%</b> 6 10 <b>70</b> 25	MEN 51 23% 2 20 70 30	DEM WOMEN 87 25% 6 19 59 27	IND/L MEN  69 13% 1 12	IND/L WOMEN  77 <b>12%</b> 1 11 <b>70</b> 23	REP MEN  49 <b>14%</b> 2 12 <b>77</b> 20	WOMEN 59  11%	18-39  47 <b>25%</b> 0 25 <b>70</b> 33	40-59  59 <b>19%</b> 2 17 <b>56</b> 24	60+ 26 <b>25%</b> 6 18 <b>72</b> 28	IND/L 18-39  46 21% 1 20 71 28	IND/L 40-59  54 <b>9%</b> 0 9	IND/L 60+  45 <b>8%</b> 2 7 <b>72</b> 22	18-39  26 <b>19%</b> 2 17 <b>69</b> 19	40-59  50 13% 2 11 74 13	60+  29 <b>7%</b> 0 7 <b>79</b> 20

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates withiz many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	1		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	ſ		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	452	148	118	139	39	267	179	125	141	68	111	77	91	179	105	86	69	108	62	22	35	71
TOTAL FAVOR	17%	21%	20%	15%	3%	20%	12%	21%	19%	7%	15%	17%	18%	17%	17%	16%	16%	21%	10%	25%	13%	19%
Strongly favor	3	4	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	1	4	1	5	2	3	1	6	3	1	2	0	4
Somewhat favor	14	17	18	12	2	17	9	19	16	5	12	16	13	14	14	15	10	18	9	23	13	14
TOTAL OPPOSE	70	68	62	77	84	65	79	70	61	87	74	81	67	67	72	79	68	67	66	65	76	70
Somewhat oppose	24	25	26	22	28	25	23	27	24	25	22	24	26	24	23	24	28	20	31	19	7	31
Strongly oppose	46	43	37	55	56	40	56	44	37	62	52	56	41	42	49	55	40	47	34	47	69	39
DK/NA	13	12	18	8	13	14	9	8	20	7	11	3	15	16	11	5	16	12	24	10	12	11
DK/NA	13	12	18	8	13	14	9	8	20	7	11	3	15	16	11	5	16	12	24	10	12	11

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	63%	58%	65%	67%	56%	54%	69%	61%	65%	55%	63%	61%	61%	61%	60%
Strongly support	35	40	30	38	29	36	32	41	34	35	36	35	36	35	32	36
Somewhat support	25	23	28	26	38	19	22	28	27	31	19	28	25	26	29	24
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	35	36	35	31	37	42	29	32	33	39	34	31	36	36	35
Somewhat oppose	16	14	17	21	17	13	17	11	14	19	15	13	19	15	16	16
Strongly oppose	20	21	19	13	14	24	26	18	18	14	24	21	11	21	20	19
DK/NA	4	1	6	1	2	7	4	2	7	2	6	3	9	3	2	5
DK/NA	4	1	6	1	2	7	4	2	7	2	6	3	9	3	2	5
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA I	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL		
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR		DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS		OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	61%	60%	70%	53%	66%	61%	60%	89%	74%	36%	26%	82%	30%	48%	
Strongly support	35	35	36	42	31	30	36	33	74	28	10	14	52	13	15	
Somewhat support	25	26	24	28	22	35	25	27	15	46	26	11	30	17	32	
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	19	37	28	42	26	35	36	10	22	59	74	16	69	28	
Somewhat oppose	16	9	25	15	16	16	13	20	5	16	40	15	10	24	15	
Strongly oppose	20	10	11	13	26	11	22	16	5	6	20	59	6	44	14	
DK/NA	4	20	3	1	5	8	4	4	0	4	4	0	2	2	24	
DK/NA	4	20	3	1	5	8	4	4	0	4	4	0	2	2	24	

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

		NOR		RELATI TARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A/ SPI	/B LIT				BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	88%	22%	53%	58%	53%	61%	60%	62%	59%	38%	50%	70%	76%	43%	73%	68%
Strongly support	35	58	9	22	25	21	35	35	37	33	23	17	36	57	20	46	38
Somewhat support	25	29	13	31	33	33	26	25	24	26	15	33	34	19	23	27	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	11	76	37	38	44	36	35	35	36	59	42	28	23	52	25	20
Somewhat oppose	16	8	24	20	18	23	15	16	16	16	20	24	16	8	22	12	5
Strongly oppose	20	3	52	17	20	21	21	19	19	20	39	18	12	14	30	13	15
DK/NA	4	2	2	10	4	2	3	5	3	5	3	8	2	1	5	2	12
DK/NA	4	2	2	10	4	2	3	5	3	5	3	8	2	1	5	2	12
			CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
	Total	LANI	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE	VERY CONS	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS	OGY MOD	LIB	Q21  VERY FAV			TOTAL				
Base	Total  1024	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY			LIB  275	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	OPIN FAV/	
Base TOTAL SUPPORT		LANI  ALL/M CELL	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD		VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
	1024	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156	VERY LIB	CONS  354	MOD  350	275	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS 	FAV/ IND/L	
TOTAL SUPPORT	 1024 <b>61</b> %	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156 48%	VERY LIB  92	CONS  354 <b>56</b> %	MOD  350 <b>60</b> %	275 <b>70</b> %	VERY FAV  111	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>75</b> %	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS  99	FAV/ IND/L 61	
TOTAL SUPPORT Strongly support	1024 <b>61%</b> 35	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 60%	BOTH EQUAL  338 66%	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 54% 32	CONS  156 <b>48</b> % 29	VERY LIB  92 <b>66%</b> 47	CONS  354 <b>56%</b> 34	MOD  350 <b>60%</b> 33	70%	VERY FAV 111 48% 34	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>75%</b> 50	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>51%</b> 29	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>75%</b> 48	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>55%</b> 29	PARTY FAV/ REPS  99 <b>49%</b> 26	FAV/ IND/L 61 54% 33 21	
TOTAL SUPPORT Strongly support Somewhat support	1024 61% 35 25 36	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 60% 36 24 38 18	BOTH EQUAL 338 66% 35 30 28	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 54% 32 22 42 17	CONS  156 <b>48%</b> 29 18 <b>49</b>	VERY LIB  92 66% 47 19 34	CONS  354 <b>56%</b> 34 22 <b>42</b> 15	MOD 350 60% 33 28 34 14	275 70% 41 29 28 18	VERY FAV 111 48% 34 15 50 13	VERY UNFAV  254 75% 50 26 22	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>51%</b> 29 21 <b>46</b> 14	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>75%</b> 48 27 <b>23</b> 11	NO/DK RF/NA  427 55% 29 26	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 <b>49%</b> 26 24 <b>46</b> 12	FAV/ IND/L  61 54% 33 21 44	
TOTAL SUPPORT Strongly support Somewhat support TOTAL OPPOSE	1024 61% 35 25	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 60% 36 24 38	BOTH EQUAL	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 54% 32 22	CONS  156 <b>48%</b> 29 18 <b>49</b>	VERY LIB  92 66% 47 19	CONS  354 56% 34 22	MOD  350 <b>60%</b> 33 28	275 70% 41 29 28	VERY FAV 111 48% 34 15 50	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>75%</b> 50 26	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>51%</b> 29 21	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>75%</b> 48 27	NO/DK RF/NA  427 55% 29 26	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 49% 26 24	FAV/ IND/L 61 54% 33 21	
TOTAL SUPPORT Strongly support Somewhat support  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	1024 61% 35 25 36	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 60% 36 24 38 18	BOTH EQUAL 338 66% 35 30 28	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 54% 32 22 42 17	CONS  156 <b>48%</b> 29 18 <b>49</b>	VERY LIB  92 66% 47 19 34	CONS  354 <b>56%</b> 34 22 <b>42</b> 15	MOD 350 60% 33 28 34 14	275 70% 41 29 28 18	VERY FAV 111 48% 34 15 50 13	VERY UNFAV  254 75% 50 26 22	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>51%</b> 29 21 <b>46</b> 14	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>75%</b> 48 27 <b>23</b> 11	NO/DK RF/NA  427 55% 29 26	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 <b>49%</b> 26 24 <b>46</b> 12	FAV/ IND/L  61 54% 33 21 44	

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

								Q2	0 PART	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	66%	63%	56%	65%	63%	56%	46%	62%	73%	71%	63%	57%	63%	51%	52%	36%	70%	61%	58%	55%	
Strongly support	35	37	37	36	37	38	35	20	39	34	36	37	42	44	29	22	16	41	34	38	33	
Somewhat support	25	29	27	20	28	26	21	26	24	40	35	27	15	20	22	29	19	29	27	20	22	
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	32	32	40	32	33	41	46	34	26	29	32	38	34	44	40	56	28	36	34	43	
Somewhat oppose	16	17	13	15	16	14	14	22	16	18	17	13	15	17	13	22	21	18	15	18	13	
Strongly oppose	20	15	19	26	16	19	26	24	18	9	12	19	24	17	31	17	35	10	21	16	30	
DK/NA	4	2	5	4	3	4	4	8	3	0	0	5	4	2	4	9	8	2	3	8	2	
DK/NA	4	2	5	4	3	4	4	8	3	0	0	5	4	2	4	9	8	2	3	8	2	
					BY AGE						GENDE							RTY BY				
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	65%	60%	65%	66%	50%	60%	65%	65%	62%	64%	64%	46%	66%	59%	74%	68%	59%	63%	70%	47%	53%
Strongly support	35	37	45	42	32	28	28	47	30	39	36	41	27	32	40	39	37	41	36	47	28	30
Somewhat support	25	28	16	23	34	21	32	19	35	24	28	23	18	35	19	35	31	18	27	23	19	23
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	34	38	33	32	41	35	33	31	36	29	35	47	34	36	25	31	34	33	29	47	44
Somewhat oppose	16	18	13	10	20	16	15	17	16	13	14	12	17	20	17	13	19	11	12	15	15	15
			- 4	0.0	12	24	20	17	15	23	14	23	30	14	19	12	12	23	21	14	32	29
Strongly oppose	20	16	24	23	1.2	24	20	1/	13	23	11	23	30		10				21		52	
Strongly oppose  DK/NA	20 <b>4</b>	16 <b>1</b>	24 <b>2</b>	23 1	2	10	5	1	4	1	7	1	7	0	5	1	2	7	4	1	6	3

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Г		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	NDER		CENSUS	REGION	Ī				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	56%	60%	62%	70%	58%	64%	60%	56%	67%	61%	63%	61%	58%	62%	62%	61%	58%	59%	62%	53%	65%
Strongly support	35	29	36	37	41	33	38	38	28	44	33	35	36	33	38	36	32	33	32	45	31	41
Somewhat support	25	27	24	24	29	25	25	22	28	23	28	28	25	25	24	26	28	25	28	17	23	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	38	35	36	29	37	34	38	35	32	36	35	35	38	32	36	37	39	35	31	41	30
Somewhat oppose	16	16	15	16	17	15	16	16	15	13	18	16	17	15	14	17	15	16	14	20	12	15
Strongly oppose	20	22	20	21	12	21	18	23	20	19	18	18	18	23	18	19	21	23	22	11	28	15
DK/NA	4	6	5	2	2	5	2	2	9	1	3	3	4	4	6	2	2	3	5	7	6	5
DK/NA	4	6	5	2	2	5	2	2	9	1	3	3	4	4	6	2	2	3	5	7	6	5

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	63%	59%	63%	64%	61%	54%	64%	67%	64%	59%	60%	61%	63%	58%	58%
Strongly support	35	42	29	37	34	37	33	30	44	36	36	33	36	35	37	37
Somewhat support	26	22	29	26	30	24	21	33	23	28	23	27	25	27	22	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	35	35	35	33	33	42	31	26	34	35	35	29	34	36	35
Somewhat oppose	13	14	12	15	17	8	15	8	10	16	11	12	12	13	21	13
Strongly oppose	22	21	23	20	15	25	26	23	16	18	24	24	17	21	15	22
DK/NA	4	2	6	1	3	6	5	5	7	2	6	4	10	3	6	7
DK/NA	4	2	6	1	3	6	5	5	7	2	6	4	10	3	6	7
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS			NORMAI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	63%	60%	68%	57%	60%	62%	59%	91%	74%	34%	24%	83%	28%	51%	
Strongly support	35	36	36	45	30	33	37	32	74	30	11	12	54	11	16	
Somewhat support	26	27	24	23	27	27	25	27	17	44	23	13	30	17	35	
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	24	32	29	39	29	34	36	9	23	60	73	15	68	25	
Somewhat oppose	13	10	13	12	12	20	11	18	2	14	31	15	8	21	12	
Strongly oppose	22	14	19	17	27	9	24	18	6	9	29	58	7	47	13	
DK/NA	4	13	8	3	4	11	4	5	0	3	6	3	1	4	24	
DK/NA	4	13	8	3	4	11	4	5	0	3	6	3	1	4	24	

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI ARGET		GAGE	~ ~	16 MSG TION	A, SPI				~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	91%	21%	50%	57%	46%	62%	60%	62%	61%	39%	46%	74%	79%	42%	76%	60%
Strongly support	35	63	9	16	25	13	36	35	36	35	21	16	39	59	19	48	32
Somewhat support	26	29	12	34	32	33	26	26	26	25	18	29	35	19	23	28	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	7	75	41	41	51	34	35	34	35	57	48	24	20	53	22	24
Somewhat oppose	13	4	20	21	23	26	14	12	12	14	16	18	12	7	17	10	13
Strongly oppose	22	3	55	20	18	25	20	23	22	22	41	30	12	13	36	12	12
DK/NA	4	2	4	9	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	7	3	2	5	2	16
DK/NA	4	2	4	9	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	7	3	2	5	2	16
			CELL E			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EOUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL	TOTAL UNFAV		FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	61%	65%	56%	44%	70%	53%	63%	73%	53%	79%	52%	77%	55%	50%	53%	
Strongly support	35	37	37	29	27	49	28	37	46	28	56	25	52	30	25	26	
Somewhat support	26	24	27	27	17	21	25	26	27	26	23	27	26	25	25	28	
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	35	30	40	54	29	45	31	24	45	19	44	21	40	45	41	
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	11	14	13	13	14	11	9	8	13	8	16	8	15	
Strongly oppose	22	22	16	29	40	16	32	17	13	36	11	32	12	23	36	26	
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	2	1	2	6	3	1	2	4	2		5	5	
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	2	1	2	6	3	1	2	4	2	5	5	5	

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS		REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	68%	60%	56%	66%	62%	56%	53%	63%	74%	77%	60%	59%	73%	46%	54%	51%	73%	59%	67%	52%	
Strongly support	35	41	36	32	43	34	33	24	42	44	30	36	28	36	31	30	16	47	39	43	29	
Somewhat support	26	27	24	25	23	28	23	29	21	30	47	24	30	37	15	25	35	27	20	24	23	
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	29	33	42	30	32	42	40	34	22	21	33	40	27	51	40	41	24	37	27	47	
Somewhat oppose	13	13	12	12	14	12	12	16	15	13	7	12	14	6	15	20	10	10	19	6	14	
Strongly oppose	22	16	21	29	16	21	30	24	19	10	14	21	25	21	36	20	31	13	18	21	33	
DK/NA	4	3	8	2	3	6	2	7	3	4	2	8	2	0	4	5	9	3	3	6	1	
DK/NA	4	3	8	2	3	6	2	7	3	4	2	8	2	0	4	5	9	3	3	6	1	
					BY AGE	:					GENDE							RTY BY				
		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	64%	61%	66%	63%	57%	55%	68%	65%	63%	61%	63%	47%	65%	66%	68%	70%	57%	59%	59%	54%	53%
Strongly support	35	38	45	44	34	29	23	51	37	37	30	43	20	36	47	45	38	33	30	38	30	31
Somewhat support	26	26	16	22	30	28	31	17	28	26	31	19	27	29	20	23	32	25	29	21	25	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	35	36	31	33	34	40	31	30	35	30	36	50	34	29	29	28	33	35	41	43	44
Somewhat oppose	13	19	12	8	13	10	15	19	11	11	12	12	12	17	13	12	16	7	11	11	11	15
Strongly oppose	22	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.1	24	2.4	12	19	2.4	18	2.4	37	17	16	17	12	26	2.4	30	32	30
	22	16	25	23	21	24	24	12	19	24	18	24	3 /	1/	10	Τ,	12	20	24	30	34	30
DK/NA	4	16 1	25 <b>2</b>	23 <b>3</b>	3	24 9	6	12	19 <b>5</b>	24 2	10	24 2	3 / 3	1	5	3	2	9	6	0	32	3

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	I		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	Ī				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
TOTAL SUPPORT	61%	54%	59%	65%	73%	56%	67%	59%	54%	69%	65%	67%	63%	55%	64%	66%	62%	56%	53%	66%	54%	67%
Strongly support	35	30	33	38	46	32	40	35	28	51	31	38	34	31	40	39	34	34	25	35	35	42
Somewhat support	26	24	26	26	27	25	26	24	26	18	34	29	29	24	24	28	28	22	28	31	20	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	35	39	36	33	27	37	32	39	36	29	34	31	33	40	31	32	33	40	41	32	37	29
Somewhat oppose	13	15	12	13	12	13	13	16	11	11	14	11	16	15	8	12	18	15	14	12	9	8
Strongly oppose	22	24	24	20	15	24	19	23	25	19	20	20	17	25	23	20	15	24	27	20	28	21
DK/NA	4	7	5	0	6	2	2	11	2	2	2	4	4	5	2	5	4	6	2	9	4	
DK/NA	4	7	5	2	0	6	2	2	11	2	2	2	4	4	5	2	5	4	6	2	9	4

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN				50-64	65-74	75+	18-39		60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	64%	59%	70%	58%	61%	54%	63%	67%	65%	59%	60%	64%	59%	64%	66%
Strongly support	35	40	30	40	26	32	30	44	39	35	32	37	34	32	36	38
Somewhat support	27	25	29	30	32	29	24	18	29	31	27	22	30	27	28	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	34	37	30	40	34	43	33	27	34	37	36	29	38	33	30
Somewhat oppose	12	11	13	12	13	10	16	6	14	12	12	12	11	13	14	11
Strongly oppose	24	23	24	18	27	24	27	27	13	21	25	24	17	25	19	19
DK/NA	3	2	4	0	2	5	3	4	6	1	4	4	7	2	2	4
DK/NA	3	2	4	0	2	5	3	4	6	1	4	4	7	2	2	4
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS			NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR		DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	76%	58%	73%	55%	54%	61%	63%	91%	79%	34%	23%	86%	27%	46%	
Strongly support	35	33	35	43	30	28	33	37	72	31	10	12	53	11	11	
Somewhat support	27	43	23	30	25	26	28	25	19	49	23	11	33	16	35	
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	13	37	24	42	40	36	35	7	19	64	76	13	71	36	
Somewhat oppose	12	9	13	8	13	20	11	14	2	11	32	13	7	20	14	
Strongly oppose	24	4	24	16	29	20	25	21	5	8	33	62	6	51	22	
DK/NA	3	11	5	2	3	6	3	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	18	
DK/NA	3	11	5	2	3	6	3	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	18	

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

		NOR		RELATI FARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	16 MSG TION	A, SPI	LIT				BA BELO			
	Total	CONS CONS POS NEG Total FAVOR OPPOS SWING MOVER MOVE 1024 468 278 278 100 12					PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	90%	18%	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	62%	61%	37%	50%	72%	81%	43%	76%	59%
Strongly support	35	58	8	21	20	23	32	37	38	31	20	15	40	59	18	49	22
Somewhat support	27	32	10	36	40	33	29	25	24	30	17	35	32	23	25	27	38
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	9	80	36	39	39	37	34	35	36	60	46	26	18	54	23	28
Somewhat oppose	12	5	21	15	17	17	13	11	10	14	15	18	12	7	16	9	7
Strongly oppose	24	4	59	20	22	22	23	24	24	23	44	28	15	12	37	13	21
DK/NA	3	1	2	8	1	4	2	4	3	3	3	5	1	1	4	1	13
DK/NA	3	1	2	8	1	4	2	4	3	3	3	5	1	1	4	1	13
			CELL I			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	.VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
	Total			ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	62%	64%	56%	53%	66%	57%	62%	69%	52%	74%	54%	73%	58%	46%	61%	
Strongly support	35	37	34	29	32	42	33	34	40	35	45	31	44	30	27	28	
Somewhat support	27	25	30	27	21	25	24	29	29	18	29	23	29	28	20	33	
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	36	32	40	44	32	42	32	30	46	24	44	25	39	50	37	
Somewhat oppose	12	10	13	14	12	5	12	14	8	14	9	11	10	13	12	10	
Strongly oppose	24	25	19	26	32	27	29	18	22	33	15	33	15	26	37	27	
DK/NA	3	2		3	2	1	1	5	1	1	3	2		3	4	2	
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	2	1	1	5	1	1	3	2	2	3	4	2	

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

			Q20 PARTY SELF-ID															ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	68%	63%	56%	66%	63%	56%	53%	64%	72%	75%	63%	55%	71%	47%	57%	47%	69%	64%	61%	54%	
Strongly support	35	37	33	34	37	35	33	27	38	35	41	33	40	41	28	32	20	39	36	32	33	
Somewhat support	27	30	29	21	29	28	23	26	26	37	35	29	16	30	19	25	28	30	29	29	21	
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	31	32	41	33	33	41	43	35	26	25	32	43	27	49	43	42	31	34	29	45	
Somewhat oppose	12	12	10	11	12	11	10	18	13	10	12	10	14	6	12	18	19	8	17	4	12	
Strongly oppose	24	19	22	31	20	22	31	25	22	17	13	22	29	20	37	25	24	23	17	25	33	
DK/NA	3	1	5	3	1	4	3	4	1	2	0	5	2	3	4	0	10	1	2	10	1	
DK/NA	3	1	5	3	1	4	3	4	1	2	0	5	2	3	4	0	10	1	2	10	1	
				GENDER		: 					Y GENDEI							RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	63%	63%	68%	68%	56%	51%	69%	64%	65%	61%	62%	49%	68%	62%	67%	67%	65%	57%	63%	51%	56%
Strongly support	35	34	41	47	35	25	29	45	31	36	35	40	25	34	34	44	35	32	39	41	29	29
Somewhat support	27	29	22	22	33	32	23	25	33	29	26	22	24	35	28	23	32	32	19	22	22	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	36	34	29	31	39	43	30	35	33	33	38	45	30	37	31	31	30	37	37	44	39
Somewhat oppose	12	13	11	8	12	12	16	13	12	10	13	8	13	13	12	15	11	8	14	5	14	9
Strongly oppose	24	23	23	21	19	27	27	17	23	23	20	30	32	17	26	17	20	22	24	32	30	31
DK/NA	3	-	_	_		_	_	_	_					_			_	_	_	_	_	
	3		3	3	1	5	5	1	1	2	6	1	6	1	0	1	1	5	5	0	5	4

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Г		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	NDER		CENSUS	REGION	Г				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
TOTAL SUPPORT	62%	57%	59%	64%	70%	58%	66%	60%	57%	70%	61%	60%	61%	62%	63%	61%	61%	60%	64%	60%	54%	66%
Strongly support	35	30	31	38	40	31	39	37	25	43	35	35	37	35	32	35	39	32	39	34	28	33
Somewhat support	27	27	28	26	30	27	27	23	32	27	26	25	23	27	32	26	22	27	25	26	26	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	36	38	38	33	30	38	33	38	38	28	36	37	35	35	35	37	34	37	32	36	41	33
Somewhat oppose	12	13	13	12	10	13	11	14	12	8	14	14	10	13	10	14	9	14	12	11	11	10
Strongly oppose	24	25	25	22	20	25	21	24	26	20	22	23	25	22	25	23	25	23	20	25	29	24
DK/NA	3	4	3	2	5	1	2	2	5	3	2	2	5	3	4	4	5	0				
DK/NA	3	4	3	2	0	4	2	2	5	1	2	2	5	3	2	2	5	3	4	4	5	0

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

		GENDER Q2 AGE												Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	75%	79%	89%	81%	77%	69%	71%	76%	86%	71%	74%	84%	80%	69%	75%
Strongly support	56	57	54	67	56	48	51	59	58	63	49	56	57	59	53	54
Somewhat support	21	18	25	22	25	29	18	12	18	23	22	17	27	21	16	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	22	18	11	17	22	29	23	14	13	27	20	14	18	26	21
Somewhat oppose	6	5	6	3	4	6	8	10	2	3	7	7	0	7	8	3
Strongly oppose	14	17	12	8	13	16	20	13	12	10	20	13	14	11	18	18
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	3	1	3	6	9	1	2	6	2	2	5	4
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	3	1	3	6	9	1	2	6	2	2	5	4
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS			NORMAI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52	
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	100%	79%	81%	74%	82%	75%	82%	91%	87%	82%	51%	89%	61%	77%	
Strongly support	56	34	66	63	52	58	52	65	82	58	50	38	70	41	34	
Somewhat support	21	66	13	18	23	25	23	17	8	29	32	14	18	20	42	
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	0	19	16	24	11	22	14	9	12	17	47	10	38	7	
Somewhat oppose	6	0	0	4	6	8	5	7	1	7	9	9	4	9	3	
Strongly oppose	14	0	19	11	18	3	17	8	8	5	8	38	6	28	3	
DK/NA	3	0	2	3	2	7	2	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	16	
DK/NA	3	0	2	3	2	7	2	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	16	

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI ARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG TION		/B LIT				BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	91%	55%	81%	96%	78%	75%	79%	75%	79%	75%	58%	87%	88%	67%	87%	63%
Strongly support	56	72	39	48	56	47	57	55	56	56	54	28	57	80	43	68	42
Somewhat support	21	18	16	33	40	31	18	25	19	24	21	29	30	8	25	19	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	8	44	11	4	19	22	18	23	18	25	36	13	11	30	12	21
Somewhat oppose	6	3	11	4	2	8	7	5	7	5	5	10	7	2	7	5	5
Strongly oppose	14	5	33	7	2	11	16	13	16	12	20	26	6	9	23	8	16
DK/NA	3	1	1	8	0	3	3	3	2	3	0	6	0	1	3	1	16
DK/NA	3	1	1	8	0	3	3	3	2	3	0	6	0	1	3	1	16
			CELL E			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
	Total		BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32	
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	80%	84%	61%	67%	89%	70%	77%	89%	62%	84%	65%	85%	80%	67%	68%	
Strongly support	56	61	56	46	51	73	50	53	70	52	66	52	65	53	57	49	
Somewhat support	21	19	28	15	16	16	20	24	18	10	18	13	19	27	11	20	
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	18	15	33	33	11	29	19	11	37	13	32	13	18	31	27	
Somewhat oppose	6 5 6 8 15 3						8	5	3	15	4	12	4	4	11	10	
Strongly oppose	14 13 9 25 18 8						21	13	8	22	9	20	9	14	20	17	
DK/NA	3 2 1 6 0 0						1	4	0	1	3	3	2	2	1	5	
DK/NA	3	2	1	6	0	0	1	4	0	1	3	3	2	2	1	5	

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

			Q20 PARTY SELF-ID															ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	82%	75%	75%	81%	76%	76%	71%	82%	79%	86%	75%	73%	86%	70%	79%	58%	85%	78%	86%	74%	
Strongly support	56	58	53	59	57	56	57	50	61	46	61	53	63	64	53	56	41	62	53	65	56	
Somewhat support	21	24	22	16	24	21	18	21	20	33	25	22	10	22	16	23	17	23	26	21	18	
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	18	19	24	19	19	23	22	18	21	14	19	27	13	29	13	34	15	22	11	26	
Somewhat oppose	6	5	5	8	6	4	9	7	7	3	0	5	4	5	11	1	17	4	8	5	10	
Strongly oppose	14	13	14	16	13	15	14	14	11	18	14	14	22	7	19	12	17	11	14	7	16	
DK/NA	3	0	6	1	0	4	1	7	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	7	8	0	0	3	1	
DK/NA	3	0	6	1	0	4	1	7	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	7	8	0	0	3	1	
				GENDER	BY AGE	2			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
									WOMEN													
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	88%	64%	74%	84%	78%	73%	79%	83%	74%	80%	75%	77%	94%	71%	76%	81%	76%	72%	97%	59%	80%
Strongly support	56	62	54	57	64	45	55	58	57	59	53	57	58	70	50	51	59	49	60	76	46	56
Somewhat support	21	26	10	17	20	33	18	22	26	15	27	18	19	24	22	25	22	27	12	21	13	24
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	12	35	18	14	19	22	21	17	22	16	25	20	6	29	24	19	22	17	3	38	20
Somewhat oppose	6	4	6	5	3	7	10	5	6	3	5	10	7	2	8	8	4	2	6	3	12	8
Strongly oppose	1.4	•				1.0	10	1.0		1.0			1 2	4	20	17	14	19	12	0	0.0	13
3 1 11	14	9	29	13	11	12	12	16	11	19	11	15	13	4	20	1/	14	19	12	0	26	13
DK/NA	14 <b>3</b>	9 0	29 <b>1</b>	13	11 <b>2</b>	12 <b>3</b>	12 <b>4</b>	16	0	19 <b>4</b>	11 <b>4</b>	15 <b>0</b>	13 <b>3</b>	0	20 <b>0</b>	0	0	3	11	<b>0</b>	∠6 <b>3</b>	0

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	Ī				REGION			
		HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-		NCOLL			COLL+	NORTH	MID			NORTH	MID		SOUTH	CNTRL		
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
TOTAL SUPPORT	77%	73%	78%	78%	84%	76%	80%	76%	75%	75%	84%	81%	82%	76%	73%	79%	80%	75%	79%	85%	65%	76%
Strongly support	56	54	53	58	63	53	59	60	46	54	64	64	63	50	53	62	61	53	45	67	41	58
Somewhat support	21	19	25	20	21	22	20	16	29	21	20	17	19	26	20	17	19	23	34	18	24	18
TOTAL OPPOSE	20	22	19	21	16	21	19	21	20	24	16	18	14	22	24	19	13	24	16	15	31	21
Somewhat oppose	6	9	4	6	3	6	5	7	6	3	7	3	4	11	1	3	3	10	12	8	4	1
Strongly oppose	14	13	15	14	13	14	14	15	14	20	9	15	10	11	23	16	10	14	4	8	28	21
DK/NA	3	4	3	0	4	1	2	5	2	0	1	4	2	3	2	7	0	5	0	4	3	
DK/NA	3	4	4 3 1 0 4 1							2	0	1	4	2	3	2	7	0	5	0	4	3

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

		GENDER Q2 AGE												Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	58%	46%	57%	60%	56%	46%	41%	49%	58%	51%	45%	58%	52%	48%	54%
Strongly support	29	37	22	33	38	33	24	20	24	35	29	22	23	30	28	29
Somewhat support	23	21	24	24	22	23	22	21	25	23	22	23	35	22	21	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	39	47	42	36	36	50	55	42	39	43	49	33	43	50	41
Somewhat oppose	16	15	18	19	18	12	16	19	20	18	13	18	16	14	23	17
Strongly oppose	27	24	29	23	18	24	34	36	22	21	30	30	18	29	27	24
DK/NA	5	3	7	1	4	8	4	4	9	3	6	6	9	5	2	5
DK/NA	5	3	7	1	4	8	4	4	9	3	6	6	9	5	2	5
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U			~	NORMA: GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41	
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	72%	47%	61%	44%	56%	54%	48%	81%	55%	35%	18%	70%	26%	28%	
Strongly support	29	23	24	39	21	35	31	26	65	19	8	5	44	7	11	
Somewhat support	23	50	23	23	23	21	23	22	17	37	27	13	26	19	16	
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	19	44	36	50	35	41	47	16	41	63	79	27	72	44	
Somewhat oppose	16	12	18	17	16	14	16	17	9	22	24	18	15	20	13	
Strongly oppose	27	7	26	19	34	21	25	30	7	18	40	61	12	52	31	
DK/NA	5	9	9	3	6	9	5	6	3	4	2	3	3	2	28	

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI TARGET		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		SP	/B LIT			~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	77%	21%	37%	43%	38%	56%	47%	55%	49%	38%	31%	60%	70%	35%	65%	54%
Strongly support	29	52	7	11	11	12	33	25	35	23	23	4	29	52	15	39	35
Somewhat support	23	25	13	27	32	26	23	22	20	26	15	26	31	18	20	25	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	21	77	52	51	55	39	48	40	46	60	62	34	27	61	31	34
Somewhat oppose	16	14	19	18	17	23	15	18	18	15	14	24	18	11	18	15	15
Strongly oppose	27	6	58	34	34	33	24	30	22	31	45	38	16	16	42	16	19
DK/NA	5		2	10	7		5	5	5	5		8	6	3	5	4	12
DK/NA	5	3	2	10	7	7	5	5	5	5	2	8	6	3	5	4	12
		~ ~	CELL E			01.9	) IDEOL	OGY		021	TEA PA	ATY FA	VORART.	T.TTV	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
		ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M		VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	Total	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29	
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	53%	61%	35%	42%	67%	43%	51%	65%	47%	71%	42%	67%	46%	46%	45%	
Strongly support	29	31	36	13	18	38	22	29	40	16	50	17	45	23	26	13	
Somewhat support	23	22	25	22	24	29	22	21	25	31	21	25	22	23	19	33	
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	42	34	60	55	29	52	42	32	53	23	55	28	48	49	53	
Somewhat oppose	16	19	9	25	10	5	19	18	12	20		18	12	17	6	15	
Strongly oppose	27	24	25	35	45	24	33	25	19	32	13	37	16	30	43	37	
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	3		4	7	3	0	6	3	5		5	2	
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	3	3	4	7	3	0	6	3	5	6	5	2	

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

			Q20 PARTY SELF-ID															ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	60%	57%	42%	61%	50%	48%	36%	55%	75%	57%	57%	21%	68%	38%	33%	40%	66%	56%	48%	48%	
Strongly support	29	32	29	28	34	23	34	21	30	43	21	29	7	54	24	21	21	38	30	41	31	
Somewhat support	23	28	28	14	27	26	14	15	25	32	36	28	15	14	14	13	19	29	26	7	16	
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	35	37	54	34	46	46	57	38	24	41	37	79	32	54	67	40	30	37	44	47	
Somewhat oppose	16	14	14	17	14	19	11	31	16	10	15	14	38	13	10	39	17	9	21	15	10	
Strongly oppose	27	21	24	36	20	27	35	26	23	14	26	24	41	19	43	28	23	21	17	29	38	
DK/NA	5	4	6	4	5	4	6	8	6	1	2	6	0	0	9	0	20	3	7	8	5	
DK/NA	5	4	6	4	5	4	6	8	6	1	2	6	0	0	9	0	20	3	7	8	5	
					BY AGE	:					Y GENDE							RTY BY				
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	56%	66%	53%	62%	39%	39%	68%	56%	53%	47%	60%	34%	72%	52%	54%	56%	52%	44%	50%	50%	46%
Strongly support	29	40	38	31	28	22	16	44	26	28	19	43	24	43	31	23	28	26	17	40	32	32
Somewhat support	23	16	28	22	34	17	24	24	30	25	28	17	10	29	21	31	28	25	27	10	18	13
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	42	30	44	34	54	53	29	39	44	49	39	56	26	41	42	42	44	50	45	43	49
Somewhat oppose	16	18	11	13	18	15	23	14	14	15	22	9	14	16	11	20	20	12	23	8	15	7
Strongly oppose	27	24	19	31	16	38	30	15	24	28	26	29	42	10	30	22	22	32	27	37	28	42
DK/NA	5	2	4	3	4	7	8	3	6	3	5	2	10	2	6	3	3	4	5	5	7	5
DK/NA	5	2	4	3	4	7	8	3	6	3	5	2	10	2	6	3	3	4	5	5	7	5

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	I		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	Ī				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
TOTAL SUPPORT	52%	40%	56%	56%	57%	48%	56%	52%	44%	65%	48%	54%	48%	54%	51%	53%	50%	60%	47%	43%	44%	54%
Strongly support	29	22	26	36	35	24	35	29	20	47	24	31	23	32	30	30	22	37	25	24	30	29
Somewhat support	23	18	30	21	22	24	21	23	25	18	24	23	25	22	22	22	28	23	21	19	14	24
TOTAL OPPOSE	43	52	42	39	39	47	39	47	47	31	47	44	44	42	44	45	40	36	49	54	50	42
Somewhat oppose	16	22	14	14	18	18	15	18	17	10	19	14	15	17	18	13	14	13	24	18	20	18
Strongly oppose	27	30	28	25	21	29	24	28	30	20	28	30	29	25	25	32	26	23	25	36	30	24
DK/NA	5	8	2	5	4	5	5	1	9	4	5	3	8	4	5	2	10	4	4	3	6	5
DK/NA	5	8	2	5	4	5	5	1	9	4	5	3	8	4	5	2	10	4	4	3	6	5

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
T	otal	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	56%	61%	52%	60%	53%	60%	49%	61%	60%	57%	56%	57%	55%	58%	49%	54%
Very important to norm/engage	36	43	29	29	35	39	34	46	38	31	37	39	32	36	31	35
Somewhat important norm/engage	ge 21	18	23	31	18	22	16	15	22	26	19	17	22	22	18	19
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	38	35	41	37	41	33	46	34	32	38	38	38	38	37	43	39
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	18	18	16	13	19	11	16	17	16	14	21	16	11	15
Very important keep same	22	21	23	19	25	21	27	22	16	21	22	24	17	21	33	24
DK/NA	6	4	7	4	6	6	5	5	8	5	6	5	7	5	8	7
DK/NA	6	4	7	4	6	6	5	5	8	5	6	5	7	5	8	7
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
T	otal	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	56%	67%	49%	65%	51%	54%	57%	56%	86%	72%	34%	19%	80%	25%	35%	
Very important to norm/engage	36	35	31	44	31	29	37	34	70	34	14	12	54	12	17	
Somewhat important norm/engage	ge 21	32	18	21	20	25	20	23	16	38	20	8	26	13	18	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	38	27	45	30	42	41	38	38	11	24	60	76	17	70	43	
Somewhat important keep same	16	24	19	11	18	16	15	16	7	13	33	19	10	25	17	
Very important keep same	22	3	25	19	24	25	23	22	4	11	27	57	7	46	26	
DK/NA DK/NA	6	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	6	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	4	6	4	4	<b>5</b>	<b>21</b> 21	

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

		NOR		RELATI	ONS/ENG	GAGE	~ ~	16 MSG	A/ SPI			STATE	~		ONGS ON		
To	otal	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	56%	88%	17%	43%	55%	39%	57%	56%	58%	55%	35%	42%	70%	75%	38%	72%	43%
Very important to norm/engage	36	62	8	20	29	14	36	36	36	36	24	20	36	62	22	48	23
Somewhat important norm/engage	ge 21	26	9	23	26	26	21	21	21	20	11	23	34	13	16	24	20
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	38	10	78	45	40	54	39	37	36	40	60	52	26	22	57	24	32
Somewhat important keep same	16	7	27	19	16	25	15	16	16	15	17	24	15	9	20	12	16
Very important keep same	22	3	52	26	24	29	24	21	20	25	44	28	11	13	37	12	16
DK/NA	6	3	5	12	5	7	4	7	6	5	5	6	4	3	5	3	25
DK/NA	6	3	5	12	5	7	4	7	6	5	5	6	4	3	5	3	25
			CELL E			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
			BOTH	7.T.T./M	VERY	VERY				 VERY	VERY		TOTAL	NO /DV	FAV/	FAV/	
To	otal	CELL		LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV		RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
- Base	 1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	56%	57%	61%	48%	39%	59%	47%	61%	65%	48%	76%	47%	73%	51%	38%	56%	
Very important to norm/engage		36	36	36	30	42	31	36	44	37	58	33	54	25	27	34	
Somewhat important norm/engage		22		12	9	18	15	25	21	10	18	14		26	12	22	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	38	38	33	44	53	37	47	33	32	48	21	49	23	41	56	40	
Somewhat important keep same	16	15	17	15	21	15	19	13	16	14	10	19	11	17	20	19	
Very important keep same	22	23	17	29	32	22	28	21	16	34	12	30	12	24	35	21	
DK/NA	6	5	5	8	7	3	6	6	4	4	3	4	3	8	6	4	
DK/NA	6	5	5	8	7	3	6	6	4	4	3	4	3	8	6	4	

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

Base   DEM   VIANS   DEM   DEM									Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-	·ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Color   Colo	Tota	al T		INDEP		DEM		REP					INDEP					DK/NA		MD/CN DEM	,		
Very important to norm/engage 36	Base 102	24	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Somewhate important norm/engage 21	TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56	5%	61%	60%	50%	60%	59%	48%	51%	56%	71%	64%	60%	53%	60%	42%	60%	37%	64%	56%	56%	46%	
Somewhate important norm/engage 21	Very important to norm/engage 3	36	40	37	34	41	36	33	22	42	39	32	37	37	37	31	30	11	44	38	39	31	
Somewhat important keep same   16   15   18   16   17   17   17   17   17   17   18   18			21	23	15	19	23	15	29	14	32	32	23	17	23	10	30	26	21	18	16	15	
Somewhat important keep same   16   15   18   16   17   17   17   17   17   17   18   15   11   18   18   18   12   16   17   15   12   13   16   16   17	TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 3	38	35	34	44	35	35	44	43	39	24	34	34	42	34	50	37	51	30	40	36	47	
Very important keep same   22   20   20   20   20   20   20   2																							
DK/NA																							
Name	very important keep same 2	22	20	10	20	21	19	21	29	21	13	10	10	30	10	33	22	39	1/	21	20	30	
Candada   Cand	DK/NA	6	4	6	7	5	5	7	7	4	5	2	6	4	6	8	3	12	6	3	8	7	
MEN NORMALIZE ENGAGE   1024   188   32   34   38   45   42   38   32   34   38   32   34   38   35   35   35   36   35   36   35   36   35   36   36	DK/NA	6	4	6	7	5	5	7	7	4	5	2	6	4	6	8	3	12	6	3	8	7	
MEN																							
Base   Total 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 40-59 60+ MEN   WOMEN   MEN   WOMEN   MEN   WOMEN   MEN   WOMEN   18-39 40-59 60+ 18-39 4										_			_										
Base 1024 188 171 135 161 204 144 138 185 195 184 118 102 117 130 67 120 132 124 66 85 64  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56% 64% 60% 60% 49% 52% 54% 63% 58% 62% 57% 60% 35% 48% 66% 69% 69% 56% 54% 49% 46% 51% Very important to norm/engage 36 40 45 45 21 30 34 47 36 41 31 45 20 27 46 52 37 36 36 32 30 39 Somewhat important norm/engage 21 25 15 15 28 22 20 16 22 21 26 15 16 20 20 16 32 20 18 17 16 13  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 38 32 34 38 45 42 38 31 38 36 35 36 54 48 30 27 27 38 40 42 46 43 Somewhat important keep same 16 12 15 13 23 18 14 11 16 14 19 13 22 23 10 9 13 21 16 18 17 15 Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28  DK/NA 6 3 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 8 6 4 3 8 4 11 4 4 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 8 5					GENDER	BY AGE	:			P	ARTY BY	Y GENDE	R					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
Base 1024 188 171 135 161 204 144 138 185 195 184 118 102 117 130 67 120 132 124 66 85 64  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56% 64% 60% 60% 49% 52% 54% 63% 58% 62% 57% 60% 35% 48% 66% 69% 69% 56% 54% 49% 46% 51% Very important to norm/engage 36 40 45 45 21 30 34 47 36 41 31 45 20 27 46 52 37 36 36 36 32 30 39 Somewhat important norm/engage 21 25 15 15 28 22 20 16 22 21 26 15 16 20 20 16 32 20 18 17 16 13  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 38 32 34 38 45 42 38 31 38 36 35 36 54 48 30 27 27 38 40 42 46 43 Somewhat important keep same 16 12 15 13 23 18 14 11 16 14 19 13 22 23 10 9 13 21 16 18 17 15 Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 24 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28  DK/NA 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 8 6 4 3 8 6 4 18 5 195 184 11 4 11 14 4 4 4 4 4 6 5 5 9 8 5 5			 MEN					 WOMEN	 DEM					 REP	 DEM	DEM	 DEM				 REP	 REP	 REP
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56% 64% 60% 60% 49% 52% 54% 63% 58% 62% 57% 60% 35% 48% 66% 69% 69% 56% 54% 49% 46% 51% Very important to norm/engage 36 40 45 45 21 30 34 47 36 41 31 45 20 27 46 52 37 36 36 32 30 39 Somewhat important norm/engage 21 25 15 15 28 22 20 16 22 21 26 15 16 20 20 16 32 20 18 17 16 13  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 38 32 34 38 45 42 38 31 38 36 35 36 54 48 30 27 27 38 40 42 46 43 Somewhat important keep same 16 12 15 13 23 18 14 11 16 14 19 13 22 23 10 9 13 21 16 18 17 15 Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 24 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28  DK/NA 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 8 6 4 3 8 4 11 4 4 4 4 6 5 5 9 8 5	Tota	al I		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
Very important to norm/engage 36			18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+ 	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Somewhat important norm/engage 21 25 15 15 28 22 20 16 22 21 26 15 16 20 20 16 32 20 18 17 16 13  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME Somewhat important keep same 16 12 15 13 23 18 14 11 16 14 19 13 22 23 10 9 13 21 16 18 17 15  Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28  DK/NA  6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 8 6 4 3 8 6 1 11 1 4 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 8 5			18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+ 	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Somewhat important norm/engage 21 25 15 15 28 22 20 16 22 21 26 15 16 20 20 16 32 20 18 17 16 13  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME Somewhat important keep same 16 12 15 13 23 18 14 11 16 14 19 13 22 23 10 9 13 21 16 18 17 15  Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28  DK/NA  6 3 6 6 2 6 6 8 6 8 6 4 3 8 6 1 11 1 4 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 8 5	Base 102	24	18-39  188	MEN 40-59 	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161	WOMEN 40-59  204	60+  144	MEN  138	DEM WOMEN 	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  102	18-39  117	40-59  130	60+  67	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66	40-59  85	60+  64
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 38 32 34 38 45 42 38 31 38 36 35 36 54 48 30 27 27 38 40 42 46 43 Somewhat important keep same 16 12 15 13 23 18 14 11 16 14 19 13 22 23 10 9 13 21 16 18 17 15 Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 20 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28  DK/NA 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 4 3 8 6 4 11 4 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 8 5	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56	24 5%	18-39  188 <b>64</b> %	MEN 40-59  171 <b>60</b> %	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>49</b> %	WOMEN 40-59  204 52%	60+  144 <b>54</b> %	MEN  138 <b>63</b> %	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	WOMEN  102 35%	18-39  117 <b>48</b> %	40-59  130 <b>66%</b>	60+  67 <b>69</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132 56%	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66 <b>49</b> %	40-59  85 <b>46</b> %	60+  64 <b>51</b> %
Somewhat important keep same     16     12     15     13     23     18     14     11     16     14     19     13     22     23     10     9     13     21     16     18     17     15       Very important keep same     22     20     19     25     22     24     24     20     22     21     16     23     32     25     20     18     14     17     24     24     29     28       DK/NA     6     3     6     2     6     6     8     6     4     3     8     4     11     4     4     4     4     6     5     9     8     5	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56  Very important to norm/engage 3	24 5% 36	18-39  188 <b>64%</b> 40	MEN 40-59  171 <b>60</b> % 45	MEN 60+  135 <b>60%</b> 45	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>49</b> % 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 30	60+  144 <b>54</b> % 34	MEN 138 63% 47	DEM WOMEN 185	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 41	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>57%</b> 31	REP MEN  118 <b>60%</b> 45	WOMEN  102 <b>35%</b> 20	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 27	40-59  130 <b>66%</b> 46	60+  67 <b>69</b> % 52	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>69%</b> 37	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 36	IND/L 60+  124 <b>54%</b> 36	18-39  66 <b>49%</b> 32	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30	60+  64 <b>51%</b> 39
Very important keep same 22 20 19 25 22 24 24 20 22 21 16 23 32 25 20 18 14 17 24 24 29 28 <u>DK/NA</u> 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 4 3 8 4 11 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 5	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56  Very important to norm/engage 3	24 5% 36	18-39  188 <b>64%</b> 40	MEN 40-59  171 <b>60</b> % 45	MEN 60+  135 <b>60%</b> 45	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>49</b> % 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 30	60+  144 <b>54</b> % 34	MEN 138 63% 47	DEM WOMEN 185	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 41	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>57%</b> 31	REP MEN  118 <b>60%</b> 45	WOMEN  102 <b>35%</b> 20	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 27	40-59  130 <b>66%</b> 46	60+  67 <b>69</b> % 52	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>69%</b> 37	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 36	IND/L 60+  124 <b>54%</b> 36	18-39  66 <b>49%</b> 32	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30	60+  64 <b>51%</b> 39
<u>DK/NA</u> 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 4 3 8 4 11 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 5	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56  Very important to norm/engage 3  Somewhat important norm/engage 2	24 5% 36 21	18-39  188 <b>64%</b> 40 25	MEN 40-59  171 <b>60%</b> 45 15	MEN 60+  135 <b>60%</b> 45 15	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>49%</b> 21 28	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 30 22	60+  144 <b>54%</b> 34 20	MEN 138 63% 47 16	DEM WOMEN  185 58% 36 22	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 41 21	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>57%</b> 31 26	REP MEN  118 <b>60%</b> 45	WOMEN  102 <b>35%</b> 20 16 <b>54</b>	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 27 20	40-59  130 <b>66%</b> 46 20	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 52 16	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>69%</b> 37 32	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 36 20	IND/L 60+  124 <b>54%</b> 36 18	18-39  66 <b>49%</b> 32 17	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16	60+  64 <b>51%</b> 39
	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56  Very important to norm/engage 3  Somewhat important norm/engage 2  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 3	5% 36 21	18-39  188 <b>64%</b> 40 25	MEN 40-59  171 <b>60%</b> 45 15	MEN 60+  135 <b>60%</b> 45 15	WOMEN 18-39  161 49% 21 28	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 30 22 <b>42</b>	60+  144 <b>54%</b> 34 20	MEN  138 <b>63%</b> 47 16	DEM WOMEN  185 58% 36 22	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 41 21	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>57%</b> 31 26	REP MEN  118 60% 45 15	WOMEN  102 <b>35%</b> 20 16 <b>54</b> 22	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 27 20 <b>48</b>	40-59  130 <b>66%</b> 46 20 <b>30</b>	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 52 16	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>69%</b> 37 32 <b>27</b>	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 36 20 <b>38</b> 21	IND/L 60+  124 <b>54%</b> 36 18	18-39  66 <b>49%</b> 32 17	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16 <b>46</b>	60+  64 <b>51%</b> 39 13
	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56  Very important to norm/engage 3  Somewhat important norm/engage 2  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 3  Somewhat important keep same 1	5% 36 21 38	18-39  188 <b>64%</b> 40 25 <b>32</b> 12	MEN 40-59 171 60% 45 15	MEN 60+  135 <b>60%</b> 45 15 <b>38</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 49% 21 28 45 23	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 30 22 <b>42</b> 18	60+  144 <b>54%</b> 34 20 <b>38</b> 14	MEN 138 63% 47 16 31 11	DEM WOMEN 185 58% 36 22 38 16	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 41 21 <b>36</b> 14	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>57%</b> 31 26 <b>35</b> 19	REP MEN 118 60% 45 15	WOMEN  102 <b>35%</b> 20 16 <b>54</b> 22	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 27 20 <b>48</b> 23	40-59  130 <b>66%</b> 46 20 <b>30</b> 10	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 52 16 <b>27</b> 9	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>69%</b> 37 32 <b>27</b> 13	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 36 20 <b>38</b> 21	IND/L 60+  124 <b>54%</b> 36 18 <b>40</b>	18-39  66 <b>49%</b> 32 17 <b>42</b> 18	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16 <b>46</b> 17	60+  64 <b>51%</b> 39 13 <b>43</b>
DK/NA 6 3 6 2 6 6 8 6 4 3 8 4 11 4 4 4 4 6 5 9 8 5	Base 102  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 56  Very important to norm/engage 3  Somewhat important norm/engage 2  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 3  Somewhat important keep same 1  Very important keep same 2	5% 36 21 38	18-39  188 <b>64%</b> 40 25 <b>32</b> 12 20	MEN 40-59 171 60% 45 15 19	MEN 60+ 135 60% 45 15 38 13 25	WOMEN 18-39 161 49% 21 28 45 23 22	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 30 22 <b>42</b> 18 24	60+  144 <b>54%</b> 34 20 <b>38</b> 14 24	MEN 138 63% 47 16 31 11 20	DEM WOMEN 185 58% 36 22 38 16	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 41 21 <b>36</b> 14 21	IND/L WOMEN  184 57% 31 26 35 19	REP MEN 118 60% 45 15	WOMEN  102 <b>35%</b> 20 16 <b>54</b> 22 32	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 27 20 <b>48</b> 23	40-59  130 <b>66%</b> 46 20 <b>30</b> 10	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 52 16 <b>27</b> 9	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>69%</b> 37 32 <b>27</b> 13	IND/L 40-59  132 56% 36 20 38 21	IND/L 60+  124 <b>54%</b> 36 18 <b>40</b>	18-39  66 <b>49%</b> 32 17 <b>42</b> 18 24	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16 <b>46</b> 17 29	60+ 64 51% 39 13 43 15 28

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
Tot		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base 10	24	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 5	6%	50%	55%	59%	69%	53%	62%	59%	47%	66%	58%	55%	61%	55%	56%	56%	56%	56%	53%	72%	46%	59%
Very important to norm/engage	36	30	33	40	48	31	42	38	24	49	36	35	38	35	36	37	35	35	31	46	28	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	21	23	19	22	22	20	20	23	17	22	20	23	21	20	19	22	21	22	25	18	20
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	38	45	37	36	27	41	34	38	44	30	37	37	34	39	40	37	38	37	43	24	46	38
Somewhat important keep same	16	17	16	16	9	16	14	15	18	10	18	18	12	16	16	17	13	14	20	10	19	16
Very important keep same	22	28	21	20	18	25	20	23	26	19	20	19	21	23	24	20	25	24	23	14	27	22
DK/NA	6	5	8	5	4	6	4	4	9	4	5	8	5	6	4	8	6	7	4	5	8	3
DK/NA	6	5	8	5	4	6	4	4	9	4	5	8	5	6	4	8	6	7	4	5	8	3

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions,
arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
Tota	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base 102	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43	<b>47</b> %	39%	47%	44%	51%	40%	35%	33%	45%	46%	36%	47%	42%	42%	45%
Very important to norm/engage 2	5 29	23	23	26	30	27	21	22	24	28	24	26	25	25	28
Somewhat important norm/engage 1	7 18	16	24	18	21	13	14	11	21	18	12	21	17	17	17
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 5	47	52	47	51	42	53	59	57	49	45	58	42	52	52	45
Somewhat important keep same 1	7 13	21	16	19	14	19	15	23	18	17	18	17	17	21	16
Very important keep same 3	3 34	31	31	31	28	33	44	34	31	29	40	25	35	30	29
DK/NA	7 5	9	6	6	7	8	7	10	6	8	6	11	6	7	9
	7 5	9	6	6	7	8	7	10	6	8	6	11	6	7	9
,															
	~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA F	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMA: GAGE WI					
Tota	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR		DK/NA	
Base 102	1 50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43	<b>63</b> %	39%	51%	38%	39%	42%	44%	71%	46%	29%	17%	59%	21%	26%	
Very important to norm/engage 2	5 37	20	32	23	16	26	26	52	17	13	13	36	13	14	
Somewhat important norm/engage 1	7 26	18	19	16	22	17	19	19	29	16	4	23	9	11	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 5			41	55	53	51	48	23	48	69	79	34	75	48	
Somewhat important keep same 1	7 2.4	13	14	18	27	18	17	10	23	29	14	16	19	14	
Very important keep same 3			27	38	26	33	32	12	25	40	66	18	56	34	
<u> </u>		38	27 <b>8</b>	38 <b>6</b>	26 <b>9</b>	33 <b>7</b>	32 <b>7</b>	12 <b>6</b>	25 <b>6</b>	40 <b>2</b>	66 <b>4</b>	18 <b>6</b>	56 <b>3</b>	34 <b>26</b>	

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions,
arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI FARGET		GAGE		16 MSG TION	A, SPI			STATE	Q13 CU -SPONSO	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	43%	66%	12%	35%	53%	27%	45%	41%	40%	46%	33%	32%	48%	59%	33%	53%	28%
Very important to norm/enga Somewhat important norm/eng	_	41 25	8 4	18 17	29 23	12 15	28 18	24 17	23 17	28 18	24 9	14 19		41 18	19 13	32 21	18 10
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	50	28	84	53	42	68	48	52	52	48	62	64	47	33	63	40	47
Somewhat important keep same Very important keep same				19 34	15 27	22 46		19 33	18 34	16 31	12 51	25 39		8 25	17 46	17 23	19 28
DK/NA	7	6	4	12	5	4		8	8	6	5	3		8	4	7	25
DK/NA	7	6	4	12	5	4	6	8	8	6	5	3	5	8	4	7	25
			CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
	Total			LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	43%		47%	38%	35%	49%	35%	45%	52%	39%	58%	38%	56%	37%	33%	51%	
Very important to norm/enga Somewhat important norm/eng			29 18	23 15	26 8	29 20	24 11	25 20	31 21	29 10	37 21	25 12		20 18	24 9	34 16	
Somewhat important norm/eng	age 17	18	18	15	8	20	11	20	21	10	21	12	21	18	9	10	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	50				60	44		47	42	57	34			55	61	42	
Somewhat important keep sam						14 30		18 29	18 23	19 38	15	17 40		19 36	14 47		
Very important keep same	33	3 /	23	3 /	46	30	43	29	23	38	20	40	22	36	4 /	29	
DK/NA	7			6	6	<b>7</b> 7	<b>6</b>	8	6	<b>5</b> 5	8	6		<b>7</b>	6	7	
DK/NA	7	6	9	6	6	./	6	8	6	5	8	6	./	./	6	7	

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions,
arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

							Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base 1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43% Very important to norm/engage 26	<b>48</b> % 29	<b>49%</b> 28	<b>34%</b> 23	<b>49</b> % 30	<b>44</b> % 25	<b>37%</b> 26	<b>34%</b> 17	<b>49</b> % 31	<b>49%</b> 28	<b>45</b> % 22	<b>49%</b> 28	<b>23</b> %	<b>46</b> % 31	<b>32%</b> 23	<b>36</b> %	<b>30</b> %	<b>48%</b> 28	<b>50</b> %	<b>44</b> % 25	<b>35%</b> 26	
Somewhat important norm/engage 17	20	21	10	19	19	11	17	18	21	23	21	7	15	9	17	16	20	19	19	9	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 50	47	42	59	46	49	55	56	45	48	53	42	73	46	60	57	54	45	47	42	59	
Somewhat important keep same 17 Very important keep same 33	20 26	13 29	18 42	20 26	17 32	14 41	15 41	20 25	21 27	22 31	13 29	29 44	19 28	12 48	21 36	6 48	21 24	20 27	14 29	15 44	
<u>DK/NA</u> 7 DK/NA 7	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b> 9	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b> 10	<b>6</b>	3	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b> 4	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	6	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	3	<b>14</b> 14	<b>6</b>	
DIC/ NA	J		,	J	,	U	10	0	J	J			,	U	U	10	U	5	1.1	U	
			GENDER	BY AGE				P;	ARTY BY	GENDEI	₹					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
			GENDER							GENDE							TY BY A				
Total	 MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	GENDER  MEN 60+	BY AGE WOMEN 18-39		WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN		GENDE	R REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	PAR  IND/L 18-39	TY BY 1	AGE IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Total Base 1024		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L				
Base 1024  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43%	18-39  188 <b>52</b> %	MEN 40-59  171 48%	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161 38%	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>45</b> %	60+  144 <b>31</b> %	MEN  138 <b>50</b> %	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	WOMEN  102 27%	18-39  117 <b>48</b> %	40-59  130 <b>50</b> %	60+  67 <b>46</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>51</b> %	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66 <b>43</b> %	40-59  85 <b>37</b> %	60+  64 <b>34</b> %
Base 1024	18-39  188	MEN 40-59 	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59  204	60+  144	MEN  138	DEM WOMEN 	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  102	18-39  117	40-59  130	60+  67	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66	40-59  85	60+  64
Base 1024  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43% Very important to norm/engage 26 Somewhat important norm/engage 17  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 50	18-39  188 <b>52%</b> 28 25 <b>43</b>	MEN 40-59 171 48% 33 15	MEN 60+  135 <b>41%</b> 26 15	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 20 17	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>45%</b> 24 21	60+  144 31% 22 9	MEN  138 <b>50%</b> 28 22 <b>45</b>	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 31 17 46	IND/L MEN  195 47% 30 17	IND/L WOMEN  184 40% 19 22	REP MEN  118 46% 32 14	WOMEN  102 <b>27%</b> 19 8 <b>61</b>	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 26 22 <b>47</b>	40-59  130 <b>50%</b> 31 19 <b>41</b>	60+  67 <b>46%</b> 29 17	IND/L 18-39  120 48% 22 26	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>51%</b> 30 21 <b>40</b>	IND/L 60+  124 32% 22 10	18-39  66 <b>43%</b> 28 15 <b>45</b>	40-59  85 <b>37%</b> 26 10 <b>56</b>	60+  64 34% 24 10
Base 1024  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43% Very important to norm/engage 26 Somewhat important norm/engage 17	18-39  188 <b>52%</b> 28 25	MEN 40-59  171 <b>48%</b> 33 15	MEN 60+  135 <b>41%</b> 26 15	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 20 17	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>45%</b> 24 21	60+  144 <b>31%</b> 22 9	MEN 138 50% 28 22	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>48%</b> 31 17	IND/L MEN  195 <b>47%</b> 30 17	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>40%</b> 19 22	REP MEN  118 <b>46%</b> 32 14	WOMEN  102 <b>27%</b> 19 8	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 26 22	40-59  130 <b>50%</b> 31 19	60+  67 <b>46%</b> 29 17	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>48%</b> 22 26	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>51%</b> 30 21	IND/L 60+  124 32% 22 10	18-39  66 <b>43%</b> 28 15	40-59  85 <b>37%</b> 26 10	60+  64 <b>34%</b> 24 10
Base 1024  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 43% Very important to norm/engage 26 Somewhat important norm/engage 17  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 50 Somewhat important keep same 17	18-39 188 52% 28 25 43 12	MEN 40-59 171 48% 33 15 46 15	MEN 60+  135 <b>41%</b> 26 15 <b>53</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 20 17 55 24	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>45%</b> 24 21 <b>45</b> 18	60+  144 31% 22 9 63 24	MEN 138 50% 28 22 45 13	DEM WOMEN 185 48% 31 17 46 25	IND/L MEN  195 <b>47%</b> 30 17 <b>49</b>	IND/L WOMEN  184 40% 19 22 50	REP MEN 118 46% 32 14 50	WOMEN  102 <b>27%</b> 19 8 <b>61</b> 19	18-39  117 <b>48%</b> 26 22 <b>47</b> 22	40-59  130 <b>50%</b> 31 19 <b>41</b> 20	60+  67 <b>46%</b> 29 17 <b>53</b>	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>48%</b> 22 26 <b>47</b>	IND/L 40-59  132 51% 30 21 40 13	IND/L 60+  124 32% 22 10 60 19	18-39  66 <b>43%</b> 28 15 <b>45</b> 8	40-59  85 <b>37%</b> 26 10 <b>56</b> 19	60+  64 34% 24 10 63 16

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions,
arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

				Q22 EDU	JCATION	ſ		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	DER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
Tota	_	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base 10	24	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
	3%	39%	42%	44%	53%	41%	47%	48%	33%	48%	46%	43%	43%	41%	45%	45%	42%	42%	39%	46%	33%	50%	
Very important to norm/engage	26	21	23	29	32	22	30	27	18	31	29	22	26	27	27	23	25	29	22	29	17	30	
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	19	15	22	18	17	21	15	16	17	21	17	15	18	22	17	13	17	18	16	19	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	50	55	49	48	44	52	47	47	57	47	47	49	50	51	49	48	50	52	52	52	54	47	
Somewhat important keep same	17	16	17	19	14	17	18	12	21	14	21	18	14	20	14	18	13	19	23	17	13	15	
Very important keep same	33	40	32	29	30	36	29	36	36	33	26	31	36	31	34	31	37	32	29	34	41	32	
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	3	7	6	5	10	5	7	8	7	7	6	7	9	7	9	2	13	4	
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	3	7	6	5	10	5	7	8	7	7	6	7	9	7	9	2	13	4	

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
To	otal	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	41%	45%	38%	39%	30%	52%	39%	37%	53%	36%	45%	44%	43%	42%	36%	40%
Very important to norm/engage	23	27	20	19	14	26	26	24	33	17	26	28	24	24	18	24
Somewhat important norm/engag	je 18	18	18	21	16	26	12	13	20	19	19	16	19	18	18	16
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	51	51	52	53	62	42	55	56	40	56	48	50	48	53	58	50
Somewhat important keep same	21	20	23	33	28	13	20	13	18	31	18	14	25	22	23	21
Very important keep same	30	31	29	20	34	28	35	44	23	26	30	36	22	31	35	29
DK/NA	7	4	10	8	8	6	6	7	6	8	7	5	9	6	7	10
DK/NA	7	4	10	8	8	6	6	7	6	8	7	5	9	6	7	10
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U			~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
To	otal	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	41%	35%	46%	48%	39%	33%	40%	44%	74%	55%	22%	11%	64%	15%	19%	
Very important to norm/engage	23	4	31	29	22	10	23	25	50	22	10	10	36	10	8	
Somewhat important norm/engage	je 18	30	15	18	17	23	17	19	24	33	12	1	28	5	11	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	51	30	54	46	54	57	54	46	21	41	71	85	31	81	49	
				0.0	20	40	20	26	1.3	27	42	15	20	23	24	
Somewhat important keep same	21	30	24	20	20	40	20	20	13	21	-12	10	20	43	24	
Somewhat important keep same Very important keep same	21 30		30	26	34	17	34	20	8	15	30	71		58	25	
± ±																

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	NOR		RELATI FARGET	GROUPS	GAGE		L6 MSG TION	A, SPI	/B LIT			~	BA BELO			
Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base 507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41%	73%	10%	23%	28%	26%	43%	40%	41%	41%	25%	31%			27%	55%	21%
Very important to norm/engage 23	41	7	13	19	13	23	24	23	24	20	16	18	42	18	30	11
Somewhat important norm/engage 18	32	3	10	9	14	19	16	19	17	5	15	30	21	9	26	10
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 51			60	59	70	52	51	51	52	69	62			66	40	51
Somewhat important keep same 21	17	23	28	27	35	20	23	22	21	15	29			21	22	23
Very important keep same 30	7	61	32	32	35	33	27	29	31	55	33	19	17	45	18	28
DK/NA 7	3	5	17	13	4	5	10	8	7	6	8	5	4	6	5	28
DK/NA 7		5	17	13	4	5	10	8	7	6	8			6	5	28
	~ ~	CELL E			Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
Total 	LANI	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE	VERY CONS	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS		LIB	Q21 VERY FAV	TEA PA VERY UNFAV		TOTAL		~ ~		
Total Base 507	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	OPIN  FAV/	
	LANI  ALL/M CELL	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 167	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 108	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV  165	NO/DK RF/NA  213	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	OPIN FAV/ IND/L	
Base 507	LANI ALL/M CELL 228	BOTH EQUAL 167	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 108	CONS  77	VERY LIB 	CONS  166	MOD  186	LIB  130	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  124	TOTAL FAV  107	TOTAL UNFAV  165	NO/DK RF/NA  213	PARTY FAV/ REPS 	FAV/ IND/L	
Base 507  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41%	LANI ALL/M CELL 228	BOTH EQUAL 167	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 108	CONS  77	VERY LIB  41	CONS  166 <b>37</b> %	MOD  186 <b>43</b> %	LIB  130 <b>48</b> %	VERY FAV  50	VERY UNFAV  124 50%	TOTAL FAV  107	TOTAL UNFAV  165 <b>54%</b> 31	NO/DK RF/NA  213 <b>34%</b> 14	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  42	FAV/ IND/L  32	
Base 507  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41% Very important to norm/engage 23 Somewhat important norm/engage 18  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 51	LANI ALL/M CELL 228 41% 24 17	BOTH EQUAL 167 44% 23 21	ALL/M LNDLN  108 36% 22 15	CONS  77 38% 31 7	VERY LIB  41 34% 23 11	CONS  166 37% 26 11	MOD  186 43% 22 21	LIB  130 48% 24 24	VERY FAV 50 44% 40 4	VERY UNFAV  124 50% 29 21	TOTAL FAV  107 41% 33 7	TOTAL UNFAV  165 <b>54%</b> 31 23	NO/DK RF/NA  213 34% 14 20	PARTY FAV/ REPS 42 27% 23 4	FAV/ IND/L  32 56% 37 18	
Base 507  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41% Very important to norm/engage 23 Somewhat important norm/engage 18	LANI ALL/M CELL 228 41% 24 17 52 21	BOTH EQUAL 167  44% 23 21 48	ALL/M LNDLN  108 36% 22 15	CONS  77 38% 31 7 59 18	VERY LIB 	CONS  166 37% 26 11 60 21	MOD  186 43% 22 21 46 20	LIB  130 <b>48%</b> 24 24 <b>49</b> 22	VERY FAV 50  44% 40 4  54 14	VERY UNFAV  124 50% 29 21 41	TOTAL FAV  107 <b>41%</b> 33 7	TOTAL UNFAV 165 54% 31 23 38 18	NO/DK RF/NA  213 34% 14 20 58 27	PARTY FAV/ REPS 42 27% 23 4	FAV/ IND/L  32 56% 37 18 42	
Base 507  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41% Very important to norm/engage 23 Somewhat important norm/engage 18  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 51	LANI ALL/M CELL 228 41% 24 17 52 21	BOTH EQUAL 167  44% 23 21 48	ALL/M LNDLN  108 36% 22 15	CONS  77 38% 31 7	VERY LIB  41 34% 23 11	CONS  166 37% 26 11	MOD  186 43% 22 21	LIB  130 48% 24 24	VERY FAV 50 44% 40 4	VERY UNFAV  124 50% 29 21	TOTAL FAV  107 41% 33 7	TOTAL UNFAV 165 54% 31 23 38 18	NO/DK RF/NA  213 34% 14 20 58 27	PARTY FAV/ REPS 42 27% 23 4	FAV/ IND/L  32 56% 37 18	
Base 507  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41% Very important to norm/engage 23 Somewhat important norm/engage 18  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 51 Somewhat important keep same 21	LANI ALL/M CELL 228 41% 24 17 52 21	BOTH EQUAL 167  44% 23 21 48	ALL/M LNDLN  108 36% 22 15	CONS  77 38% 31 7 59 18	VERY LIB 	CONS  166 37% 26 11 60 21	MOD  186 43% 22 21 46 20	LIB  130 <b>48%</b> 24 24 <b>49</b> 22	VERY FAV 50  44% 40 4  54 14	VERY UNFAV  124 50% 29 21 41	TOTAL FAV  107 <b>41%</b> 33 7 <b>56</b> 16	TOTAL UNFAV 165  54% 31 23  38 18 21	NO/DK RF/NA  213 34% 14 20 58 27	PARTY FAV/ REPS 42 27% 23 4 66 15	FAV/ IND/L  32 56% 37 18 42	

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

							Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base 507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41% Very important to norm/engage 23 Somewhat important norm/engage 18	<b>41%</b> 20 22	<b>50%</b> 28 22	<b>36%</b> 26 10	<b>39%</b> 20 19	<b>50%</b> 27 23	<b>33%</b> 24 9	<b>31%</b> 18 13	<b>40</b> % 22 18	<b>35%</b> 15 21	<b>55%</b> 17 38	<b>50%</b> 28 22	<b>45</b> % 33 12	<b>26%</b> 10 16	<b>37%</b> 33 5	<b>41</b> % 25 16	<b>16%</b> 8 9	<b>39</b> % 19 21	<b>38%</b> 20 17	<b>21%</b> 9 12	<b>37%</b> 28 8	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 51 Somewhat important keep same 21 Very important keep same 30	<b>51</b> 25 26	<b>41</b> 17 24	<b>60</b> 18 42	<b>53</b> 24 29	<b>44</b> 19 24	<b>61</b> 18 44	<b>59</b> 28 31	<b>53</b> 25 29	<b>52</b> 23 29	<b>41</b> 31 10	<b>41</b> 17 24	<b>55</b> 19 37	<b>62</b> 21 41	<b>61</b> 15 45	<b>48</b> 22 26	<b>76</b> 37 39	<b>54</b> 26 28	<b>53</b> 24 29	<b>66</b> 15 51	<b>59</b> 19 40	
DK/NA         7           DK/NA         7	<b>8</b> 8	<b>9</b> 9	<b>4</b> 4	<b>8</b> 8	<b>7</b> 7	<b>6</b> 6	<b>10</b> 10	<b>7</b> 7	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b> 4	<b>9</b> 9	<b>0</b> 0	<b>12</b> 12	<b>2</b> 2	<b>11</b> 11	<b>8</b> 8	<b>7</b> 7	<b>9</b> 9	<b>13</b> 13	<b>4</b> 4	
			GENDER	BY AGE	: 			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDEI	R 					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base 507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 41% Very important to norm/engage 23 Somewhat important norm/engage 18	<b>45%</b> 23 22	<b>46%</b> 30 16	<b>45%</b> 30 16	<b>27%</b> 12 15	<b>44%</b> 23 21	<b>43%</b> 26 17	<b>41%</b> 20 22	<b>37</b> % 21 16	<b>55%</b> 33 22	<b>44</b> % 21 23	<b>33%</b> 27 6	33% 20 13	<b>29</b> % 14 15	<b>39%</b> 26 13	<b>53</b> % 20 33	<b>50%</b> 21 29	<b>55%</b> 27 27	<b>44</b> % 34 10	<b>21</b> % 11 10	38% 28 10	<b>36%</b> 29 7
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 51 Somewhat important keep same 21 Very important keep same 30	<b>52</b> 24 27	<b>50</b> 20 30	<b>51</b> 13 38	<b>61</b> 37 24	<b>47</b> 17 30	<b>50</b> 15 34	<b>54</b> 20 34	<b>52</b> 27 25	<b>42</b> 18 24	<b>46</b> 21 25	<b>63</b> 18 45	<b>59</b> 18 42	<b>64</b> 31 32	<b>50</b> 24 26	<b>42</b> 13 29	<b>41</b> 30 11	<b>41</b> 15 25	<b>48</b> 11 37	<b>69</b> 24 45	<b>56</b> 9 47	<b>64</b> 23 41
<b>DK/NA</b> DK/NA 7	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b> 4	<b>4</b> 4	<b>12</b> 12	<b>9</b> 9	<b>7</b> 7	<b>5</b> 5	<b>11</b> 11	<b>3</b> 3	<b>11</b> 11	<b>4</b> 4	<b>8</b> 8	<b>8</b> 8	<b>11</b> 11	<b>5</b> 5	<b>8</b> 8	<b>5</b> 5	<b>7</b> 7	<b>10</b> 10	<b>6</b> 6	<b>0</b> 0

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

				Q22 EDI				EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
Tot	_	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base 5	07	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 4	1%	36%	43%	44%	41%	40%	43%	45%	34%	45%	42%	37%	47%	38%	44%	39%	43%	41%	27%	57%	36%	47%
Very important to norm/engage	23	21	22	24	30	22	26	28	16	26	25	18	27	22	26	21	22	25	10	38	18	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	15	21	20	11	18	18	17	19	19	17	19	20	16	18	18	20	15	17	19	18	18
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	51	53	48	51	57	51	53	50	51	53	52	54	49	55	46	50	52	54	64	43	50	45
Somewhat important keep same	21	20	26	20	19	23	20	26	20	12	26	29	18	22	17	27	16	22	26	23	10	20
Very important keep same	30	33	22	31	37	27	33	24	31	40	27	24	31	33	29	23	36	32	38	20	40	25
DK/NA	7	10	9	5	2	10	4	5	15	2	6	9	4	7	10	11	6	5	8	0	14	8
DK/NA	7	10	9	5	2	10	4	5	15	2	6	9	4	7	10	11	6	5	8	0	14	8

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from
privately-owned small businesses

	GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base 507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 55%		52%	55%	56%	60%	53%	51%	57%	55%	57%	53%	56%	58%	47%	51%
Very important to norm/engage 30		28	25	21	35	31	37	33	23	33	34	26	32	26	26
Somewhat important norm/engage 25	27	24	30	35	25	22	14	23	32	24	19	30	26	22	24
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 37		37	39	37	33	41	41	26	38	36	38	36	35	46	40
Somewhat important keep same 16		18	19	18	19	15	13	9	19	18	11	20	15	21	18
Very important keep same 21	23	19	20	19	14	26	28	17	20	18	26	16	20	25	23
DK/NA 8	4	11	6	7	7	6	8	17	7	7	10	8	7	7	9
DK/NA 8	4	11	6	7	7	6	8	17	7	7	10	8	7	7	9
	~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA I	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base 507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 55%	59%	55%	62%	53%	42%	54%	59%	83%	74%	35%	25%	79%	28%	33%	
Very important to norm/engage 30	11	31	38	26	25	31	28	65	28	16	12	47	13	5	
Somewhat important norm/engage 25	47	24	24	27	17	23	31	18	46	19	13	32	15	28	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 37	23	41	29	40	49	39	33	13	17	58	72	15	67	40	
Somewhat important keep same 16	23	19	10	18	23	17	15	6	8	40	22	7	27	22	
Very important keep same 21	0	22	19	21	26	22	18	7	9	18	50	8	40	18	
DK/NA 8	18	4	8	7	9	7	8	4	9	7	3	6	4	27	
DK/NA 8	18	4	8	7	9	7	8	4	9	7	3	6	4	27	

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from
privately-owned small businesses

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI TARGET		GAGE		16 MSG TION	A/ SPI			STATE	~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	55%	87%	22%	40%	56%	41%	53%	58%	56%	55%	35%	40%	73%	72%	37%	73%	35%
Very important to norm/enga Somewhat important norm/eng	_	51 36		17 22	21 35	20 21	29 24	31 27	34 22	27 28	21 14	12 28		55 17	17 20	42 31	17 18
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	37	10	74	40	38	46	41	33	36	38	57	54	22	22	56	22	42
Somewhat important keep same Very important keep same				19 21	10 28	24 22			18 18	14 24	19 38	33 21			25 31	8 14	24 18
DK/NA	8	3	4	20	6	13	6	9	9	7	8	6			7	5	23
DK/NA	8	3	4	20	6	13	6	9	9	7	8	6	5	6	7	5	23
			CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
	Total		BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	55%	56%		45%	42%	54%			61%	52%	69%	56%			51%		
Very important to norm/enga Somewhat important norm/eng			36 26	26 19	30 12	34 20		31 31	35 27	39 13	48 22	32 23		18 27	25 26	35 24	
Somewhat important norm, eng	age 23	20	20	19	12	20	20	31	27	13	22	23	21	27	20	24	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	37					44			34	45	25	38				39	
Somewhat important keep sam						27 17	15 31		21 13	10 34	17 8	10 29			15 24	10 28	
Very important keep same	21	23	Τ0	31	39	Ι/	31	Ι/	13	34	8	29	Τ0	23	24	28	
DK/NA	8	7			8	2		10	<b>5</b>	3	6	6			10	2	
DK/NA	8	7	./	10	8	2	6	10	5	3	6	6	5	11	10	2	

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from
privately-owned small businesses

							Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-	·ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base 507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 55%	57%	60%	53%	53%	63%	49%	43%	54%	51%	76%	60%	63%	49%	49%	48%	36%	57%	49%	50%	49%	
Very important to norm/engage 30 Somewhat important norm/engage 25	30 35 29 30 35 26 20 34 20 34 35 36 27 26 26 26 26 24 23 28 23 23 20 31 42 26 28 23 23 23 20 31 42 10 26 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20														24 24	14 22	34 24	27 22	18 32	28 21	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 37															47	47	39	46	28	44	
Somewhat important keep same 16 Very important keep same 21	16 23	15 14	13 25	18 24	12 16	16 25	25 22	16 26	24 19	5 18	15 14	7 25	18 15	14 32	23 24	28 19	25 14	13 33	15 13	16 28	
DK/NA 8	4	10	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	8	10	10	4	6	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b> 5	18	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	4	5	22	7	
DK/NA 8	4	10	9	3	8	10	10	4	O	_	10	5	18	5	5	-,	4	5	22	/	
			GENDER	BY AGE	: 			P.	ARTY BY	Y GENDE:	R 					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base 507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 55% Very important to norm/engage 30 Somewhat important norm/engage 25	<b>59%</b> 25 34	<b>60%</b> 37 23	<b>58%</b> 35 23	<b>51%</b> 21 30	<b>55%</b> 30 25	<b>47%</b> 33 15	<b>50%</b> 30 20	<b>55%</b> 30 25	<b>71</b> % 38 34	<b>54</b> % 31 23	<b>53%</b> 28 24	<b>46</b> % 24 22	<b>45%</b> 19 25	<b>55%</b> 30 25	<b>59%</b> 44 15	<b>75%</b> 28 46	<b>65</b> % 40 25	<b>49</b> % 35 14	<b>40%</b> 26 14	<b>52%</b> 32 20	<b>55%</b> 19 35
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME  Somewhat important keep same 16  Very important keep same 21	<b>38</b> 17 21	<b>35</b> 17 18	<b>37</b> 7 30	<b>39</b> 20 19	<b>37</b> 19 18	<b>38</b> 16 22	<b>48</b> 15 34	38 21 17	<b>25</b> 10 15	<b>33</b> 15 18	<b>41</b> 14 27	<b>40</b> 17 23	<b>47</b> 21 26	<b>44</b> 24 20	<b>35</b> 8 27	<b>22</b> 12 10	<b>26</b> 10 15	<b>40</b> 15 25	<b>42</b> 18 24	<b>35</b> 15 20	<b>45</b> 12 33
<u>DK/NA</u> DK/NA 8	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b> 5	<b>5</b> 5	<b>10</b> 10	<b>9</b> 9	<b>14</b> 14	<b>1</b> 1	<b>7</b> 7	<b>4</b> 4	<b>13</b> 13	<b>7</b> 7	<b>14</b> 14	<b>8</b> 8	<b>1</b> 1	<b>6</b> 6	<b>4</b> 4	<b>9</b> 9	<b>12</b> 12	<b>18</b> 18	<b>13</b> 13	<b>0</b> 0

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from
privately-owned small businesses

			Q22 EDI	JCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base 507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 55%	45%	60%	59%	60%	53%	59%	57%	48%	63%	56%	57%	60%	48%	60%	57%	51%	49%	46%	78%	54%	63%
Very important to norm/engage 30	21	34	32	34	28	33	31	24	33	33	31	30	26	35	32	27	26	23	36	26	39
Somewhat important norm/engage 25	24	26	26	26	25	26	26	24	29	24	26	30	23	25	25	23	23	23	43	28	24
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 37	48	31	34	34	40	34	39	40	34	34	35	29	45	34	32	36	46	48	15	34	34
Somewhat important keep same 16	17	16	15	16	17	15	17	17	11	19	23	9	20	10	21	10	21	23	8	11	10
Very important keep same 21	31	15	19	17	23	18	23	23	23	15	11	20	25	23	11	26	25	25	7	23	24
DK/NA 8	7	9	7	6	8	7	4	12	4	9	9	11	7	6	11	13	5	6	7	11	3
DK/NA 8	7	9	7	6	8	7	4	12	4	9	9	11	7	6	11	13	5	6	7	11	3

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
Т	otal	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	58%	65%	51%	71%	62%	58%	45%	59%	50%	68%	53%	52%	61%	56%	52%	60%
Very important to norm/engage	e 38	48	29	42	38	38	29	44	40	40	34	39	24	39	32	34
Somewhat important norm/engage		17	23	29	25	21	16	16	11	27	20	13	37	17	20	26
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	28	41	24	30	34	48	35	36	27	40	39	32	37	42	32
Somewhat important keep same	12	9	14	6	13	12	13	17	16	9	12	16	13	14	13	9
Very important keep same	23	19	27	18	17	22	35	18	20	18	28	23	19	24	29	23
DK/NA	7	6	7	5	8	8	7	5	14	6	6	9	7	7	6	8
DK/NA	7	6	7	5	8	8	7	5	14	6	6	9	7	7	6	8
		Q5 HIS	SP/LTN		Q7 U.S.		Q8A U				NORMA		ELATION A INIT			
		DOMIN/	LIMIMITGR	D.	INDCIIC	711	CODII	CELLIND		ш.	JAOE WI	111 COD	U TIMITI			
Т	otal			RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR FAVOR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
T -	otal	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS		
T - Base	otal  517			RIGHT	WRONG			ALL		SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA  41	
-		BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	41	
Base  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE  Very important to norm/engage	517 58% = 38	BORN 31 73% 35	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 16	RIGHT DIR 200 65% 43	WRONG TRACK  273	DK/NA  45	NONE  328 <b>62%</b> 44	ALL OTHER  189	FAVOR  169	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>70%</b> 38	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS 	TOTAL FAVOR  306	TOTAL OPPOS 	41	
Base TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	517 58% = 38	BORN  31	IMMIG  41 <b>52</b> %	RIGHT DIR  200	WRONG TRACK  273	DK/NA  45 <b>59</b> %	NONE  328 <b>62</b> %	ALL OTHER  189	FAVOR  169 <b>85</b> %	SMWT FAVOR  136	SMWT OPPOS  74	STR OPPOS  96	TOTAL FAVOR  306	TOTAL OPPOS 170	41 43%	
Base  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE  Very important to norm/engage	517 58% = 38	BORN 31 73% 35	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 16	RIGHT DIR 200 65% 43	WRONG TRACK  273 53% 34	DK/NA  45 <b>59%</b> 37	NONE  328 <b>62%</b> 44	ALL OTHER  189 <b>52%</b> 27	FAVOR  169 <b>85</b> % 66	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>70%</b> 38	SMWT OPPOS  74 34% 11	STR OPPOS  96 <b>19%</b>	TOTAL FAVOR  306 <b>79%</b> 53	TOTAL OPPOS 170 25% 13	41 43% 29	
Base  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE  Very important to norm/engage Somewhat important norm/engage	517 58% e 38 ge 20	BORN  31 <b>73%</b> 35	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 16 35	RIGHT DIR 200 65% 43 22	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>53%</b> 34 19	DK/NA  45 <b>59%</b> 37 21	NONE 328 62% 44 17	ALL OTHER  189 <b>52%</b> 27 25	FAVOR 169 85% 66 19	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>70%</b> 38 32	SMWT OPPOS  74 34% 11 23	STR OPPOS 96 19% 14 5	TOTAL FAVOR  306 <b>79%</b> 53 25	TOTAL OPPOS 170 25% 13 13	41 43% 29 13	
Base  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE  Very important to norm/engage Somewhat important norm/engage TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	517 58% = 38 ge 20	BORN 31 73% 35 38 27	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 16 35	RIGHT DIR  200 65% 43 22	WRONG TRACK  273 53% 34 19	DK/NA  45 <b>59%</b> 37 21 <b>23</b>	NONE 328 62% 44 17	ALL OTHER  189 <b>52%</b> 27 25	FAVOR 169 85% 66 19 10	SMWT FAVOR  136 70% 38 32	SMWT OPPOS  74 34% 11 23	STR OPPOS  96 <b>19%</b> 14 5	TOTAL FAVOR 306 79% 53 25	TOTAL OPPOS 170 25% 13 13	41 43% 29 13	
Base  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE  Very important to norm/engage Somewhat important norm/engage  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME  Somewhat important keep same	517 58% e 38 ge 20 35 12	BORN 31 73% 35 38 27 16	IMMIG 41 52% 16 35 36 10	RIGHT DIR 200 65% 43 22 30 12	WRONG TRACK  273 53% 34 19 40 12	DK/NA  45 <b>59%</b> 37 21 <b>23</b> 9	NONE 328 62% 44 17 31	ALL OTHER  189 <b>52%</b> 27 25 <b>41</b> 15	FAVOR 169 85% 66 19 10 4	SMWT FAVOR  136 70% 38 32 22 14	SMWT OPPOS 74 34% 11 23 59 23	STR OPPOS 96 19% 14 5	TOTAL FAVOR 306 79% 53 25 16 9	TOTAL OPPOS 170 25% 13 13 70 18	41 43% 29 13 33	

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

		NOR		RELATI TARGET		GAGE	~ ~	16 MSG TION	A, SPI			STATE	~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	58%	88%	11%	49%	64%	39%	59%	58%	57%	59%	38%	44%	71%	73%	41%	72%	51%
Very important to norm/engage	je 38	63	5	25	39	14	36	41	40	36	24	17	45	58	21	51	38
Somewhat important norm/enga	age 20	25	6	24	25	24	23	17	18	23	14	27	26	16	20	21	13
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	7	84	40	29	58	36	34	33	36	56	48	22	23	53	23	23
Somewhat important keep same	12	4	18	19	13	28	14	9	11	13	14	18	11	6	16	9	9
Very important keep same	23	3	65	21	16	29	21	25	23	24	42	30	12	17	37	14	14
DK/NA	7	5	5	11	8	4	6	8	9	5	6	8	6	3	7	5	26
DK/NA	7	5	5	11	8	4	6	8	9	5	6	8	6	3	7	5	26
			CELL E			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA	
		ALL/M		ALL/M	VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY		TOTAL				
	Total	CELL		LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV		RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	 517	233	171	104	 79	 51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	 57	 29	
Base	317	233	1/1	104	19	31	107	103	143	01	130	119	1/2	214	37	29	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	58%	61%	59%	52%	35%	71%	47%	63%	69%	58%	74%	47%	71%	56%	43%	44%	
Very important to norm/engage	•	38	39	36	25	38	32	42	41	44	52	34	49	32	32	32	
Somewhat important norm/enga	age 20	22	20	16	10	33	14	21	28	14	22	12	22	24	11	12	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	35	33	32	41	59	22	47	27	26	42	19	49	21	37	52	52	
Somewhat important keep same		10	13	13	19	6	15	10	10	8	7	12	8	14	18	16	
Very important keep same	23	23	19	28	40	16	32	17	16	34	12	36	14	23	34	37	
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	6	7	6	9	5	0	7	5	8	7	5	3	
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	6	7	6	9	5	0	7	5	8	7	5	3	

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

							Q2	) PARTY	SELF-	ID							ID:	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base 517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58%	ry important to norm/engage 38															31%	67%	62%	63%	45%	
Very important to norm/engage 38  40  40  38  39  40  38  27  38  42  41  40  39  53  29  39  39  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  5															8 23	39 28	39 23	43 21	36 9		
Somewhat important norm/engage 20 26 20 13 25 20 13 22 19 41 28 20 13 21 8 22  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 35 29 31 43 29 33 44 40 36 14 30 31 38 26 54 34  Somewhat important keep same 12 10 9 17 10 10 18 7 9 10 16 9 11 15 20 6															50	28	31	30	50		
								-			-					10 40	10 19	10 21	14 16	20 30	
DK/NA 7	5	9	7	6	8	6	11	7	3	0	9	10	0	9	6	19	5	6	7	5	
DK/NA 7	5	9	7	6	8	6	11	7	3	0	9	10	0	9	6	19	5	6	7	5	
		(	GENDER	BY AGE				P.	ARTY BY	GENDE	R					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
Total	 MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	BY AGE WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	 WOMEN 60+	 DEM MEN	P. DEM WOMEN	ARTY BY IND/L MEN	GENDEI IND/L WOMEN	R  REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L	TY BY A	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	 REP 60+
Total Base 517		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN 60+ 		DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
	18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59		MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39	40-59	60+ 
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58% Very important to norm/engage 38	18-39  105 <b>73%</b> 49	MEN 40-59 84 64% 50	MEN 60+  62 <b>54%</b> 43	WOMEN 18-39  72 <b>59%</b> 28	WOMEN 40-59  106 <b>45%</b> 20	77 <b>50%</b> 36	MEN  74 <b>70%</b> 48	DEM WOMEN 97 60% 33	IND/L MEN  91 <b>63%</b> 47	IND/L WOMEN  88 <b>56%</b> 32	REP MEN  67 <b>63%</b> 53	WOMEN  57 <b>35%</b> 19	18-39  63 <b>72%</b> 34	40-59  73 <b>58%</b> 34	60+  29 <b>57%</b> 52	IND/L 18-39  56 <b>66%</b> 42	IND/L 40-59  58 <b>58%</b> 34	IND/L 60+  63 55% 42	18-39  40 <b>59%</b> 43	40-59  48 <b>47%</b> 36	60+  33 <b>47%</b> 36
Base 517 TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58%	18-39  105 <b>73%</b>	MEN 40-59  84	MEN 60+  62 <b>54</b> %	WOMEN 18-39  72 <b>59</b> %	WOMEN 40-59  106	77 50%	MEN  74 <b>70</b> %	DEM WOMEN  97	IND/L MEN  91	IND/L WOMEN  88	REP MEN  67	WOMEN  57 <b>35</b> %	18-39  63 <b>72</b> %	40-59  73 <b>58%</b>	60+  29 <b>57%</b>	IND/L 18-39  56	IND/L 40-59  58	IND/L 60+  63	18-39  40 <b>59</b> %	40-59  48 <b>47</b> %	60+  33 <b>47</b> %
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58% Very important to norm/engage 38 Somewhat important norm/engage 20  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 35	18-39  105 <b>73%</b> 49	MEN 40-59 84 64% 50 13	MEN 60+  62 <b>54%</b> 43 11	WOMEN 18-39  72 <b>59%</b> 28 31	WOMEN 40-59  106 <b>45%</b> 20 24	50% 36 14	MEN 74 70% 48 23	DEM WOMEN 97 60% 33 28 35	IND/L MEN  91 63% 47 16	IND/L WOMEN  88 56% 32 23	REP MEN 67 <b>63%</b> 53 10	WOMEN  57 <b>35%</b> 19 16 <b>55</b>	18-39  63 <b>72%</b> 34 38	40-59  73 <b>58%</b> 34 25 <b>32</b>	60+  29 <b>57%</b> 52 5	IND/L 18-39  56 66% 42 24	IND/L 40-59  58 58% 34 24	IND/L 60+  63 55% 42 13	18-39  40 <b>59%</b> 43 16	40-59  48 <b>47%</b> 36 11 <b>48</b>	60+  33 <b>47%</b> 36 11
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58% Very important to norm/engage 38 Somewhat important norm/engage 20  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 35 Somewhat important keep same 12	18-39  105 <b>73%</b> 49 24 <b>23</b> 7	MEN 40-59 84 64% 50 13 29 11	MEN 60+  62 <b>54%</b> 43 11 <b>36</b>	WOMEN 18-39  72 <b>59%</b> 28 31 <b>32</b> 11	WOMEN 40-59  106 <b>45%</b> 20 24 <b>49</b>	50% 36 14 41	MEN 74 70% 48 23 22 8	DEM WOMEN 97 60% 33 28 35 10	IND/L MEN  91 <b>63%</b> 47 16 <b>29</b>	IND/L WOMEN  88 56% 32 23 37	REP MEN 67 <b>63%</b> 53 10 <b>35</b>	WOMEN 57 35% 19 16 55 25	18-39  63 <b>72%</b> 34 38 <b>26</b> 12	40-59  73 <b>58%</b> 34 25 <b>32</b> 6	60+  29 <b>57%</b> 52 5 <b>35</b>	IND/L 18-39  56 66% 42 24 26 5	IND/L 40-59  58 58% 34 24 38 11	IND/L 60+  63 55% 42 13 33	18-39  40 <b>59%</b> 43 16 <b>34</b> 14	40-59  48 47% 36 11 48 22	60+  33 <b>47%</b> 36 11 <b>48</b>
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58% Very important to norm/engage 38 Somewhat important norm/engage 20  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 35	18-39  105 <b>73%</b> 49 24	MEN 40-59 84 64% 50 13	MEN 60+  62 <b>54%</b> 43 11	WOMEN 18-39  72 <b>59%</b> 28 31	WOMEN 40-59  106 <b>45%</b> 20 24	50% 36 14	MEN 74 70% 48 23	DEM WOMEN 97 60% 33 28 35	IND/L MEN  91 63% 47 16	IND/L WOMEN  88 56% 32 23	REP MEN 67 <b>63%</b> 53 10	WOMEN  57 <b>35%</b> 19 16 <b>55</b>	18-39  63 <b>72%</b> 34 38	40-59  73 <b>58%</b> 34 25 <b>32</b>	60+  29 <b>57%</b> 52 5	IND/L 18-39  56 66% 42 24	IND/L 40-59  58 58% 34 24	IND/L 60+  63 55% 42 13	18-39  40 <b>59%</b> 43 16	40-59  48 <b>47%</b> 36 11 <b>48</b>	60+  33 <b>47%</b> 36 11
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58% Very important to norm/engage 38 Somewhat important norm/engage 20  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 35 Somewhat important keep same 12	18-39  105 <b>73%</b> 49 24 <b>23</b> 7	MEN 40-59 84 64% 50 13 29 11	MEN 60+  62 <b>54%</b> 43 11 <b>36</b>	WOMEN 18-39  72 <b>59%</b> 28 31 <b>32</b> 11	WOMEN 40-59  106 <b>45%</b> 20 24 <b>49</b>	50% 36 14 41	MEN 74 70% 48 23 22 8	DEM WOMEN 97 60% 33 28 35 10	IND/L MEN  91 <b>63%</b> 47 16 <b>29</b>	IND/L WOMEN  88 56% 32 23 37	REP MEN 67 <b>63%</b> 53 10 <b>35</b>	WOMEN 57 35% 19 16 55 25	18-39  63 <b>72%</b> 34 38 <b>26</b> 12	40-59  73 <b>58%</b> 34 25 <b>32</b> 6	60+  29 <b>57%</b> 52 5 <b>35</b>	IND/L 18-39  56 66% 42 24 26 5	IND/L 40-59  58 58% 34 24 38 11	IND/L 60+  63 55% 42 13 33	18-39  40 <b>59%</b> 43 16 <b>34</b> 14	40-59  48 47% 36 11 48 22	60+  33 <b>47%</b> 36 11 <b>48</b>

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

			Q22 EDI	JCATION	Г		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	ſ		
Tota	HS OR L LESS	SOME	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base 51	7 138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58	% 51%	55%	61%	76%	53%	65%	61%	46%	72%	58%	58%	58%	58%	59%	58%	56%	57%	59%	65%	39%	65%
Very important to norm/engage 3	3 28	37	44	49	32	45	40	25	59	32	32	41	39	39	30	39	40	39	46	35	41
Somewhat important norm/engage 2	0 24	18	17	27	21	20	22	20	13	26	27	17	19	20	28	17	16	20	19	4	24
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 3	5 43	38	32	19	40	29	34	46	22	35	34	35	35	35	35	36	35	35	31	52	29
Somewhat important keep same 1	2 14	14	9	7	14	9	10	18	9	9	10	12	12	12	11	12	13	11	11	23	9
Very important keep same 2	3 29	23	22	12	26	20	24	29	13	26	25	23	23	23	24	24	23	24	20	29	20
DK/NA	7 6	7	7	6	6	6	5	8	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	8	8	6	4	9	6
DK/NA	7 6	7	7	6	6	6	5	8	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	8	8	6	4	9	6

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must
approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base 517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58%	63%	53%	62%	63%	60%	49%	60%	58%	63%	56%	55%	66%	57%	51%	58%
Very important to norm/engage 37	46	28	38	35	37	36	34	35	37	37	33	41	35	28	39
Somewhat important norm/engage 21	17	25	24	28	23	13	26	23	26	19	21	25	22	23	19
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	33	39	30	35	33	45	34	34	32	37	40	28	39	38	33
Somewhat important keep same 15	14	16	13	22	10	21	10	13	17	15	14	16	14	18	18
Very important keep same 21	18	23	17	13	23	24	24	21	15	22	26	12	24	20	15
DK/NA 6	5	7	8	2	7	6	6	8	5	7	6	6	4	11	9
DK/NA 6	5	7	8	2	7	6	6	8	5	7	6	6	4	11	9
	~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A U			~			ELATION A INIT			
										JAGE WI					
Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK		NONE	ALL	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Total  Base 517			 RIGHT	WRONG			ALL		SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA  41	
	BORN  31	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS		
Base 517	BORN  31 84%	IMMIG  41	RIGHT DIR  200	WRONG TRACK  273	DK/NA  45	NONE  328	ALL OTHER  189	FAVOR  169	SMWT FAVOR  136	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS 	TOTAL FAVOR  306	TOTAL OPPOS 	41	
Base 517 TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 588	BORN  31 <b>84</b> %	IMMIG  41 <b>52</b> %	RIGHT DIR  200	WRONG TRACK  273	DK/NA  45 <b>49</b> %	NONE  328 <b>61</b> %	ALL OTHER  189 53%	FAVOR  169 <b>87</b> %	SMWT FAVOR  136	SMWT OPPOS  74	STR OPPOS  96	TOTAL FAVOR  306	TOTAL OPPOS  170 27%	41 41%	
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 588  Very important to norm/engage 37	BORN  31 <b>84</b> % 55 28	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 30	RIGHT DIR  200 <b>66%</b> 42	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>54%</b> 34	DK/NA  45 <b>49%</b> 29	NONE 328 61% 41	ALL OTHER  189 <b>53%</b> 30	FAVOR  169 <b>87</b> % 67	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>65%</b> 30	SMWT OPPOS  74 33% 20	STR OPPOS  96 23% 14	TOTAL FAVOR  306 <b>77%</b> 51	TOTAL OPPOS 170 27% 16	41 41% 41%	
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 588 Very important to norm/engage 37 Somewhat important norm/engage 21	BORN  31 <b>84</b> % 55 28	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 30 22	RIGHT DIR  200 <b>66%</b> 42 24	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>54%</b> 34 20	DK/NA  45 <b>49%</b> 29 20	NONE 328 61% 41 20	ALL OTHER  189 53% 30 23	FAVOR 169 87% 67 20	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>65%</b> 30 35	SMWT OPPOS  74 33% 20 13	STR OPPOS  96 23% 14 10	TOTAL FAVOR  306 <b>77%</b> 51 27	TOTAL OPPOS 170 27% 16 11	41 41% 16 26	
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 588  Very important to norm/engage 37  Somewhat important norm/engage 21  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	BORN  31 <b>84%</b> 55 28 <b>14</b>	IMMIG  41 <b>52%</b> 30 22 <b>39</b>	RIGHT DIR  200 66% 42 24	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>54%</b> 34 20	DK/NA 45 49% 29 20	NONE 328 61% 41 20	ALL OTHER  189 53% 30 23	FAVOR 169 87% 67 20	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>65%</b> 30 35	SMWT OPPOS 74 33% 20 13	STR OPPOS  96 23% 14 10	TOTAL FAVOR  306 77% 51 27	TOTAL OPPOS 170 27% 16 11	41 41% 16 26	
Base 517  TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 588 Very important to norm/engage 37 Somewhat important norm/engage 21  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36 Somewhat important keep same 15	BORN  31 <b>84</b> % 55 28 <b>14</b> 10	IMMIG 41 52% 30 22 39 21	RIGHT DIR 200 66% 42 24 29 16	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>54%</b> 34 20 <b>41</b> 14	DK/NA  45 49% 29 20	NONE 328 61% 41 20 32	ALL OTHER  189 53% 30 23 42 22	FAVOR 169 87% 67 20 10 3	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>65%</b> 30 35 <b>31</b> 23	SMWT OPPOS 74 33% 20 13 60 29	STR OPPOS 96 23% 14 10 72 16	TOTAL FAVOR  306 77% 51 27 19	TOTAL OPPOS 170 27% 16 11 67 22	41 41% 16 26 29	

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must
approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI TARGET		SAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA	L6 MSG TION	A/ SPI				Q13 CU -SPONSO	BA BELO			
	CONS	CONS		POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ		DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF		TOTAL	
Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRST	FIRST	A	В	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	DK/NA
Base 517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 589	87%	15%	46%	64%	35%	60%	56%	61%	55%	46%	30%	72%	75%	39%	73%	53%
Very important to norm/engage 37	60		22	38	13	36	37	37	36	28	10		62	20	49	31
Somewhat important norm/engage 21	27	8	23	27	22	24	19	24	19	17	20	33	12	18	24	21
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	11	80	40	30	62	35	37	34	37	51	53	25	24	52	25	30
Somewhat important keep same 15			20	10	35	14	17	14	16	13	26	14	11	19	13	14
Very important keep same 21	4	54	20	20	27	21	20	20	21	37	27	11	13	33	12	15
DK/NA	2	5	14	6	3	5	7	5	7	4	17	3	2	9	2	18
DK/NA 6	2	5	14	6	3	5	7	5	7	4	17	3	2	9	2	18
		CELL E			010	IDEOL	OGV		021	TEA DA	מק עדק	VORABII	.TTV	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
_		BOTH			VERY				VERY	VERY		TOTAL				
Total	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base 517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 588	55%	69%	48%	41%	76%	45%	62%	72%	59%	78%	48%	74%	53%	41%	40%	
Very important to norm/engage 37							2.5	4.0	36							
	37	41	28	28	58	28	37	48	36	58	29	53	29	33	26	
Somewhat important norm/engage 21				28 13	58 18	28 17		48 24	22	58 19	29 19				26 14	
Somewhat important norm/engage 21  TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	18	28										21		9		
	18 <b>40</b>	28 <b>26</b>	21	13	18	17	25	24	22	19	19	21 <b>20</b>	24	9	14	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	18 <b>40</b> 18	28 <b>26</b> 12	21 <b>40</b> 15	13 <b>54</b>	18 <b>23</b>	17 <b>50</b>	25 <b>30</b>	24 <b>22</b>	22 38	19 <b>17</b>	19 <b>46</b> 18	21 <b>20</b> 9	24 <b>41</b> 18	9 <b>50</b>	14 <b>50</b>	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME Somewhat important keep same 15	18 <b>40</b> 18 22	28 26 12 14	21 <b>40</b> 15	13 <b>54</b> 20	18 <b>23</b> 10	17 <b>50</b> 21	25 <b>30</b> 13	24 22 11	22 38 10	19 <b>17</b> 8	19 <b>46</b> 18	21 20 9 11	24 <b>41</b> 18	9 <b>50</b> 20	14 <b>50</b> 30	

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must
approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

							~	O PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base 517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58%	67%	59%	48%	70%	57%	46%	51%	65%	81%	50%	59%	53%	60%	40%	49%	55%	70%	70%	65%	39%	
Very important to norm/engage 37	37 43 37 32 46 31 38 20 47 43 24 37 13 50 32 22 21 24 22 16 24 26 8 31 18 38 26 22 41 9 8 27															18	49	43	53	32	
Somewhat important norm/engage 21	24 22 16 24 26 8 31 18 38 26 22 41 9 8 27 5 28 33 47 26 37 47 39 32 11 44 33 46 35 53 47															37	21	26	11	7	
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	24 22 16 24 26 8 31 18 38 26 22 41 9 8 27														26	25	27	22	56		
Somewhat important keep same 15	15	14	17	12	16	19	14	15	6	30	14	13	17	19	23	0	13	12	8	23	
Very important keep same 21	14	19	29	13	21	28	25	17	5	15	19	33	18	34	24	26	12	14	14	34	
DK/NA 6	5	8	5	5	6	7	10	3	8	6	8	1	5	7	3	20	5	4	13	4	
DK/NA 6	5	8	5	5	6	7	10	3	8	6	8	1	5	7	3	20	5	4	13	4	
,																					
			GENDER	BY AGE	!			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
 Base 517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	 57	63	73	29	 56	58	63	40	48	33
Base 517	105	04	02	12	106	//	/4	97	91	00	67	5 /	0.3	/ 3	29	50	56	0.3	40	40	33
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58%	65%	64%	58%	59%	49%	52%	79%	62%	55%	58%	56%	35%	74%	65%	69%	60%	54%	57%	55%	48%	35%
Very important to norm/engage 37	44	49	45	27	28	24	59	36	36	25	51	22	39	45	54	32	30	29	48	34	32
Somewhat important norm/engage 21	22	15	14	32	21	28	21	26	20	33	5	12	35	20	15	27	24	28	8	14	3
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME 36	30	33	36	36	41	42	16	33	37	<b>37</b>	44	51	22	28	30	32	44	36	39	<b>45</b>	57
Somewhat important keep same 15 Very important keep same 21	14 15	15 18	13 24	21 15	15 25	15 27	9 7	15 18	14 23	19 19	19 24	18 33	17 5	9 19	13 17	14 18	23 21	12 24	16 23	18 27	22 35
very important keep same 21	15	18	24	15	∠5	21	/	18	23	19	24	33	5	19	1/	18	21	24	23	21	35
DK/NA 6	5	3	5	5	11	6	4	5	8	5	0	15	4	7	1	9	3	7	6	7	8
DK/NA 6	5	3	5	5	11	6	4	5	8	5	0	15	4	7	1	9	3	7	6	7	8

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must
approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

			Q22 EDI	UCATION	Г		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	HS OF	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-		NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID			NORTH	MID		SOUTH	CNTRL		
Tota	l LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base 51	7 138	3 145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE 58	% 56°	50%	60%	75%	53%	64%	58%	49%	69%	59%	66%	53%	55%	62%	66%	53%	55%	52%	54%	44%	68%
Very important to norm/engage 3	7 36	32	35	53	34	39	39	29	53	26	37	34	33	44	36	31	34	32	43	39	45
Somewhat important norm/engage 2	1 20	18	25	22	19	25	19	20	16	32	29	19	22	18	30	22	21	20	11	5	23
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	6 39	9 43	33	21	41	30	38	44	26	34	31	38	38	34	30	42	37	41	26	56	28
Somewhat important keep same	5 20	18	12	8	19	11	18	20	10	12	10	13	19	16	10	14	16	24	8	25	13
	1 19	25	21	13	22	19	20	24	16	21	20	25	19	18	20	28	22	17	18	30	15
DK/NA	6 !	5 6	7	4	6	6	4	7	5	8	4	9	7	3	3	5	8	7	20	0	5
DK/NA	6 5	5 6	7	4	6	6	4	7	5	8	4	9	7	3	3	5	8	7	20	0	5

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism:

Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39		60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL YES	40%	37%	44%	39%	37%	44%	46%	32%	35%	39%	45%	38%	47%	38%	45%	46%
Definitely yes	23	24	22	22	24	26	27	17	16	23	26	20	23	23	23	23
Probably yes	17	13	21	17	14	18	19	15	19	16	19	17	24	14	23	22
TOTAL NO	52	58	47	55	52	49	48	60	58	54	49	55	47	55	46	47
Probably no	28	27	28	32	35	23	24	33	21	33	24	26	33	28	26	27
Definitely no	25	31	19	23	17	27	24	28	36	21	25	30	13	27	20	20
DK/NA	7	5	9	6	11	7	6	7	7	8	6	7	6	7	9	7
DK/NA	7	5	9	6	11	7	6	7	7	8	6	7	6	7	9	7
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS			NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL YES	40%	41%	50%	39%	43%	33%	40%	42%	19%	32%	50%	69%	25%	62%	51%	
Definitely yes	23	24	23	21	27	7	23	23	13	14	26	49	13	40	16	
Probably yes	17	17	28	17	16	26	17	19	5	19	24	20	12	22	35	
TOTAL NO	52	59	40	55	50	57	54	49	76	60	44	26	68	33	30	
Probably no	28	57	21	26	27	38	29	26	31	41	28	12	36	18	16	
Definitely no	25	2	20	29	23	19	25	23	45	19	16	14	33	15	13	
DK/NA	7	0	9	6	7	10	6	9	6	7	6	4	6	5	20	
DK/NA	7	0	9	6	7	10	6	9	6	7	6	4	6	5	20	

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism:

Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

		NOR		FARGET	GROUPS		ROTA		SP	/B LIT			-SPONSO		RRORISI	M LIST	
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS		POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST		ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES		DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL YES	40%	20%	65%	51%	54%	53%	39%	42%		43%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Definitely yes	23	10		25		30	23	23			100	0	•	0	57	0	-
Probably yes	17	10	22	26	26	23	16	18	14	21	0	100	0	0	43	0	0
TOTAL NO	52	75	30	38	41	40	52	53	54	51	0	0	100	100	0	100	0
Probably no	28	37	17	23	21	27	27	28	29	27	0	0	100	0	0	53	0
Definitely no	25	37	13	15	20	13	25	25	25	24	0	0	0	100	0	47	0
DK/NA	7	5	5	12	5	7	9	6	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
DK/NA	7	5	5	12	5	7	9	6	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
		LANI	CELL DLINE U	SAGE			) IDEOL			~	TEA PA					21 TEA	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL			VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL YES	40%	39%	39%	45%	49%	38%	47%	37%	35%	51%	28%	50%	27%	45%	53%	46%	
Definitely yes	23	24	22	22	36	25	30	21	18	39	17	31	17	23	42	19	
Probably yes	17	15	17	23	13	13	17	16	17	13	11	19	9	22	11	27	
TOTAL NO	52	55	53	48	44	53	47	56	58	46	66	46	66	47	41	49	
Probably no	28	29	31	22	17	20	25	30	31	20	25	22	29	30	19	18	
Definitely no	25	26	22	26	27	33	21	26	28	26	41	24	37	16	22	30	
DK/NA	7	6		8		8	7	6	6	3	7	5	7	8	5		
DK/NA	7	6	8	8	7	8	7	6	6	3	7	5	7	8	5	5	

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism:

Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

								~	O PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS		REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL YES	40%	40%	38%	41%	40%	39%	42%	46%	41%	36%	43%	38%	37%	40%	43%	48%	43%	32%	47%	39%	42%	
Definitely yes	23	21	21	28	22	20	31	22	23	18	15	21	21	27	33	20	25	16	28	23	33	
Probably yes	17	20	17	12	18	18	11	24	18	18	28	17	16	14	10	28	18	16	19	16	9	
TOTAL NO	52	54	54	51	54	56	49	45	52	58	57	54	60	49	48	45	46	60	48	51	48	
Probably no	28	30	27	25	30	29	22	30	26	39	30	27	34	25	20	31	30	32	28	19	23	
Definitely no	25	24	27	27	24	27	27	15	26	19	27	27	26	24	28	14	16	28	20	32	25	
DK/NA	7	6	8	8	7	6	10	9	7	6	0	8	3	11	9	6	12	8	5	10	9	
DK/NA	7	6	8	8	7	6	10	9	7	6	0	8	3	11	9	6	12	8	5	10	9	
				GENDER	BY AGE	: 			P.	ARTY BY	Y GENDE	R 					PAF	TY BY	AGE			
		 MEN	MEN	GENDER  MEN	BY AGE  WOMEN		 WOMEN	 DEM	P.  DEM	ARTY BY		R  REP	 REP	 DEM	DEM	DEM	PAF  IND/L		AGE  IND/L	 REP	REP	 REP
	Total	 MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+			60+	 DEM MEN		IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39		 REP 60+
Base	Total  1024		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
	1024	18-39  188	MEN 40-59  171	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39  161	WOMEN 40-59  204	60+  144	MEN  138	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  102	18-39  117	40-59  130	60+  67	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66	40-59  85	60+  64
TOTAL YES	1024 <b>40</b> %	18-39  188 <b>39</b> %	MEN 40-59  171 36%	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161 38%	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52</b> %	60+  144 <b>40</b> %	MEN  138 <b>32</b> %	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	WOMEN  102 <b>49</b> %	18-39  117 <b>39</b> %	40-59  130 <b>43</b> %	60+  67 <b>36</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>41</b> %	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66 <b>36</b> %	40-59  85 <b>50%</b>	60+  64 <b>39</b> %
	1024	18-39  188	MEN 40-59  171	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39  161	WOMEN 40-59  204	60+  144	MEN  138	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  102	18-39  117	40-59  130	60+  67	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66	40-59  85	60+  64
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes	1024 40% 23 17	18-39  188 <b>39%</b> 28 11	MEN 40-59  171 <b>36%</b> 22 14	MEN 60+  135 <b>35%</b> 21 14	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 17 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 29 23	60+  144 <b>40%</b> 19 21	MEN 138 32% 23 9	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>45%</b> 20 25	IND/L MEN  195 39% 21 18	IND/L WOMEN  184 39% 19	REP MEN  118 35% 31 4	WOMEN  102 <b>49%</b> 30 19	18-39  117 <b>39%</b> 26 12	40-59  130 <b>43%</b> 19 25	60+  67 <b>36%</b> 20 16	IND/L 18-39  120 33% 18 15	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>41%</b> 22 19	IND/L 60+  124 <b>42%</b> 21 21	18-39  66 <b>36%</b> 25 10	40-59  85 <b>50%</b> 42 8	60+  64 <b>39%</b> 23 16
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes TOTAL NO	1024 40% 23 17	18-39  188 39% 28 11 57	MEN 40-59 171 36% 22 14 57	MEN 60+  135 <b>35%</b> 21 14 <b>61</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 17 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 29 23	60+  144 <b>40%</b> 19 21 <b>49</b>	MEN  138 32% 23 9	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>45%</b> 20 25	IND/L MEN  195 39% 21 18	IND/L WOMEN  184 39% 19 19	REP MEN  118 35% 31 4	WOMEN  102 <b>49%</b> 30 19	18-39  117 <b>39%</b> 26 12 <b>55</b>	40-59  130 <b>43%</b> 19 25 <b>48</b>	60+  67 <b>36%</b> 20 16	IND/L 18-39  120 33% 18 15	IND/L 40-59  132 41% 22 19	IND/L 60+  124 42% 21 21	18-39  66 <b>36%</b> 25 10 <b>48</b>	40-59  85 <b>50%</b> 42 8	60+  64 39% 23 16 58
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes  TOTAL NO Probably no	1024 40% 23 17 52 28	18-39  188 39% 28 11 57 34	MEN 40-59 171 36% 22 14 57 24	MEN 60+  135 <b>35%</b> 21 14 <b>61</b> 21	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 17 21 50 32	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 29 23 <b>42</b> 24	60+  144 <b>40%</b> 19 21 <b>49</b> 30	MEN 138 32% 23 9 63 27	DEM WOMEN 185 45% 20 25 47 31	IND/L MEN  195 <b>39%</b> 21 18 <b>57</b> 30	IND/L WOMEN  184 39% 19 19	REP MEN  118 35% 31 4 60 21	WOMEN  102 <b>49%</b> 30 19 <b>35</b> 23	18-39  117 <b>39%</b> 26 12 <b>55</b> 37	40-59  130 <b>43%</b> 19 25 <b>48</b> 26	60+  67 <b>36%</b> 20 16 <b>59</b> 23	IND/L 18-39  120 33% 18 15 61 36	IND/L 40-59  132 41% 22 19 57 28	IND/L 60+  124 42% 21 21 48 24	18-39  66 <b>36%</b> 25 10 <b>48</b> 23	40-59  85 <b>50%</b> 42 8 <b>41</b> 17	60+  64 39% 23 16 58 26
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes TOTAL NO	1024 40% 23 17	18-39  188 39% 28 11 57	MEN 40-59 171 36% 22 14 57	MEN 60+  135 <b>35%</b> 21 14 <b>61</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 17 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 29 23	60+  144 <b>40%</b> 19 21 <b>49</b>	MEN  138 32% 23 9	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>45%</b> 20 25	IND/L MEN  195 39% 21 18	IND/L WOMEN  184 39% 19 19	REP MEN  118 35% 31 4	WOMEN  102 <b>49%</b> 30 19	18-39  117 <b>39%</b> 26 12 <b>55</b>	40-59  130 <b>43%</b> 19 25 <b>48</b>	60+  67 <b>36%</b> 20 16	IND/L 18-39  120 33% 18 15	IND/L 40-59  132 41% 22 19	IND/L 60+  124 42% 21 21	18-39  66 <b>36%</b> 25 10 <b>48</b>	40-59  85 <b>50%</b> 42 8	60+  64 39% 23 16 58
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes  TOTAL NO Probably no	1024 40% 23 17 52 28	18-39  188 39% 28 11 57 34	MEN 40-59 171 36% 22 14 57 24	MEN 60+  135 <b>35%</b> 21 14 <b>61</b> 21	WOMEN 18-39  161 38% 17 21 50 32	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>52%</b> 29 23 <b>42</b> 24	60+  144 <b>40%</b> 19 21 <b>49</b> 30	MEN 138 32% 23 9 63 27	DEM WOMEN 185 45% 20 25 47 31	IND/L MEN  195 <b>39%</b> 21 18 <b>57</b> 30	IND/L WOMEN  184 39% 19 19	REP MEN  118 35% 31 4 60 21	WOMEN  102 <b>49%</b> 30 19 <b>35</b> 23	18-39  117 <b>39%</b> 26 12 <b>55</b> 37	40-59  130 <b>43%</b> 19 25 <b>48</b> 26	60+  67 <b>36%</b> 20 16 <b>59</b> 23	IND/L 18-39  120 33% 18 15 61 36	IND/L 40-59  132 41% 22 19 57 28	IND/L 60+  124 42% 21 21 48 24	18-39  66 <b>36%</b> 25 10 <b>48</b> 23	40-59  85 <b>50%</b> 42 8 <b>41</b> 17	60+  64 39% 23 16 58 26

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism:

Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GE	NDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
		HS OR		COLL	POST	NON-		NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID			NORTH	MID						
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
TOTAL YES	40%	49%	42%	36%	32%	45%	35%	45%	46%	28%	41%	35%	40%	42%	43%	35%	41%	42%	42%	39%	50%	40%	
Definitely yes	23	29	23	20	20	26	20	28	24	20	20	25	23	21	25	23	24	24	19	20	28	24	
Probably yes	17	20	19	16	12	20	15	17	22	8	21	10	18	20	18	12	17	18	22	18	22	16	
TOTAL NO	52	43	50	57	65	47	59	52	42	67	53	56	52	51	52	56	50	51	51	57	39	57	
Probably no	28	22	33	28	27	28	28	28	28	26	29	34	27	29	22	35	27	28	28	27	17	24	
Definitely no	25	21	17	30	38	19	32	24	14	40	24	22	25	22	30	21	23	23	23	29	22	33	
DK/NA	7	7	8	7	3	8	6	3	12	6	6	9	7	7	5	9	9	7	7	5	11	3	
DK/NA	7	7	8	7	3	8	6	3	12	6	6	9	7	7	5	9	9	7	7	5	11	3	

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	414	186	228	83	52	90	118	39	26	135	168	105	68	235	56	168
TOTAL YES	74%	78%	71%	67%	79%	73%	80%	70%	68%	72%	76%	73%	80%	75%	73%	73%
Definitely yes	38	42	36	29	37	37	48	37	34	32	40	44	39	45	28	30
Probably yes	36	36	35	39	42	36	32	32	35	40	36	29	42	30	45	43
TOTAL NO	22	19	23	28	18	22	20	21	16	24	20	20	15	21	24	23
Probably no	13	10	16	11	18	14	14	15	9	14	12	15	10	15	16	11
Definitely no	8	9	7	17	0	8	6	6	7	11	8	5	4	6	7	12
DK/NA	4	3	6	4	3	6	0	9	16	4	3	7	5	4	4	4
DK/NA	4	3	6	4	3	6	0	9	16	4	3	7	5	4	4	4
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA H			~	NORMA: GAGE WI					
	Total				IRECTIO	ON			STR FAVOR	ENO  SMWT			A INIT		DK/NA	
Base	Total  414	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIC	ON	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  47	
Base TOTAL YES		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC	DK/NA	CUBA F	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENG SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS	A INIT	TOTAL OPPOS		
	414	BORN/I	IMMIGR IMMIG 47	RIGHT DIR  141	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK 	DK/NA  29	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 138	FAVOR  57	ENG SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS 156	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  144	TOTAL OPPOS	47	
TOTAL YES	 414 <b>74</b> %	BORN/1 BORN 20	IMMIGR IMMIG 47	D: RIGHT DIR  141	TRECTION WRONG TRACK 244	DK/NA  29	NONE 276	RELTNS ALL OTHER 138	FAVOR  57 <b>73</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  87	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  67	TH CUB STR OPPOS 156	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR 144 67%	TOTAL OPPOS 223	47 <b>68</b> %	
TOTAL YES  Definitely yes	 414 <b>74</b> % 38	BORN/: BORN 20 84% 32	IMMIGR IMMIG 47 79%	DIR 141 68% 34	WRONG TRACK  244 78%	DK/NA  29 <b>73%</b>	NONE 276	ALL OTHER 138	FAVOR  57 <b>73%</b> 40	SMWT FAVOR 87 64%	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 67 77% 42	TH CUB STR OPPOS 156 81%	TOTAL FAVOR 144	TOTAL OPPOS 223	47 <b>68%</b> 20	
TOTAL YES  Definitely yes  Probably yes	 414 <b>74%</b> 38 36	BORN/: BORN 20 84% 32 52	IMMIGR IMMIG 47 79% 42 37	RIGHT DIR 141 68% 34 35	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 244 78% 44 33	DK/NA  29 <b>73%</b> 12 61	NONE 276 74% 40 34	ALL OTHER 138 74% 35 39	FAVOR  57 <b>73%</b> 40 33	SMWT FAVOR  87 <b>64%</b> 21 42	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  67 77% 42 36	STR OPPOS 156 81% 52 29	TOTAL FAVOR 144 67% 29	TOTAL OPPOS 223 80% 49 31	47 68% 20 48	
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes TOTAL NO	414 74% 38 36	BORN/: BORN 20 84% 32 52	IMMIGR IMMIG 47 79% 42 37	RIGHT DIR 141 68% 34 35	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 244 78% 44 33	DK/NA  29 73% 12 61	CUBA F NONE 276 74% 40 34 21	ALL OTHER 138 74% 35 39 23	FAVOR 57 73% 40 33	SMWT FAVOR  87 64% 21 42	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  67 77% 42 36	TH CUB STR OPPOS 156 81% 52 29	TOTAL FAVOR 144 67% 29 39	TOTAL OPPOS 223 80% 49 31 18	47 68% 20 48	
TOTAL YES Definitely yes Probably yes  TOTAL NO Probably no	 414 <b>74%</b> 38 36 <b>22</b> 13	BORN/: BORN 20 84% 32 52 0 0	IMMIGR IMMIG 47 79% 42 37 21 15	DIR 141 68% 34 35 27 15	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 244 78% 44 33 19 13	DK/NA 29	NONE 276 74% 40 34 21	ALL OTHER 138 74% 355 39 23	FAVOR 57 73% 40 33 22 12	SMWT FAVOR  87 <b>64%</b> 21 42 <b>32</b> 21	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  67 77% 42 36 18	TH CUB STR OPPOS 156 81% 52 29 18 10	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 144 67% 29 39 28 17	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 223 80% 49 31 18	 47 <b>68%</b> 20 48 <b>17</b> 14	

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA :	RELATI FARGET			Q15/Q1 ROTA	16 MSG TION		/B LIT			~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	414	93	180	141	54	66	203	210	189	225	237	177	0	0	414	0	0
TOTAL YES	74%	61%	81%	74%	82%	74%	73%	75%	73%	75%	78%	69%	0%	0%	74%	0%	0%
Definitely yes	38	27	51	31	36	35	41	36	40	37	62	7	0	0	38	0	0
Probably yes	36	35	30	43	46	39	32	39	33	38	16	62	0	0	36	0	0
TOTAL NO	22	33	18	19	15	21	24	19	22	21	18	26	0	0	22	0	0
Probably no	13				11	13	13	14	14		8	20	0	0	13	0	0
Definitely no	8	13	7	6	4	7	11	5	8	8	9	6	0	0	8	0	0
DK/NA	4				3		3	6	5	4	4	5	0	0	4	0	0
DK/NA	4	6	2	7	3	5	3	6	5	4	4	5	0	0	4	0	0
			CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
		ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	тотат.	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	Total	CELL		LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV		RF/NA		IND/L	
Base	414	181	132	95	77	35	165	131	97	57	70	112	91	194	53	28	
TOTAL YES	74%	71%	79%	73%	80%	81%	77%	65%	83%	75%	78%	77%	77%	71%	79%	62%	
Definitely yes	38	39	39	37	49	47	42	35	41	45	42	42	42	34	53	20	
Probably yes	36	32	41	36	31	34	36	30	42	30	36	35	34	37	25	42	
TOTAL NO	22	24	15	24	20	17	20	27	13	24	22	22	21	21	20	35	
Probably no	13	15	10	14	11	7	14	16	8	8	13	12	13	14	10	20	
Definitely no	8	9	5	10	9	11	7	11	5	16	9	10	8	7	10	15	
DK/NA	4	4	6	3	0	2	3	8	4	1	0	1	2	8	1	3	
DK/NA	4	4	6	3	0	2	3	8	4	1	0	1	2	8	1	3	

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

								Q2	) PARTY	SELF-								ID.	EOLOGY	BY PAR'	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	414	152	98	117	128	147	92	46	96	32	23	98	25	32	60	30	17	53	72	21	70	
TOTAL YES	74%	74%	75%	75%	77%	70%	76%	72%	80%	70%	52%	75%	69%	80%	75%	59%	96%	83%	76%	75%	76%	
Definitely yes	38	39	33	43	41	33	45	36	45	30	29	33	34	40	48	29	49	34	47	41	46	
Probably yes	36	34	42	32	36	38	31	36	35	40	24	42	35	40	27	30	47	49	29	34	30	
TOTAL NO	22	21	19	23	19	24	22	22	17	23	37	19	30	17	24	32	4	13	20	20	23	
Probably no	13	13	12	15	10	16	14	12	11	8	30	12	17	15	14	16	4	5	12	17	14	
Definitely no	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	10	6	15	7	7	13	2	10	16	0	8	8	3	9	
DK/NA	4	5	6	2	4	6	2	6	3	7	10	6	2	3	1	9	0	4	4	5	1	
DK/NA	4	5	6	2	4	6	2	6	3	7	10	6	2	3	1	9	0	4	4	5	1	
			(	GENDER	BY AGE	]			P2	ARTY BY	Y GENDEF	₹					PAR	RTY BY A	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39		WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	414	73																				
		13	61	47	62	106	58	45	84	76	71	42	50	45	56	24	40	54	52	24	43	25
TOTAL YES	74%			47 <b>78</b> %				45	84 73%	76	71	42	50			24	40	54		24		
<u>TOTAL YES</u> Definitely yes	<b>74</b> % 38	<b>71%</b> 36	61 <b>85</b> % 42		62 <b>73%</b> 27	106 <b>71%</b> 39	58 <b>69</b> % 38							 45 <b>71</b> % 35	56				52	24 <b>86</b> %	70% 54	25 <b>80%</b> 48
		71%	85%	78%	73%	71%	69%	45 <b>86</b> %	73%	76 <b>75%</b>	71 <b>66</b> %	42 <b>82</b> %	50 <b>72</b> %	71%	56 <b>85</b> %	24 <b>70</b> %	40 <b>75</b> %	54 67%	52 <b>70</b> %	24 <b>86%</b> 27	70%	80%
Definitely yes Probably yes	38 36	<b>71%</b> 36 35	<b>85</b> % 42 43	<b>78%</b> 51 27	<b>73%</b> 27 46	<b>71</b> % 39 32	<b>69%</b> 38 31	45 <b>86%</b> 47 39	<b>73%</b> 38 35	76 <b>75%</b> 37 38	71 <b>66%</b> 28 37	42 <b>82%</b> 49 33	50 <b>72%</b> 42 30	<b>71</b> % 35 36	56 <b>85%</b> 41 44	24 <b>70%</b> 52 18	40 <b>75%</b> 34 41	54 67% 28 39	<b>70%</b> 36 34	24 <b>86%</b> 27 59	<b>70%</b> 54 16	<b>80%</b> 48 33
Definitely yes	38	<b>71%</b> 36	<b>85%</b> 42	<b>78</b> % 51	<b>73%</b> 27	<b>71</b> % 39	<b>69</b> % 38	45 <b>86%</b> 47	<b>73%</b> 38	76 <b>75%</b> 37	71 <b>66%</b> 28	42 <b>82%</b> 49	50 <b>72%</b> 42	<b>71</b> % 35	56 <b>85%</b> 41	24 <b>70%</b> 52	40 <b>75</b> % 34	54 67% 28	52 <b>70%</b> 36	24 86% 27 59	<b>70</b> % 54	<b>80%</b> 48
Definitely yes Probably yes  TOTAL NO	38 36 <b>22</b>	<b>71%</b> 36 35	85% 42 43	<b>78%</b> 51 27	<b>73%</b> 27 46	<b>71%</b> 39 32	69% 38 31 23	45 86% 47 39	<b>73%</b> 38 35	76 <b>75%</b> 37 38	71 66% 28 37 26	42 82% 49 33	50 72% 42 30	<b>71%</b> 35 36	56 <b>85%</b> 41 44 <b>15</b>	24 70% 52 18	40 <b>75%</b> 34 41 <b>23</b>	67% 28 39	52 70% 36 34 23	24 86% 27 59	<b>70%</b> 54 16	80% 48 33
Definitely yes Probably yes  TOTAL NO Probably no	38 36 <b>22</b> 13	<b>71%</b> 36 35 <b>26</b> 11	<b>85%</b> 42 43 <b>14</b> 10	<b>78</b> % 51 27 <b>16</b> 7	<b>73%</b> 27 46 <b>23</b> 17	<b>71%</b> 39 32 <b>24</b> 14	69% 38 31 23	45 86% 47 39 10	<b>73%</b> 38 35 <b>23</b> 15	76 <b>75%</b> 37 38 <b>22</b> 12	71 66% 28 37 26 21	42 82% 49 33	50 72% 42 30 25	<b>71%</b> 35 36 <b>23</b> 12	56  85% 41 44  15 6	24 70% 52 18 19	40 <b>75%</b> 34 41 <b>23</b> 11	54 67% 28 39 26 19	<b>70%</b> 36 34 <b>23</b> 16	24  86% 27 59  14 14	<b>70%</b> 54 16 <b>28</b> 15	<b>80%</b> 48 33 <b>17</b> 15

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

				Q22 EDI	JCATION			EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	Г		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	414	134	116	123	38	250	161	125	125	61	101	64	87	158	105	71	62	95	 56	25	32	73
TOTAL YES	74%	74%	76%	72%	74%	75%	72%	77%	73%	79%	68%	77%	73%	74%	73%	76%	71%	74%	74%	79%	71%	74%
Definitely yes	38	38	37	39	42	37	40	37	38	51	33	53	35	32	43	49	37	35	29	31	35	46
Probably yes	36	37	39	33	32	38	33	40	35	29	35	24	38	42	30	27	34	39	46	48	36	28
TOTAL NO	22	22	15	25	26	19	26	19	20	21	29	17	20	24	22	16	21	26	22	18	18	24
Probably no	13	14	11	16	13	12	15	8	17	13	17	14	11	14	14	14	12	18	8	8	17	12
Definitely no	8	9	4	10	13	7	11	10	3	8	12	2	9	10	9	2	9	8	14	10	1	12
DK/NA	4	3	9	3	0	6	2	5	7	0	3	6	7	2	5	7	8	1	4	3	10	2
DK/NA	4	3	9	3	0	6	2	5	7	0	3	6	7	2	5	7	8	1	4	3	10	2

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	64%	68%	60%	74%	66%	65%	51%	64%	71%	71%	59%	62%	63%	64%	64%	64%
Very convincing	33	37	30	35	32	28	28	42	47	34	28	40	30	34	29	33
Somewhat convincing	30	31	30	38	34	37	23	22	24	37	31	22	33	30	34	31
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>VE</u> 32	29	34	23	28	32	44	34	21	25	36	35	28	32	35	30
Not convincing	20	19	21	14	21	17	28	26	12	17	21	24	19	22	22	18
Don't believe	12	11	13	9	7	15	16	9	9	8	15	11	9	10	13	13
DK/NA	4	3	6	4	5	3	5	2	7	4	5	3	9	4	1	5
DK/NA	4	3	6	4	5	3	5	2	7	4	5	3	9	4	1	5
		~	SP/LTN		Q7 U.S.		~	U.S./		~			ELATION			
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		~	U.S./ RELTNS		~			ELATION A INIT			
		~		D:	IRECTIO		~	RELTNS	 STR	~						
	Total	~			IRECTIO		~		STR FAVOR	EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	Total  1024	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIO	ON	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		EN  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	TOTAL	DK/NA  93	
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTION WRONG	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENO SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INIT	TOTAL OPPOS		
	1024	BORN/BORN 50	IMMIGR IMMIG IMMIG	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTION TRACK	DK/NA  88	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304	ENO SMWT FAVOR  268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	1024 <b>64</b> %	BORN/ BORN 50	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 61%	D: RIGHT DIR  364	WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  B8 61%	NONE 694	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304 <b>86</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134	STR OPPOS 225	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>34</b> %	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing	1024 64% 33 30	BORN/ BORN  50 <b>67%</b> 31	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 61%	DIR 364	WRONG TRACK  572 59%	DK/NA  88 <b>61%</b> 27	NONE 694 65% 34	ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304 <b>86</b> % 57	SMWT FAVOR  268 80% 36	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 51%	STR OPPOS 225	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>34%</b> 12	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing	1024 64% 33 30	BORN/  BORN  50 <b>67%</b> 31 36	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 61% 30 32	RIGHT DIR 364 73% 40 32	WRONG TRACK  572 <b>59%</b> 30 29	DK/NA  88 <b>61%</b> 27	NONE 694 65% 34	ALL OTHER 330 62% 32 30	FAVOR 304 86% 57 29	SMWT FAVOR 268 80% 36 44	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 51% 18 33	STR OPPOS 225 34% 16 18	TOTAL FAVOR 572 83% 47 36	TOTAL OPPOS 359 41% 17	93 34% 12 22	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	1024 64% 33 30 VE 32	BORN/ 50 67% 31 36	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 61% 30 32	RIGHT DIR 364 40 32 25	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK  572 59% 30 29	DK/NA  88 61% 27 33	NONE 694 65% 34 31	ALL OTHER 330 62% 32 30 33	FAVOR 304 86% 57 29	SMWT FAVOR  268 80% 36 44	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 51% 18 33	STR OPPOS 225 34% 16 18	TOTAL FAVOR 572 83% 47 36 14	TOTAL OPPOS 359 41% 17 24	93 34% 12 22	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE Not convincing	1024 64% 33 30 VE 32 20	BORN/ 50 67% 31 36 20 13	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 61% 30 32 32 22	DIR DIR 364 73% 40 32 25	WRONG TRACK 572 59% 30 29 36 24	DK/NA  88 <b>61%</b> 27 33 <b>31</b>	NONE 694 65% 34 31 32 19	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330 62% 32 30 33 22	FAVOR 304 86% 57 29 12 9	ENV SMWT FAVOR  268 80% 36 44 16 11	SAGE WJ  SMWT OPPOS  134 51% 18 33	STR OPPOS 225 34% 16 18 65 39	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 83% 47 36 14	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 41% 17 24 58 36	93 34% 12 22 44 22	

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	FARGET		GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG TION	A/ SPI	JIT		STATE	~	BA BELO			
	Total		CONS OPPOS		POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	64%	91%	31%	52%	71%	47%	65%	63%	64%	63%	48%	51%	77%	77%	49%	77%	54%
Very convincing	33	54	10	21	38	15	34	33	33	34	23	21	34	54	22	43	24
Somewhat convincing	30	36	20	30	33	32	31	30	31	30	24	30	43	23	27	33	30
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 32	8	67	36	25	47	32	32	31	33	50	41	22	21	46	22	27
Not convincing	20	6	42	22	13	34	19	21	19	21	28	25	18	13	27	16	15
Don't believe	12	2	25	14	12	13	13	11	12	11	22	16	4	8	19	6	12
DK/NA	4	1	2	12	4	6	3	5	5	4	2	8	1	2	5	2	19
DK/NA	4	1	2	12	4	6	3	5	5	4	2	8	1	2	5	2	19
		~ ~	CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EOUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	64%	67%	63%	59%	50%	73%	59%	63%	74%	57%	74%	56%	75%	60%	44%	63%	
Very convincing	33	34	31	35	33	36	32	34	37	48	42	37	41	26	25	46	
Somewhat convincing	30	33	32	25	17	38	27	29	38	10	32	19	33	34	18	16	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV		31				27	39	31	24	41	24	40	23	35	51	31	
Not convincing	20	20	20	22		16	24	21	14	19	17	24	17	21	29	24	
Don't believe	12	11	11	14	15	10	15	10	10	22	7	16	6	14	22	7	
DK/NA	4	3	6	5	4	0	3	6	2	1	2	4	3	5	6	6	
DK/NA	4	3	6	5	4	0	3	6	2	1	2	4	3	5	6	6	

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	64%	71%	65%	56%	69%	67%	53%	58%	66%	77%	80%	65%	66%	67%	45%	66%	45%	74%	65%	63%	49%	
Very convincing	33	37	35	30	38	36	27	25	40	32	32	35	41	34	23	31	14	38	37	36	24	
Somewhat convincing	30	34	29	25	32	31	26	33	27	45	47	29	24	33	22	35	31	36	28	27	25	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>VE</u> 32	26	30	40	27	29	41	37	30	20	20	30	34	28	49	30	50	24	30	26	47	
Not convincing	20	15	23	24	16	20	25	22	17	13	10	23	19	17	30	19	29	12	19	16	29	
Don't believe	12	11	7	16	11	9	16	15	13	7	10	7	15	11	19	11	21	11	11	10	18	
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	4	4	6	5	4	3	0	5	0	5	6	4	5	3	5	11	4	
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	4	4	6	5	4	3	0	5	0	5	6	4	5	3	5	11	4	
				GENDER	BY AGE	2			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L			REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	64%	71%	64%	69%	70%	55%	55%	74%	66%	70%	63%	60%	44%	71%	64%	76%	78%	64%	60%	59%	45%	57%
Very convincing	33	37	32	45	31	23	35	42	34	39	33	32	21	35	32	49	40	30	39	23	22	37
Somewhat convincing	30	35	31	25	39	31	19	32	32	32	30	29	23	35	32	27	39	34	20	36	23	20
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 32	26	34	28	24	38	42	24	29	27	32	37	46	26	31	22	19	32	37	32	48	42
Not convincing	20	17	20	19	16	21	29	15	17	18	22	22	29	19	14	16		21	26	19	26	30
Don't believe	12	9	13	9	8	17	13	9	13	9	10	16	17	7	17	6	6	10	11	13	22	12
DK/NA	4	3	3	3	6	7	3	2	5	2	5	3	10	4	5	2	3	5	3	10	7	1
DK/NA	4	3	3	3	6	7	3	2	5	2	5	3	10	4	5	2	3	5	3	10	7	1

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Ī		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	64%	66%	61%	63%	69%	63%	64%	69%	58%	67%	62%	66%	68%	63%	60%	66%	67%	58%	69%	71%	55%	62%
Very convincing	33	35	29	33	42	32	36	38	26	37	35	40	35	31	31	40	37	27	36	32	27	33
Somewhat convincing	30	31	32	30	27	31	29	31	32	30	28	26	33	32	29	26	30	32	33	40	28	29
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 32	30	36	32	30	33	31	29	36	30	33	30	28	33	35	30	29	37	28	25	36	35
Not convincing	20	13	25	22	19	19	21	18	20	20	22	18	18	22	21	17	18	25	18	19	21	21
Don't believe	12	17	10	10	11	13	10	11	15	10	10	12	9	12	14	12	10	12	10	7	15	14
DK/NA	4	4	4	5	2	4	4	2	6	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2	3	9	3
DK/NA	4	4	4	5	2	4	4	2	6	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2	3	9	3

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	62%	60%	75%	65%	56%	53%	54%	69%	71%	54%	58%	68%	60%	69%	64%
Very convincing	33	37	29	32	23	30	35	40	39	29	33	37	30	33	38	33
Somewhat convincing	28	26	31	43	42	25	18	14	30	42	21	21	38	26	31	31
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	_	36	35	24	35	41	43	41	25	29	41	37	23	38	26	29
Not convincing	25	27	23	21	24	30	28	32	11	22	29	23	20	28	17	20
Don't believe	11	9	12	3	11	11	15	9	14	6	12	14	3	11	8	9
DK/NA	3	1	5	1	0	4	4	6	6	0	4	5	9	2	5	6
DK/NA	3	1	5	1	0	4	4	6	6	0	4	5	9	2	5	6
		~	SP/LTN		Q7 U.S.		Q8A T			~			ELATION			
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA I			~			ELATION A INIT			
		~		D:	RECTIC		~	RELTNS		EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL		
	Total	~			IRECTIO		~		STR FAVOR	~				IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	Total  507	BORN/	IMMIGR	D: RIGHT	IRECTIO	)N	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INITI	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  52	
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENG SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INIT	TOTAL OPPOS		
	507	BORN/BORN	IMMIGR IMMIG 52	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK 	DK/NA  43	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 141	FAVOR  135	ENG SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  267	TOTAL OPPOS 188	52	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	507 <b>61</b> %	BORN/ BORN 19	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 77%	RIGHT DIR 165	WRONG TRACK 299	DK/NA  43	NONE 366	RELTNS ALL OTHER 141	FAVOR  135 88%	ENO SMWT FAVOR  132	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR 267	TOTAL OPPOS 188	52 <b>45</b> %	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing	507 <b>61%</b> 33 28	BORN/ BORN  19 41%	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 77% 37	RIGHT DIR 165	WRONG TRACK  299 53%	DK/NA  43 <b>74%</b> 37	NONE 366 58% 30	ALL OTHER 141	FAVOR  135 <b>88%</b> 64	SMWT FAVOR  132 81% 37	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60 43%	STR OPPOS 129	TOTAL FAVOR 267	TOTAL OPPOS 188	52 <b>45</b> % 15	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing	507 <b>61%</b> 33 28	BORN/ BORN 19 41% 11	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 77% 37 40	RIGHT DIR 165 72% 39 33	WRONG TRACK  299 <b>53%</b> 29	DK/NA  43 <b>74%</b> 37	NONE 366 58% 30 27	ALL OTHER 141 70% 39 31	FAVOR  135 88% 64 24	SMWT FAVOR  132 81% 37 43	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60 43% 16 27	STR OPPOS 129 28% 10 18	TOTAL FAVOR 267  84% 51 33	TOTAL OPPOS 188 32% 12 21	52 <b>45%</b> 15 31	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	507 61% 33 28	BORN/ BORN 19 41% 11 30	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 77% 37 40 23	RIGHT DIR 165 72% 39 33 25	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 299 53% 29 25	DK/NA  43 74% 37 37	NONE 366 58% 30 27 40	ALL OTHER 141 70% 39 31 25	FAVOR 135 88% 64 24 11	SMWT FAVOR  132 81% 37 43	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60 43% 16 27	STR OPPOS 129 28% 10 18	TOTAL FAVOR 267  84% 51 33	TOTAL OPPOS 188 32% 12 21 67	52 45% 15 31	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV Not convincing	507 61% 33 28 E 36 25	BORN/ BORN 19 41% 11 30 23 23	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 77% 37 40 23 19	RIGHT DIR 165 72% 39 33 25 21	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK  299 53% 29 25 43	DK/NA  43 <b>74%</b> 37 37 24	NONE 366 58% 30 27 40 28	RELTNS ALL OTHER 141 70% 39 31 25 18	FAVOR 135 88% 64 24 11 10	SMWT FAVOR  132 81% 37 43 18	SAGE WJ  SMWT OPPOS  60 43% 16 27 56 43	STR OPPOS 129 28% 10 18 51	A INITITOTAL FAVOR 267  84% 51 33  14	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 188 32% 12 21 67 48	52 45% 15 31 33	

Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

		NOR		RELATI TARGET		GAGE		16 MSG ATION	SPI	/B LIT				BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	90%	22%	58%	83%	53%	62%	60%	56%	66%	35%	47%	74%	81%	40%	78%	60%
Very convincing	33	57	6	22	42	10	33	32	31	34	21	18	34	56	20	45	21
Somewhat convincing	28	33	15	37	41	43	29	28	24	32	14	29	40	25	21	32	40
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>VE</u> 36	10	77	30	9	46	37	34	40	32	62	48	25	19	56	22	23
Not convincing	25	6	57	20	4	35	25	25	27	23	40	40	19	12	40	16	15
Don't believe	11	4	21	10	5	11	12	9	13	8	22	8	6	7	16	6	9
DK/NA	3	0	1	11	8	1	1	6	4	2	3	5	1	0	4	1	16
DK/NA	3	0	1	11	8	1	1	6	4	2	3	5	1	0	4	1	16
		LANI	CELL E	SAGE		~	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M LNDLN		VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	66%	63%	48%	44%	70%	54%	59%	73%	39%	66%	48%	69%	62%	29%	65%	
Very convincing	33	36	31	27	33	46	32	29	40	31	42	32	45	26	19	43	
Somewhat convincing	28	30	32	20	11	24	22	30	33	8	23	16	24	36	10	22	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>VE</u> 36	33	32	48	54	30	45	35	27	57	29	50	27	35	66	35	
Not convincing	25	23	23	32	32	20	29	26	20	24	24	31	22	24	36	27	
Don't believe	11	9	8	16	22	10	16	9	7	33	6	19	5	11	30	8	
DK/NA	3	2	5	4	2	0	1	6	0	4	5	2	4	3	4	0	
DK/NA	3	2	5	4	2	0	1	6	0	4	5	2	4	3	4	0	

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	ΤΥ	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	71%	62%	48%	68%	64%	46%	57%	66%	71%	89%	62%	52%	53%	42%	75%	31%	75%	59%	58%	44%	
Very convincing	33	37	37	29	37	37	27	18	39	30	38	37	36	24	29	26	7	41	33	33	26	
Somewhat convincing	28	34	25	19	31	28	19	39	27	41	51	25	16	29	13	49	24	35	26	25	18	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 36	27	32	50	30	32	51	38	31	29	11	32	47	47	54	21	63	25	36	37	55	
Not convincing	25	20	27	30	23	24	31	23	25	19	5	27	28	30	33	17	32	17	29	31	31	
Don't believe	11	7	5	20	7	7	20	15	6	10	5	5	19	17	21	5	31	7	7	7	24	
DK/NA	3	2	6	2	2	4	3	5	3	0	0	6	1	0	4	4	7	0	4	5	1	
DK/NA	3	2	6	2	2	4	3	5	3	0	0	6	1	0	4	4	7	0	4	5	1	
				GENDER							Y GENDE							RTY BY				
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+		REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	68%	54%	66%	74%	55%	49%	75%	62%	67%	62%	46%	46%	67%	65%	72%	77%	57%	60%	65%	35%	43%
Very convincing	33	31	41	39	27	26	35	45	31	38	35	34	18	31	43	36	32	35	44	27	21	32
Somewhat convincing	28	37	13	27	47	28	15	30	31	28	27	12	28	35	22	37	45	22	16	37	13	12
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 36	31	46	31	26	37	44	25	34	32	31	52	51	33	29	28	23	38	33	33	63	54
Not convincing	25	25	33	22	20	26	25	21	25	26	22	32	31	22	25	23	21	32	19	29	27	38
Don't believe	11	6	13	9	7	11	19	4	9	6	8	20	20	11	4	5	3	6	14	3	36	16
DK/NA	3	1	1	3	0	8	7	0	4	1	8	2	3	0	6	0	0	5	7	3	3	3
DK/NA	3	1	1	3	0	8	7	0	4	1	8	2	3	0	6	0	0	5	7	3	3	3

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	55%	70%	60%	62%	63%	60%	65%	60%	60%	60%	68%	61%	57%	62%	68%	59%	56%	57%	63%	48%	68%
Very convincing	33	28	35	33	40	32	35	37	26	37	33	38	33	26	39	38	34	26	22	33	34	42
Somewhat convincing	28	27	35	27	22	31	26	28	34	23	27	30	27	31	23	30	26	30	35	30	14	27
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	<u>E</u> 36	40	25	40	37	32	39	34	31	39	39	30	36	41	32	30	36	43	38	34	42	27
Not convincing	25	27	19	28	26	23	28	25	20	29	26	24	25	28	22	25	25	27	30	23	27	20
Don't believe	11	13	6	12	11	10	12	9	11	10	13	6	11	13	10	5	11	16	8	11	15	8
DK/NA	3	5	5	1	1	5	1	1	9	1	1	2	4	2	6	2	4	0	5	3	10	5
DK/NA	3	5	5	1	1	5	1	1	9	1	1	2	4	2	6	2	4	0	5	3	10	5

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba?s government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+		40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	57%	58%	67%	57%	59%	54%	46%	59%	64%	56%	53%	52%	61%	58%	53%
Very convincing	32	35	29	34	28	27	34	33	35	32	29	35	28	33	30	29
Somewhat convincing	26	22	29	33	29	31	20	13	24	31	27	18	24	28	27	24
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 37	39	36	28	39	38	41	48	30	32	40	40	35	36	36	39
Not convincing	25	29	21	23	22	21	27	41	14	23	25	27	26	25	17	24
Don't believe	12	10	15	5	17	17	14	7	16	10	14	14	10	11	19	15
DK/NA	5	5	6	4	4	3	4	7	12	4	4	7	13	3	7	8
DK/NA	5	5	6	4	4	3	4	7	12	4	4	7	13	3	7	8
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		~	U.S./ RELTNS					ELATION SA INIT			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	24%	62%	63%	53%	71%	55%	64%	83%	77%	40%	27%	80%	31%	35%	
Very convincing	32	7	36	39	28	27	31	34	64	36	13	12	50	12	8	
Somewhat convincing	26	17	26	24	24	44	24	31	19	41	27	15	30	19	27	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 37	28	38	33	41	27	41	28	14	18	59	72	16	68	37	
Not convincing	25	23	26	23	27	20	27	21	10	14	35	48	12	44	25	
Don't believe	12	4	12	9	15	7	14	7	3	5	24	24	4	24	13	
DK/NA	5	48	0	5	6	2	4	8	3	5	1	0	4	1	28	
DK/NA	5															

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba?s government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

		NOR	CUBA 7	RELATI TARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG	SPI	/B LIT		STATE	-SPONSO	BA BELO	RRORISM	M LIST	
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES		DK/NA
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	87%	24%	47%	61%	44%	62%	53%	51%	63%	37%	49%	69%	74%	42%	71%	47%
Very convincing	32	57	8	17	26	13	32	32	30	33	26	18	26	52	23	39	27
Somewhat convincing	26	30	16	30	34	31	31	21	21	30	11	30	42	22	19	32	20
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 37	10	76	38	29	50	35	40	41	34	60	43	29	23	53	26	34
Not convincing	25	7	50	26	18	40	24	26	25	25	36	31	27	12	34	20	15
Don't believe	12	4	26	11	11	11	11	14	16	9	24	12	2	11	19	6	18
DK/NA	5	3	0	15	10	6	3	7	8	3	3	8	2	3	5	3	19
DK/NA	5	3	0	15	10	6	3	7	8	3	3	8	2	3	5	3	19
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE			) IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABII	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M		VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	64%	53%	49%	39%	73%	52%	54%	70%	40%	69%	50%	69%	54%	28%	65%	
Very convincing	32	34	30	29	26	40	30	29	39	35	44	32	42	25	18	41	
Somewhat convincing	26	30	24	20	13	33	21	25	31	5	25	18	26	30	11	24	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 37	33	37	45	57	27	46	37	30	57	26	48	26	39	68	35	
Not convincing	25	24	21	33	29	17	27	28	20	24	18	29	19	26	34	32	
Don't believe	12	9	16	13	28	9	19	9	10	32	9	19	7	13	34	2	
DK/NA	5	2	9	5	4	0	2	9	1	3	5	2	5	7	4	0	
DK/NA	5	2	9	5	4	0	2	9	1	3	5	2	5	7	4	0	

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba?s government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

								~	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	59%	63%	49%	56%	64%	45%	59%	59%	50%	72%	63%	62%	46%	44%	68%	46%	66%	47%	50%	44%	
Very convincing	32	33	38	26	33	37	22	26	37	23	31	38	38	21	23	36	13	34	32	22	22	
Somewhat convincing	26	26	25	23	23	27	23	33	21	27	42	25	24	26	21	32	34	32	15	28	22	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 37	38	28	47	41	29	51	35	38	50	25	28	36	48	52	27	47	34	49	40	54	
Not convincing	25	25	23	27	25	22	30	26	22	34	23	23	19	33	27	23	32	17	33	36	28	
Don't believe	12	14	5	20	16	7	21	9	16	16	2	5	17	15	25	4	16	16	16	5	26	
DK/NA	5	3	9	4	3	7	5	6	4	0	3	9	2	5	4	5	7	0	4	10	2	
DK/NA	5	3	9	4	3	7	5	6	4	0	3	9	2	5	4	5	7	0	4	10	2	
				GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAR	RTY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	TND/I.	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM		 IND/L		REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+		40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	62%	56%	53%	65%	56%	53%	54%	58%	64%	64%	47%	43%	60%	56%	52%	72%	64%	55%	45%	39%	53%
Very convincing	32	32	37	36	32	23	34	36	31	38	35	29	15	30	36	36	41	31	39	18	18	30
Somewhat convincing	26	31	19	17	32	33	20	18	27	26	29	18	28	30	20	16	31	33	17	28	21	22
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 37	31	44	39	33	36	41	46	37	29	29	49	52	40	37	48	21	32	34	45	59	44
Not convincing	25	26	32	27	20	20	26	32	20	24	21	32	27	21	22	34	20	25	22	31	25	31
Don't believe	12	5	12	12	13	16	15	14	17	6	8	17	25	19	14	14	2	7	12	13	34	13
DK/NA	5	6	0	8	2	8	5	0	5	7	7	4	5	0	7	0	7	5	10	10	1	4
DK/NA	5	6	0	8	2	8	5	0	5	7	7	4	5	0	7	0	7	5	10	10	1	4

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba?s government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

				Q22 EDU	JCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	 52	34	35	87
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	55%	62%	56%	58%	59%	57%	59%	59%	55%	58%	66%	66%	49%	57%	64%	63%	47%	54%	73%	48%	61%
Very convincing	32	30	31	34	34	30	34	36	25	34	34	39	38	22	35	39	34	24	15	47	26	39
Somewhat convincing	26	25	31	22	25	28	23	23	34	22	24	27	28	26	22	25	29	23	38	26	21	22
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 37	39	31	39	41	35	40	38	32	39	40	31	30	45	37	32	32	49	36	27	43	34
Not convincing	25	24	23	25	29	23	27	29	18	30	24	19	22	28	27	20	23	30	26	19	29	27
Don't believe	12	16	8	14	12	12	13	10	14	10	16	12	9	17	10	12	9	19	10	8	14	8
DK/NA	5	5	7	5	1	6	4	3	10	6	2	3	3	6	6	4	5	4	10	0	10	5
DK/NA	5	5	7	5	1	6	4	3	10	6	2	3	3	6	6	4	5	4	10	0	10	5

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	56%	61%	51%	59%	58%	58%	51%	49%	59%	58%	58%	48%	58%	55%	57%	62%
Very convincing	29	35	23	26	26	35	24	27	41	26	30	29	30	27	29	34
Somewhat convincing	27	25	28	33	32	23	28	22	18	33	28	20	28	28	28	28
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	<u> </u>	38	42	37	39	36	45	49	31	38	37	48	35	40	43	34
Not convincing	25	23	26	18	33	25	29	24	21	24	24	27	29	25	32	25
Don't believe	15	14	15	19	6	11	16	25	10	14	12	21	6	16	10	9
DK/NA	4	2	7	4	3	6	4	2	10	4	5	4	7	5	0	4
DK/NA	4	2	7	4	3	6	4	2	10	4	5	4	7	5	0	4
		~	SP/LTN		27 U.S.		Q8A 1						ELATION			
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A I						ELATION A INIT			
		~		D:	IRECTIO		~	RELTNS	 STR	EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	[AL 		
	Total	~			IRECTIO		~		STR FAVOR						DK/NA	
Base	Total  517	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIO	)N	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENO SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INITI	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  41	
		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTION WRONG	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENO SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITI TOTAL FAVOR	TAL TOTAL OPPOS		
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	517	BORN/BORN 31	IMMIGR IMMIG 41	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTION TRACK	DK/NA  45	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169	ENG SMWT FAVOR  136	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  306	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 170	41	
Base	517 <b>56</b> %	BORN/ BORN  31	IMMIGR IMMIG IMMIG 41 55%	D: RIGHT DIR  200	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 273	DK/NA  45 <b>54</b> %	NONE 328	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169 <b>71</b> %	ENG SMWT FAVOR  136	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74	STR OPPOS 96	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170	41 <b>27</b> %	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing	517 56% 29 27	BORN/ BORN  31 63% 45	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 55% 19	DIR 200 63% 37	WRONG TRACK 273 51%	DK/NA  45 <b>54%</b> 19	NONE 328 57% 32	ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169 <b>71%</b> 45	SMWT FAVOR  136 59% 24	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 50%	STR OPPOS 96	TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170	 41 <b>27%</b> 17	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing  Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	517 56% 29 27	BORN/ BORN  31 63% 45 18	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 55% 19 36	RIGHT DIR 200 63% 37 26	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>51%</b> 25	DK/NA  45 <b>54%</b> 19 35	NONE 328 57% 32 25	ALL OTHER  189 54% 23 31	FAVOR 169 71% 45 25	SMWT FAVOR  136 59% 24 35	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 50% 18 32	STR OPPOS 96 43% 21 22	TOTAL FAVOR 306 65% 36	TOTAL OPPOS 170 46% 20 26	27% 17 10	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing  Somewhat convincing	517 56% 29 27	BORN/ BORN 31 63% 45 18	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 55% 19 36	RIGHT DIR 200 63% 37 26 35	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 273 51% 25 26	DK/NA  45 54% 19 35	NONE 328 57% 32 25 40	ALL OTHER  189 54% 23 31	FAVOR 169 71% 45 25	SMWT FAVOR  136 59% 24 35	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 50% 18 32	STR OPPOS 96 43% 21 22	TOTAL FAVOR 306 65% 36 30	TOTAL OPPOS 170 46% 20 26	41 27% 17 10	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing  Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE  Not convincing	517 56% 29 27 240 25	BORN/	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 55% 19 36 40 33	D: RIGHT DIR  200 <b>63%</b> 37 26 <b>35</b> 24	WRONG TRACK 273 51% 25 26 45 26	DK/NA  45 54% 19 35 30 22	CUBA 1 NONE 328 57% 32 25 40 25	ALL OTHER 189  54% 23 31 39 25	FAVOR 169 71% 45 25 26 12	SMWT FAVOR  136 59% 24 35	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 50% 18 32 49 34	STR OPPOS 96 43% 21 22 54 34	A INITITOTAL FAVOR 306 65% 36 30 32 19	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 170 46% 20 26 52 34	41 27% 17 10 49	

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

		NOR	CUBA 7	TARGET	ONS/ENG		ROTA	16 MSG TION	A, SPI	LIT		STATE	-SPONSO	BA BELO	RRORISM	4 LIST	
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS		POS MOVER	NEG	PRO	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO		TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	TOLAT	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRSI	FIRSI		В	IES	IES	NO	NO	IES	NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	56%	73%	33%	47%		37%	56%	56%	61%	51%	46%	41%		72%	44%	66%	45%
Very convincing	29	42	12	22		12	28	30	30	28	24	13	30	44	19	36	29
Somewhat convincing	27	31	22	25	30	26	28	26	31	23	23	28	31	27	25	29	16
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>VE</u> 40	25	64	43	25	62	39	41	36	44	51	51	37	25	51	32	36
Not convincing	25	14	44	27	11	43	25	25	21	29	35	36	19	15	35	17	20
Don't believe	15	11	21	16	14	19	14	15	15	15	16	15	18	10	16	14	16
DK/NA	4	2	2	10	8	1	5	4	3	5	3	9		3	5	2	19
DK/NA	4	2	2	10	8	1	5	4	3	5	3	9	1	3	5	2	19
		~ ~	CELL I				) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
	Total			ALL/M LNDLN		VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	56%	60%	60%	41%	45%	70%	49%	58%	68%	37%	76%	44%	69%	52%	42%	49%	
Very convincing	29	33	31	16	27	36	24	32	36	28	49	22	43	21	20	23	
Somewhat convincing	27	27	29	25	18	34	24	26	32	9	27	21	27	31	22	26	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 40	36	36	55	49	29	48	35	31	60	21	52	27	43	52	46	
Not convincing	25	25	26	24	36	27	32	18	22	29	15	26		27	31	19	
Don't believe	15	11	10	31	13	2	15	16	9	31	5	26	6	16	21	27	
DK/NA	4	4	4	4	6	1	4	8	1	3	3	4	4	5	6	5	
DK/NA	4	4	4	4	6	1	4	8	1	3	3	4	4	5	6	5	

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	56%	64%	58%	45%	65%	57%	43%	52%	61%	72%	62%	58%	51%	37%	47%	57%	45%	69%	60%	45%	42%	
Very convincing	29	38	28	21	39	27	21	22	41	32	29	28	21	14	24	20	26	38	40	23	20	
Somewhat convincing	27	27	30	24	26	30	22	30	20	40	32	30	29	22	23	37	19	31	20	22	23	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	Æ 40	32	39	49	31	41	49	43	32	28	38	39	49	58	45	40	48	31	31	42	52	
Not convincing	25	22	22	29	23	21	32	31	27	12	20	22	16	43	27	25	40	21	24	13	40	
Don't believe	15	10	17	21	8	20	17	12	6	16	19	17	33	15	18	15	8	9	7	29	12	
DK/NA	4	4	3	6	4	2	8	5	6	0	0	3	0	6	9	4	8	0	9	13	6	
DK/NA	4	4	3	6	4	2	8	5	6	0	0	3	0	6	9	4	8	0	9	13	6	
			(	GENDER	BY AGE	2			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L			REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39		60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	56%	61%	65%	54%	55%	52%	44%	80%	52%	51%	64%	52%	33%	67%	64%	57%	56%	64%	51%	48%	48%	31%
Very convincing	29	29	40	39	21	22	20	48	32	32	21	28	12	32	41	37	23	23	32	20	25	16
Somewhat convincing	27	32	26	14	34	30	24	32	21	19	42	24	21	34	23	20	32	40	20	29	23	15
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	Æ 40	37	32	46	39	40	50	19	40	48	33	46	53	33	30	37	43	34	47	41	46	65
Not convincing	25	20	21	32	31	27	24	12	30	25	15	32	33	22	22	31	19	21	22	34	26	40
Don't believe	15	17	11	13	8	13	27	6	10	23	17	14	20	11	8	6	24	13	24	7	20	25
DK/NA	4	2	3	0	6	8	6	1	7	1	4	2	14	1	7	6	2	2	2	11	6	4
DK/NA	4	2	3	0	6	8	6	1	7	1	4	2	14	1	7	6	2	2	2	11	6	4

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	 79	101	83	30	30	94	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	56%	56%	48%	59%	65%	52%	60%	55%		67%	54%	64%	57%	49%	59%	62%	60%	45%	55%	46%	39%	66%	
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	29 27	24 32	24 24	32 27	43 22	24 28	34 26	29 26		42 25	28 26	32 32	30 26	25 24	31 28	32 31	35 25	20 25	32 23	17 30	20 18	35 31	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 40	37	48	37	34	43	36	44	42	31	42	33	37	46	38	35	32	48	43	50	60	31	
Not convincing	25	23	34	21	20	29	21	24		22	19	19	24	28	24		24		26	24	38	20	
Don't believe	15	14	15	16	14	14	16	19	10	8	22	14	13	18	13	14	8	18	17	26	22	11	
DK/NA	4	6	4	4	1	5	3	1	9	2	4	3	7	5	3	3	8	8	2	4	1	4	
DK/NA	4	6	4	4	1	5	3	1	9	2	4	3	7	5	3	3	8	8	2	4	1	4	

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	69%	62%	78%	68%	67%	51%	67%	60%	74%	59%	61%	72%	61%	67%	70%
Very convincing	34	37	31	40	31	39	25	38	27	36	32	33	31	31	37	35
Somewhat convincing	31	31	31	38	37	28	26	30	33	38	28	29	41	30	30	35
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	_	28	34	18	23	30	48	30	33	20	38	36	25	35	33	25
Not convincing	22	18	25	13	20	24	30	22	18	16	27	22	22	24	25	18
Don't believe	9	10	9	5	3	5	19	8	15	4	11	14	3	11	8	7
DK/NA	4	3	4	4	10	3	1	3	7	6	3	3	3	3	0	5
DK/NA	4	3	4	4	10	3	1	3	7	6	3	3	3	3	0	5
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U						ELATION A INIT			
	Total				IRECTIO		~		STR FAVOR						DK/NA	
Base	Total  517	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIO	ON	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENC SMWT	SAGE WI  SMWT	TH CUB	A INITI	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  41	
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENC SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITI	TAL TOTAL OPPOS		
	517	BORN/S BORN 31	IMMIGR IMMIG 41	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK 	DK/NA  45	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169	ENC SMWT FAVOR  136	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS  74	STR OPPOS	A INITI TOTAL FAVOR 306	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 170	41	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	517 <b>65</b> %	BORN/3 BORN 31	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 63%	D: RIGHT DIR  200	WRONG TRACK 273	DK/NA  45 <b>61</b> %	NONE 328	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169 <b>85</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  136	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74	STR OPPOS 96	TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170	41 43%	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing	517 <b>65%</b> 34 31	BORN/: BORN 31 84% 46	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 63% 20	DIR DIR 200 72% 39	WRONG TRACK  273	DK/NA  45 <b>61%</b> 21	NONE 328 66% 34	ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169 <b>85%</b> 61	SMWT FAVOR  136 76% 30	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS 74 53% 13	STR OPPOS 96	TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170	41 43% 14	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing	517 <b>65%</b> 34 31	BORN/: BORN 31 84% 46 38	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 63% 20 43	RIGHT DIR 200 72% 39 33	WRONG TRACK  273 <b>61%</b> 32	DK/NA  45 <b>61%</b> 21 39	NONE 328 66% 34 32	ALL OTHER  189 63% 33	FAVOR  169 <b>85%</b> 61 24	SMWT FAVOR  136 <b>76%</b> 30 46	SAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS  74 53% 13 40	STR OPPOS 96 34% 16 17	TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170 42% 15 27	41 43% 14 29	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	517 65% 34 31	BORN/: BORN 31 84% 46 38	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 63% 20 43	RIGHT DIR 200 72% 39 33	WRONG TRACK  273 61% 32 29	DK/NA  45 61% 21 39	NONE 328 66% 34 32	ALL OTHER  189 63% 33 30	FAVOR  169 <b>85%</b> 61 24 <b>12</b>	SMWT FAVOR  136 76% 30 46	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 53% 13 40	STR OPPOS 96 34% 16 17	TOTAL FAVOR 306 81% 47 34	TOTAL OPPOS 170 42% 15 27	41 43% 14 29	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV Not convincing	517 65% 34 31 E 31 22	BORN/: BORN 31  84% 46 38 16 14	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 63% 20 43 32 29	D: RIGHT DIR  200 <b>72%</b> 39 33 <b>24</b>	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK  273 <b>61%</b> 32 29 <b>37</b> 24	DK/NA  45 <b>61%</b> 21 39 <b>27</b> 21	NONE 328 66% 34 32 30 22	ALL OTHER 189 63% 33 30 32 22	FAVOR 169 85% 61 24 12 7	SMWT FAVOR  136 76% 30 46 22	SAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS  74 53% 13 40 46 38	STR OPPOS 96 34% 16 17 64 41	A INITI TOTAL FAVOR 306 81% 47 34 16 11	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 170 42% 15 27 56 39	41 43% 14 29 37 28	

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

		NOR		RELATI TARGET	ONS/ENG	GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG	A/ SPI	LIT			Q13 CU -SPONSC				
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	89%	25%	59%	85%	47%	65%	65%	67%	63%	50%	54%	78%	75%	51%	77%	52%
Very convincing	34	54	8	23	31	21	31	37	35	33	25	18	40	51	22	45	12
Somewhat convincing	31	35	17	36	54	25	34	28	33	30	24	36	38	24	29	32	40
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 31	9	74	32	11	53	32	30	29	33	45	40	21	24	42	22	32
Not convincing		5	53	24	6	41	23	20	18	25	29	35	17	12	32	14	20
Don't believe	9	4	22	8	5	11	9	9	11	8	15	5	4	13	11	8	12
DK/NA	4	2	1	9	4	1	3	5	4	4	6	7	1	0	6	1	17
DK/NA	4		1	9	4	1	3	5	4	4	6	7		0	6	1	17
		LANI	CELL E	SAGE		~	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
		ALL/M		ALL/M		VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	Total	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	67%	64%	63%	47%	69%	58%	67%	76%	66%	75%	60%	74%	62%	51%	62%	
Very convincing	34	37	30	34	25	45	32	35	38	53	48	38	45	24	24	41	
Somewhat convincing	31	30	33	29	22	24	26	32	37	13	27	23	29	38	27	21	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 31	31	30	33	48	31	37	29	23	34	24	37	25	32	44	38	
Not convincing	22	22	21	23	31	23	24	22	17	27	18	25	18	23	37	14	
Don't believe	9	9	9	10	17	7	13	7	6	7	6	12	7	10	7	25	
DK/NA	4	2	6	4	4	0	5	4	1	1	0	2	2	6	5	0	
DK/NA	4	2	6	4	4	0	5	4	1	1	0	2	2	6	5	0	

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	ΤΥ	
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	72%	68%	54%	73%	68%	50%	67%	68%	85%	66%	68%	70%	65%	42%	77%	51%	71%	76%	59%	47%	
Very convincing	34	41	32	29	41	35	26	23	38	47	43	32	41	36	21	23	22	41	40	35	22	
Somewhat convincing	31	31	36	25	32	33	24	45	29	38	23	36	29	30	21	53	30	29	36	24	24	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 31	26	31	38	25	30	41	30	30	12	34	31	27	22	51	21	45	28	21	35	44	
Not convincing	22	21	18	27	20	20	29	13	24	10	32	18	18	21	34	2	31	23	16	22	32	
Don't believe	9	4	12	11	5	10	12	17	6	2	2	12	9	1	18	19	14	5	4	13	12	
DK/NA	4	2	2	7	3	2	9	3	3	3	0	2	3	12	7	2	4	2	3	7	9	
DK/NA	4	2	2	7	3	2	9	3	3	3	0	2	3	12	7	2	4	2	3	7	9	
				GENDER	BY AGE	] 			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY .				
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	72%	65%	67%	76%	55%	57%	79%	68%	65%	70%	60%	38%	82%	63%	72%	75%	65%	64%	55%	50%	44%
Very convincing	34	36	35	42	36	29	26	45	37	36	35	35	16	48	36	31	36	31	40	25	26	27
Somewhat convincing	31	36	29	25	40	26	31	34	30	30	35	25	23	34	27	41	39	35	24	31	24	17
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 31	21	34	33	19	42	38	20	28	33	27	32	52	17	34	20	22	35	34	24	45	55
Not convincing	22	14	22	18	18	31	25	13	25	22	18	20	40	17	25	16	15	25	20	19	34	35
Don't believe	9	7	11	15	1	10	13	7	3	11	9	12	12	0	9	4	7	9	13	5	12	20
DK/NA	4	7	1	0	6	4	5	2	4	1	3	8	10	1	3	8	3	0	2	20	5	1
DK/NA	4	7	1	0	6	4	5	2	4	1	3	8	10	1	3	8	3	0	2	20	5	1

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	Ī		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	65%	66%	53%	70%	76%	60%	71%	63%	57%	76%	67%	68%	64%	63%	67%	68%	67%	61%	66%	56%	45%	74%
Very convincing	34	26	24	44	49	25	45	28	23	50	40	29	27	35	42	29	34	35	35	8	29	46
Somewhat convincing	31	40	29	26	27	34	27	35	34	25	28	40	37	28	25	39	32	26	31	48	16	28
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	E 31	31	40	27	24	36	26	32	39	24	28	30	30	31	33	31	27	30	31	40	55	26
Not convincing	22	16	30	22	14	23	20	17	30	20	20	16	22	22	25	17	22	22	21	23	49	18
Don't believe	9	15	10	5	9	12	6	15	9	4	8	14	8	9	8	14	4	8	10	17	6	8
DK/NA	4	3	7	3	0	5	3	5	4	1	4	2	6	6	0	1	6	10	3	4	0	0
DK/NA	4	3	7	3	0	5	3	5	4	1	4	2	6	6	0	1	6	10	3	4	0	0

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	125	49	76	29	26	34	21	4	5	55	53	11	125	0	0	125
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	50%	48%	52%	54%	31%	49%	86%	0%	0%	43%	61%	21%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Very convincing	19	14	22	16	13	16	28	0	0	15	17	21	19	0	0	19
Somewhat convincing	31	33	30	38	18	33	58	0	0	29	44	0	31	0	0	31
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 43	52	37	46	59	35	14	100	100	52	28	79	43	0	0	43
Not convincing	23	30	19	11	48	18	14	100	0	29	17	36	23	0	0	23
Don't believe	20	23	18	35	10	17	0	0	100	23	11	43	20	0	0	20
DK/NA	7	0	11	0	10	17	0	0	0	5	11	0	7	0	0	7
DK/NA	7	0	11	0	10	17	0	0	0	5	11	0	7	0	0	7
		~	SP/LTN		Q7 U.S.		~	J.S./		~			ELATION			
		BORN/	IMMIGR	D:	IRECTIC	)N 	CUBA I	RELTNS		EN0	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL 		
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL		
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	125															
	123	41	84	56	61	9	80	45	43	32	15	17	74	33	18	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	50%	4⊥ <b>84</b> %	84 <b>34</b> %	56 <b>51</b> %	61 <b>56</b> %	9 <b>8</b> %	80 <b>53</b> %	45 <b>45</b> %	43 <b>69</b> %	32 <b>42</b> %	15 <b>35</b> %	17 <b>40</b> %	74 <b>58</b> %	33 <b>38</b> %	18 <b>42</b> %	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing						_										
	50%	84%	34%	51%	56%	8%	53%	45%	69%	42%	35%	40%	58%	38%	42%	
Very convincing	<b>50%</b> 19 31	<b>84%</b> 32	<b>34</b> %	<b>51%</b> 24	<b>56</b> %	<b>8</b> % 8	<b>53</b> %	<b>45%</b> 10	<b>69</b> % 39	<b>42</b> % 0	<b>35%</b>	<b>40%</b> 32	<b>58%</b> 22	<b>38</b> % 22	<b>42</b> % 0	
Very convincing Somewhat convincing	<b>50%</b> 19 31	<b>84</b> % 32 52	<b>34%</b> 13 21	<b>51%</b> 24 27	<b>56%</b> 16 40	<b>8%</b> 8 0	<b>53%</b> 24 29	<b>45%</b> 10 36	<b>69%</b> 39	<b>42%</b> 0 42	<b>35%</b> 10 25	<b>40%</b> 32 7	<b>58%</b> 22 36	<b>38%</b> 22 16	<b>42%</b> 0 42	
Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	<b>50%</b> 19 31 <b><u>E</u> 43</b>	<b>84%</b> 32 52	34% 13 21	<b>51%</b> 24 27	56% 16 40	8% 8 0	<b>53</b> % 24 29	<b>45%</b> 10 36	<b>69%</b> 39 30	<b>42%</b> 0 42 <b>58</b>	35% 10 25	<b>40%</b> 32 7	<b>58%</b> 22 36	38% 22 16 54	<b>42%</b> 0 42 <b>26</b>	
Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE Not convincing	50% 19 31 <b>E</b> 43 23	<b>84</b> % 32 52 <b>8</b>	34% 13 21 60 32	<b>51%</b> 24 27 <b>49</b> 31	56% 16 40 30 17	<b>8%</b> 8 0 <b>92</b> 17	<b>53%</b> 24 29 <b>36</b> 25	<b>45%</b> 10 36 <b>55</b> 21	<b>69</b> % 39 30 <b>31</b> 23	<b>42</b> % 0 42 <b>58</b> 24	35% 10 25 47 20	40% 32 7 60 42	<b>58%</b> 22 36 <b>42</b> 23	38% 22 16 54 32	<b>42%</b> 0 42 <b>26</b> 8	

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

		NOR	CUBA 1	RELATI ARGET			Q15/Q1 ROTA		A, SPI	LIT		STATE	~	BA BELO			
		CONS	CONS		POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF		TOTAL	
	Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRST	FIRST	A	В	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	DK/NA
Base	125	57	20	48	19	21	57	68	65	60	27	31	41	18	58	59	7
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	50%	69%	17%	41%	79%	23%	54%	48%	52%	48%	49%	50%	69%	18%	50%	54%	27%
Very convincing	19	29	10	11	27	0	17	20	21	17	23	12	26	5	17	19	27
Somewhat convincing	31	41	7	31	51	23	36	27	31	32	26	38	44	13	32	35	0
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 43	31	69	47	21	77	46	40	35	52	39	42	31	82	41	46	37
Not convincing	23	12	53	24	0	54	36	13	14	33	30	21	15	38	25	22	17
Don't believe	20	18	16	23	21	23	11	27	21	18	9	21	15	44	16	24	20
DK/NA	7	0	14	12	0	0	0	12	13	0	12	7	0	0	10	0	37
DK/NA	7	0	14	12	0	0	0	12	13	0	12	7		0	10	0	37
		LANI	CELL E	SAGE		~	) IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M LNDLN		VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	125	55	54	14	16	23	25	54	38	13	25	17	28	79	5	2	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	50%	50%	56%	38%	56%	57%	52%	45%	59%	63%	59%	58%	53%	49%	23%	72%	
Very convincing	19	23	18	12	30	23	35	15	14	46	18	35	16	17	23	0	
Somewhat convincing	31	27	38	26	27	34	17	29	46	17	42	23	37	32	0	72	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 43	50	28	62	44	43	48	45	33	37	41	28	47	44	27	28	
Not convincing	23	30	16	26	30	27	24	24	24	10	26	8	34	23	27	0	
Don't believe	20	20	12	36	14	16	24	20	10	27	14	20	13	20	0	28	
DK/NA	7	0	16	0	0	0	0	11	7	0	0	14	0	8	50	0	
DK/NA	7	0	16	0	0	0	0	11	7	0	0	14	0	8	50	0	

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	125	55	39	17	47	52	12	13	41	6	8	39	5	5	8	11	2	17	30	9	3	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	50%	67%	52%	27%	69%	47%	39%	6%	74%	32%	53%	52%	0%	100%	0%	8%	0%	52%	78%	50%	0%	
Very convincing	19	25	19	6	30	15	9	6	31	19	0	19	0	23	0	8	0	12	40	12	0	
Somewhat convincing	31	41	32	21	39	32	30	0	43	13	53	32	0	77	0	0	0	41	39	39	0	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	7E 43	28	40	60	25	47	43	94	19	68	47	40	100	0	70	92	100	32	22	25	100	
Not convincing	23	20	19	24	20	18	34	47	13	68	20	19	0	0	55	57	0	18	22	14	100	
Don't believe	20	8	20	36	5	29	9	46	6	0	26	20	100	0	14	35	100	14	0	11	0	
DK/NA	7	5	9	13	6	6	19	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	30	0	0	16	0	24	0	
DK/NA	7	5	9	13	6	6	19	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	30	0	0	16	0	24	0	
				GENDER	BY AGE	?			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					DAE	TY BY	A CE			
											- 021122						LAI		AGE			
		 MEN					WOMEN	DEM					REP	DEM	DEM	DEM				REP	REP	REP
	Total							DEM MEN					REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39								REP 60+
Base	Total  125	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN		DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP			DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	
	125	MEN 18-39  30	MEN 40-59 	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39  25	WOMEN 40-59  41	WOMEN 60+  5	MEN  15	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN 	WOMEN  9	18-39  18	DEM 40-59  22	DEM 60+ 	IND/L 18-39  23	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+ 	REP 18-39 	REP 40-59 	60+  0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	125 <b>50</b> %	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59  12 <b>71</b> %	MEN 60+  7	WOMEN 18-39  25	WOMEN 40-59  41 58%	WOMEN 60+  5	MEN  15 <b>73</b> %	DEM WOMEN  32	IND/L MEN  31	IND/L WOMEN  22 59%	REP MEN 4	WOMEN  9 46%	18-39  18 <b>64</b> %	DEM 40-59  22 <b>77</b> %	DEM 60+  2	IND/L 18-39  23	IND/L 40-59  22 56%	IND/L 60+  7	REP 18-39  6	REP 40-59  6	60+  0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing	125 <b>50%</b>	MEN 18-39  30 <b>49%</b> 7	MEN 40-59  12 <b>71%</b> 41	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39  25 <b>36%</b> 24	WOMEN 40-59  41 <b>58%</b> 10	WOMEN 60+  5 <b>52</b> % 52	MEN  15 <b>73</b> % 27	DEM WOMEN 32 67% 31	IND/L MEN  31 38% 10	IND/L WOMEN  22 <b>59%</b> 21	REP MEN 4 20%	WOMEN  9 <b>46%</b> 13	18-39  18 <b>64%</b> 35	DEM 40-59  22 <b>77%</b> 16	DEM 60+ 	IND/L 18-39  23 43% 4	IND/L 40-59  22 <b>56%</b> 19	IND/L 60+  7 33% 33	REP 18-39 6 29% 0	REP 40-59 6 6 <b>47%</b> 17	60+  0 <b>0%</b> 0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	125 <b>50</b> %	MEN 18-39  30	MEN 40-59  12 <b>71</b> %	MEN 60+  7 <b>0%</b> 0	WOMEN 18-39  25	WOMEN 40-59  41 58%	WOMEN 60+  5	MEN  15 <b>73</b> %	DEM WOMEN  32	IND/L MEN  31	IND/L WOMEN  22 59%	REP MEN 4	WOMEN  9 46%	18-39  18 <b>64</b> %	DEM 40-59  22 <b>77</b> %	DEM 60+ 2 <b>0%</b> 0	IND/L 18-39  23	IND/L 40-59  22 56%	IND/L 60+  7	REP 18-39  6	REP 40-59  6	60+  0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing	125 <b>50%</b> 19	MEN 18-39  30 <b>49%</b> 7	MEN 40-59  12 <b>71%</b> 41	MEN 60+  7 <b>0%</b> 0	WOMEN 18-39  25 <b>36%</b> 24	WOMEN 40-59  41 <b>58%</b> 10	WOMEN 60+  5 <b>52</b> % 52	MEN  15 <b>73</b> % 27	DEM WOMEN 32 67% 31	IND/L MEN  31 38% 10	IND/L WOMEN  22 <b>59%</b> 21	REP MEN 4 20%	WOMEN  9 <b>46%</b> 13	18-39  18 <b>64%</b> 35	DEM 40-59  22 <b>77%</b> 16	DEM 60+ 2 <b>0%</b> 0	IND/L 18-39  23 43% 4	IND/L 40-59  22 <b>56%</b> 19	IND/L 60+  7 33% 33	REP 18-39 6 29% 0	REP 40-59 6 6 <b>47%</b> 17	60+  0 <b>0%</b> 0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing	125 <b>50%</b> 19	MEN 18-39  30 <b>49%</b> 7 42	MEN 40-59  12 <b>71%</b> 41 30	MEN 60+  7 <b>0%</b> 0	WOMEN 18-39  25 <b>36%</b> 24 12	WOMEN 40-59  41 <b>58%</b> 10 49	WOMEN 60+  5 <b>52%</b> 52 0	MEN  15 <b>73%</b> 27 46	DEM WOMEN 32 67% 31 36	IND/L MEN  31 38% 10 29	IND/L WOMEN  22 <b>59%</b> 21 38	REP MEN 4 20% 0 20	WOMEN 9 46% 13 34	18-39  18 <b>64%</b> 35 29	DEM 40-59  22 <b>77%</b> 16 61	DEM 60+2 0% 0	IND/L 18-39  23 <b>43%</b> 4 39	IND/L 40-59  22 <b>56%</b> 19 37	IND/L 60+  7 <b>33%</b> 33 0	REP 18-39 6 29% 0 29	REP 40-59 6 6 <b>47%</b> 17	60+ 0 0 <b>0%</b> 0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	50% 19 31 7E 43	MEN 18-39  30 <b>49%</b> 7 42 <b>51</b>	MEN 40-59 12 71% 41 30 29	MEN 60+  7 0% 0	WOMEN 18-39  25 36% 24 12	WOMEN 40-59  41 58% 10 49	WOMEN 60+  5 <b>52%</b> 52 0	MEN  15 <b>73%</b> 27 46 <b>27</b>	DEM WOMEN 32 67% 31 36	IND/L MEN  31 38% 10 29	IND/L WOMEN  22 <b>59%</b> 21 38	REP MEN 4 20% 0 20	WOMEN 9 46% 13 34 27	18-39  18 <b>64%</b> 35 29 <b>21</b>	DEM 40-59  22 <b>77%</b> 16 61 <b>23</b>	DEM 60+2 0% 0 0	IND/L 18-39  23 43% 4 39	IND/L 40-59  22 <b>56%</b> 19 37	IND/L 60+ 7 33% 33 0	REP 18-39 6 6 <b>29%</b> 0 29	REP 40-59 6 6 <b>47%</b> 17 30	60+ 0 0 0% 0 0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV Not convincing Don't believe	125 50% 19 31 7E 43 23	MEN 18-39 30 49% 7 42 51 25 26	MEN 40-59 12 71% 41 30 29 23 6	MEN 60+ 7 0% 0 0 100 61 39	WOMEN 18-39 25 36% 24 12 53 33 19	WOMEN 40-59  41 <b>58%</b> 10 49 <b>28</b> 15	WOMEN 60+ 5  52% 52 0  48 0 48	MEN 15 73% 27 46 27 27 0	DEM WOMEN 32 67% 31 36 24 17 7	IND/L MEN  31 38% 10 29 62 25 37	IND/L WOMEN  22 <b>59%</b> 21 38 <b>26</b> 8 18	REP MEN	WOMEN 9  46% 13 34  27 15 12	18-39 18 64% 35 29 21 15 6	DEM 40-59 22 77% 16 61 23 23 0	DEM 60+ 2 0% 0 0	IND/L 18-39  23 <b>43%</b> 4 39 <b>57</b> 22 35	IND/L 40-59  22 <b>56%</b> 19 37 <b>29</b> 9	IND/L 60+  7 33% 33 0 67 31 36	REP 18-39 6 6 <b>29%</b> 0 29 <b>71</b> 71 0	REP 40-59 6 6 <b>47%</b> 17 30 <b>17</b> 0	60+ 0 0 % 0 0 0
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV Not convincing	125 50% 19 31 7E 43 23	MEN 18-39  30 <b>49%</b> 7 42 <b>51</b> 25	MEN 40-59 12 71% 41 30 29 23	MEN 60+  7 <b>0%</b> 0 0	WOMEN 18-39  25 36% 24 12 53 33	WOMEN 40-59  41 <b>58%</b> 10 49 <b>28</b>	WOMEN 60+  5 <b>52%</b> 52 0 <b>48</b> 0	MEN 15 73% 27 46 27	DEM WOMEN 32 67% 31 36 24 17	IND/L MEN  31 38% 10 29 62 25	IND/L WOMEN  22 <b>59%</b> 21 38 <b>26</b> 8	REP MEN 4 20% 0 20 80 80	WOMEN 9 46% 13 34 27 15	18-39  18 <b>64%</b> 35 29 <b>21</b> 15	DEM 40-59  22 77% 16 61 23 23	DEM 60+ 2 0% 0 0 100 100 0	IND/L 18-39  23 43% 4 39 57 22	IND/L 40-59  22 <b>56%</b> 19 37 <b>29</b> 9	IND/L 60+ 7 33% 33 0 67	REP 18-39 6 6 <b>29%</b> 0 29 <b>71</b> 71	REP 40-59 6 6 47% 17 30 17	60+ 0 0 0% 0 0

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

				Q22 ED	JCATION	ſ		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	125	60	41	22	1	101	24	40	62	9	14	21	11	34	58	21	8	1	33	3	10	48	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	50%	54%	43%	55%	0%	50%	52%	48%	51%	47%	56%	46%	47%	58%	48%	46%	43%	100%	57%	56%	44%	49%	
Very convincing	19	12	20	35	0	16	33	13	17	21	41	12	10	33	15	12	0	0	34	32	20	14	
Somewhat convincing	31	42	23	21	0	34	20	35	34	26	15	33	37	26	33	33	43	100	23	23	24	35	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 43	46	49	22	100	47	26	52	43	53	8	42	33	42	46	42	28	0	43	44	23	51	
Not convincing	23	20	28	19	100	23	23	26	22	46	8	7	0	25	33	7	0	0	26	0	23	35	
Don't believe	20	26	20	3	0	24	3	27	22	7	0	35	33	17	13	35	28	0	17	44	0	16	
DK/NA	7	0	8	23	0	3	21	0	5	0	36	13	20	0	6	13	29	0	0	0	33	0	
DK/NA	7	0	8	23	0	3	21	0	5	0	36	13	20	0	6	13	29	0	0	0	33	0	

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	62%	60%	66%	69%	60%	55%	64%	56%	67%	58%	59%	61%	61%	63%	62%
Very convincing	27	27	27	29	28	27	27	28	22	29	27	25	31	28	22	28
Somewhat convincing	34	35	33	37	41	33	29	36	33	38	31	34	30	33	41	34
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 34	34	35	29	30	37	40	31	31	30	39	34	35	35	35	33
Not convincing	25	26	24	24	20	26	29	27	18	22	27	26	23	27	22	22
Don't believe	9	7	11	5	10	11	11	4	13	7	12	8	13	8	13	12
DK/NA	4	4	5	5	1	3	4	5	13	3	4	7	4	4	2	5
DK/NA	4	4	5	5	1	3	4	5	13	3	4	7	4	4	2	5
		Q5 HI	SP/LTN	(	Q7 U.S.		Q8A I	J.S./		Q9	NORMA	LIZE R	ELATION	S/		
		BORN/	IMMIGR	D:	IRECTIO	ON	CUBA 1	RELTNS		EN	GAGE WI	TH CUE	A INIT	IAL		
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL		
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	61%	61%	61%	62%	56%	61%	62%	47%	60%	75%	75%	53%	75%	56%	
Very convincing	27	22	36	29	27	24	27	29	19	19	35	45	19	41	24	
Somewhat convincing	34	39	25	32	35	32	34	33	28	41	39	30	34	33	32	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 34	32	37	36	34	34	35	34	47	37	23	23	42	23	31	
Not convincing	25	12	28	27	24	26	27	21	36	27	16	17	32	17	18	
Don't believe	9	20	9	8	10	9	8	12	12	10	6	5	11	6	13	
DK/NA	4	7	2	3	4	10	5	4	5	3	2	2	4	2	13	

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

		NOR		RELATI TARGET			Q15/Q1 ROTA	16 MSG ATION	A, SPI	LIT			~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	50%	77%	65%	65%	69%	59%	63%	62%	60%	70%	71%	57%	54%	71%	55%	49%
Very convincing	27	15	46	29	25	34	29	26	26	28	41	31	15	27	37	21	21
Somewhat convincing	34	34	31	36	40	35	30	37	36	32	29	41	42	27	34	35	28
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 34	47	21	27	32	28	36	33	33	36	27	24	41	42	26	41	31
Not convincing	25	35	17	17	18	19	25	25	25	25	19	14	33	32	17	32	22
Don't believe	9	12	4	10	14	9	10	8	7	11	9	10	8	11	9	9	9
DK/NA	4	4	2	8	4	3	5	4	5	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	20
DK/NA	4	4	2	8	4	3	5	4	5	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	20
			CELL I			~	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
	Total	ALL/M CELL		ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV		FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	67%	51%	64%	67%	52%	69%	63%	51%	62%	49%	67%	50%	65%	68%	61%	
Very convincing	27	29	22	31	40	28	34	26	22	34	22	33	20	28	34	30	
Somewhat convincing	34	38	29	33	27	24	35	37	30	28	27	34	29	37	34	31	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 34	29	44	31	28	45	28	32	46	31	47	29	46	30	28	34	
Not convincing	25	22	33	21	19	30	19	27	31	25	34	21	34	22	20	25	
Don't believe	9	8	11	10	9	15	8	5	15	6	13	8	12	8	7	8	
DK/NA	4	4	5	6	5	3	3	5	2	7	4	3	4	5	5	5	
DK/NA	4	4	5	6	5	3	3	5	2	7	4	3	4	5	5	5	

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	.TY	
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	58%	61%	65%	56%	62%	66%	62%	56%	55%	69%	61%	61%	74%	62%	57%	70%	49%	64%	59%	69%	
Very convincing	27	28	26	28	28	25	30	26	30	24	24	26	22	29	30	27	25	21	36	23	32	
Somewhat convincing	34	30	35	37	28	37	36	36	26	31	45	35	39	45	31	31	44	28	28	36	36	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>VE</u> 34	39	31	32	41	33	30	30	41	41	31	31	39	26	32	35	22	48	33	40	27	
Not convincing	25	26	26	24	28	26	21	23	27	29	20	26	32	19	23	26	17	33	22	27	19	
Don't believe	9	13	5	8	13	6	9	7	14	12	12	5	7	7	10	9	5	15	11	12	8	
DK/NA	4	3	7	3	3	5	4	8	3	4	0	7	0	0	6	7	8	3	3	1	4	
DK/NA	4	3	7	3	3	5	4	8	3	4	0	7	0	0	6	7	8	3	3	1	4	
				GENDER							Y GENDE							TY BY				
		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+		WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN		IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	 REP 60+
Base	Total  1024	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP			DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	60+ 
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	1024	MEN 18-39  188	MEN 40-59  171	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161	WOMEN 40-59  204	WOMEN 60+  144	DEM MEN 	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  102	18-39  117	DEM 40-59  130	DEM 60+ 	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124	REP 18-39 	REP 40-59  85	60+  64
	1024 <b>61</b> %	MEN 18-39  188 <b>68</b> %	MEN 40-59  171 <b>63</b> %	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161	WOMEN 40-59  204 53%	WOMEN 60+  144 <b>64</b> %	DEM MEN  138	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	WOMEN  102 <b>72</b> %	18-39  117 <b>69</b> %	DEM 40-59  130 <b>49</b> %	DEM 60+  67 <b>52</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>60%</b>	IND/L 60+  124	REP 18-39  66 <b>72</b> %	REP 40-59  85 <b>64</b> %	60+  64 <b>63</b> %
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing	1024 61% 27	MEN 18-39  188 <b>68%</b> 30 38	MEN 40-59  171 <b>63%</b> 27 36	MEN 60+  135 <b>54%</b> 25 29	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>67%</b> 28 38	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 27 26	WOMEN 60+  144 <b>64%</b> 26 38	DEM MEN  138 <b>59%</b> 31 29	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>54%</b> 27 27	IND/L MEN  195 <b>65%</b> 27	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>59%</b> 24 36	REP MEN  118 <b>60%</b> 26 34	WOMEN  102 <b>72%</b> 34 39	18-39  117 <b>69%</b> 32 36	DEM 40-59  130 <b>49%</b> 25 24	DEM 60+  67 <b>52%</b> 28 24	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 25 39	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>60%</b> 27 33	IND/L 60+  124 <b>62%</b> 24 38	REP 18-39  66 <b>72%</b> 29 43	REP 40-59  85 <b>64%</b> 32 32	60+ 64 <b>63%</b> 27 36
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	1024 61% 27 34	MEN 18-39  188 <b>68%</b> 30 38	MEN 40-59  171 <b>63%</b> 27 36	MEN 60+  135 <b>54%</b> 25 29	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>67%</b> 28 38	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 27 26	WOMEN 60+  144 <b>64%</b> 26 38 <b>29</b>	DEM MEN 138 59% 31 29 38	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>54%</b> 27 27	IND/L MEN  195 <b>65%</b> 27 38	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>59%</b> 24 36	REP MEN  118 60% 26 34	WOMEN  102 <b>72%</b> 34 39 <b>24</b>	18-39  117 <b>69%</b> 32 36 <b>31</b>	DEM 40-59  130 <b>49%</b> 25 24	DEM 60+  67 <b>52%</b> 28 24 <b>44</b>	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 25 39	IND/L 40-59  132 60% 27 33	IND/L 60+  124 <b>62%</b> 24 38	REP 18-39  66 <b>72%</b> 29 43	REP 40-59  85 <b>64%</b> 32 32	60+  64 63% 27 36
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE Not convincing	1024 61% 27	MEN 18-39  188 <b>68%</b> 30 38	MEN 40-59  171 <b>63%</b> 27 36	MEN 60+  135 <b>54%</b> 25 29	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>67%</b> 28 38 <b>31</b> 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 27 26	WOMEN 60+  144 <b>64%</b> 26 38	DEM MEN  138 <b>59%</b> 31 29	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>54%</b> 27 27	IND/L MEN  195 <b>65%</b> 27	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>59%</b> 24 36	REP MEN  118 60% 26 34 36 23	WOMEN  102 <b>72%</b> 34 39	18-39  117 <b>69%</b> 32 36	DEM 40-59  130 <b>49%</b> 25 24	DEM 60+  67 <b>52%</b> 28 24	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 25 39	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>60%</b> 27 33	IND/L 60+  124 <b>62%</b> 24 38	REP 18-39  66 <b>72%</b> 29 43	REP 40-59  85 <b>64%</b> 32 32	60+ 64 63% 27 36 30 21
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE Not convincing Don't believe	1024 61% 27 34 VE 34	MEN 18-39  188 <b>68%</b> 30 38	MEN 40-59 171 63% 27 36 34 27	MEN 60+  135 <b>54%</b> 25 29 <b>40</b>	WOMEN 18-39 161 67% 28 38 31 21 10	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 27 26 <b>42</b> 27	WOMEN 60+  144 <b>64%</b> 26 38 <b>29</b> 23	DEM MEN  138 <b>59%</b> 31 29 <b>38</b> 31	DEM WOMEN 185 54% 27 27 43 25	IND/L MEN  195 <b>65%</b> 27 38 <b>31</b> 27	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>59%</b> 24 36 <b>34</b> 25	REP MEN  118 60% 26 34	WOMEN  102 <b>72%</b> 34 39 <b>24</b> 18	18-39  117 <b>69%</b> 32 36 <b>31</b> 20	DEM 40-59 130 <b>49%</b> 25 24 <b>46</b> 30 16	DEM 60+ 67 <b>52%</b> 28 24 <b>44</b> 33	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 25 39 <b>31</b> 25 6	IND/L 40-59  132 60% 27 33 36 29	IND/L 60+  124 <b>62%</b> 24 38 <b>31</b> 25 6	REP 18-39  66 <b>72%</b> 29 43 <b>24</b> 21	REP 40-59  85 <b>64%</b> 32 32 35	60+ 64 63% 27 36 30 21 9
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE Not convincing	1024 61% 27 34 VE 34	MEN 18-39  188 <b>68%</b> 30 38	MEN 40-59 171 63% 27 36 34 27	MEN 60+  135 <b>54%</b> 25 29 <b>40</b>	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>67%</b> 28 38 <b>31</b> 21	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>53%</b> 27 26 <b>42</b> 27	WOMEN 60+  144 <b>64%</b> 26 38 <b>29</b> 23	DEM MEN  138 <b>59%</b> 31 29 <b>38</b> 31	DEM WOMEN 185 54% 27 27 43 25	IND/L MEN  195 <b>65%</b> 27 38 <b>31</b> 27	IND/L WOMEN  184 <b>59%</b> 24 36 <b>34</b> 25	REP MEN  118 60% 26 34 36 23	WOMEN  102 <b>72%</b> 34 39 <b>24</b> 18	18-39  117 <b>69%</b> 32 36 <b>31</b> 20	DEM 40-59 130 49% 25 24 46 30	DEM 60+ 67 <b>52%</b> 28 24 <b>44</b> 33	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 25 39 <b>31</b> 25	IND/L 40-59  132 60% 27 33 36 29	IND/L 60+  124 <b>62%</b> 24 38 <b>31</b> 25	REP 18-39 66 <b>72%</b> 29 43 <b>24</b> 21	REP 40-59  85 <b>64%</b> 32 32 35	60+ 64 63% 27 36 30 21

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

				Q22 EDI	JCATION			EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	 271	280	344	119	 551	464	 278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	 151	227	135	 64	 65	181	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	61%	65%	64%	63%	42%	64%	57%	67%	62%	57%	58%	58%	63%	63%	58%	58%	67%	60%	69%	56%	59%	58%	
Very convincing	27	31	27	28	19	29	26	31	27	24	27	23	28	27	30	23	29	26	30	26	36	27	
Somewhat convincing	34	33	38	35	23	36	32	36	35	33	31	35	35	36	28	35	37	34	39	30	23	30	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 34	31	29	34	55	30	40	28	33	41	38	38	32	32	37	38	29	35	27	39	29	40	
Not convincing	25	21	21	26	43	21	31	23	19	31	30	27	26	23	27	26	23	24	20	33	24	29	
Don't believe	9	10	9	8	11	10	9	5	14	10	8	11	6	10	9	12	6	11	6	6	5	11	
DK/NA	4	4	6	3	3	5	3	5	6	2	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	12	3	
DK/NA	4	4	6	3	3	5	3	5	6	2	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	12	3	

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
מ	rotal	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	51%	48%	53%	58%	59%	55%	47%	39%	39%	59%	50%	43%	52%	49%	51%	54%
Very convincing	30	30	30	31	36	30	29	31	24	33	28	30	35	29	23	32
Somewhat convincing	21	18	23	27	23	24	19	8	15	26	22	13	17	20	28	22
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	44	47	42	41	36	40	48	52	54	39	44	51	37	48	40	38
Not convincing	32	34	30	30	29	29	34	37	37	30	31	37	25	36	24	26
Don't believe	12	13	12	10	7	11	14	15	18	9	13	14	12	12	16	12
DK/NA	5	5	5	1	5	6	5	9	7	2	6	6	11	3	10	9
DK/NA	5	5	5	1	5	6	5	9	7	2	6	6	11	3	10	9
		05 HI:	SD/T.TM	(	ວ7 ປ.ຣ.		08A T	T S /		09	NORMA	LTZE RI	ELATION	s/		
		Q3 1111	OT / LIIV	,	2, 0.5.		2011	0.0.7		2,	IVOICIMI			~ ,		
		~	IMMIGR		IRECTIO		~	RELTNS		~			A INIT			
		~		D:	IRECTIO		~	RELTNS		EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL		
2	[otal	~			IRECTIC		~		STR FAVOR	~				IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA	
n Base	Fotal  507	BORN/	IMMIGR	D: RIGHT	IRECTIC	)N	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  52	
Base		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENG SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INIT	TOTAL OPPOS		
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	507	BORN/I	IMMIGR IMMIG 52	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTIC  WRONG TRACK 	DK/NA  43	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 141	FAVOR  135	ENG SMWT FAVOR 	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	STH CUB STR OPPOS 129	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  267	TOTAL OPPOS 188	52	
Base	507 <b>51</b> %	BORN/1 BORN 19	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 56%	RIGHT DIR 165	WRONG TRACK  299	DK/NA 43	NONE 366	RELTNS ALL OTHER 141	FAVOR  135 <b>24</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  132	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR 267	TOTAL OPPOS 188	52 <b>42</b> %	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing	507 51% 30 21	BORN/1 BORN 19 41% 12	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 56% 43	RIGHT DIR 165	WRONG TRACK 299 54%	DK/NA  43 44% 16	NONE 366 54%	ALL OTHER 141	FAVOR  135 <b>24</b> % 10	SMWT FAVOR  132 44% 20	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60 75% 44	STR OPPOS 129	TOTAL FAVOR 267	TOTAL OPPOS 188	52 <b>42</b> % 20	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing  Somewhat convincing	507 51% 30 21	BORN/: BORN 19 41% 12 29	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 56% 43 13	RIGHT DIR 165 47% 30 18	WRONG TRACK  299 <b>54%</b> 32 21	DK/NA  43 44% 16 28	NONE 366 54% 33 21	ALL OTHER 141 43% 24 19	FAVOR 135 24% 10 14	SMWT FAVOR  132 44% 20 25	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60 <b>75%</b> 44 31	STR OPPOS 129 78% 60 18	TOTAL FAVOR 267 34% 15	TOTAL OPPOS 188 77% 55 22	52 <b>42%</b> 20 22	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing  Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	507 51% 30 21	BORN/: BORN 19 41% 12 29	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 56% 43 13	RIGHT DIR 165 47% 30 18	TRECTION WRONG TRACK 299 54% 32 21 41	DK/NA  43 44% 16 28	NONE 366 54% 33 21	ALL OTHER 141 43% 24 19 51	FAVOR 135 24% 10 14 74	SMWT FAVOR  132 44% 20 25	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  60 75% 44 31	TTH CUB  STR  OPPOS  129  78% 60 18	TOTAL FAVOR 267 34% 15 19	TOTAL OPPOS 188 77% 55 22 21	52 42% 20 22	
Base  VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING  Very convincing  Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE  Not convincing	507 51% 30 21 44 32	BORN/: BORN 19 41% 12 29 28 24	IMMIGR IMMIG 52 56% 43 13 40 25	RIGHT DIR 165  47% 30 18  49 36	WRONG TRACK 299 54% 32 21 41 31	DK/NA  43 44% 16 28 46 25	NONE 366 54% 33 21 42	ALL OTHER 141 43% 24 19 51 32	FAVOR 135 24% 10 14 74 53	SMWT FAVOR  132 44% 20 25 52	GAGE WJ  SMWT OPPOS  60 <b>75%</b> 44 31 <b>22</b> 19	TTH CUB STR OPPOS 129 78% 60 18 20 18	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 267 34% 15 19 63 43	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 188 77% 55 22 21	52 42% 20 22 34 25	

Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

		NOR:	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A, SPI					BA BELC ORED TE			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	51%	30%	79%	53%	62%	55%	50%	52%	47%	54%	66%	65%	45%	32%	66%	38%	57%
Very convincing	30	12	56	30	39	26	29	31	29	31	50	36	23	17	44	20	27
Somewhat convincing	21	18	23	23	23	30	21	20	18	23	16	29	22	15	21	18	30
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 44	68	21	32	27	37	48	41	46	42	30	33	50	66	31	58	25
Not convincing	32	48	18	21	21	23	34	30	35	29	25	17	41	44	21	43	20
Don't believe	12	20	3	10	6	14	14	10	11	13	5	16	8	22	10	15	5
DK/NA	5	2	1	15	11	8	2	8	6	4	4	2	6	2	3	4	19
DK/NA	5	2	1	15	11	8	2	8	6	4	4	2	6	2	3	4	19
		LANI	CELL E	SAGE		Q19	IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	51%	56%	44%	49%	57%	48%	63%	46%	45%	62%	39%	59%	37%	55%	63%	56%	
Very convincing	30	31	27	31	42	27	41	27	22	51	20	44	20	30	47	41	
Somewhat convincing	21	25	17	18	15	22	22	19	23	10	19	15	17	25	15	15	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 44	40	49	46	40	52	35	44	55	35	57	39	59	38	34	43	
Not convincing	32	30	38	29	29	34	25	34	40	29	44	27	48	24	30	32	
Don't believe	12	11	10	17	11	17	11	10	15	6	13	13	11	14	4	10	
DK/NA	5	3	7	5	2	0	2	10	0	4	4	2	3	7	3	1	
DK/NA	5	3	7	5	2	0	2	10	0	4	4	2	3	7	3	1	

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

								~	0 PART	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	51%	52%	45%	58%	54%	45%	62%	45%	53%	56%	44%	45%	47%	78%	51%	32%	65%	50%	58%	78%	58%	
Very convincing	30	30	27	34	31	26	37	30	32	26	25	27	25	48	31	26	35	23	39	35	38	
Somewhat convincing	21	22	18	24	23	19	24	15	20	31	18	18	22	30	20	6	30	27	19	42	20	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	<u>/E</u> 44	46	45	40	44	47	36	48	45	42	55	45	50	22	45	62	26	50	38	18	42	
Not convincing	32	32	38	27	34	34	28	29	33	35	26	38	22	16	36	35	21	41	26	13	33	
Don't believe	12	13	7	13	10	14	8	19	12	7	29	7	28	6	9	28	5	9	11	5	9	
DK/NA	5	2	10	2	2	8	2	7	3	1	2	10	3	0	4	5	9	0	5	5	1	
DK/NA	5	2	10	2	2	8	2	7	3	1	2	10	3	0	4	5	9	0	5	5	1	
				GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDEI	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	51%	48%	50%	48%	69%	50%	38%	48%	58%	46%	44%	59%	65%	70%	45%	44%	49%	46%	39%	79%	62%	48%
Very convincing	30	23	33	36	43	24	23	35	28	30	22	32	43	37	25	29	24	25	31	51	42	22
Somewhat convincing	21	25	18	12	26	26	15	13	30	16	22	27	22	33	21	15	25	21	8	28	20	26
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	/E 44	48	43	49	30	45	53	47	42	48	46	39	33	29	49	56	47	45	51	18	36	49
Not convincing	32	41	25	35	20	35	38	35	33	35	32	26	31	23	33	49	39	32	30	16	26	39
Don't believe	12	8	18	13	11	10	15	12	9	13	14	13	2	6	16	7	8	14	20		10	10
DK/NA	5	4	7	4	1	4	9	5	1	5	10	2	2	1	5	0	4	9	10	3	1	3
DK/NA	5																					

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST	
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	51%	56%	50%	53%	37%	53%	49%	50%	56%	47%	50%	50%	50%	54%	48%	50%	54%	55%	53%	41%	55%	44%	
Very convincing	30	38	23	32	24	30	30	28	32	33	27	34	27	30	31	33	31	30	31	18	45	25	
Somewhat convincing	21	18	27	21	13	23	19	22	23	14	23	16	23	24	17	17	23	25	22	24	10	20	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 44	38	44	44	59	41	48	45	37	49	47	48	45	40	47	48	40	41	35	56	35	52	
Not convincing	32	26	27	34	50	26	39	29	23	40	38	39	35	29	29	40	29	31	19	48	24	32	
Don't believe	12	12	17	10	9	15	10	15	14	10	10	9	10	11	18	8	11	10	17	8	11	20	
DK/NA	5	6	7	3	3	6	3	5	7	3	2	2	5	6	5	2	6	4	12	3	10	4	
DK/NA	5	6	7	3	3	6	3	5	7	3	2	2	5	6	5	2	6	4	12	3	10	4	

TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	54%	54%	53%	59%	57%	55%	53%	44%	49%	58%	53%	49%	59%	55%	52%	54%
Very convincing	24	27	21	18	22	29	23	26	30	20	27	24	25	27	16	21
Somewhat convincing	29	27	32	40	35	27	30	18	19	38	26	25	34	28	36	33
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	<u>E</u> 42	43	42	38	41	42	43	51	43	39	43	46	36	40	48	43
Not convincing	31	29	32	27	31	30	31	35	35	28	31	33	28	29	33	30
Don't believe	12	14	9	11	10	11	12	17	7	11	11	14	9	11	15	13
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	2	3	4	5	8	3	4	5	4	5	0	3
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	2	3	4	5	8	3	4	5	4	5	0	3
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		~	J.S./ RELTNS		~			ELATION A INITI			
		~		D:	RECTIO		~	RELTNS		EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL		
	Total	BORN/	IMMIGR	D: RIGHT	IRECTIO	ON	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL	 STR FAVOR	ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INITI	IAL  TOTAL	 DK/NA	
	Total	~		D:	IRECTIO		~	RELTNS	STR FAVOR	EN	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	Total  517	BORN/	IMMIGR	D: RIGHT	IRECTIO	ON	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INITI	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  41	
Base VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	IRECTION WRONG	DK/NA	CUBA I	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENG SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITI	TOTAL OPPOS		
	517	BORN/	IMMIGR IMMIG 41	RIGHT DIR 	IRECTION TRACK	DK/NA  45	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169	ENG SMWT FAVOR 	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  306	TOTAL OPPOS	41	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	517 <b>54</b> %	BORN/3 BORN 31	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 62%	RIGHT DIR 200	WRONG TRACK 273	DK/NA  45 37%	NONE 328	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189 54%	FAVOR  169 <b>36</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  136	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74	STR OPPOS	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170	41 46%	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing	517 <b>54%</b> 24 29	BORN/3 BORN 31 56% 27	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 62% 23	RIGHT DIR 200 54% 20	WRONG TRACK  273 56%	DK/NA  45 <b>37%</b> 19	NONE 328 53% 24	ALL OTHER 189	FAVOR  169 <b>36%</b> 14	SMWT FAVOR  136 50%	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 75% 38	STR OPPOS 96	TOTAL FAVOR 306	TOTAL OPPOS 170 76% 43	41 46% 6	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing	517 <b>54%</b> 24 29	BORN/: BORN 31 56% 27 28	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 62% 23 39	RIGHT DIR 200 54% 20 34	WRONG TRACK  273 56% 27	DK/NA  45 37% 19	NONE 328 53% 24 29	ALL OTHER 189  54% 25	FAVOR  169 <b>36%</b> 14 21	SMWT FAVOR  136 50% 18 32	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  74 75% 38 37	STR OPPOS 96 47 30	TOTAL FAVOR 306 42% 16 26	TOTAL OPPOS 170 76% 43 33	41 46% 6 40	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	517 54% 24 29 7E 42	BORN/ BORN 31 56% 27 28	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 62% 23 39	RIGHT DIR 200 54% 20 34	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 273 56% 27 28	DK/NA  45 37% 19 17	NONE 328 53% 24 29 45	ALL OTHER 189 54% 25 29 37	FAVOR 169 36% 14 21 62	SMWT FAVOR  136 50% 18 32	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS  74 75% 38 37	STR OPPOS 96 47 30 23	TOTAL FAVOR 306 42% 16 26	TOTAL OPPOS 170 76% 43 33 23	41 46% 6 40	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING Very convincing Somewhat convincing  NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEN Not convincing	517 54% 24 29 7E 42 31	BORN/	IMMIGR IMMIG 41 62% 23 39 30 23	RIGHT DIR 200 54% 20 34 44 32	WRONG TRACK 273 56% 27 28 40 30	DK/NA  45 37% 19 17 48 26	NONE 328 53% 24 29 45 33	RELTNS ALL OTHER 189 54% 25 29 37 27	FAVOR 169 36% 14 21 62 44	SMWT FAVOR  136 50% 18 32 45	GAGE WJ  SMWT OPPOS  74 75% 38 37 23	STR OPPOS 96 77% 47 30 23 15	A INITITOTAL FAVOR 306 42% 16 26 54 38	TOTAL OPPOS 170 76% 43 33 23	41 46% 6 40 35 34	

TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

		NOR	CUBA 7	TARGET	ONS/ENG		ROTA	16 MSG TION	A, SPI	LIT		STATE	-SPONSO	BA BELO	RRORIS	4 LIST	
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS		POS MOVER	NEG	PRO	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO		TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	54%	37%	80%	59%	63%	64%	52%	55%	55%	52%	75%	65%		41%	71%	43%	34%
Very convincing	24	12	48	23	28	27	22	26	22	26	43	20	16	22	33	19	10
Somewhat convincing	29	25	31	36	35	37	30	29	33	26	32	45	28	19	38	24	24
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	<u>ve</u> 42	60	20	32	34	29	44	41	42	43	24	30	55	55	26	55	35
Not convincing	31	42	13	26	31	22	32	30	30	32	15	24	41	39	19	41	21
Don't believe	12	18	7	5	3	7	12	11	12	11	8	7	14	16	8	15	13
DK/NA	4	2	1	10	3	7	4	4	3	5	1	5	1	4	3	2	32
DK/NA	4	2	1	10	3	7	4	4	3	5	1	5	1	4	3	2	32
		~ ~	CELL E				DEOL			Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total			ALL/M LNDLN		VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	54%	53%	53%	58%	70%	60%	60%	58%	44%	57%	36%	61%	40%	59%	62%	61%	
Very convincing	24	23	26	24	50	28	35	21	14	37	13	39	14	23	41	37	
Somewhat convincing	29	30	27	34	19	32	24	36	30	20	23	22	26	36	20	24	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	VE 42	43	45	35	23	39	37	38	54	43	62	37	57	35	38	31	
Not convincing	31	30	35	25	13	24	27	30	35	36	42	29	41	24	31	28	
Don't believe	12	14	10	10	10	15	10	8	19	7	19	7	16	11	7	3	
DK/NA	4	4	2	7	7	1	3	4	2	1	2	2	3	6	1	8	
DK/NA	4	4	2	7	7	1	3	4	2	1	2	2	3	6	1	8	

TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	54%	51%	54%	54%	51%	52%	56%	63%	52%	49%	51%	54%	47%	51%	58%	67%	55%	44%	59%	50%	58%	
Very convincing	24	22	24	29	22	26	29	12	25	14	29	24	27	12	39	7	21	18	25	14	35	
Somewhat convincing	29	28	30	25	29	27	27	50	27	36	22	30	20	40	20	60	34	26	34	36	23	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	/E 42	46	40	42	46	43	40	33	46	46	49	40	51	45	37	31	37	53	38	48	37	
Not convincing	31	36	29	29	34	33	26	19	33	38	43	29	40	32	22	16	25	38	31	25	26	
Don't believe	12	11	10	14	11	10	14	14	13	8	6	10	11	13	15	15	12	15	7	23	1,1	
DK/NA	4	3	7	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	0	7	2	3	5	2	8	2	3	2	5	
DK/NA	4	3	7	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	0	7	2	3	5	2	8	2	3	2	5	
			(	GENDER	BY AGE	2			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY .	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L			REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	54%	60%	54%	44%	55%	53%	52%	52%	50%	58%	46%	47%	66%	58%	47%	50%	52%	57%	47%	60%	57%	50%
Very convincing	24	28	24	30	9	30	20	30	15	29	22	28	32	20	24	20	21	32	22	23	28	38
Somewhat convincing	29	33	29	15	46	23	33	22	35	29	24	20	35	38	23	30	31	25	25	37	29	11
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIE	/E 42	37	44	53	41	42	41	46	46	39	48	51	26	41	52	40	44	37	50	34	40	46
Not convincing	31	25	31	32	34	32	33	33	36	26	41	34	15	35	37	28	33	33	35	19	23	36
Don't believe	12	12	12	21	8	10	8	13	10	12	7	17	11	6	15	12	11	3	15	15	17	10
DK/NA	4	3	3	2	4	5	7	2	4	3	6	1	8	1	2	11	4	7	4	6	3	4
DK/NA	4	3	3	2	4	5	7	2	4	3	6	1	8	1	2	11	4	7	4	6	3	Δ

TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST	
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94	
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	54%	58%	60%	53%	32%	59%	48%	66%	51%	40%	54%	50%	59%	55%	48%	48%	58%	51%	63%	62%	51%	48%	
Very convincing	24	25	31	23	12	28	20	34	22	20	20	22	26	23	25	22	27	20	27	25	33	22	
Somewhat convincing	29	33	29	30	20	31	28	33	29	20	34	28	33	32	24	26	31	31	35	37	18	26	
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEV	E 42	36	36	45	68	36	50	31	40	57	44	46	34	41	49	48	36	41	37	29	39	52	
Not convincing	31	26	27	34	42	27	36	20	33	41	31	34	21	29	40	33	22	30	29	18	31	43	
Don't believe	12	9	8	11	26	9	14	11	7	16	12	12	14	12	9	15	15	12	8	11	9	9	
DK/NA	4	7	5	3	0	6	2	3	8	2	2	4	6	4	2	4	5	7	0	9	10	0	
DK/NA	4	7	5	3	0	6	2	3	8	2	2	4	6	4	2	4	5	7	0	9	10	0	

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	502	246	256	107	64	103	122	60	36	171	183	138	70	306	60	181
TOTAL FAVOR	57%	62%	51%	60%	68%	59%	49%	60%	43%	63%	54%	53%	63%	53%	65%	61%
Strongly favor	33	37	28	33	26	37	30	40	31	30	35	34	35	32	35	31
Somewhat favor	24	25	23	27	42	22	19	21	12	32	20	19	28	21	30	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	38	35	40	35	29	35	47	35	41	33	40	40	25	42	29	32
Somewhat oppose	14	13	16	14	10	14	17	10	23	13	15	15	17	16	10	12
Strongly oppose	23	22	25	21	19	21	30	25	18	20	25	25	8	25	19	20
DK/NA	6	3	8	5	3	7	4	5	16	4	5	7	11	5	6	7
DK/NA	6	3	8	5	3	7	4	5	16	4	5	7	11	5	6	7
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U CUBA H	J.S./ RELTNS		~			ELATION A INIT			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	502	27	43	177	286	39	355	147	161	139	67	99	300	166	36	
TOTAL FAVOR	57%	68%	61%	71%	46%	66%	58%	53%	91%	78%	21%	10%	85%	14%	13%	
Strongly favor	33	41	32	42	26	35	36	25	77	23	0	3	52	2	11	
Somewhat favor	24	27	29	29	20	31	22	28	14	56	21	6	33	12	2	
TOTAL OPPOSE	38	20	29	22	49	26	37	40	7	17	73	89	12	83	46	
Somewhat oppose	14	16	18	9	17	17	13	17	6	10	42	9	8	22	31	
Strongly oppose		_		13	32	9	24	23	1	7	31	8.0	4	61	15	
belongly oppose	23	3	11	13	32	9	24	23		,	31	00	7	91	15	
DK/NA DK/NA	23 <b>6</b>	12 12		13 <b>7</b>	5 5	8	5 5	23 <b>8</b> 8	2 2	, 5	6	1	<b>3</b>	3 3	41 41	

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		NOR		RELATI ARGET		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A/ SPI				~	BA BELC			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	502	256	137	109	28	52	236	266	502	0	120	70	145	127	189	272	41
TOTAL FAVOR	57%	100%	0%	26%	100%	0%	61%	53%	57%	0%	30%	42%	74%	75%	35%	74%	37%
Strongly favor	33	61	0	7	26	0	36	30	33	0	15	13	34	61	14	47	25
Somewhat favor	24	39	0	19	74	0	25	23	24	0	16	30	40	14	21	28	12
TOTAL OPPOSE	38	0	100	48	0	100	36	39	38	0	66	50	23	20	60	22	41
Somewhat oppose	14	0	27	32	0	68	13	16	14	0	15	25	14	8	18	11	17
Strongly oppose	23	0	73	15	0	32	23	24	23	0	52	24	9	13	42	11	23
DK/NA	6	0	0	27	0	0	3	8	6	0	3	8	3	5	5	4	22
DK/NA	6	0	0	27	0	0	3	8	6	0	3	8	3	5	5	4	22
			CELL E			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
	Total	LAND	LINE U BOTH	SAGE	VERY CONS	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS		LIB	Q21  VERY FAV			TOTAL				
Base	Total  502	LAND 	LINE U BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	OPIN FAV/	
TOTAL FAVOR		LAND  ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	502	LANI ALL/M CELL 226 59% 31	BOTH EQUAL 166 58%	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 104 47% 28	CONS  69	VERY LIB 48 78%	CONS  177 48% 26	MOD  167 <b>61%</b> 36	LIB  136 <b>69</b> % 41	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  129 <b>75%</b> 57	TOTAL FAV  106	TOTAL UNFAV  166	NO/DK RF/NA  210 <b>53%</b> 21	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  46	FAV/ IND/L  31 41%	
TOTAL FAVOR	502 <b>57</b> %	LANI ALL/M CELL 226	BOTH EQUAL 166	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 104	CONS 69 28%	VERY LIB  48	CONS  177 48%	MOD  167 <b>61</b> %	LIB  136 <b>69</b> %	VERY FAV  52	VERY UNFAV  129 <b>75</b> %	TOTAL FAV  106	TOTAL UNFAV  166	NO/DK RF/NA  210	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  46	FAV/ IND/L 31	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	502 57% 33 24	LANL ALL/M CELL 226 59% 31 28	BOTH EQUAL  166 58% 38 20	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 104 47% 28 19	CONS  69 28% 24 4	VERY LIB  48 78% 57 20	CONS  177 48% 26 21	MOD  167 <b>61%</b> 36 25	LIB  136 <b>69%</b> 41 28	VERY FAV  52 42% 31	VERY UNFAV  129 <b>75%</b> 57 18	TOTAL FAV  106 40% 27 13	TOTAL UNFAV  166 73% 54 19	NO/DK RF/NA  210 53% 21 32	PARTY FAV/ REPS 46 26% 20 6	FAV/ IND/L 31 41% 19 22	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	502 57% 33 24 38 14	LANL ALL/M CELL 226 59% 31 28 37	BOTH EQUAL 166 58% 20 35 14	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 104 47% 28 19 45	CONS  69 28% 24 4 69	VERY LIB  48 78% 57 20 21	CONS  177 <b>48%</b> 26 21 <b>50</b> 16	MOD  167 <b>61%</b> 36 25 <b>27</b>	LIB  136 <b>69%</b> 41 28 <b>29</b>	VERY FAV 52 42% 31 11 57	VERY UNFAV  129 <b>75%</b> 57 18 <b>20</b> 6	TOTAL FAV 106 40% 27 13 55	TOTAL UNFAV  166 73% 54 19	NO/DK RF/NA  210 53% 21 32 40 16	PARTY FAV/ REPS 46 26% 20 6 66 14	FAV/ IND/L  31 41% 19 22 56	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	502 57% 33 24	LANL ALL/M CELL 226 59% 31 28	BOTH EQUAL  166 58% 38 20	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 104 47% 28 19	CONS  69 28% 24 4	VERY LIB  48 78% 57 20	CONS  177 48% 26 21	MOD  167 <b>61%</b> 36 25	LIB  136 <b>69%</b> 41 28	VERY FAV 52 42% 31 11 57	VERY UNFAV  129 <b>75%</b> 57 18	TOTAL FAV  106 40% 27 13	TOTAL UNFAV  166 73% 54 19	NO/DK RF/NA  210 53% 21 32	PARTY FAV/ REPS 46 26% 20 6	FAV/ IND/L 31 41% 19 22	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	502 57% 33 24 38 14	LANL ALL/M CELL 226 59% 31 28 37	BOTH EQUAL 166 58% 20 35 14	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 104 47% 28 19 45	CONS  69 28% 24 4 69	VERY LIB  48 78% 57 20 21	CONS  177 <b>48%</b> 26 21 <b>50</b> 16	MOD  167 <b>61%</b> 36 25 <b>27</b>	LIB  136 <b>69%</b> 41 28 <b>29</b>	VERY FAV 52 42% 31 11 57	VERY UNFAV  129 <b>75%</b> 57 18 <b>20</b> 6	TOTAL FAV 106 40% 27 13 55	TOTAL UNFAV  166 73% 54 19	NO/DK RF/NA  210 53% 21 32 40 16 23	PARTY FAV/ REPS 46 26% 20 6 66 14	FAV/ IND/L  31 41% 19 22 56	

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	502	175	135	146	150	194	112	46	113	38	24	135	34	39	72	28	18	84	63	30	81	
TOTAL FAVOR	57%	71%	53%	46%	67%	59%	42%	46%	67%	70%	92%	53%	61%	55%	34%	55%	32%	71%	65%	43%	42%	
Strongly favor	33	44	29	29	42	34	25	12	44	39	51	29	43	22	26	10	16	43	44	24	25	
Somewhat favor	24	27	24	17	25	25	17	34	23	31	41	24	19	33	8	45	17	28	21	19	16	
TOTAL OPPOSE	38	27	38	50	30	34	53	37	31	28	8	38	39	39	61	33	44	27	33	42	57	
Somewhat oppose	14	11	16	16	12	13	18	19	10	15	4	16	10	20	17	10	33	10	14	20	18	
Strongly oppose	23	17	23	34	19	21	35	18	21	13	3	23	29	19	44	23	11	17	19	22	39	
DK/NA	6	2	9	4	2	6	5	17	2	2	0	9	0	6	5	12	24	2	2	15	2	
DK/NA	6	2	9	4	2	6	5	17	2	2	0	9	0	6	5	12	24	2	2	15	2	
					BY AGE	]					Y GENDEI							RTY BY .	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+		REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	502	93	84	65	78	99	73	78	72	84	110	57	55	53	65	28	59	59	73	39	47	25
TOTAL FAVOR	57%	70%	59%	58%	54%	51%	48%	70%	65%	68%	53%	52%	31%	68%	67%	67%	68%	59%	52%	48%	38%	39%
Strongly favor	33	37	41	34	22	30	34	49	36	34	35	35	14	38	50	35	36	32	36	20	26	31
Somewhat favor	24	32	18	24	32	21	14	21	29	34	18	17	17	30	17	32	32	27	16	28	12	9
TOTAL OPPOSE	38	27	40	37	39	41	42	29	32	30	38	48	59	28	31	33	29	33	40	47	57	55
Somewhat oppose	14	8	17	14	18	14	16	9	14	10	16	18	18	7	13	14	11	14	14	20	17	20
Strongly oppose	23	19	23	23	21	27	26	19	18	20	22	30	41	21	18	18	18	19	25	27	41	35
DK/NA	6	3	2	5	6	8	10	2	3	3	9	1	10	4	2	0	3	8	8	5	5	6
DK/NA	6	3	2	5	6	8	10	2	3	3	9	1	10	4	2	0	3	8	8	5	5	6

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Ī		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST	
Base	502	122	125	182	67	248	248	126	122	116	132	90	105	186	120	99	78	109	67	28	36	85	
TOTAL FAVOR	57%	53%	55%	59%	59%	54%	59%	58%	50%	67%	52%	49%	58%	57%	59%	49%	53%	54%	64%	72%	40%	68%	
Strongly favor	33	29	22	40	41	25	40	29	22	47	35	35	27	29	40	35	25	23	39	33	31	44	
Somewhat favor	24	24	33	19	19	29	19	29	28	20	17	14	31	28	19	14	28	31	25	40	9	23	
TOTAL OPPOSE	38	43	38	34	39	40	36	40	41	30	40	48	32	37	36	48	36	39	33	22	51	29	
Somewhat oppose	14	23	13	9	18	18	11	18	18	8	14	18	13	13	14	18	14	14	13	10	15	14	
Strongly oppose	23	20	25	26	20	22	24	22	23	22	26	30	19	24	21	30	22	25	20	12	36	15	
DK/NA	6	4	7	7	2	6	5	2	9	3	8	2	9	6	5	3	11	7	3	6	9	3	
DK/NA	6	4	7	7	2	6	5	2	9	3	8	2	9	6	5	3	11	7	3	6	9	3	

TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	522	256	266	103	76	102	132	61	38	179	191	142	73	318	63	188
TOTAL FAVOR	54%	61%	48%	59%	43%	51%	54%	51%	79%	52%	54%	57%	53%	54%	55%	56%
Strongly favor	31	40	22	28	20	30	27	41	55	24	29	40	30	33	19	28
Somewhat favor	23	21	26	31	24	21	26	9	24	28	25	17	22	21	35	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	41	37	44	38	53	44	41	43	18	44	40	39	47	44	33	37
Somewhat oppose	15	13	17	16	25	17	8	14	11	20	13	11	22	16	15	15
Strongly oppose	26	24	27	21	28	27	32	30	7	24	27	28	26	28	18	22
DK/NA	5	2	8	4	4	5	6	6	4	4	6	4	0	3	13	7
DK/NA	5	2	8	4	4	5	6	6	4	4	6	4	0	3	13	7
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A U			~	NORMAI					
	Total	BORN/			RECTIC		~		STR FAVOR	~			A INIT		DK/NA	
Base	Total  522	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIC	)N	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENO  SMWT	GAGE WI	TH CUB  STR	A INIT	IAL TOTAL	DK/NA  57	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	CUBA I	ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENG SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS	A INIT	TOTAL OPPOS	57	
	522	BORN/1 BORN 23 77% 31	IMMIGR IMMIG 50	RIGHT DIR 	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 286	DK/NA  49	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 183	FAVOR  143	ENG SMWT FAVOR 	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS 125	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  273	TOTAL OPPOS 193	57	
TOTAL FAVOR	522 <b>54</b> %	BORN/1 BORN 23	IMMIGR IMMIG 50 42%	D: RIGHT DIR  187	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 286	DK/NA  49	NONE 339	RELTNS ALL OTHER 183	FAVOR  143 <b>91</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  130	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  68	TH CUB STR OPPOS 125	TOTAL FAVOR 273	TOTAL OPPOS 193	57 <b>39</b> %	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	522 54% 31 23	BORN/: BORN 23 77% 31 46	IMMIGR IMMIG 50 42% 30 12 58	RIGHT DIR 187 56% 38 18	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 286 54% 28 26	DK/NA  49 49% 21 28	NONE 339 52% 34 19	ALL OTHER  183 58% 26 32	FAVOR  143 <b>91</b> % 73	SMWT FAVOR  130 63% 22 42	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  68 37% 11 26	TH CUB STR OPPOS 125 20% 12 8	TOTAL FAVOR 273 78% 49 29 20	TOTAL OPPOS 193 26% 12 14 73	57 39% 11 27	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	522 54% 31 23 41	BORN/: BORN 23 77% 31 46	IMMIGR IMMIG 50 42% 30 12 58 21	D: RIGHT DIR  187 56% 38 18	IRECTIC 	DK/NA  49 49% 21 28 36 25	NONE 339 52% 34 19 43	RELTNS ALL OTHER 183 58% 26 32 38 19	FAVOR 143 91% 73 18	SMWT FAVOR  130 63% 22 42	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  68 37% 11 26 60 28	TH CUB STR OPPOS 125 20% 12 8 80 14	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 273 78% 49 29 20 12	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 193 26% 12 14 73	57 39% 11 27 30 16	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	522 54% 31 23	BORN/: BORN 23 77% 31 46	IMMIGR IMMIG 50 42% 30 12 58	RIGHT DIR 187 56% 38 18	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 286 54% 28 26	DK/NA  49 49% 21 28	NONE 339 52% 34 19	ALL OTHER  183 58% 26 32	FAVOR 143 91% 73 18	SMWT FAVOR  130 63% 22 42	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  68 37% 11 26	TH CUB STR OPPOS 125 20% 12 8	TOTAL FAVOR 273 78% 49 29 20	TOTAL OPPOS 193 26% 12 14 73	57 39% 11 27	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	522 54% 31 23 41	BORN/:23 77% 31 46 23 23	IMMIGR IMMIG 50 42% 30 12 58 21	D: RIGHT DIR  187 56% 38 18	IRECTIC 	DK/NA  49 49% 21 28 36 25	NONE 339 52% 34 19 43	RELTNS ALL OTHER 183 58% 26 32 38 19	FAVOR 143 91% 73 18	SMWT FAVOR  130 63% 22 42	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  68 37% 11 26 60 28	TH CUB STR OPPOS 125 20% 12 8 80 14	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 273 78% 49 29 20 12	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 193 26% 12 14 73	57 39% 11 27 30 16	

TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI		BAGE	ROTA	16 MSG TION	A/ SPI	JIT			Q13 CU				
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	522	212	141	169	72	72	283	239	0	522	117	107	140	125	225	265	32
TOTAL FAVOR	54%	100%	0%	42%	100%	0%	52%	57%	0%	54%	34%	39%	64%	79%	36%	71%	47%
Strongly favor	31	63	0	17	41	0	28	35	0	31	23	8	31	62	16	45	20
Somewhat favor	23	37	0	25	59	0	24	23	0	23	11	31	33	17	21	25	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	41	0	100	43	0	100	42	40	0	41	66	51	34	21	59	28	21
Somewhat oppose	15	0	26	25	0	58	16	14	0	15	15	19	22	7	17	15	4
Strongly oppose	26	0	74	18	0	42	26	26	0	26	51	32	12	15	42	13	17
DK/NA	5	0	0	15	0	0	6	3	0	5	0	10	3	0	5	1	31
DK/NA	5	0	0	15	0	0	6	3	0	5	0	10	3	0	5	1	31
			CELL E			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total		BOTH EQUAL		VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	522	235	172	108	87	44	176	183	139	59	125	119	171	217	53	30	
TOTAL FAVOR	54%	59%	54%	46%	46%	46%	48%	55%	64%	60%	72%	51%	70%	46%	45%	65%	
Strongly favor	31	34	30	27	31	36	28	26	42	45	47	32	45	21	26	40	
Somewhat favor	23	25	24	19	15	10	20	29	21	15	25	19	25	25	19	26	
TOTAL OPPOSE	41	38	42	46	51	46	48	41	30	40	23	46	25	50	51	33	
Somewhat oppose	15	14	20	11	12	13	14	16	12	5	6	12	9	21	8	12	
Strongly oppose	26	24	22	35	39	33	34	25	18	35	17	34	16	28	43	21	
DK/NA	5	3	5	8	3	8	4	4	6	0	5	3	5	4	5	2	
DK/NA	5	3	5	8	3	8	4	4	6	0	5	3	5	4	5	2	

TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	522	203	121	143	173	186	109	55	120	53	30	121	34	40	68	33	22	81	90	25	84	
TOTAL FAVOR	54%	59%	59%	51%	59%	57%	52%	34%	63%	51%	58%	59%	48%	53%	52%	34%	35%	59%	59%	68%	47%	
Strongly favor	31	31	34	34	33	33	33	15	37	22	21	34	37	35	32	19	9	39	27	50	28	
Somewhat favor	23	28	25	17	26	24	19	19	25	28	37	25	11	18	20	15	26	20	32	18	19	
TOTAL OPPOSE	41	36	38	43	35	40	42	59	34	38	42	38	47	41	43	59	59	32	38	25	47	
Somewhat oppose	15	12	17	13	11	17	12	27	12	10	16	17	18	12	11	29	24	12	11	14	11	
Strongly oppose	26	24	21	30	24	23	31	32	22	28	26	21	30	28	32	30	35	20	28	11	36	
DK/NA	5	5	3	5	6	3	6	7	3	12	0	3	4	6	5	7	6	10	3	7	5	
DK/NA	5	5	3	5	6	3	6	7	3	12	0	3	4	6	5	7	6	10	3	7	5	
				GENDER					P.	ARTY BY	Y GENDE	R 					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	 DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	 DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	 IND/L		REP	REP	 REP
		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN		IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	 DEM 18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39		60+
Base	Total  522	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	 IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	60+ 
	522	MEN 18-39  96	MEN 40-59 	MEN 60+ 	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59  104	60+  71	MEN  60	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  47	18-39  64	40-59  65	60+  39	IND/L 18-39 	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  51	REP 18-39  28	REP 40-59  38	60+  39
TOTAL FAVOR	522 <b>54</b> %	MEN 18-39  96 58%	MEN 40-59  87	MEN 60+  71 <b>64</b> %	WOMEN 18-39  83	WOMEN 40-59  104 46%	60+  71 <b>50</b> %	MEN  60 <b>74</b> %	DEM WOMEN  113	IND/L MEN  111	IND/L WOMEN  74	REP MEN  61	WOMEN  47 38%	18-39  64 <b>54</b> %	40-59  65 <b>57</b> %	60+  39 <b>70</b> %	IND/L 18-39  61	IND/L 40-59  73	IND/L 60+  51	REP 18-39  28 48%	REP 40-59  38 <b>55%</b>	60+  39 <b>52</b> %
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	522 <b>54%</b> 31	MEN 18-39  96 <b>58%</b> 31	MEN 40-59  87 <b>63%</b> 43	MEN 60+  71 <b>64%</b> 51	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>46%</b> 17	WOMEN 40-59  104 <b>46%</b> 17	60+  71 <b>50%</b> 30	MEN  60 <b>74</b> % 49	DEM WOMEN 113 51% 24	IND/L MEN  111 <b>57%</b> 38	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>57%</b> 25	REP MEN  61 <b>63%</b> 44	WOMEN  47 <b>38%</b> 19	18-39  64 <b>54%</b> 20	40-59  65 <b>57%</b> 28	60+  39 <b>70</b> % 55	IND/L 18-39  61 <b>60%</b> 32	IND/L 40-59  73 <b>54%</b> 29	IND/L 60+  51 <b>59%</b> 40	REP 18-39  28 48% 27	REP 40-59  38 <b>55%</b> 35	60+  39 <b>52%</b> 35
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	522 <b>54%</b> 31 23	MEN 18-39  96 <b>58%</b> 31 27	MEN 40-59  87 <b>63%</b> 43 20	MEN 60+  71 <b>64%</b> 51 14	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>46%</b> 17 29	WOMEN 40-59  104 <b>46%</b> 17 29	60+  71 <b>50%</b> 30 20	MEN  60 <b>74%</b> 49 25	DEM WOMEN  113 <b>51%</b> 24 27	IND/L MEN  111 <b>57%</b> 38 19	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>57%</b> 25 32	REP MEN  61 <b>63%</b> 44 19	WOMEN  47 <b>38%</b> 19 20	18-39 64 <b>54%</b> 20 34	40-59  65 <b>57%</b> 28 28	60+  39 <b>70%</b> 55 14	IND/L 18-39  61 <b>60%</b> 32 28	IND/L 40-59  73 <b>54%</b> 29 25	IND/L 60+  51 <b>59%</b> 40 18	REP 18-39  28 <b>48%</b> 27 21	REP 40-59  38 <b>55%</b> 35 21	60+  39 <b>52%</b> 35 17
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	522 54% 31 23	MEN 18-39  96 <b>58%</b> 31 27	MEN 40-59 87 63% 43 20 34	MEN 60+  71 <b>64%</b> 51 14	WOMEN 18-39  83 <b>46%</b> 17 29	WOMEN 40-59  104 46% 17 29	60+  71 <b>50%</b> 30 20	MEN  60 <b>74%</b> 49 25	DEM WOMEN  113 <b>51%</b> 24 27	IND/L MEN  111 <b>57%</b> 38 19	IND/L WOMEN  74 57% 25 32	REP MEN 61 63% 44 19	WOMEN  47 38% 19 20	18-39 64 <b>54%</b> 20 34 <b>45</b>	40-59 65 <b>57%</b> 28 28 31	60+  39 <b>70%</b> 55 14 <b>26</b>	IND/L 18-39  61 60% 32 28	IND/L 40-59  73 <b>54%</b> 29 25	IND/L 60+  51 <b>59%</b> 40 18	REP 18-39  28 48% 27 21	REP 40-59  38 <b>55%</b> 35 21 <b>41</b>	60+  39 <b>52%</b> 35 17
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	522 54% 31 23 41 15	MEN 18-39  96 <b>58%</b> 31 27 <b>42</b> 19	MEN 40-59 87 63% 43 20 34 9	MEN 60+  71 <b>64%</b> 51 14 <b>34</b> 8	WOMEN 18-39  83 46% 17 29 47	WOMEN 40-59  104 46% 17 29 45 16	60+  71 <b>50%</b> 30 20 <b>43</b> 14	MEN  60 <b>74%</b> 49 25 <b>23</b>	DEM WOMEN 113 51% 24 27 41 14	IND/L MEN  111 <b>57%</b> 38 19 <b>42</b>	IND/L WOMEN  74 <b>57%</b> 25 32 <b>38</b> 17	REP MEN 61 63% 44 19	WOMEN  47 <b>38%</b> 19 20 <b>49</b> 15	18-39 64 <b>54%</b> 20 34 <b>45</b> 14	40-59 65 <b>57%</b> 28 28 28 <b>31</b> 10	60+  39 <b>70%</b> 55 14 <b>26</b> 9	IND/L 18-39 61 60% 32 28 40 27	IND/L 40-59  73 <b>54%</b> 29 25 <b>43</b> 16	IND/L 60+  51 <b>59%</b> 40 18 <b>37</b> 7	REP 18-39  28 48% 27 21 38	REP 40-59  38 <b>55%</b> 35 21 <b>41</b> 7	60+ 39 52% 35 17 45

TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

				Q22 EDI	UCATION	I		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	Ī				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST
Base	522	149	154	163	53	303	216	152	151	102	114	94	110	193	125	102	73	117	68	36	29	96
TOTAL FAVOR	54%	49%	52%	58%	71%	50%	61%	58%	42%	66%	56%	62%	53%	47%	61%	62%	46%	51%	39%	66%	46%	65%
Strongly favor	31	27	26	35	47	26	38	34	19	50	27	40	30	26	33	39	25	27	23	41	24	35
Somewhat favor	23	21	26	23	24	24	23	24	23	16	30	22	22	21	28	23	21	24	15	25	23	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	41	47	42	40	23	44	36	40	49	34	38	35	44	44	37	36	49	41	51	34	54	32
Somewhat oppose	15	15	22	10	8	19	9	17	21	8	11	14	15	22	6	13	18	20	27	10	10	5
Strongly oppose	26	32	20	30	15	26	26	23	28	26	27	22	29	23	31	23	31	21	23	24	44	27
DK/NA	5	4	6	2	7	5	3	2	9	1	6	2	3	9	2	2	5	8	11	0	0	3
DK/NA	5	4	6	2	7	5	3	2	9	1	6	2	3	9	2	2	5	8	11	0	0	3

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL FAVOR	55%	62%	49%	59%	54%	55%	52%	55%	61%	57%	54%	55%	58%	53%	60%	59%
Strongly favor	32	39	25	31	22	33	29	41	43	27	32	37	33	32	27	30
Somewhat favor	24	23	24	29	32	21	23	15	18	30	22	18	25	21	33	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	39	36	42	36	42	39	44	39	29	39	40	39	37	43	31	34
Somewhat oppose	15	13	16	15	18	15	12	12	17	16	14	13	20	16	12	13
Strongly oppose	25	23	26	21	24	24	31	27	12	22	26	26	17	27	19	21
DK/NA	5	2	8	4	4	6	5	6	10	4	6	6	5	4	10	7
DK/NA	5	2	8	4	4	6	5	6	10	4	6	6	5	4	10	7
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U			~	NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR		TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base							NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DIC/ NA	
	1024	50	94	364	 572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	FAVOR  572	359	93	
TOTAL FAVOR	1024 <b>55</b> %	50 <b>72</b> %	94 <b>50</b> %	364 6 <b>4</b> %	572 50%											
						88	694	330	304	268	134	225	 572	359	93	
TOTAL FAVOR	55%	72%	50%	64%	50%	88 <b>56</b> %	694 <b>55</b> %	330 55%	304 91%	268 <b>71</b> %	134 <b>29</b> %	225 <b>15</b> %	572 <b>82</b> %	359 <b>20</b> %	93 <b>28</b> %	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	<b>55%</b> 32	<b>72%</b> 36	<b>50%</b> 31	<b>64</b> % 40	<b>50%</b> 27	 88 <b>56%</b> 27	 694 <b>55%</b> 35	330 <b>55%</b> 25	 304 <b>91%</b> 76	268 <b>71%</b> 22	134 29%	225 <b>15%</b> 8	572 <b>82%</b> 50	359 <b>20%</b> 7	93 28% 11 17	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	55% 32 24 39 15	<b>72</b> % 36 36	<b>50%</b> 31 20	<b>64</b> % 40 23	<b>50%</b> 27 23 <b>46</b> 15	88 <b>56%</b> 27 29	55% 35 21 40	330 <b>55%</b> 25 30	304 <b>91%</b> 76 16	268 <b>71%</b> 22 49	134 29% 6 23 67 35	225 15% 8 7	572 <b>82%</b> 50 31	359 20% 7 13 78 20	93 28% 11 17 36 22	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	55% 32 24	<b>72%</b> 36 36	<b>50%</b> 31 20 <b>45</b>	64% 40 23	<b>50%</b> 27 23 <b>46</b>	56% 27 29	55% 35 21	330 55% 25 30	304 91% 76 16	268 71% 22 49	134 29% 6 23	225 15% 8 7	572 82% 50 31	359 20% 7 13	93 28% 11 17 36 22	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	55% 32 24 39 15	<b>72%</b> 36 36 <b>21</b> 20	<b>50%</b> 31 20 <b>45</b> 20	64% 40 23 31 13	<b>50%</b> 27 23 <b>46</b> 15	 88 <b>56%</b> 27 29 <b>31</b> 21	55% 35 21 40	330 55% 25 30 39	304 91% 76 16	268 71% 22 49 25 17	134 29% 6 23 67 35	225 15% 8 7	572 82% 50 31 16	359 20% 7 13 78 20	93 28% 11 17 36 22 14 35	

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		NOR		RELATI FARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG TION		/B LIT				BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL FAVOR	55%	100%	0%	36%	100%	0%	56%	55%	57%	54%	32%	40%	69%	77%	36%	73%	42%
Strongly favor	32	62	0	13	36	0	31	32	33	31	19	10	32	62	15	46	23
Somewhat favor	24	38	0	23	64	0	25	23	24	23	13	31	37	15	21	27	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	39	0	100	45	0	100	39	39	38	41	66	50	28	21	59	25	32
Somewhat oppose	15	0	26	28	0	62	15	15	14	15	15	21	18	7	18	13	12
Strongly oppose	25	0	74	17	0	38	24	25	23	26	51	29	11	14	42	12	20
DK/NA	5	0	0	19	0	0	5	6	6	5	2	10	3		5	3	26
DK/NA	5	0	0	19	0	0	5	6	6	5	2	10	3	2	5	3	26
			CELL E			Q19	DEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	.VORABI	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA	
	Total			ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
TOTAL FAVOR	55%	59%	56%	47%	38%	63%	48%	58%	66%	52%	73%	46%	71%	49%	36%	53%	
Strongly favor	32	32			28	47	27	31	42	39	52	30	49	21	23	29	
Somewhat favor	24	27	22	19	10	16	20	27	25	13	22	16	22	28	13	24	
TOTAL OPPOSE	39	38	38	45	59	33	49	35	30	48	21	50	24	45	58	44	
Somewhat oppose	15	15	17	13	13	9	15	15	12	6	6	13	10	19	11	16	
Strongly oppose	25	23	22	33	47	24	34	20	18	42	15	37	14	26	47	29	
DK/NA	5	4	6	8	3	4	3	7	4	0	5	4	5	6	6	3	
DK/NA	5	4	6	8	3	4	3	7	4	0	5	4	5	6	6	3	

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL FAVOR	55%	64%	56%	49%	63%	58%	47%	39%	65%	59%	73%	56%	55%	54%	43%	43%	34%	65%	61%	54%	45%	
Strongly favor	32	37	32	31	37	34	29	14	40	29	34	32	40	29	29	15	12	41	34	36	27	
Somewhat favor	24	28	24	17	26	25	18	26	24	29	39	24	15	25	14	28	21	24	27	19	18	
TOTAL OPPOSE	39	32	38	47	33	37	48	49	32	34	27	38	43	40	52	47	52	29	36	34	52	
Somewhat oppose	15	11	16	15	11	15	15	23	11	12	11	16	14	16	14	20	28	11	12	17	14	
Strongly oppose	25	21	22	32	21	22	33	26	21	22	16	22	29	24	38	27	24	19	24	17	38	
DK/NA	5	4	6	5	4	5	5	11	3	8	0	6	2	6	5	9	14	6	2	11	4	
DK/NA	5	4	6	5	4	5	5	11	3	8	0	6	2	6	5	9	14	6	2	11	4	
				GENDER	BY AGE	}			D	ARTV R	GENDE1	<b>&gt;</b>					DAE	TY BY	AGE.			
										mar D.	CENDER						LAN		LICE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39		WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59		REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	Total  1024		MEN		WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
Base TOTAL FAVOR		18-39 	MEN 40-59	60+ 	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN  138	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39	40-59	60+
	1024	18-39  188	MEN 40-59  171	60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161	WOMEN 40-59  204	60+  144	MEN  138	DEM WOMEN 	IND/L MEN 	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN 	WOMEN  102	18-39  117	40-59  130	60+  67	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66	40-59  85	60+  64
TOTAL FAVOR	 1024 <b>55</b> %	18-39  188 <b>63</b> %	MEN 40-59  171 <b>61</b> %	60+  135 <b>61</b> %	WOMEN 18-39  161 50%	WOMEN 40-59  204 48%	60+  144 <b>49</b> %	MEN  138 <b>72</b> %	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	WOMEN  102 34%	18-39  117 <b>60</b> %	40-59  130 <b>62</b> %	60+  67 <b>69</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132 56%	IND/L 60+  124 55%	18-39  66 <b>48</b> %	40-59  85 <b>46</b> %	60+  64 <b>47</b> %
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	 1024 <b>55%</b> 32	18-39  188 <b>63%</b> 34	MEN 40-59  171 <b>61%</b> 42	60+  135 <b>61</b> % 43	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>50%</b> 20	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>48%</b> 23	60+  144 <b>49</b> % 32	MEN  138 <b>72%</b> 49	DEM WOMEN 185	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 36	IND/L WOMEN  184 55% 31	REP MEN  118 58% 40	WOMEN  102 <b>34%</b> 16	18-39  117 <b>60%</b> 28	40-59  130 <b>62%</b> 39	60+  67 <b>69</b> % 47	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 34	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 30	IND/L 60+  124 55% 38	18-39  66 <b>48%</b> 23	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30	60+  64 <b>47%</b> 33
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	1024 55% 32 24	18-39  188 <b>63%</b> 34 30	MEN 40-59  171 <b>61%</b> 42 19	60+  135 <b>61%</b> 43 18	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>50%</b> 20 30	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>48%</b> 23 25	60+  144 <b>49%</b> 32 17	MEN  138 <b>72%</b> 49 23	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>56%</b> 29 28	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 36 26	IND/L WOMEN  184 55% 31 24	REP MEN  118 58% 40 18	WOMEN  102 <b>34%</b> 16 18	18-39  117 <b>60%</b> 28 32	40-59  130 <b>62%</b> 39 23	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 47 22	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 34 30	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 30 26	IND/L 60+  124 <b>55%</b> 38 17	18-39  66 <b>48%</b> 23 25	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16	60+  64 <b>47%</b> 33 14
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	1024 55% 32 24	18-39  188 <b>63%</b> 34 30	MEN 40-59  171 <b>61%</b> 42 19	60+  135 <b>61%</b> 43 18	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>50%</b> 20 30 <b>43</b>	WOMEN 40-59  204 48% 23 25	60+  144 <b>49%</b> 32 17	MEN 138 72% 49 23	DEM WOMEN  185 <b>56%</b> 29 28	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 36 26	IND/L WOMEN  184 55% 31 24	REP MEN  118 58% 40 18	WOMEN  102 <b>34%</b> 16 18 <b>54</b>	18-39  117 <b>60%</b> 28 32 <b>38</b>	40-59  130 <b>62%</b> 39 23 <b>31</b>	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 47 22 <b>29</b>	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 34 30	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 30 26	IND/L 60+  124 55% 38 17	18-39  66 <b>48%</b> 23 25 <b>43</b>	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16 <b>50</b>	60+ 64 <b>47%</b> 33 14
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	1024 55% 32 24 39 15	18-39  188 <b>63%</b> 34 30 <b>35</b> 14	MEN 40-59 171 61% 42 19 37 13	60+  135 <b>61%</b> 43 18 <b>36</b> 11	WOMEN 18-39  161 50% 20 30 43 20	WOMEN 40-59  204 <b>48%</b> 23 25 <b>43</b>	60+  144 <b>49%</b> 32 17 <b>43</b>	MEN 138 72% 49 23 26 8	DEM WOMEN 185 56% 29 28 38 14	IND/L MEN  195 <b>62%</b> 36 26 <b>37</b>	IND/L WOMEN  184 55% 31 24 38 16	REP MEN 118 58% 40 18 42	WOMEN  102 <b>34%</b> 16 18 <b>54</b> 17	18-39  117 <b>60%</b> 28 32 <b>38</b> 11	40-59  130 <b>62%</b> 39 23 <b>31</b> 11	60+  67 <b>69%</b> 47 22 <b>29</b> 11	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>64%</b> 34 30 <b>35</b>	IND/L 40-59  132 <b>56%</b> 30 26 <b>39</b> 15	IND/L 60+  124 55% 38 17	18-39  66 <b>48%</b> 23 25 <b>43</b> 15	40-59  85 <b>46%</b> 30 16 <b>50</b> 12	60+  64 <b>47%</b> 33 14 <b>49</b>

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ſ		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
TOTAL FAVOR	55%	51%	53%	58%	64%	52%	60%	58%	45%	66%	54%	56%	55%	52%	60%	56%	50%	53%	51%	69%	43%	66%
Strongly favor	32	28	24	38	43	26	39	32	20	48	31	38	29	28	36	37	25	25	31	37	28	39
Somewhat favor	24	23	29	21	21	26	21	26	25	18	23	18	27	25	24	18	25	28	20	31	15	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	39	45	40	37	32	43	36	40	45	32	39	42	38	41	36	42	42	40	42	29	52	31
Somewhat oppose	15	19	18	9	14	18	10	17	20	8	13	16	14	17	10	15	16	17	20	10	12	9
Strongly oppose	25	26	22	28	18	24	25	23	26	24	26	26	24	23	26	27	26	23	22	19	40	21
DK/NA	5	4	7	5	4	6	5	2	9	2	7	2	6	7	4	3	8	7	7	3	5	3
DK/NA	5	4	7	5	4	6	5	2	9	2	7	2	6	7	4	3	8	7	7	3	5	3

TABLE 43: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

		IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
Total		WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74				60+	HISP/		AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base 1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Yes 61%				61%	56%	56%			66%	56%				49%	57%	
No 32 DK/NA 7			26 5	33 6	34 10	37 7			29 5	35 9	31 8		30 7	41 10	35 8	
	~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		IRECTIO	N		U.S./ RELTNS			GAGE WI						
Total	BORN		RIGHT	WRONG	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base 1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Yes 61%				57%	63%			84%		51%	36%			31%		
No 32 DK/NA 7				35 8	26 11		38 8	11 5	24 6	40 9	59 4			46 23		
		CUBA 7	RELATI FARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA			/B LIT		STATE		BA BELO ORED TE			
Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	NO	DK/NA
Base 1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177		252	414	537	73
Yes 61% No 32 DK/NA 7	10	60	41	64% 28 8	34% 60 6	58% 34 8	30	31	59% 33 8	42% 52 6	53% 40 7	21	19	47% 47 6	75% 20 5	37% 33 30
		CELL I					OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
Total	CELL				VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA		FAV/ IND/L	
Base 1024			212	156	92		350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
Yes 61% No 32			58% 31	51% 40	61% 30	59% 34		67% 29	59% 36	70% 23	63% 33			49% 42	71% 28	
DK/NA 7				10	8	7				7				9	1	

TABLE 43: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS		REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Yes	61%		62%	60%	64%	65%	58%			74%	74%	62%	68%	68%	52%	49%	34%	67%	61%	68%	55%	
No	32		29	32	30	29	32			21	24	29	29	27	36	45	57	26			35	
DK/NA	7	6	9	8	6	7	10	7	6	5	3	9	3	6	12	5	9	6	5	9	10	
				GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+		IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Yes	61%	71%	65%	64%	59%	49%	57%	71%	59%	70%	59%	65%	49%	67%	55%	73%	71%	62%	61%	65%	56%	53%
No	32	25	30	28	34	40	34	23	35	28	29	26	40	28	38	20	25	29	32	27	34	34
DK/NA	7	4	5	8	7	11	8	7	6	2	12	8	12	5	7	7	5	9	7	7	11	12
				Q22 ED	UCATION	Г		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	ī		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Yes	61%	56%	50%	71%	70%	53%	71%	63%	43%	73%	69%	64%	66%	56%	61%	64%	66%	54%	59%	65%	57%	62%
No	32	35	42	25	25	38	25		45	23	27	30	27	36	31	30	27	38	33	29	34	30
DK/NA	7	9	8	4	5	9	4	5	12	4	5	6	7	8	8	5	7	8	8	6	10	8

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

			IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39		60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative	<b>35%</b> 15	<b>36</b> %	<b>33</b> %	<b>28</b> %	<b>26%</b>	<b>35%</b> 16	<b>36%</b> 15	<b>43</b> %	<b>46</b> % 29	<b>27</b> %	<b>34</b> %	<b>44</b> % 20	<b>23</b> %	<b>38%</b> 18	<b>22%</b> 6	<b>27</b> %
Somewhat conservative	19	20	19	16	17	19	21	24	16	16	18	23	12	20	15	16
MODERATE Moderate	<b>34</b> 34	<b>34</b> 34	<b>35</b> 35	<b>31</b> 31	<b>37</b> 37	<b>38</b> 38	<b>34</b> 34	<b>27</b> 27	<b>42</b> 42	<b>33</b> 33	<b>37</b> 37	<b>32</b> 32	<b>41</b> 41	<b>34</b> 34	<b>36</b> 36	<b>34</b> 34
<u>LIBERAL</u> Somewhat liberal Very liberal	<b>27</b> 18 9	<b>26</b> 17 9	<b>28</b> 19 9	<b>33</b> 21 12	<b>33</b> 18 15	<b>25</b> 17 9	<b>26</b> 18 7	<b>27</b> 21 6	<b>9</b> 9 0	33 20 13	<b>26</b> 17 9	<b>21</b> 17 4	<b>30</b> 13 17	<b>23</b> 17 6	<b>41</b> 28 13	<b>35</b> 21 14
DK/NA/REF DK/NA/Refused	<b>4</b> 4	<b>4</b> 4	<b>5</b> 5	<b>8</b> 8	<b>4</b> 4	<b>2</b> 2	<b>4</b> 4	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b> 4	<b>7</b> 7	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b> 5	<b>5</b> 5	<b>1</b> 1	<b>4</b> 4
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO			J.S./ RELTNS			NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
CONSERVATIVE	35%	19%	26%	22%	45%	19%	35%	33%	27%	30%	40%	54%	28%	49%	18%	
Very conservative Somewhat conservative	15 19	14 5	10 16	10 12	20 25	7 12	15 20	16 17	11 16	12 18	15 25	29 25	11 17	23 25	8 10	
MODERATE Moderate	<b>34</b> 34	<b>63</b>	<b>30</b> 30	<b>38</b> 38	<b>32</b> 32	<b>32</b> 32	<b>33</b> 33	<b>38</b> 38	<b>35</b> 35	<b>37</b> 37	<b>29</b> 29	<b>27</b> 27	<b>36</b> 36	<b>28</b> 28	<b>48</b> 48	
<u>LIBERAL</u> Somewhat liberal Very liberal	<b>27</b> 18 9	<b>17</b> 8 9	<b>37</b> 15 22	38 24 13	<b>19</b> 12 7	<b>34</b> 28 6	<b>28</b> 19 9	<b>25</b> 15 10	<b>35</b> 22 13	<b>29</b> 22 7	<b>25</b> 16 10	<b>14</b> 9 5	<b>33</b> 22 10	<b>18</b> 12 7	<b>25</b> 17 8	
DK/NA/REF DK/NA/Refused	<b>4</b> 4	<b>2</b> 2	<b>7</b> 7	<b>2</b> 2	<b>4</b> 4	<b>15</b> 15	<b>4</b> 4	<b>4</b> 4	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b> 5	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b> 5	<b>9</b> 9	

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

		NOR	CUBA 7	RELATI TARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA	16 MSG	A, SPI	LIT			~	BA BELO			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
CONSERVATIVE	35%	27%	47%	34%	43%	34%	34%	35%	35%	34%	44%	34%	31%	30%	40%	31%	33%
Very conservative	15	10	25	15	14	20	17	14	14	17	24	12	9	17	19	13	15
Somewhat conservative	19	17	23	19	28	14	18	21	22	17	21	22	22	13	21	18	18
MODERATE	34	36	29	35	33	33	33	35	33	35	31	33	37	36	32	37	31
Moderate	34	36	29	35	33	33	33	35	33	35	31	33	37	36	32	37	31
LIBERAL	27	34	18	24	23	26	28	26	27	27	21	26	30	30	23	30	24
Somewhat liberal	18	23	12	15	15	15	18	17	18	18	11	19	23	18	15	21	14
Very liberal	9	11	6	10	8	11	10	8	10	8	10	7	6	12	9	9	10
DK/NA/REF	4	2	6	6	1	7	5	4	4	5	3	7	3	3	5	3	12
DK/NA/Refused	4	2	6	6	1	7	5	4	4	5	3	7	3	3	5	3	12
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE		Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA 7 OPIN	
	Total	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U	SAGE	VERY CONS	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS	OGY MOD	LIB	Q21  VERY FAV	TEA PA		TOTAL			OPIN FAV/ IND/L	
Base	Total  1024	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY			LIB  275	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	OPIN  FAV/	
Base CONSERVATIVE		LANI  ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD		VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	OPIN FAV/ IND/L	
	1024	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156	VERY LIB	CONS  354	MOD  350	275	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61	
CONSERVATIVE	1024 <b>35</b> %	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156 100%	VERY LIB  92	CONS  354 100%	MOD  350	275 <b>0</b> %	VERY FAV  111	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99	Y OPIN FAV/ IND/L  61	
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative	1024 <b>35%</b> 15	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 35%	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 42% 18	CONS  156 100% 100 0	VERY LIB 92 0%	CONS  354 100% 44 56	MOD  350 0% 0	275 0% 0 0	VERY FAV  111 <b>79%</b> 52	VERY UNFAV  254 10% 4 6	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>71%</b> 38	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>14%</b> 6	NO/DK RF/NA  427 32% 12	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 86% 53	FAV/ IND/L  61 46%	
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative Somewhat conservative	1024 <b>35%</b> 15	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 35% 16 19	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 42% 18 24	CONS  156 100% 100 0	VERY LIB  92 <b>0%</b> 0	CONS  354 100% 44 56	MOD  350 <b>0%</b> 0	275 <b>0%</b> 0	VERY FAV  111 <b>79%</b> 52 27	VERY UNFAV  254 10% 4	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>71%</b> 38 34	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>14%</b> 6	NO/DK RF/NA  427 32% 12 20	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 86% 53 33	FAV/ IND/L 61 46% 18 27	
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative Somewhat conservative  MODERATE Moderate LIBERAL	1024 35% 15 19 34 34	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 35% 16 19 33 33	BOTH EQUAL 338 28% 11 17 38 38 31	ALL/M LNDLN 	CONS  156 100% 100 0	VERY LIB 92 0% 0 0 0 100	CONS 354 100% 44 56 0	MOD 350	275 0% 0 0 0	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 10% 4 6 39 39 50	TOTAL FAV 226 71% 38 34 20 20 6	TOTAL UNFAV 337 14% 6 8 40 40 45	NO/DK RF/NA  427 32% 12 20 38 38 25	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 86% 53 33 13 0	FAV/ IND/L 61 46% 18 27 30 30	
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative Somewhat conservative  MODERATE Moderate  LIBERAL Somewhat liberal	1024 35% 15 19 34 34 27	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 35% 16 19 33 33 27 17	BOTH EQUAL 338 28% 11 17 38 38 31	SAGE	CONS  156 100% 100 0	VERY LIB 92 0% 0 0 0 0 100 0	CONS 354 100% 44 56 0 0	MOD 350 0% 0 0 100 100 0 0	275 0% 0 0 0 0	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 10% 4 6 39 39 50 28	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV 337 14% 6 8 40 40 45 27	NO/DK RF/NA  427 32% 12 20 38 38 25	PARTY	FAV/ IND/L 61 46% 18 27 30 30 14	
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative Somewhat conservative  MODERATE Moderate LIBERAL	1024 35% 15 19 34 34	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 35% 16 19 33 33	BOTH EQUAL 338 28% 11 17 38 38 31	ALL/M LNDLN 	CONS  156 100% 100 0	VERY LIB 92 0% 0 0 0 100	CONS 354 100% 44 56 0	MOD 350	275 0% 0 0 0	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 10% 4 6 39 39 50	TOTAL FAV 226 71% 38 34 20 20 6	TOTAL UNFAV 337 14% 6 8 40 40 45	NO/DK RF/NA  427 32% 12 20 38 38 25	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 86% 53 33 13 0	FAV/ IND/L 61 46% 18 27 30 30	
CONSERVATIVE Very conservative Somewhat conservative  MODERATE Moderate  LIBERAL Somewhat liberal	1024 35% 15 19 34 34 27	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 35% 16 19 33 33 27 17	BOTH EQUAL 338 28% 11 17 38 38 31	SAGE	CONS  156 100% 100 0	VERY LIB 92 0% 0 0 0 0 100 0	CONS 354 100% 44 56 0 0	MOD 350 0% 0 0 100 100 0 0	275 0% 0 0 0 0	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 10% 4 6 39 39 50 28	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV 337 14% 6 8 40 40 45 27	NO/DK RF/NA  427 32% 12 20 38 38 25	PARTY	FAV/ IND/L 61 46% 18 27 30 30 14	

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

								~	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS		REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
CONSERVATIVE	35%	16%	23%	74%	17%	30%	75%	21%	19%	12%	11%	23%	70%	62%	82%	18%	25%	0%	36%	0%	100%	
Very conservative	15	7	9	35	8	11	38	6	10	3	2	9	24	16	51	7	5	0	17	0	51	
Somewhat conservative	19	9	14	39	9	19	36	15	9	9	9	14	46	45	31	12	20	0	19	0	49	
MODERATE	34	33	48	21	30	45	18	40	29	35	49	48	29	28	12	37	45	0	64	74	0	
Moderate	34	33	48	21	30	45	18	40	29	35	49	48	29	28	12	37	45	0	64	74	0	
LIBERAL	27	49	22	5	51	21	7	18	51	52	40	22	1	10	5	23	9	100	0	26	0	
Somewhat liberal	18	31	14	4	31	15	5	17	26	41	37	14	1	8	3	22	9	60	0	20	0	
Very liberal	9	18	8	1	20	6	2	1	24	11	3	8	0	2	1	1	0	40	0	7	0	
DK/NA/REF	4	2	7	0	2	5	1	21	2	1	0	7	0	0	1	21	21	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA/Refused	4	2	7	0	2	5	1	21	2	1	0	7	0	0	1	21	21	0	0	0	0	
				GENDER	BY AGE				P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	TY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	TND/I.	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	TND/I	TND/I.	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN		40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+		40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
CONSERVATIVE	35%	27%	37%	48%	27%	31%	39%	20%	15%	26%	34%	79%	70%	18%	11%	22%	18%	33%	38%	69%	72%	83%
Very conservative	15	11	15	27	11	16	14	12	5	9	12	38	39	11	4	10	4	14	12	27	37	51
Somewhat conservative	19	16	22	22	17	15	25	8	10	16	22	41	31	7	7	13	13	19	25	42	36	32
MODERATE	34	36	36	28	30	38	37	28	32	48	42	15	22	23	34	37	47	50	38		22	15
Moderate	34	36	36	28	30	38	37	28	32	48	42	15	22	23	34	37	47	50	38	17	22	15
LIBERAL	27	30	25	21	37	26	21	51	51	22	19	5	8	58	52	37	26	14	22	13	5	2
Somewhat liberal	18	17	15	18	23	19	17	29	32	16	13	4	6	32	30	32	17	12	17	8	5	2
Very liberal	9	12	10	3	14	8	4	22	19	6	5	1	2	26	23	5	9	3	6	6	0	0
DK/NA/REF	4	7	2	3	6	4	4	1	2	4	5	1	0	1	2	4	9	3	2	1	1	0
DK/NA/Refused	4	7	2	3	6	4	4	1	2	4	5	1	0	1	2	4	9	3	2	1	1	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
CONSERVATIVE	35%	37%	35%	35%	30%	36%	33%	39%	32%	34%	33%	28%	34%	41%	30%	27%	34%	39%	47%	35%	43%	25%
Very conservative	15	18	12	17	10	15	15	16	14	18	13	12	14	20	12	12	15	20	19	13	18	10
Somewhat conservative	19	18	23	17	20	20	18	23	18	16	20	17	20	21	18	15	19	19	28	22	25	15
MODERATE	34	34	33	38	31	33	36	33	34	36	36	39	40	28	34	39	43	28	29	33	34	34
Moderate	34	34	33	38	31	33	36	33	34	36	36	39	40	28	34	39	43	28	29	33	34	34
LIBERAL	27	24	26	26	38	25	29	23	27	29	29	28	24	25	31	29	22	28	18	26	16	37
Somewhat liberal	18	12	19	20	22	16	20	15	17	19	21	21	18	17	17	23	17	21	8	19	10	19
Very liberal	9	11	7	6	17	9	9	8	10	10	8	7	6	8	14	6	5	7	11	8	5	17
DK/NA/REF	4	6	6	2	0	6	2	5	7	2	1	5	2	5	5	5	0	5	6	5	6	5
DK/NA/Refused	4	6	6	2	0	6	2	5	7	2	1	5	2	5	5	5	0	5	6	5	6	5

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

			DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
DEMOCRAT	32%	28%	35%	32%	35%	30%	36%	24%	18%	33%	35%	24%	39%	25%	73%	45%
Strong Democrat	23	20	26	20	23	21	31	17	13	21	27	18	32	15	58	36
Not so strong Democrat	9	8	10	12	12	9	5	8	6	12	7	6	6	9	15	9
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	39	35	32	38	41	32	48	43	34	35	44	39	39	20	33
Independent/lean Democrat	5	5	5	4	9	5	5	8	2	6	4	6	6	6	5	4
Independent/no leans	25	27	24	26	22	31	22	26	29	24	26	26	30	25	15	26
Independent/lean Republican	. 7	7	6	3	7	6	6	14	12	4	5	12	4	8	1	3
REPUBLICAN	22	23	20	20	18	21	23	21	31	19	23	23	10	27	3	12
Not so strong Republican	8	7	8	9	9	6	9	7	2	9	8	6	3	9	3	6
Strong Republican	14	16	11	11	9	15	13	14	29	10	15	17	7	19	0	6
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	10	10	10	16	9	8	9	7	7	13	7	9	12	9	4	10
No, not registered to vote	6	-6	6	14	7	3	3	2	2	11	3	3	8	6	2	7
DK/NA	4	4	4	1	3	4	5	5	5	2	4	6	4	4	2	4
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS					ELATION A INIT			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR		DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
DEMOCRAT	32%	58%	28%	49%	20%	36%	30%	34%	35%	29%	37%	25%	32%	30%	34%	
Strong Democrat	23	56	20	37	13	24	22	25	23	21	28	19	22	23	26	
Not so strong Democrat	9	2	9	12	6	1,1	9	9	12	8	9	6	10	7	8	
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	27	45	32	40	38	37	37	40	42	33	33	41	33	31	
Independent/lean Democrat	5	0	9	8	4	6	5	7	6	9	3	4	7	4	1	
Independent/no leans	25	27	31	20	27	30	25	24	27	27	25	19	27	21	28	
Independent/lean Republican	. 7	0	6	4	9	2	7	6	7	6	5	9	7	8	3	
REPUBLICAN	22	5	13	12	29	10	23	18	20	20	17	30	20	25	18	
Not so strong Republican	8	2	4	6	9	5	7	9	8	9	6	7	9	6	8	
Strong Republican	14	3	9	5	20	5	16	10	11	11	11	23	11	19	10	
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	10	10	13	7	10	16	10	11	6	9	13	12	7	12	17	
No, not registered to vote	6	6	9	5	5	13	5	8	3	7	8	6	5	7	8	
DK/NA	4	4	4	2	5	3	5	2	2	2	4	6	2	5	8	

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

		NOR		RELATI FARGET		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA	L6 MSG TION	A/ SPI			STATE		BA BELC	RRORISM		
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
DEMOCRAT	32%	34%	27%	31%	44%	24%	33%	30%	30%	33%	29%	33%	34%	31%	31%	32%	30%
Strong Democrat	23	24	20	24	40	16	24	22	22	23	23	24	21	24	23	23	22
Not so strong Democrat	9	11	7	7	4	8	10	8	7	10	7	9	12	7	8	10	8
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	41	33	35	30	39	35	40	39	36	33	39	39	40	36	39	30
Independent/lean Democrat	5	8	4	3	4	4	4	6	5	6	3	9	6	6	6	6	0
Independent/no leans	25	27	22	25	19	29	24	26	27	23	23	25	25	27	24	26	27
Independent/lean Republican	n 7	6	8	6	8	7	6	7	7	7	6	6	8	7	6	8	3
REPUBLICAN	22	19	28	20	16	23	22	21	22	21	29	14	17	23	22	20	29
Not so strong Republican	8	8	7	8	6	10	8	8	8	8	9	6	7	8	8	7	11
Strong Republican	14	11	21	12	10	12	15	13	14	13	19	8	10	16	14	13	17
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	10	6	12	14	10	14	10	10	9	11	9	14	11	6	11	9	12
No, not registered to vote	6	4	7	8	7	8	6	6	6	6	5	10	7	3	7	5	5
DK/NA	4	2	5	6	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	3	6
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE		Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	PARTY	21 TEA	
	Total	LANI	LINE U	SAGE  ALL/M	VERY	Q19 VERY LIB	IDEOL	OGY MOD	LIB	Q21  VERY FAV	TEA PA VERY UNFAV		TOTAL			OPIN	
Base	Total  1024	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY			LIB  275	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY  FAV/	OPIN  FAV/	
Base DEMOCRAT		LANI  ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD		VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	PAV/ IND/L	
	1024	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN  212	CONS  156	VERY LIB	CONS  354	MOD  350	275	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61	
DEMOCRAT	1024 <b>32</b> %	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156 16%	VERY LIB  92	CONS  354 15%	MOD  350 28%	275 <b>60</b> %	VERY FAV  111	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99	7 OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat	1024 32% 23	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24	BOTH EQUAL 338	ALL/M LNDLN  212 28% 21	CONS  156 <b>16%</b> 14	VERY LIB  92 <b>72%</b> 61	CONS  354 15%	MOD  350 <b>28%</b> 19	275 <b>60%</b> 43	VERY FAV 111 13% 13	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>54%</b> 43	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>12%</b>	TOTAL UNFAV  337 48% 37	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 0%	FAV/ IND/L  61 7%	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat	1024 32% 23 9	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24	BOTH EQUAL 338	ALL/M LNDLN  212 28% 21 8	CONS  156 <b>16%</b> 14 2	VERY LIB  92 <b>72%</b> 61 11	CONS  354 15% 12 3	MOD  350 <b>28%</b> 19	275 60% 43 17	VERY FAV 111 13% 13 0	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>54%</b> 43 11	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>12%</b> 10	TOTAL UNFAV  337 48% 37 11	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99  0% 0	7 OPIN  FAV/ IND/L  61 7% 0 7	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans	32% 23 9 37 5	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24 9	BOTH EQUAL 338 32% 22 9	ALL/M LNDLN  212 28% 21 8	CONS  156 <b>16%</b> 14 2	VERY LIB  92 72% 61 11	CONS  354 15% 12 3	MOD  350 <b>28%</b> 19 9	275 60% 43 17	VERY FAV 111 13% 13 0	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>54%</b> 43 11	TOTAL FAV  226 12% 10 2	TOTAL UNFAV  337 48% 37 11	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19 11 36 4 27	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 0% 0 0 0	7 OPIN  FAV/ IND/L  61 7% 0 7	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat	32% 23 9 37 5	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24 9 33	BOTH EQUAL 338 32% 22 9 41 6	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 28% 21 8 42 5	CONS  156 <b>16%</b> 14 2 <b>26</b> 1	VERY LIB  92 72% 61 11 23	CONS  354 15% 12 3	MOD 350 28% 19 9	275 60% 43 17 28 8	VERY FAV 111 13% 13 0 38 1	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>54%</b> 43 11 <b>33</b> 9	TOTAL FAV 226 12% 10 2	TOTAL UNFAV 337 48% 37 11 36 9	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19 11	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99  0% 0 0	FAV/ IND/L  61 7% 0 7	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans	32% 23 9 37 5	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24 9 33 5 23	BOTH EQUAL 338 32% 22 9 41 6 28	ALL/M LNDLN  212 28% 21 8 42 5 26	CONS  156 16% 14 2 26 1	VERY LIB  92 <b>72%</b> 61 11 <b>23</b> 2	CONS  354 <b>15%</b> 12 3 <b>32</b> 2	MOD  350 <b>28%</b> 19 9 <b>49</b> 8	275 60% 43 17 28 8 20	VERY FAV 1111 13% 13 0 38 1 19	VERY UNFAV  254 <b>54%</b> 43 11 <b>33</b> 9	TOTAL FAV 226 12% 10 2 41 2 23	TOTAL UNFAV 337 48% 37 11 36 9 24	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19 11 36 4 27	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 0% 0 0 0	7 OPIN  FAV/ IND/L  61 7% 0 7	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republican  REPUBLICAN Not so strong Republican	1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24 9 33 55 23 4	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 338 32% 22 9 41 628 7	ALL/M LNDLN 212 28% 21 8 42 5 26 10	CONS  156 16% 14 2 26 1 15	VERY LIB 92 72% 61 11 23 2 2 1 0	CONS 354 15% 12 3 32 2 17 14	MOD 350 28% 19 9 49 836	275 60% 43 17 28 8 20 0	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 54% 43 11 33 9 22 2	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV 337 48% 37 11 366 9 24 4	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19 11 36 42 7 5	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 0% 0 0 0 0	FAV/ IND/L 61 7% 0 7 93 7 86 0	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republican REPUBLICAN	32% 23 9 37 5 25 1	LANI ALL/M CELL -461 34% 24 9 33 5 23 4	BOTH EQUAL 338 32% 22 9 41 6 28 7 20	ALL/M LNDLN 212 28% 21 8 42 5 26 10	CONS 156 16% 14 2 26 1 15 10 54	VERY LIB 	CONS 354 15% 12 3 32 2 17 14	MOD 350 28% 19 9 49 8 36 6	275 60% 43 17 28 8 20 0	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 54% 43 11 33 9 22 2 9	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV 337 48% 37 11 366 9 24 4 12	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19 11 36 4 27 5	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 0% 0 0 0 100	7 OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61 7% 0 7 93 7 86 0 0	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republican  REPUBLICAN Not so strong Republican	1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25 1	LANI ALL/M CELL -461 34% 24 9 33 5 23 4 22 9	BOTH EQUAL 338 32% 22 9 41 6 28 7 20 7	ALL/M LNDLN 	CONS 156 16% 144 2 26 1 155 10 54	VERY LIB 	CONS 354 15% 12 3 32 2 17 14 47	MOD 350 28% 19 9 49 8 36 6	275 60% 43 17 28 8 20 0	VERY FAV 1111 13% 13 0 38 1 19 18 46 12	VERY UNFAV 254 54% 43 11 33 9 22 2 9 2	TOTAL FAV 226  12% 10 2 41 2 23 16 44 13	TOTAL UNFAV 337 48% 37 11 366 9 24 4	NO/DK RF/NA 	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 0% 0 0 0 100 29	FAV/ IND/L 61 7% 0 7 93 7 86 0	
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republican  REPUBLICAN Not so strong Republican Strong Republican	32% 23 9 37 5 25 7 22 8 14	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 34% 24 9 33 5 23 4 22 9 13	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN 	CONS 156 16% 14 2 26 1 15 10 54 8 46	VERY LIB 92 72% 61 11 23 2 21 0	CONS 354 15% 12 3 32 2 17 14 47 14 33	MOD  350  28% 19 9  49 8 36 6  11 6 5	275 60% 43 17 28 8 20 0	VERY FAV 1111 13% 13 0	VERY UNFAV 254 54% 43 11 33 9 22 2 9 9 2 7 7	TOTAL FAV 226  12% 10 2  41 2 23 16  44 13 31	TOTAL UNFAV 337 48% 37 11 366 9 24 4 12 4 8	NO/DK RF/NA  427 30% 19 11 366 4 27 5	PARTY FAV/ REPS 0 0 0 0 100 29 71	7 OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61 7% 0 7 93 7 86 0	

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

									0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
DEMOCRAT	32%	86%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	
Strong Democrat	23	62	0	0	72	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	72		0	
Not so strong Democrat	9	24	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	28	0	0	
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	14	100	24	0	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Independent/lean Democrat	5	14	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Independent/no leans	25	0	100	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Independent/lean Republica	n 7	0	0	24	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
REPUBLICAN	22	0	0	76	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	
Not so strong Republican	8	0	0	28	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	56	30	
Strong Republican	14	0	0	49	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	44	70	
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	
No, not registered to vote	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	
				GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
				GENDER	BY AGE	] 			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R 					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	GENDER MEN 60+	BY AGE WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	 WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	ARTY B		R REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+		RTY BY  IND/L 40-59		REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	Total  1024		MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN			DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP					IND/L	IND/L	IND/L			
Base DEMOCRAT		18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	60+	MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+ 	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	18-39 	40-59	60+ 
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat	1024 32% 23	18-39  188 <b>28%</b> 17	MEN 40-59  171 <b>32%</b> 27	MEN 60+  135 <b>22%</b> 15	WOMEN 18-39  161 <b>40%</b> 26	WOMEN 40-59  204 37% 28	60+  144 <b>26</b> % 21	MEN  138 <b>100%</b> 71	DEM WOMEN  185 100% 73	IND/L MEN  195 <b>0%</b>	IND/L WOMEN  184 0%	REP MEN  118 <b>0%</b>	WOMEN  102 <b>0%</b> 0	18-39  117 <b>100%</b> 63	40-59  130 <b>100%</b> 79	60+  67 <b>100%</b> 75	IND/L 18-39  120 <b>0%</b> 0	IND/L 40-59  132 0%	IND/L 60+  124 <b>0%</b> 0	18-39  66 <b>0%</b> 0	40-59  85 <b>0%</b> 0	60+  64 <b>0%</b> 0
DEMOCRAT	1024 32%	18-39  188 <b>28</b> %	MEN 40-59  171	MEN 60+  135	WOMEN 18-39  161 40%	WOMEN 40-59  204	60+  144 <b>26</b> %	MEN  138 100%	DEM WOMEN  185	IND/L MEN  195	IND/L WOMEN  184	REP MEN  118	WOMEN  102 0%	18-39  117 <b>100</b> %	40-59  130 100%	60+  67 <b>100</b> %	IND/L 18-39  120	IND/L 40-59  132	IND/L 60+  124	18-39  66 <b>0%</b> 0	40-59  85 <b>0</b> %	60+  64 <b>0</b> %
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat	1024 32% 23 9	18-39  188 <b>28%</b> 17 10 <b>41</b>	MEN 40-59 171 32% 27 5	MEN 60+  135 <b>22%</b> 15 7	WOMEN 18-39  161 40% 26 14	WOMEN 40-59  204 37% 28 9	60+  144 <b>26%</b> 21 5	MEN  138 <b>100%</b> 71 29	DEM WOMEN  185 100% 73	IND/L MEN  195 <b>0%</b>	IND/L WOMEN  184 0% 0	REP MEN  118 <b>0%</b>	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0	18-39  117 <b>100%</b> 63	40-59  130 <b>100%</b> 79	60+  67 <b>100%</b> 75 25	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0	IND/L 60+  124 <b>0%</b> 0	18-39  66 <b>0%</b> 0	40-59  85 <b>0%</b> 0	60+  64 0% 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat	1024 32% 23 9 37 5	18-39  188 28% 17 10 41	MEN 40-59 171 32% 27 5	MEN 60+  135 22% 15 7 41 6	WOMEN 18-39  161 40% 26 14 27	WOMEN 40-59  204 37% 28 9	60+  144 <b>26%</b> 21 5	MEN  138 <b>100%</b> 71 29 <b>0</b>	DEM WOMEN 185 100% 73 27 0 0	IND/L MEN  195 0% 0 0	IND/L WOMEN  184 0% 0 0	REP MEN  118 <b>0%</b> 0	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0	18-39  117 <b>100%</b> 63 37	40-59  130 <b>100%</b> 79 21 <b>0</b> 0	60+  67 <b>100%</b> 75 25 <b>0</b>	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0 0	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0 0	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0	18-39  66 0% 0	40-59  85 <b>0%</b> 0 0	60+  64 0% 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans	1024 32% 23 9 37 5	18-39  188 28% 17 10 41 7 28	MEN 40-59 171 32% 27 5	MEN 60+  135 <b>22%</b> 15 7 <b>41</b> 6	WOMEN 18-39  161 40% 26 14 27 5 20	WOMEN 40-59  204 37% 28 9 35 6 27	60+  144 <b>26%</b> 21 5 <b>47</b> 6 26	MEN 138 100% 71 29 0 0 0	DEM WOMEN 185 100% 73 27 0 0 0	IND/L MEN  195 0% 0 0	IND/L WOMEN  184 0% 0 0	REP MEN 1118 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18-39  117 100% 63 37 0 0	40-59  130 <b>100%</b> 79 21 <b>0</b> 0	60+  67 <b>100%</b> 75 25 <b>0</b> 0	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0 0	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0 0	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0	18-39  66 0% 0 0	40-59  85 0% 0 0	60+  64 0% 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat	1024 32% 23 9 37 5	18-39  188 28% 17 10 41	MEN 40-59 171 32% 27 5	MEN 60+  135 22% 15 7 41 6	WOMEN 18-39  161 40% 26 14 27	WOMEN 40-59  204 37% 28 9	60+  144 <b>26%</b> 21 5	MEN  138 <b>100%</b> 71 29 <b>0</b>	DEM WOMEN 185 100% 73 27 0 0	IND/L MEN  195 0% 0 0	IND/L WOMEN  184 0% 0 0	REP MEN  118 0% 0	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0	18-39  117 100% 63 37 0	40-59  130 <b>100%</b> 79 21 <b>0</b> 0	60+  67 <b>100%</b> 75 25 <b>0</b>	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0 0	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0 0	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0	18-39  66 0% 0	40-59  85 <b>0%</b> 0 0	60+  64 0% 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republica  REPUBLICAN	 1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25 n 7	18-39  188 28% 17 10 41 7 28 6	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+ 135 22% 15 7 41 6 27 8	WOMEN 18-39 161 40% 26 14 27 5 20 2	WOMEN 40-59 204 37% 28 9 35 6 27 3	60+  144 26% 21 5 47 6 26 26 16	MEN 138 100% 71 29 0 0 0	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 195 0% 0 0 100 13 68 19 0	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18-39  117 100% 63 37 0 0 0 0	40-59  130 100% 79 21 0 0 0 0	60+ 67 100% 75 25 0 0 0	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0 0 100 17 71 12	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0 0 100 13 73 14	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0 100 13 60 27	18-39  66 0% 0 0	40-59  85 0% 0 0 0	60+ 64 0% 0 0 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republica  REPUBLICAN Not so strong Republican	 1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25 n 7	18-39  188 28% 177 10 41 7 28 6	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59	60+  144 26% 21 5 47 6 26 16	MEN 138 100% 711 29 0 0 0 0 0	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 195 0% 0 0 133 688 19 0 0	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 42	18-39  117 100% 633 37 0 0 0 0 0	40-59  130 100% 79 21 0 0 0 0	60+ 67 100% 75 25 0 0 0	IND/L 18-39 	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0 100 13 60 27	18-39  66 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0	40-59 85 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0	60+ 64 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republica  REPUBLICAN	 1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25 n 7	18-39  188 28% 17 10 41 7 28 6	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+ 135 22% 15 7 41 6 27 8	WOMEN 18-39 161 40% 26 14 27 5 20 2	WOMEN 40-59 204 37% 28 9 35 6 27 3	60+  144 26% 21 5 47 6 26 26 16	MEN 138 100% 71 29 0 0 0	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 195 0% 0 0 100 13 68 19 0	IND/L WOMEN 	REP MEN	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18-39  117 100% 63 37 0 0 0 0	40-59  130 100% 79 21 0 0 0 0	60+ 67 100% 75 25 0 0 0	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0 0 100 17 71 12	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0 0 100 13 73 14	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0 100 13 60 27	18-39  66 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0	40-59  85 0% 0 0 0	60+ 64 0% 0 0 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republica  REPUBLICAN Not so strong Republican	 1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25 n 7	18-39  188 28% 177 10 41 7 28 6	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39 	WOMEN 40-59	60+  144 26% 21 5 47 6 26 16	MEN 138 100% 711 29 0 0 0 0 0	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 195 0% 0 0 133 688 19 0 0	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 42	18-39  117 100% 633 37 0 0 0 0 0	40-59  130 100% 79 21 0 0 0 0	60+ 67 100% 75 25 0 0 0	IND/L 18-39 	IND/L 40-59 	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0 100 13 60 27	18-39  66 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 48 52	40-59 85 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0	60+ 64 0% 0 0 0 0 0
DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat Not so strong Democrat  INDEPENDENT W/LEANS Independent/lean Democrat Independent/no leans Independent/lean Republica  REPUBLICAN Not so strong Republican Strong Republican	1024 32% 23 9 37 5 25 n 7 22 8 14	18-39  188 28% 17 10 41 7 28 6	MEN 40-59 171 32% 27 5 35 3 25 8 24 7 17	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39  161 40% 26 14 27 5 20 2	WOMEN 40-59	60+ 144  26% 21 5  47 6 26 16  16 5 12	MEN 138 100% 71 29 0 0 0 0 0 0	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN 195 0% 0 0 0 133 68 19 0 0 0 0	IND/L WOMEN  184 0% 0 0 100 16 67 17 0 0	REP MEN 118 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WOMEN 102 0% 0 0 0 0 100 422 58	18-39 117 100% 63 37 0 0 0 0 0 0	40-59 130  100% 79 21  0 0 0 0 0	60+ 	IND/L 18-39  120 0% 0 0 100 17 71 12 0 0	IND/L 40-59  132 0% 0 0 100 13 73 14	IND/L 60+  124 0% 0 0 100 13 60 27	18-39  66 0% 0 0 0 0 0 100 48 52	40-59  85 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 35 65	60+ 64 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 27 73

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

					Q22 EDI	JCATION	ī		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION				
		Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
	Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
	DEMOCRAT Strong Democrat	<b>32</b> % 23	<b>34%</b> 27	<b>30%</b> 22	<b>30%</b> 20	<b>36%</b> 25	<b>32</b> % 24	<b>32</b> % 21	<b>29%</b> 21		<b>27%</b> 19	<b>36</b> % 24	<b>35%</b> 23	<b>24</b> % 16	<b>32%</b> 23	<b>35%</b> 27	<b>36%</b> 24	<b>29</b> % 19	<b>37%</b> 25	<b>23</b> %	<b>12%</b> 10	<b>21%</b> 16	<b>40</b> % 32	
	Not so strong Democrat	9	7	8	10	11	8	10	8	8	8	12	12	8	9	7	12	10	12	4	2	5	8	
	INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	31	40	41	34	35	39	39	32	38	39	41	44	33	35	39	42	30	40	48	43	31	
	Independent/lean Democrat	5	3	7	6	5	5	6	5		5	6	5	7	4	5	5	8	4	4	5	6	5	
	Independent/no leans	25	22	29	26	19	25	24	28		25	24	29	30	22	23	28	28	21	25	35	24	22	
	Independent/lean Republican	. 7	6	5	8	10	5	9	7	4	8	9	6	7	7	7	6	6	5	10	8	13	4	
:	REPUBLICAN	22	18	20	24	29	19	25	20	18	29	22	18	23	23	21	16	22	24	24	25	27	18	
	Not so strong Republican	8	6	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	12	6	
	Strong Republican	14	12	10	16	21	11	17	12	10	22	13	9	16	15	13	8	14	16	16	21	16	12	
:	NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	10	18	10	6	1	14	4	12	15	5	4	6	10	12	10	9	7	9	13	15	9	11	
	No, not registered to vote	6	12	7	2	0	10	2	10	9	2	2	4	4	7	7	6	3	4	11	5	6	8	
	DK/NA	4	5	3	3	1	4	3	2	6	4	2	2	6	4	3	3	4	5	3	10	3	3	

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

		GENDER Q2 AGE												Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	22% 11 11	<b>24%</b> 12 12	<b>20%</b> 10 10	<b>11%</b> 6 5	<b>13%</b> 6 7	<b>24</b> % 10 14	<b>29</b> % 14 15	<b>31</b> % 17 14	<b>26</b> % 15 10	<b>11%</b> 6 6	<b>27%</b> 12 15	<b>28%</b> 15 12	<b>12%</b> 9 4	<b>24</b> % 11 14	<b>8%</b> 2 5	<b>14%</b> 7 7
TOTAL OPPOSE  Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	<b>33</b> 8 25	<b>32</b> 9 23	<b>34</b> 8 26	<b>26</b> 9 17	<b>32</b> 4 28	<b>38</b> 10 27	<b>36</b> 8 28	<b>38</b> 5 33	<b>26</b> 13 13	28 7 21	<b>37</b> 9 28	<b>34</b> 8 26	<b>23</b> 3 20	<b>34</b> 10 24	<b>47</b> 5 42	<b>32</b> 5 27
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA No opinion/don't know Refused	<b>45</b> 42 3	<b>44</b> 40 3	<b>46</b> 43 3	<b>63</b> 59 4	<b>55</b> 52 3	<b>38</b> 34 4	<b>36</b> 33 3	<b>31</b> 27 3	<b>48</b> 44 4	<b>60</b> 57 3	<b>36</b> 33 3	<b>38</b> 34 4	<b>64</b> 61 4	<b>42</b> 39 3	<b>46</b> 40 5	<b>53</b> 49 4
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO			J.S./ RELTNS		ENG	NORMAI GAGE WI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	<b>22%</b> 11 11	<b>16%</b> 14 3	<b>10%</b> 6 4	<b>9%</b> 5 4	<b>33%</b> 16 17	<b>8%</b> 2 7	<b>25%</b> 13 12	<b>16%</b> 6 11	<b>17%</b> 10 7	<b>20%</b> 7 13	<b>20</b> % 11 9	<b>36%</b> 20 17	<b>18%</b> 9 10	<b>30%</b> 16 14	<b>14%</b> 3 10	
TOTAL OPPOSE  Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	<b>33</b> 8 25	<b>27</b> 2 25	<b>21</b> 3 18	<b>50</b> 11 39	<b>24</b> 7 17	<b>21</b> 5 16	<b>33</b> 8 25	<b>33</b> 8 25	<b>49</b> 10 40	<b>33</b> 11 22	<b>26</b> 10 16	<b>16</b> 4 12	<b>42</b> 10 31	<b>20</b> 6 13	<b>29</b> 2 27	
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA No opinion/don't know Refused	<b>45</b> 42 3	<b>57</b> 52 4	<b>69</b> 66 3	<b>41</b> 39 2	<b>43</b> 40 3	<b>71</b> 62 8	<b>42</b> 39 3	<b>51</b> 47 4	<b>33</b> 31 2	<b>47</b> 44 3	<b>54</b> 51 3	<b>48</b> 44 3	<b>40</b> 37 3	<b>50</b> 47 3	<b>57</b> 50 8	

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

		NOR		RELATI TARGET		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA	L6 MSG TION	A, SPI				~	BA BELO		1 LIST	
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
TOTAL FAVOR	22%	17%	31%	21%	24%	22%	20%	24%	21%	23%	29%	24%	17%	22%	27%	19%	14%
Strongly favor	11	10	18	5	11	3	9	12	10	11	18	8	8	12	14	9	4
Somewhat favor	11	7	13	16	13	19	11	12	11	11	11	16	9	10	13	10	10
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	47	21	22	22	19	34	31	33	33	25	18	34	50	22	41	33
Somewhat oppose	8	11	7	5	4	6	8	8	7	9	7	3	12	9	5	10	9
Strongly oppose	25	36	14	17	18	13	26	24	26	24	18	15	22	41	17	31	24
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	45	36	48	57	54	60	46	44	46	44	46	58	49	29	51	40	53
No opinion/don't know	42	34	45	51	51	52	42	41	42	42	42	53	46	28	47	37	45
Refused	3	2	3	6	3	7	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	1	4	2	8
			CELL I			Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI:	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total		LINE U		VERY	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS	OGY MOD	LIB	Q21  VERY FAV	TEA PA	ARTY FA		NO/DK	~ ~		
Base	Total  1024	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY			LIB  275	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY  FAV/	OPIN FAV/	
Base TOTAL FAVOR		LANI  ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD		VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY FAV/ REPS	OPIN FAV/ IND/L	
	1024	LANI  ALL/M CELL  461	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN  212	CONS  156	VERY LIB	CONS  354	MOD  350	275	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS 	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 	
TOTAL FAVOR	1024 <b>22</b> %	LANI ALL/M CELL 461	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156 <b>54</b> %	VERY LIB  92	CONS  354 46%	MOD  350 <b>13</b> %	 275 <b>5</b> %	VERY FAV  111	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99	OPIN FAV/ IND/L  61	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor	1024 <b>22%</b> 11	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 18%	BOTH EQUAL  338 22%	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 31% 15	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 37	VERY LIB  92 <b>4%</b> 2	CONS  354 46% 25	MOD  350 <b>13%</b> 5	275 5%	VERY FAV  111 100% 100	VERY UNFAV  254 0%	TOTAL FAV  226 100% 49	TOTAL UNFAV  337 0%	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>0%</b> 0	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 100% 51	FAV/ IND/L  61 100% 36	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor	1024 22% 11 11	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 18% 10 8	BOTH EQUAL 338 22% 9	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 31% 15 16	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 37 17	VERY LIB  92 <b>4%</b> 2	CONS  354 <b>46%</b> 25 21	MOD  350 <b>13%</b> 5	275 <b>5%</b> 1 4	VERY FAV  111 100% 100	VERY UNFAV  254 0% 0	TOTAL FAV  226 100% 49 51	TOTAL UNFAV  337 0% 0	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>0%</b> 0	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 100% 51 49	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61 100% 36 64	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor TOTAL OPPOSE	1024 22% 11 11	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 18% 10 8	BOTH EQUAL 338 22% 9 13	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 31% 15 16	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 37 17	VERY LIB  92 4% 2 1	CONS  354 46% 25 21	MOD  350 <b>13%</b> 5 8	275 5% 1 4	VERY FAV 111 100% 100 0	VERY UNFAV  254 0% 0	TOTAL FAV 226 100% 49 51	TOTAL UNFAV  337 0% 0	NO/DK RF/NA  427 0% 0	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 100% 51 49	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61 100% 36 64	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose	1024 22% 11 11 33	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 18% 10 8 32	BOTH EQUAL 338  22% 9 13	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 31% 15 16 28 6	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 37 17 <b>12</b> 6	VERY LIB 92 4% 2 1	CONS  354 46% 25 21 13 6	MOD  350 13% 5 8	275 5% 1 4 55	VERY FAV 111 100% 100 0	VERY UNFAV  254 0% 0 0	TOTAL FAV 226 100% 49 51	TOTAL UNFAV  337 0% 0 0	NO/DK RF/NA  427 0% 0 0	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 100% 51 49 0	OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61 100% 36 64	
TOTAL FAVOR Strongly favor Somewhat favor  TOTAL OPPOSE Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	1024 22% 11 11 33 8 25	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 18% 10 8 32 8 24	BOTH EQUAL 338 22% 9 13 37 9 28	SAGE	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 37 17 <b>12</b> 6	VERY LIB 92 4% 2 1 666 3 63	CONS 354 46% 25 21 13 6 7	MOD 350 <b>13%</b> 5 8 8 10 28	275 5% 1 4 55 9 46	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV 254 0% 0 100 100	TOTAL FAV 226  100% 49 51  0 0	TOTAL UNFAV 337 0% 0 0 100 25 75	NO/DK RF/NA  427 0% 0 0	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 100% 51 49 0	FAV/ IND/L 61 100% 36 64 0 0	

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID															ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
TOTAL FAVOR	22%	8%	20%	46%	8%	24%	45%	9%	9%	5%	8%	20%	52%	36%	50%	6%	12%	3%	14%	24%	52%	
Strongly favor	11	4	8	25	5	11	23	3	6	0		8	29	17	26	1	7	1	9	9	28	
Somewhat favor	11	4	12	22	4	13	22	5	3	5	6	12	22	19	24	5	5	2	6	15	24	
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	50	31	18	50	32	18	14	53	41	53	31	18	17	19	14	15	63	37	37	12	
Somewhat oppose	8	8	10	8	7	10	8	5	6	10		10	10	9	7	4	6	9	6	10	7	
Strongly oppose	25	42	22	10	42	22	11	10	46	31	42	22	8	8	12	10	9	54	31	27	5	
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	45	42	48	35	42	44	37	77	38	54		48	30	47	31	80	73	35	49	40	36	
No opinion/don't know	42	39	46	34	40	41	36	62	35	52		46	30	47	29	75	41	34	46	40	35	
Refused	3	3	3	1	2	3	1	16	2	1	5	3	1	0	1	5	32	1	3	0	1	
			(	GENDER	BY AGE	2			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY .	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	TND/I	 IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39		60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN		18-39	40-59	60+		40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
TOTAL FAVOR	22%	12%	32%	31%	10%	24%	24%	9%	8%	25%	23%	48%	42%	10%	7%	8%	11%	32%	29%	21%	59%	50%
Strongly favor	11	6	14	16	5	10	14	6	3	12	10	23	23	7	3	5	5	9	18	7	34	24
Somewhat favor	11	6	18	15	5	14	10	2	4	14	13	24	19	3	4	3	6	23	11	14	24	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	26	38	33	31	36	35	50	49	30	34	21	15	39	59	53	30	28	38	24	17	16
Somewhat oppose	8	6	12	8	8	7	9	9	6	11	9	7	9	6	9	9	9	10	10	9	6	8
Strongly oppose	25	19	26	25	24	29	26	41	43	19	26	15	6	33	51	44	20	18	29	14	11	8
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	45	62	30	36	58	40	40	41	43	45		31	44	52	34	39	59	40	33	55	24	34
No opinion/don't know	42	60	26	31	53	38	37	39	41	42		31	42	50	33	32	56	37	30	54	23	34
Refused	3	2	4	5	5	2	3	2	2	3	2	0	1	2	0	6	3	2	3	1	1	0

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

				Q22 ED	UCATION	1		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	 271	280	344	119	 551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	 151	227	135	 64	 65	181
TOTAL FAVOR	22%	20%	17%	29%	21%	19%	27%	21%	16%	30%	24%	16%	25%	24%	21%	15%	24%	22%	29%	28%	42%	14%
Strongly favor	11	12	8	13	9	10	12	12	8	12	12	8	8	14	11	7	8	12	18	8	19	8
Somewhat favor	11	9	9	15	12	9	14	9	9	18	12	8	17	10	10	8	16	10	11	20	23	6
TOTAL OPPOSE	33	21	27	39	59	24	44	22	25	44	44	35	32	26	43	36	30	28	21	36	23	50
Somewhat oppose	8	4	9	8	14	7	10	8	6	10	10	12	11	6	5	12	10	5	9	11	1	7
Strongly oppose	25	17	18	30	45	17	34	15	20	34	34	23	21	20	37	24	20	23	12	25	21	43
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	45	59	56	32	20	58	29	57	58	26	32	49	43	50	36	49	46	50	50	37	35	36
No opinion/don't know	42	53	54	31	20	53	28	53	54	25	31	47	39	46	34	47	42	45	48	31	33	34
Refused	3	6	2	2	0	4	1	4	4	1	1	2	4	4	2	2	4	6	2	6	2	3

TABLE 47: Q20/Q21. OPINION OF TEA PARTY BY PARTY SELF-ID

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	11% 6 83	8% 6 86	3% 3 94	5% 3 91	12% 8 80	13% 9 78	6	14% 6 80	4% 3 93	13% 9 77	11% 5 83	4% 2 94	6	4	3% 6 90	
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR	D	Q7 U.S.	ON	~	U.S./ RELTNS		EN	GAGE WI		ELATION A INIT				
	Total	BORN	IMMIG		WRONG	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	3% 0 97	5% 3 92	1% 3 96	16% 8 75	2% 3 95	12% 6 82	6	6% 6 88	7% 5 87	7% 7 86	18% 7 74		14% 7 79	3		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI ARGET		GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG ATION	A, SPI	/B LIT			~		ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	6% 6 88	16% 7 77	10% 5 85	8% 6 86	10% 5 85	9% 5 86	7	9% 6 85	10% 6 84	18% 5 78	6% 9 84	7% 4 89	7	7	8% 6 87	7% 5 88
			CELL E	SAGE		Q19	) IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	.VORABII	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	- ,	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	8% 5 87	5	8% 10 82	34% 7 59	0% 2 98	24% 8 68	5	0% 3 97	46% 20 34	0% 0 100	44% 27 29	0% 0 100	0% 0 100	0	0% 100 0	

TABLE 47: Q20/Q21. OPINION OF TEA PARTY BY PARTY SELF-ID

			Q20 PARTY SELF-ID  M DED INDED NIT DO SID NOTE INDED INDED NOTE SID NOTE															ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	0% 2 98	0% 20 80	34% 0 66	0% 1 99	0% 15 85	45% 0 55	0% 0 100	0% 0 100	0% 5 95	0% 8 92	0% 20 80	0% 0 100	36% 0 64	50% 0 50	0% 0 100	0% 0 100	0% 0 100	0% 3 97	24% 0 76	52% 0 48	
				GENDER	BY AGE	:			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	4% 3 94	15% 10 75	16% 6 78	4% 3 92	12% 8 80	7% 5 88	0% 1 99	0% 2 98	0% 15 85	0% 15 85	48% 0 52	42% 0 58	0% 2 98	0% 1 99	0% 1 99	0% 7 93	0% 25 75	0% 12 88	21% 0 79	59% 0 41	50% 0 50
				Q22 EDI	JCATION	I		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT OTHER	10% 6 84	7% 3 90	8% 6 86	13% 9 78	10% 4 86	8% 4 88	12% 8 80	8% 5 87	7% 4 89	15% 8 76	10% 7 83	6% 6 88	11% 9 80	11% 5 84	9% 5 86	6% 5 89	11% 9 80	11% 6 84	12% 6 82	12% 7 80	17% 8 76	7% 4 90

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
NON-COLLEGE	54%	55%	52%	66%	49%	45%	54%	46%	68%	59%	50%	53%	80%	49%	63%	64%
Grades 1-8	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	3	1	1	2
Grades 9-11	3	4	3	6	3	2	4	1	7	4	3	3	11	1	5	7
High school graduate (12)	22	23	21	27	18	15	23	16	36	23	19	23	33	20	25	25
Community college/some/bus	27	27	28	30	28	28	26	27	23	29	27	26	33	26	30	30
COLLEGE+	45	43	47	34	51	54	46	51	29	41	50	45	20	50	37	35
College graduate (4)	34	31	36	29	40	39	32	39	19	33	37	30	19	36	28	27
Post-graduate work/prof sch	1 12	12	11	5	11	15	14	12	11	8	13	15	1	14	9	8
REF	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	1
Refused	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	1
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U			~	NORMAI GAGE WI		ELATION A INIT			
		~		D:	IRECTIC		~	RELTNS		ENC	GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL		
	Total	~			IRECTIC		~		STR FAVOR	~			A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	Total  1024	BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT	IRECTIC	)N	CUBA I	RELTNS  ALL		ENC  SMWT	GAGE WI  SMWT	TH CUB  STR	A INIT	IAL  TOTAL	DK/NA  93	
		BORN/	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	RECTIC WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	CUBA F	RELTNS ALL OTHER	FAVOR	ENG SMWT FAVOR	GAGE WI  SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS		
Base	1024	BORN/I	IMMIGR IMMIG 94	RIGHT DIR  364	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  88	NONE	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304	ENC SMWT FAVOR  268	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR  572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93	
Base	1024 <b>54</b> %	BORN/1 BORN 50	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 74%	D: RIGHT DIR  364	WRONG TRACK 572	DK/NA  88 59%	NONE 694	RELTNS ALL OTHER 330	FAVOR  304 <b>45</b> %	ENO SMWT FAVOR  268	GAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 62%	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225	A INITE TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>67</b> %	
Base  NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8	 1024 <b>54%</b> 1	BORN/3 BORN 50 90% 9	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 74% 0 7 35	DIR DIR 364	WRONG TRACK  572 <b>54%</b>	DK/NA  88 <b>59%</b> 0	NONE 694 50%	ALL OTHER  330 62%	FAVOR  304 <b>45%</b> 2	SMWT FAVOR  268 55%	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 62% 0 3 26	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 54% 3	TOTAL FAVOR 572	TOTAL OPPOS 359	93 <b>67%</b> 0	
Base  NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11	 1024 <b>54%</b> 1	BORN/3 BORN 50 90% 9 18	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 74% 0 7	DIR DIR 364 53% 2 3	WRONG TRACK  572 <b>54%</b> 1	DK/NA  88 <b>59%</b> 0	NONE 694 50% 1 3	ALL OTHER 330 62%	FAVOR  304 <b>45%</b> 2 3	SMWT FAVOR 268 55% 0 3	SMWT OPPOS 134 62% 0 3	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 54% 3 2	TOTAL FAVOR 572 50% 1 3	TOTAL OPPOS 359 57% 2 3	93 <b>67%</b> 0 8	
MON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12)	 1024 54% 1 3 22 27 45	BORN/: 50 90% 9 18 28 35	IMMIGR 94 74% 0 735 32	DIR 364 53% 20 27 47	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 572 54% 1 4 222 27	DK/NA  88 59% 0 1 28	NONE	ALL OTHER 330 62% 1 3 28 31 37	FAVOR 304 45% 2 3 15 25	SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 62% 0 3 26	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 54% 3 2 26 23	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 50% 1 3 17 28	TOTAL OPPOS 359 57% 2 3 3 26 26 42	93 67% 0 8 33 26	
MON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12) Community college/some/bus  COLLEGE+ College graduate (4)	54% 1 3 22 27 45 34	BORN/: BORN 50 90% 9 18 28 35	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 74% 0 7 35 32	DIR RIGHT DIR 364 53% 2 3 20 27 47 32	TRECTIC WRONG TRACK  572 <b>54%</b> 1 4 22 27	DK/NA  88 59% 0 1 28 30	NONE 694  50% 1 3 19 26	ALL OTHER 330 62% 1 3 28 31 37 31	FAVOR 304 45% 2 3 15 25	ENWT FAVOR 268 55% 0 3 20 32 44 32	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 62% 0 3 26 32	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 54% 3 2 26 23	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 50% 1 3 17 28	TAL TOTAL OPPOS 359 57% 2 3 26 26	93 67% 0 8 33 26	
MON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12) Community college/some/bus COLLEGE+	54% 1 3 22 27 45 34	BORN/: 50 90% 9 18 28 35	IMMIGR 94 74% 0 735 32	DIR 364 53% 20 27 47	IRECTIC WRONG TRACK 572 54% 1 4 222 27	DK/NA 888 59% 0 1 288 30 36	NONE	ALL OTHER 330 62% 1 3 28 31 37	FAVOR 304 45% 2 3 15 25	SMWT FAVOR	SAGE WI SMWT OPPOS  134 62% 0 3 26 32	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 54% 3 2 26 23	A INIT: TOTAL FAVOR 572 50% 1 3 17 28	TOTAL OPPOS 359 57% 2 3 3 26 26 42	93 67% 0 8 33 26	
MON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12) Community college/some/bus  COLLEGE+ College graduate (4)	54% 1 3 22 27 45 34	BORN/: 50 90% 918 28 35 10	IMMIGR IMMIG 94 74% 0 7 35 32 26 24	DIR RIGHT DIR 364 53% 2 3 20 27 47 32	### WRONG TRACK 572  54% 1 4 22 27  46 35	DK/NA  888 59% 0 1 28 30	CUBA I NONE	ALL OTHER 330 62% 1 3 28 31 37 31	FAVOR 304 45% 2 3 15 25 55 40	ENWT FAVOR 268 55% 0 3 20 32 44 32	SMWT OPPOS 134 62% 0 3 26 32 37 30	TH CUB STR OPPOS 225 54% 3 2 26 23 45 36	TOTAL FAVOR 572 50% 1 3 17 28 50 36	TOTAL OPPOS 359 57% 2 3 26 26 42 34	93 67% 0 8 33 26	

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

		NOR		RELATI	ONS/ENG	GAGE		16 MSG TION	A/ SPI			STATE	~	BA BELC			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
NON-COLLEGE	54%	47%	55%	65%	69%	65%	55%	53%	49%	58%	60%	61%	54%	41%	60%	48%	58%
Grades 1-8	1	1	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	2	0	0
Grades 9-11	3	4	3	4	8	1	3	4	3	4	2	8	3	2	5	2	3
High school graduate (12)	22	15	25	29	33	31	23	21	20	23	28	21	18	21	25	19	24
Community college/some/bus	27	26	26	30	25	33	28	27	25	30	27	30	33	19	28	26	31
COLLEGE+	45	53	44	34	31	34	45	46	49	41	39	39	45	59	39	51	37
College graduate (4)	34	38	36	24	25	21	32	35	36	31	29	30	33	40	30	37	33
Post-graduate work/prof sch	1 12	15	8	10	6	13	12	11	13	10	10	8	11	18	9	14	4
REF	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	4
Refused	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	4
			CELL E			Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH		VERY	Q19 VERY LIB	CONS	OGY  MOD	LIB	Q21  VERY FAV	TEA PA VERY UNFAV	RTY FA TOTAL FAV		NO/DK			
Base	Total  1024	LANI  ALL/M	DLINE U  BOTH	SAGE  ALL/M		VERY			LIB  275	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	PARTY FAV/	OPIN  FAV/	
Base NON-COLLEGE		LANI  ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	SAGE  ALL/M LNDLN	CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD		VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	PARTY  FAV/ REPS	POPIN FAV/ IND/L 61	
	1024	LANI  ALL/M CELL  461	DLINE U BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212	CONS  156	VERY LIB 	CONS  354	MOD  350	275	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY  FAV/ REPS 	POPIN FAV/ IND/L 61	
NON-COLLEGE	1024 <b>54</b> %	LANI  ALL/M CELL  461	BOTH EQUAL 338	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 52%	CONS  156 <b>54</b> %	VERY LIB  92	CONS  354 <b>56</b> %	MOD  350 <b>52</b> %	275 <b>50</b> %	VERY FAV  111	VERY UNFAV  254	TOTAL FAV  226	TOTAL UNFAV  337	NO/DK RF/NA  427	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99	7 OPIN FAV/ IND/L 61	
NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8	 1024 <b>54%</b> 1	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 57%	BOTH EQUAL  338 48%	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 52% 1	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 5 1 25	VERY LIB  92 55% 0	CONS  354 <b>56%</b>	MOD  350 <b>52%</b>	275 <b>50%</b> 0	VERY FAV 111 49% 5	VERY UNFAV  254 37% 0	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>45%</b> 3	TOTAL UNFAV  337 <b>39%</b> 0	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>69%</b> 1	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 42%	FAV/ IND/L  61 40%	
NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11	 1024 <b>54</b> % 1	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 57% 2 4	BOTH EQUAL  338 48% 0 4	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 52% 1 2	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 5	VERY LIB  92 55% 0 4	CONS 354 56% 2 2	MOD  350 <b>52%</b> 1 4	275 50% 0 3	VERY FAV 111 49% 5	VERY UNFAV  254 37% 0 4	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>45%</b> 3	TOTAL UNFAV  337 39% 0 3	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>69%</b> 1	PARTY FAV/ REPS 99 42% 1 0	FAV/ IND/L  61 40% 0	
NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12)	 1024 <b>54%</b> 1 3 22	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 57% 2 4 22	BOTH EQUAL  338 48% 0 4	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 52% 1 2 27	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 5 1 25	VERY LIB  92 55% 0 4 30	CONS 354 56% 2 2 2 23	MOD  350 <b>52%</b> 1 4 21	275 50% 0 3 20	VERY FAV 111 49% 5 5 19	VERY UNFAV  254 37% 0 4 14	TOTAL FAV  226 <b>45%</b> 3 3	TOTAL UNFAV 337 39% 0 3 13	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>69%</b> 1 4 28	PARTY  FAV/ REPS  99 42% 1 0 18	FAV/ IND/L 61 40% 0 3 12	
NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12) Community college/some/bus  COLLEGE+ College graduate (4)	1024 54% 1 3 22 27 45 34	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 57% 2 4 22 29 42 33	BOTH EQUAL 338 48% 0 4 16 28 51 36	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 52% 1 2 27 22	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 5 1 25 22 <b>46</b> 38	VERY LIB  92 55% 0 4 30 22 45 23	CONS 354 56% 2 2 23 28 44 34	MOD 350 52% 1 4 21 26 48 37	275 50% 0 3 20 27 49 32	VERY FAV 111 49% 5 5 19 20	VERY UNFAV  254 37% 0 4 14 20	TOTAL FAV 226 45% 3 19 21 55 44	TOTAL UNFAV 337 39% 0 3 13 23	NO/DK RF/NA  427 69% 1 4 28 35	PARTY	FAV/IND/L	
NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12) Community college/some/bus COLLEGE+	1024 54% 1 3 22 27 45 34	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 57% 2 4 22 29 42 33	BOTH EQUAL 338 48% 0 4 16 28	SAGE ALL/M LNDLN 212 52% 1 2 27 22 46	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 5 1 25 22	VERY LIB  92 55% 0 4 30 22	CONS  354 56% 2 2 23 28	MOD  350 <b>52%</b> 1 4 21 26	275 50% 0 3 20 27	VERY FAV 111 49% 5 5 19 20 51	VERY UNFAV  254 37% 0 4 14 20	TOTAL FAV 226 45% 3 3 19 21 555	TOTAL UNFAV 337 39% 0 3 13 23 61	NO/DK RF/NA  427 <b>69%</b> 1 4 28 35	PARTY 	FAV/IND/L	
NON-COLLEGE Grades 1-8 Grades 9-11 High school graduate (12) Community college/some/bus  COLLEGE+ College graduate (4)	1024 54% 1 3 22 27 45 34	LANI ALL/M CELL 461 57% 2 4 22 29 42 33	BOTH EQUAL 338 48% 0 4 16 28 51 36	SAGE	CONS  156 <b>54%</b> 5 1 25 22 <b>46</b> 38	VERY LIB  92 55% 0 4 30 22 45 23	CONS 354 56% 2 2 23 28 44 34	MOD 350 52% 1 4 21 26 48 37	275 50% 0 3 20 27 49 32	VERY FAV 1111 49% 5 5 19 20 51 42	VERY UNFAV 254 37% 0 4 14 20 63 41	TOTAL FAV 226 45% 3 19 21 55 44	TOTAL UNFAV 337 39% 0 3 13 23 61 40	NO/DK RF/NA  427 69% 1 4 28 35	PARTY	FAV/IND/L	

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP		INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
NON-COLLEGE	54%	54%	54%	46%	55%	51%	47%	74%	57%	48%	50%	54%	41%	53%	44%	86%	55%	49%	60%	37%	50%	
Grades 1-8	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	0	1	
Grades 9-11	3	4	2	2	5	2	1	9	5	4	0	2	5	2	1	12	4	5	5	3	1	
High school graduate (12)	22	21	20	19	22	19	20	38	23	18	16	20	16	18	21	42	31	18	26	23	19	
Community college/some/bus	27	27	31	24	26	29	25	27	26	26	34	31	19	33	21	32	20	26	26	11	30	
COLLEGE+	45	46	44	54	45	47	53	20	43	52	50	44	59	47	56	14	31	51	40	63	50	
College graduate (4)	34	33	35	38	32	37	37	19	30	38	40	35	41	35	39	14	28	32	32	38	37	
Post-graduate work/prof sch	1 12	13	9	16	13	11	16	1	13	14	10	9	18	12	17	0	3	19	8	25	13	
REF	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	
Refused	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	
			(	GENDER	BY AGE				P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+		IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
NON-COLLEGE	54%	65%	50%	49%	51%	49%	57%	58%	52%	56%	47%	46%	48%	50%	61%	52%	60%	46%	51%	55%	36%	51%
Grades 1-8	1	2	0	4	2	0	0	3	2	2	0	1	0	4	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	2
Grades 9-11	3	5	2	4	3	5	1	4	5	3	1	1	2	5	6	1	1	1	4	3	0	2
High school graduate (12)	22	28	20	19	18	18	26	25	19	20	17	21	18	19	24	20	26	14	17	18	16	25
Community college/some/bus	27	30	28	22	28	26	30	26	27	31	28	23	28	21	31	28	31	30	27	35	20	22
COLLEGE+	45	35	49	48	49	50	42	42	48	43	52	54	52	50	39	48	40	54	46	45	64	49
College graduate (4)	34	28	34	32	39	40	28	29	34	31	43	37	37	41	25	30	36	40	33	28	53	27
Post-graduate work/prof sch	.1 12	6	16	16	9	10	14	13	13	12	10	17	14	9	14	18	4	14	12	17	11	21
REF	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Refused	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

				Q22 EDU	JCATION			EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN		MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
NON-COLLEGE	54%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	55%	47%	57%	53%	54%	48%	57%	59%	45%	53%	53%
Grades 1-8	1	5	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
Grades 9-11	3	13	0	0	0	6	0	7	6	0	0	5	1	4	4	5	0	3	6	1	2	4
High school graduate (12)	22	82	0	0	0	41	0	41	40	0	0	22	22	21	22	22	21	23	19	23	26	21
Community college/some/bus	27	0	100	0	0	51	0	49	53	0	0	27	23	31	26	27	25	30	31	20	24	27
COLLEGE+	45	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	0	100	100	44	51	42	46	45	51	43	40	51	46	46
College graduate (4)	34	0	0	100	0	0	74	0	0	71	77	31	36	34	33	33	35	34	31	39	35	33
Post-graduate work/prof schl	1 12	0	0	0	100	0	26	0	0	29	23	13	15	9	13	12	16	9	9	12	11	13
REF_	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	4	1	1
Refused	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	4	1	1

TABLE 49: GENDER

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN										HISP/		AFRCN AMRCN		
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Men Women	49% 51		0% 100		51% 49	45% 55		49% 51		54% 46	46% 54	48% 52	42% 58			47% 53	
		BORN/	IMMIGR	D	TRECTIO	Ν	COBA	RELINS		EN	NORMAI	TH COR	A INIT.	LAL			
	Total	BORN		RIGHT	WRONG			ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL			
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88		330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Men Women	49% 51				48% 52	45% 55			60% 40			48% 52	53% 47				
			CUBA 7	FARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	TION	SPI	LIT		STATE	-SPONSO	DRED TE	ONGS ON RRORISM	1 LIST	
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO		NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502		237						
Men Women	49% 51		45% 55		47% 53	45% 55	48% 52		49% 51	49% 51	51% 49	37% 63	48% 52			54% 46	32% 68
		LANI	CELL DLINE U	SAGE				OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	вотн	ALL/M	VERY		CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV			FAV/ REPS		
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
Men Women	49% 51	55% 45	47% 53		54% 46	49% 51			47% 53	54% 46	45% 55	54% 46	47% 53			50% 50	

TABLE 49: GENDER

		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID															ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Men Women	49% 51	43% 57	52% 48	54% 46	43% 57	52% 48	54% 46		42% 58	44% 56	47% 53	52% 48	54% 46	46% 54	58% 42	51% 49	47% 53	43% 57	43% 57	43% 57	57% 43	
				GENDER		E 					Y GENDE							RTY BY				
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59		DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L	IND/L 40-59		REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Men Women	49% 51	100%	100%	100% 0	0% 100	0% 100	0% 100	100%	0% 100	100% 0	0% 100	100% 0	0% 100	45% 55	42% 58	44% 56	64% 36	45% 55	45% 55	51% 49	49% 51	63% 37
				Q22 EDI	JCATION	1		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Men Women	49% 51	53% 47	48% 52	45% 55	52% 48	50% 50	47% 53	100%	0% 100	100% 0	0% 100	51% 49	52% 48	49% 51	45% 55	53% 47	51% 49	52% 48	42% 58	54% 46	49% 51	44% 56

## TABLE 50: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
English Spanish	98% 2		97% 3	100% 0	98% 2	93% 7	97% 3	100% 0	100%	99% 1	94% 6	100% 0	83% 17	100% 0	100%	93% 7	
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		Q8A I	U.S./ RELTNS		Q9 EN0							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG		WRONG		NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL			
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
English Spanish	98% 2		98% 2	100% 0	96% 4	100% 0	98% 2	98% 2	98% 2	98% 2	100% 0	100% 0	98% 2	100% 0	88% 12		
			CUBA 7	RELATI TARGET	GROUPS		ROTA		SPI	/B LIT		STATE	-SPONS		ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252		537	73
English Spanish	98% 2		100% 0	96% 4	94% 6	100%	98% 2	97% 3	97% 3	98% 2	99% 1	95% 5	95% 5	100% 0	97% 3	98% 2	100%
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE		~	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	21 TEA OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	вотн	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS		CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
English Spanish	98% 2		93% 7	100% 0	100%	95% 5	100% 0	94%	98% 2	100%	97% 3	99% 1	98% 2	97% 3	98% 2	100%	

TABLE 50: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-	-ID							II	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA		NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
English Spanish	988				97% 3	97% 3	99% 1	100%		100%	100%	95% 5	100%		98% 2	100%	100% 0	97% 3		96% 4	100%	
				GENDER							Y GENDE						PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN		IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+			IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
English Spanish	988		97% 3		100% 0	92% 8		98% 2		97% 3	96% 4	100% 0			92% 8	100% 0	98% 2	93% 7	100% 0	100% 0	97% 3	100%
				Q22 ED	UCATION	I		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH		CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
English Spanish	989				100%	96% 4		98%			99% 1	100%		98% 2	94%	100%	98% 2				95% 5	94%

TABLE 51: CENSUS REGION

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						~ -	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO		AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Northeast Midwest South West	18% 21 37 24	22 37	37	21% 21 40 18	26% 10 45 19	12% 27 37 23	17% 23 34 26	13% 24 34 30	24% 16 29 31	23% 16 42 18	13% 26 36 25	19% 21 32 28				17% 13 45 25	
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S.		CUBA 1	U.S./ RELTNS		EN	NORMA						
	Total		IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA		ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Northeast Midwest South West	18% 21 37 24	0 54	13 25	16% 20 37 26	20% 20 36 23	12% 28 42 19	19% 21 34 25	20	21% 20 33 26	16% 24 37 23	20% 17 44 19	19% 21 35 25	19% 22 35 25	19 39	7% 23 44 26		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA 1	RELATI TARGET		GAGE		16 MSG ATION	SPI	/B LIT					ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST		NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Northeast Midwest South West	18% 21 37 24	22 34	20 37	12% 21 43 24	11% 18 40 30	13% 22 42 23	18% 22 37 24	20 37	18% 21 37 24	18% 21 37 24	20% 21 34 26	10% 21 44 25	22% 21 38 19	16% 21 34 29	15% 21 38 25	19% 21 36 24	24% 22 37 17
			CELL I			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABI:	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
Northeast	18%	17%	19%	19%	14%	14%	15%	21%	19%	13%	17%	13%	19%	20%	12%	17%	

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 51: CENSUS REGION

		~ ~	CELL I												Q20/Q2	
		LAND	LINE U	SAGE		Q19 	IDEOL	OGY 		Q21	TEA PA	ARTY FA	VORABII	LITY	PARTY	OPIN
		ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
	Total	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
Midwest	21%	22%	20%	21%	20%	14%	21%	25%	18%	15%	18%	24%	20%	20%	24%	31%
South	37	41	35	31	47	34	44	31	35	47	29	40	29	41	41	33
West	24	20	26	29	19	38	21	24	28	25	36	23	31	19	23	19

TABLE 51: CENSUS REGION

		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Northeast	18%	20%	21%	15%	20%	20%	15%	12%	18%	24%	18%	21%	17%	20%	12%	13%	10%	20%	19%	25%	12%	
Midwest	21	18	25	22	16	25	22	20	15	18	28	25	21	20	24	14	31	13	19	28	21	
South	37	37	32	39	38	33	39	44	38	39	30	32	39	37	41	44	43	39	36	21	46	
West	24	26	22	23	26	22	23	24	29	19	23	22	24	24	23	30			26	27	22	
		GENDER BY AGE PARTY BY GENDER  MEN MEN MEN WOMEN WOMEN WOMEN DEM DEM IND/L IND/L REP REP DEM DEM DEM														PAF	RTY BY	AGE				
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
_																						
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Northeast	18%	24%	14%	18%	23%	12%	20%	22%	18%	20%	20%	14%	15%	27%	15%	21%	30%	10%	21%	14%	15%	16%
Midwest	21	20	29	18	12	23	24	17	15	29	20	20	25	13	17	18	17	32	24	21	29	15
South	37	38	39	34	47	34	30	37	39	31	35	40	39	35	42	36	40	33	25	49	30	42
West	24	19	19	30	18	31	27	25	27	20	25	26	20	25	26	26	13	25	29	15	26	28
				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Г		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	ſ				REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
	10tai	TESS		GRAD	GRAD	COPP	COPP+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EASI	MESI	5001H	ME21	EASI	MESI	5001H	CNIRL	PLAIN	MIN I IN	ME21
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Northeast	18%	19%	18%	17%	20%	18%	18%	20%	17%	17%	18%	100%	0%	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Midwest	21	19	18	22	27	18	24	21	16	23	24	0	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0
South	37	37	41	37	27	39	34	42	37	31	37	0	0	100	0	9	0	100	100	0	0	0
West	24	24	23	24	26	24	24	17	31	29	21	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100

TABLE 52: REGION

			DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Northeast	20%	21%	18%	23%	28%	14%	18%	14%	27%	25%	15%	20%	15%	20%	21%	19%	
Midwest	15	15	14	14	8	19	16	19	9	11	18	15	6	17	17	11	
South	22	23	21	24	27	25	21	16	15	25	23	18	12	21	41	26	
South Central	13	11	15	14	16	11	11	16	11	15	12	13	23	10	14	17	
Central Plains	6	7	6	7	2	8	7	5	7	5	8	6	2	8	0	2	
Mountain	6	6	6	1	4	7	8	11	9	3	8	10	7	8	0	4	
West	18	16	20	17	15	16	18	18	22	16	18	19	35	16	7	21	
		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		~	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMA: GAGE WI						
				DICUT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAT	TOTAL			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Northeast	20%	8%	18%	18%	22%	15%	21%	17%	23%	17%	23%	21%	20%	21%	10%		
Midwest	15	0.0	10%	15	14	21	15	15	14	15	13	16	14	15	18		
South	22	20	7	25	20	26	20	27	19	23	25	23	21	24	24		
South Central	13	34	17	10	15	13	13	13	12	13	17	10	13	13	18		
Central Plains	6	0	4	5	7	7	7	5	6	8	4	5	7	5	5		
Mountain	6	7	7	5	8	2	6	6	6	5	2	11	5	7	8		
West	18	31	36	21	16	17	19	16	20	18	17	14	19	15	17		
		NOR	MALIZE			GAGE	Q15/Q	16 MSG		/B			~		ONGS ON		
			CUBA I	ARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	TION	SPI	LIT 		STATE	-SPONSC	RED TE	RRORISM	1 LIST	
		CONS	CONS		POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER	MOVER	FIRST	FIRST	A	В	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Northeast	20%	21%	23%	13%	12%	15%	19%	20%	20%	20%	20%	13%	25%	17%	17%	21%	25%
Midwest	15	14	15	17	12	18	15	15	15	14	15	15	14	14	15	14	18
South	22	21	24	23	23	20	22	22	22	22	23	23	22	21	23	22	22
South Central	13	11	11	19	17	20	12	14	13	13	11	17	13	12	14	13	14
Central Plains	6	8	5	5	6	4	7	6	6	7	5	7	6	7	6	7	4
Mountain	6	5	9	6	4	7	6	6	7	6	8	8	4	6	8	5	10
West	18	20	13	18	26	15	18	17	17	18	18	17	15	24	18	19	8
	-0	20		_0	_0		_0	- '		_0	_0				_0		Ü

TABLE 52: REGION

		LAND	CELL I	SAGE		~	) IDEOL			Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABI:	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY							
	Total	ALL/M CELL		ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L						
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61						
Northeast	20%	20%	20%	21%	15%	14%	16%	22%	21%	13%	19%	14%	22%	22%	12%	17%						
Midwest	15	16	14	15	14	9	14	19	12	11	12	16	13	15	16	23						
South	22	26	20	17	29	18	25	18	23	25	21	22	19	24	24	21						
South Central	13	13	14	13	16	16	18	11	9	22	6	17	8	15	17	12						
Central Plains	6	6	6	7	5	5	6	6	6	4	6	8	7	5	8	8						
Mountain	6	5	6	11	8	4	8	6	4	11	5	12	4		11	8						
West	18	15	20	18	12	34	13	17	24	14	31	11	27	14	12	11						
								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
		DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP		INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT		LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP		DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM		INDEP		REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Northeast	20%	22%	22%	16%	22%	21%	15%	18%	20%	27%	20%	22%	18%	20%	12%	20%	13%	23%	20%	25%	12%	
Midwest	15	15	16	15	13	17	15	11	12	17	23	16	13	16	15	8	15	10	18	19	14	
South	22	25	18	23	26	18	25	20	25	30	17	18	18	23	26	13	30	28	23	13	28	
South Central	13	10	13	16	10	14	15	18	11	6	11	13	20	14	15	23	10	7	12		17	
Central Plains	6	3	9	7	2	8	7	9	3	2	6	9	8	4	9	5	16	3	1	9	7	
Mountain	6	5	6	9	4	7	8	5	4	3	7	6	12	9	7	6	5	5	3	7	9	
West	18	21	16	14	22	15	15	19	25	16	16	16	11	14	16	24	12	23	22	20	13	
				GENDER	BY AGE				P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAR	TY BY	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	TND/T	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	TND/T	IND/L	TND/T	REP	REP	REP
	Total		40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+			60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Northeast	20%	27%	16%	20%	23%	13%	20%	24%	21%	21%	20%	14%	16%	28%	19%	22%	31%	11%	22%	14%	15%	16%
Midwest	15	12	20	14	10	16	17	16	12	19	14	11	20	13	14	15	9	22	18	15	20	9
South	22	23	26	20	28	20	15	29	24	17	19	26	23	22	31	27	26	17	10	32	18	25
South Central	13	12	11	12	18	12	14	5	13	12	16	13	16	11	8	8	13	16	14	17	12	17
Central Plains	6	8	8		2	7	7	1		10	6	9	5	1	4	3	8	9	6	6	9	6
Mountain	6	3	7	10	2	8	9	5	3	7	8	8	9	2	5	7	3	7	13	1	15	6
West	18	16	12	20	16	23	17	20	24	13	17	18	12	23	21	19	10	18	17	14	11	22
	10	10		20	10	23	/	20	21	13	± /	-0		23	21		10	-0	± /			22

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 52: REGION

				Q22 EDU	JCATION	ſ		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
		HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-		NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID			NORTH	MID		SOUTH	CNTRL		
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Northeast	20%	21%	19%	19%	21%	20%	20%	23%	17%	19%	20%	100%	0%	5%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Midwest	15	13	13	15	20	13	16	14	12	16	17	0	70	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
South	22	22	25	22	16	24	21	27	20	19	22	0	0	60	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
South Central	13	14	15	12	10	14	12	13	16	9	14	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
Central Plains	6	6	5	7	7	5	7	7	4	7	7	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
Mountain	6	7	6	7	6	6	6	4	9	10	4	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
West	18	18	17	17	20	18	18	13	22	19	17	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						~	HNICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/		AFRCN AMRCN		
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
New England	3%	2%	3%	5%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	
Mid-Atlantic	15	16	14	17	23	10	14	11	21	19	11	17	14	16	14	15	
East North Central	15	15	14	14	8	19	16	19	9	11	18	15	6	17	17	11	
West North Central	6	7	6	7	2	8	7	5	7	5	8	6		8	0	2	
South Atlantic	18	20	17	20	22	21	17	16	13	21	19	15	12	16	33	22	
East South Central	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	1	4	6	5	3	0	5	11	5	
West South Central	14	12	16	15	18	11	12	17	12	16	12	13	23	10	15	18	
Mountain	6	6	6	1	4	7	8	11	9	3	8	10	7	8	0	4	
West	18	16	20	17	15	16	18	18	22	16	18	19	35	16	7	21	
			SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		CUBA 1	U.S./ RELTNS					ELATION BA INIT				
				DICUT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAT	TOTAL			
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	DIR		DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS			DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
New England	3%	3%	0%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%		
Mid-Atlantic	15	5	18	14	16	11	16	13	17	14	17	17	15	17	7		
East North Central	15	0	10	15	14	21	15	15	14	15	13	16	14	15	18		
West North Central	6	0	4	5	7	7	7	5	6	8	4	5	7	5	5		
South Atlantic	18	20	7	22	16	20	17	21	19	17	20	19	18	20	16		
East South Central	5	0	0	5	4	9	4	8	1	7	6	5	4	6	10		
West South Central	14	34	17	11	16	13	14	14	14	14	17	11	14	13	18		
Mountain	6	7	7	5	8	2	6	6	6	5	2	11	5	7	8		
West	18	31	36	21	16	17	19	16	20	18	17	14	19	15	17		
		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI TARGET	GROUPS	GAGE	ROTA	16 MSG	SPI	/B LIT			Q13 CU	DRED TE			
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO		TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	 278	278	100	124	 519	505	502	522	237	 177	285	 252	414	537	73
New England	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Mid-Atlantic	15	16	20	10	36 8	13	15	36 16	15	15	16	1 6 9	18	13	13	16	21
East North Central	15	14	20 15	17	12	18	15	15	15	15	15	9 15		14	15	14	18
West North Central	6	8	5	5	6	4	7	6	1.5	7	5	7		7	6		4
South Atlantic	18	18	19	18	16	18	19	18	19	18	18	22		16	19		16
East South Central	5	3	6	16	8	4	5	5	5	5	5	4		5	5	5	7
West South Central	14	12	12	19	17	20	13	14	14	14	11	18		13	14	13	14
MEDE DOUGH CEHLEAL	14	12	12	19	Τ/	20	13	14	14	14	11	Τ0	14	т 3	14	13	14

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI CARGET		GAGE	~ ~	16 MSG TION		/B LIT			Q13 CUI -SPONSO				
		CONS	CONS		POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	FNGGF	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	т∩тат.	TOTAL	
	Total		OPPOS	SWING				FIRST	A	В	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Mountain	6%	5%	9%	6%	4%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	88	8%	4%	6%	8%	5%	10%
West	18	20	13	18	26	15	18	17	17	18	18	17	15	24	18	19	8

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

		~ ~	CELL F			Q19	) IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	~ ~	21 TEA						
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL		VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	- /	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L						
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61						
New England Mid-Atlantic	3% 15	3% 14	3% 16	3% 16	2% 12	1% 12	2% 12	4% 17	2% 16	2% 11	3% 14	1% 12	3% 16	3% 17	1% 11	3% 15						
East North Central	15	16	14	15	14	9	14	19	12	11	12	16	13	15	16	23						
West North Central	6	6	6	7	5	5	6	6	6	4		8	7	5	8	8						
South Atlantic	18	21	18	13	22	13	18	16	22	21		17	17	19	19	16						
East South Central	5	6	4	5	8	4	7	4	3	4		5	4	6	6	4						
West South Central	14	14	14	13	17	17	19	11	10	22		17	9	16	17	12						
Mountain	6	5	6	11	8	4	8	6	4	11	5	12	4	5	11	8						
West	18	15	20	18	12	34	13	17	24	14	31	11	27	14	12	11						
								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-	-ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
New England	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	5%	7%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	
Mid-Atlantic	15	18	16	13	18	15	14	11	16	22		16	10	20	11	12	8	19	16	25	11	
East North Central	15	15	16	15	13	17	15	11	12	17	23	16	13	16	15	8	15	10	18	19	14	
West North Central	6	3	9	7	2	8	7	9	3	2		9	8	4	9	5	16	3	1	9	7	
South Atlantic	18	21	14	18	22	15	19	21	20	27		14	16	19	18	18	25	27	16	8	22	
East South Central	5	6	4	5	6	3	6	3	6	7	_	4	3	4	7	1	6	5	8	5	6	
West South Central	14	10	14	16	10	15	15	20	11	6		14	20	14	15	25	12	7	12	8	17	
Mountain	6	5	6	9	4	7	8	5	4	3		6	12	9	7	6	5	5	3	7	9	
West	18	21	16	14	22	15	15	19	25	16	16	16	11	14	16	24	12	23	22	20	13	
				GENDER	BY AGE	]			P.	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY .	AGE			
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	TND/T	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+		40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
New England	3%	4%	2%	0%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	7%	1%	0%	3%	2%	2%	8%	4%	3%	3%	0%	0%
Mid-Atlantic	15	19	12	17	19	9	16	20	16	16	14	13	15	24	13	18	22	6	18	12	15	16
East North Central	15	12	20	14	10	16	17	16	12	19	14	11	20	13	14	15	9	22	18	15	20	9
West North Central	6	8	8	4	2	7	7	1	3	10	6	9	5	1	4	3	8	9	6	6	9	6
South Atlantic	18	20	23	17	21	16	14	23	21	16	14	18	19	19	26	21	20	14	10	20	17	20
East South Central	5	5	5	5	6	5	2	8	5	2	4	7	4	4	9	7	6	3	1	12	2	4
West South Central	14	13	11	13	19	1.3	14	5	13	13	17	14	16	11	8	8	15	16	15	17	12	18
Mountain	6	3	7	10	2	8	9	5	3	7	8	8	9	2	5	7	3	7	13	1	15	6
West	18	16	12	20	16	23	17	20	24	13	17	18	12	23	21	19	10	18	17	14	11	22

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-15, 2014) \*MAIN SAMPLE\*

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Г		EDU	JCATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
		HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-		NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID			NORTH	MID		SOUTH	CNTRL		
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
New England	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	16%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mid-Atlantic	15	16	15	14	18	15	15	18	13	14	16	84	0	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	0
East North Central	15	13	13	15	20	13	16	14	12	16	17	0	70	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
West North Central	6	6	5	7	7	5	7	7	4	7	7	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
South Atlantic	18	15	23	19	14	19	18	23	15	17	19	0	0	50	0	9	0	76	0	0	0	0
East South Central	5	8	3	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	0	0	13	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0
West South Central	14	14	16	13	10	15	12	13	17	10	14	0	0	37	0	0	0	3	100	0	0	0
Mountain	6	7	6	7	6	6	6	4	9	10	4	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
West	18	18	17	17	20	18	18	13	22	19	17	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 54: A/B SPLIT

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						~	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49		65-74	75+	18-39		60+	HISP/		AFRCN AMRCN		
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254		74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
A Split B Split	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	51% 49	46% 54	50% 50	48% 52		48% 52	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51		49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	
		BORN/	IMMIGR	D	Q7 U.S. IRECTIO	ON	CUBA 1	U.S./ RELTNS		EN	NORMA: GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL			
	Total			RIGHT	WRONG			ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL			
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
A Split B Split	49% 51	54% 46	46% 54			44% 56	51% 49	44% 56	53% 47	52% 48	50% 50	44% 56		46% 54	39% 61		
			CUBA 7	TARGET			ROTA	16 MSG ATION	SPI	/B LIT					ONGS ON RRORISM		
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS		POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO		TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177		252			73
A Split B Split	49% 51	55% 45	49% 51		28% 72	42% 58	45% 55		100% 0	0% 100	51% 49	39% 61		50% 50		51% 49	56% 44
			CELL I					OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q: PARTY	21 TEA OPIN	
		CELL		ALL/M LNDLN		VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212		92		350	275	111	254	226		427	99	61	
A Split B Split	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	44% 56	52% 48	50% 50		49% 51	46% 54	51% 49	47% 53		49% 51		50% 50	

TABLE 54: A/B SPLIT

								Q2	0 PART	Y SELF-	·ID							II	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA		NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
A Split B Split	49% 51	46% 54	53% 47	51% 49	47% 53	51% 49	51% 49	46% 54		42% 58	44% 56	53% 47	50% 50	49% 51	52% 48	46% 54	45% 55	51% 49	41% 59	54% 46	49% 51	
				GENDER					P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAF	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	6
A Split B Split	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	48% 52	49% 51	49% 51	51% 49	57% 43		43% 57	60% 40	48% 52		46% 54	50% 50	42% 58	49% 51	45% 55	59% 41	59% 41	55% 45	38 <sup>9</sup>
				Q22 ED	UCATION	ī		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	ī		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	18:
A Split B Split	49% 51	45% 55	45% 55	53% 47	56% 44	45% 55	54% 46	45% 55		53% 47	54% 46	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	49% 51	51% 49	48% 52	50% 50	43% 57	55% 45	479 53

TABLE 55: C/D SPLIT

		GEN	IDER					Q2 AGE						~	NICITY		
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29				65-74	75+		40-59		HISP/		AFRCN AMRCN		
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254		74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
C Split D Split	50% 50	50% 50		51% 49	46% 54	49% 51	50% 50		59% 41	49% 51	49% 51	50% 50		50% 50	50% 50	50% 50	
				D				U.S./ RELTNS					ELATION A INIT				
	Total			RIGHT	WRONG		NONE	ALL OTHER	STR	SMWT	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS		TOTAL			
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
C Split D Split	50% 50	38% 62			52% 48	49% 51	53% 47		44% 56	49% 51	45% 55	57% 43	47% 53	53% 47	56% 44		
			CUBA 7	RELATI TARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	16 MSG TION	SPI	LIT		STATE	-SPONSO	RED TE	ONGS ON RRORISM	I LIST	
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ A		DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES		DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519		502	522	237			252		537	73
C Split D Split	50% 50	48% 52		46% 54	40% 60	47% 53	49% 51		49% 51	50% 50	50% 50	49% 51	46% 54	51% 49	49% 51	48% 52	59% 41
		LANI	CELL I	SAGE				OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY		
		ALL/M	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS		CONS	MOD	LIB		VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS		
Base	1024	461		212	156	92	354		275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
C Split D Split	50% 50	50% 50		51% 49	49% 51	44% 56	47% 53		47% 53	45% 55	49% 51	47% 53	49% 51	50% 50	43% 57	53% 47	

TABLE 55: C/D SPLIT

								Q2	0 PARTY	Y SELF-	ID							ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
C Split D Split	50% 50	48% 52	54% 46	45% 55	47% 53	53% 47	44% 56	57% 43	48% 52	45% 55	54% 46	54% 46	48% 52	47% 53	42% 58	56% 44	59% 41	43% 57	51% 49	37% 63	45% 55	
				GENDER							GENDE							RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
C Split D Split	50% 50	44% 56	51% 49	54% 46	55% 45	48% 52	47% 53	46% 54	48% 52	53% 47	52% 48	43% 57	45% 55	46% 54	44% 56	57% 43	53% 47	56% 44	49% 51	40% 60	43% 57	48% 52
				Q22 ED	JCATION	ſ		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	DER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION			
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
C Split D Split	50% 50	49% 51	48% 52	49% 51	54% 46	49% 51	50% 50	50% 50	47% 53	49% 51	52% 48	50% 50	50% 50	50% 50	50% 50	50% 50	48% 52	55% 45	39% 61	54% 46	54% 46	48% 52

TABLE 56: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

			IDER					Q2 AGE						~	NICITY		
	Total	MEN				40-49		65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/		AFRCN	NON-	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254		74		375	279	143	625	123	369	
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49			54% 46		52% 48	49% 51	44% 56	50% 50	55% 45	50% 50	48% 52				48% 52	
		Q5 HI	SP/LTN IMMIGR	D	Q7 U.S.	ON	Q8A 1	U.S./ RELTNS		Q9 EN	NORMA:	LIZE RI	ELATION A INIT	IS/			
	Total			RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL	TOTAL			
Base	1024	50	94		572	88		330	304	268	134		572	359	93		
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49	45% 55				47% 53		52% 48	52% 48	51% 49							
			CUBA 7	TARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	16 MSG TION	SPI	LIT		STATE	-SPONSO	DRED TE	ONGS ON RRORISM	/ LIST	
		CONS FAVOR	CONS	SWING	POS	NEG MOVER	PRO	CON		ENGGE	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024		278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522		177					
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49				53% 47	54% 46	100%		47% 53	54% 46	51% 49	47% 53				50% 50	62% 38
			CELL I					OGY					VORABI	LITY		21 TEA OPIN	
		CELL		ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL		RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	IND/L	
Base	1024							350		111						61	
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49		46% 54			54% 46			53% 47	43% 57			53% 47				

TABLE 56: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

								Q2	0 PART	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49	52% 48	49% 51	51% 49	54% 46	47% 53	53% 47	50% 50	53% 47	55% 45	43% 57	49% 51	45% 55	50% 50	54% 46	51% 49	50% 50	55% 45	52% 48	51% 49	53% 47	
				GENDER	BY AGE	1			P	ARTY B	Y GENDE	R					PAR	RTY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+		REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49	54% 46	48% 52	49% 51	56% 44	53% 47	48% 52	52% 48	54% 46	48% 52	47% 53	50% 50	55% 45	66% 34	47% 53	51% 49	48% 52	52% 48	42% 58	50% 50	53% 47	56% 44
				Q22 EDI	JCATION	ſ		EDU	CATION	BY GEN	IDER		CENSUS	REGION					REGION	ī		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Q15 first Q16 first	51% 49		52% 48	49% 51	53% 47	51% 49	50% 50	49% 51	53% 47	51% 49	49% 51	49% 51	52% 48	50% 50	51% 49	50% 50	51% 49	51% 49	48% 52	54% 46	49% 51	51% 49

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

			IDER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	INICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39		60+	HISP/	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1023	501	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	624	123	369
GENDER BY AGE	98%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	99%	99%	97%
MEN 18-39	18	38	0	56	51	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	23	17	16	22
MEN 40-59	17	34	0	0	0	45	31	0	0	0	46	0	15	16	24	17
MEN 60+	13	27	0	0	0	0	15	49	50	0	0	48	5	17	8	7
WOMEN 18-39	16	0	31	44	49	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	21	14	19	20
WOMEN 40-59	20	0	39	0	0	55	35	0	0	0	54	0	29	17	24	25
WOMEN 60+	14	0	28	0	0	0	18	51	50	0	0	52	3	17	8	6
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	99	99	100	100	99	99	97	98	100	99	98	100	99	100	99
NON-COLL MEN	27	55	0	41	27	20	26	20	30	35	23	24	34	25	33	31
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	0	52	25	22	25	28	26	39	24	27	30	46	24	30	32
COLL+ MEN	21	43	0	15	25	24	20	27	20	19	22	23	8	25	16	14
COLL+ WOMEN	24	0	47	20	26	30	26	24	10	22	27	21	12	25	21	21
PARTY BY GENDER	90	90	90	84	91	92	91	93	93	87	93	91	88	91	96	90
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	28	0	17	12	11	16	11	9	15	15	11	12	10	34	20
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	0	35	15	23	19	20	13	9	18	20	13	27	14	39	25
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	39	0	21	24	20	13	22	19	22	16	20	24	19	12	18
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	0	35	11	14	21	19	26	24	12	19	24	15	19	8	14
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	24	0	9	11	9	13	12	20	10	11	14	3	16	0	4
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	0	20	11	7	12	9	8	11	9	12	8	7	12	3	8
PARTY BY AGE	89	89	88	84	91	92	91	93	93	87	93	91	84	89	95	87
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	10	12	32	35	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	16	8	24	17
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	11	14	0	0	30	27	0	0	0	35	0	18	8	38	22
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	6	7	0	0	0	10	24	18	0	0	24	1	8	11	4
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	15	8	32	38	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	16	12	7	12
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	12	14	0	0	41	19	0	0	0	35	0	18	12	9	14
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	11	13	0	0	0	13	48	43	0	0	44	5	14	4	7
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	7	6	20	18	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	5	7	3	7
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	8	8	0	0	21	16	0	0	0	23	0	5	10	0	4
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	8	5	0	0	0	6	21	31	0	0	23	0	10	0	0
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	50	54	52	52	51	58	44	49	52	57	46	49	51	74	57
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	14	18	18	21	18	15	12	6	19	18	9	15	12	39	23
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	13	17	14	13	12	21	11	11	14	16	14	24	11	33	22
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	6	7	3	6	5	3	6	6	6	4	7	6	1	5
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	19	14	12	15	16	17	17	25	13	16	19	4	21	1	7

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

		BORN/	SP/LTN IMMIGR	D	Q7 U.S IRECTIO	NC	CUBA 1	U.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMA GAGE WI	TH CUB	A INIT	IAL	
	Total		IMMIG		WRONG	DK/NA		ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	
Base	1023	50	94	364	571	88	693	330	304	268	134	224	572	358	92
GENDER BY AGE	98%	98%	96%	98%	98%		98%	99%	98%		99%	97%	98%	98%	98%
MEN 18-39	18	16	27	20	17	23	18	18	21		19	18	19	19	11
MEN 40-59	17	22	11	18	16	15	17	16	22		11	18	18	15	15
MEN 60+	13	0	7	13	14		16	8	17		9	11	15	10	11
WOMEN 18-39	16	16	24	20	12		13	22	11		21	13	16	16	13
WOMEN 40-59	20	44	22	16	23	19	19	22	13		23	23	16	23	33
WOMEN 60+	14	0	5	11	16	12	15	13	14	13	15	14	13	15	16
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	100	100	99	100	95	99	99	100		98	100	99	99	98
NON-COLL MEN	27	30	36	28	27	26	27	28	29	24	30	29	27	29	23
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	60	38	24	27	33	23	34	16		32	26	23	28	44
COLL+ MEN	21	7	9	23	21	15	25	14	31		10	19	26	15	14
COLL+ WOMEN	24	2	17	24	25	20	25	23	24	23	27	27	24	27	16
PARTY BY GENDER	90	90	87	93	90		91	89	94		87	88	93	88	84
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	17	9	23	8	11	14	13	17		11	12	15	11	12
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	41	19	27	12	25	17	21	18		26	14	17	18	22
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	14	29	19	19	21	21	16	24		14	16	22	16	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	13	16	13	21	16	16	21	15		19	16	19	17	17
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	3	4	7	15	7	13	9	15		8	14	12	12	8
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	2	9	5	14	3	10	10	5	11	9	17	8	14	10
PARTY BY AGE	89	88	82	91	88		89	88	93		86	85	91	86	82
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	23	12	19	7		11	13	13		15	10	12	12	4
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	33	10	19	8	18	11	16	13		13	8	12	10	26
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	0	2	10	5	5	7	5	8	7	8	6	7	7	2
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	7	20	10	12	16	11	13	12		13	9	13	10	10
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	19	17	10	15	10	13	13	13		11	14	14	13	8
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	0	8	11	13	12	13	10	14		9	9	14	9	14
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	0	8	7	6	6	5	10	6		5	7	7	6	3
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	5	6	3	13	3	10	5	6	6	7	14	6	12	10
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	0	0	2	10	1	8	3	7	5	5	8	6	7	5
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	63	42	61	49	44	53	51	54		54	55	51	54	51
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	15	15	26	10	16	17	14	20		15	10	19	12	15
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	44	13	23	9	18	13	19	14		21	15	13	18	17
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	2	9	7	4	5	5	6	7		3	5	6	4	6
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	3	4	5	25	5	18	12	13	15	14	25	14	21	12

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

			MALIZE CUBA 1	CARGET	GROUPS		ROTA	16 MSG TION	SP	/B LIT		STATE-	Q13 CUE -SPONSO:	RED TE	RRORISI	M LIST	
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS		POS MOVER	NEG	PRO FIRST	CON		ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO		TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1023	468	278	277	100	124	518	505	501	522	237	177	285	252	413	537	72
GENDER BY AGE	98%	98%	98%	98%	97%	99%	99%	97%	98%	98%	99%	98%	98%	98%	99%	98%	97%
MEN 18-39	18	21	17	16	24	15	20	17	18	18	22	12	23	17	18	20	10
MEN 40-59	17	19	17	13	15	13	16	18	17	17	16	13	15	22	15	18	16
MEN 60+	13	16	10	11	9	15	13	14	13	14	12	11	10	22	11	15	7
WOMEN 18-39	16	14	15	20	16	22	17	14	16	16	12	19	18	11	15	15	27
WOMEN 40-59	20	16	23	24	26	20	21	19	20	20	25	27	17	14	26	16	17
WOMEN 60+	14	13	16	13	9	13	13	15	15	14	12	17	15	11	14	13	20
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	99	99	99	100	99	99	99	99	99	100	99	99	100	99	99	96
NON-COLL MEN	27	26	27	29	40	30	27	28	25	29	33	27	27	27	30	27	12
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	20	29	35	29	35	28	25	24	29	28	34	27	15	30	21	47
COLL+ MEN	21	29	18	11	7	16	22	21	23	20	18	10	20	35	15	27	17
COLL+ WOMEN	24	23	26	23	24	19	23	25	26	22	21	29	25	24	24	24	21
PARTY BY GENDER	90	94	88	86	90	86	90	90	91	90	91	86	89	94	89	91	89
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	17	10	11	19	8	14	13	16	12	14	7	13	20	11	16	9
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	17	18	20	24	17	19	17	14	22	16	26	20	11	20	16	21
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	22	17	16	16	20	18	20	17	21	18	19	20	21	18	21	12
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	18	17	19	15	19	17	19	22	14	15	20	18	19	17	19	18
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	13	13	8	7		11	12	11	12	15	3	9	18	10	13	7
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	6	15	12	8	11	11	9	11	9	13	11	8	5	12	7	22
PARTY BY AGE	89	92	87	85	87	85	90	87	89	88	90	85	87	92	88	89	87
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	11	10	13	17	13	15	8	11	12	13	8	15	9	11	12	10
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	14	11	13	17	8	12	14	13	12	10	18	12	12	14	12	15
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	8	6	4	8	2	7	7	6	7	6	6	5	9	6	7	5
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	14	11	8	10	9	11	12	12	12	9	10	15	12	10	14	10
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	12	14	12	14	13	15	13	14	4
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	13	10	13	7	17	10	14	15	10	11	15	10	12	13	11	17
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	6	6	9	6	10	6	7	8	5	7	4	5	7	6	6	15
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	6	13	7	9	6	9	8	9	7	15	4	5	8	10	7	10
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	6	8	4	1	7	7	6	5	8	6	6	6	8	6	7	2
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	52	54	50	59	45	55	50	51	54	57	45	51	54	52	52	58
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	20	11	14	13	13	18	15	17	15	11	15	18	18	13	18	19
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	14	15	17	30	10	15	15	13	17	18	17	15	12	17	14	11
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	6	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	5	5	5	4	7	5	5	8
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	13	23	14	12	16	17	15	16	16	23	8	13	16	17	15	21

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

		LAND	CELL F	SAGE		Q19	IDEOL	OGY		Q21	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	Q20/Q2 PARTY	
T	otal	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL		VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1023	461	338	212	156	92	354	349	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
GENDER BY AGE	98%	98%	98%	99%	98%	97%	97%	99%	98%	97%	99%	98%	99%	98%	97%	98%
MEN 18-39	18	28	12	8	13	25	14	20	20	11	14	10	14	26	7	8
MEN 40-59	17	16	19	14	16	19	18	18	16	22	18	24	19	10	26	29
MEN 60+	13	10	15	19	23	5	19	11	10	20	13	19	13	10	22	12
WOMEN 18-39	16	21	13	8	11	25	12	14	22	7	15	7	15	20	7	8
WOMEN 40-59	20	16	24	20	21	17	18	22	20	19	23	21	22	18	24	28
WOMEN 60+	14	7	14	30	13	7	16	15	11	18	15	16	15	13	10	12
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	99	99	99	99	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	99	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	32	23	22	29	25	31	26	23	30	16	25	19	34	24	20
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	25	25	30	24	30	25	26	27	19	21	20	21	34	18	20
COLL+ MEN	21	22	23	18	25	24	21	22	23	24	29	29	29	13	33	30
COLL+ WOMEN	24	21	27	28	21	21	23	25	26	27	33	26	32	18	25	30
PARTY BY GENDER	90	88	93	91	96	99	94	89	94	97	96	96	96	85	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	16	13	10	10	34	8	11	26	8	22	5	21	13	0	2
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	18	19	18	6	38	8	17	34	5	32	6	27	18	0	5
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	20	20	17	12	13	14	27	16	20	14	22	17	19	0	48
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	13	21	25	14	11	18	22	13	17	19	19	19	17	0	45
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	11	12	10	28	2	26	5	2	25	7	25	8	8	57	0
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	11	8	10	26	2	20	6	3	21	2	19	5	10	43	0
PARTY BY AGE	89	86	91	89	94	96	91	88	92	95	95	94	95	83	97	98
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	16	9	5	8	33	6	8	25	7	15	5	13	14	0	3
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	11	14	13	4	32	4	13	25	3	26	4	23	10	0	2
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	5	7	9	4	4	4	7	9	3	12	2	11	5	0	1
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	16	9	7	3	12	6	16	11	6	10	6	11	16	0	14
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	10	17	13	12	4	12	19	7	10	9	19	11	12	0	55
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	6	14	22	10	8	13	14	10	21	14	16	14	9	0	24
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	10	4	3	12	4	13	3	3	4	4	6	5	8	14	0
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	8	10	5	20	0	17	5	2	26	4	22	4	5	50	0
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	3	7	13	21	0	15	3	0	14	2	14	3	5	32	0
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	55	52	48	70	76	62	40	65	59	63	55	59	48	99	7
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	17	19	10	0	72	0	0	60	1	35	2	31	13	0	1
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	16	12	17	16	0	15	28	0	12	19	10	17	16	0	6
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	6	4	5	0	4	0	11	5	4	6	6	6	5	13	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	15	17	15	54	0	47	0	0	42	4	38	6	13	86	0

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

									O PARTY	SELF-								II	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	1023	378	257	289	323	380	220	100	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	38	165	153	54	165
GENDER BY AGE	98%	97%	99%	98%	97%	99%	98%	98%	97%	97%	99%	99%	98%	99%	97%	98%	98%	98%	96%	99%	98%
MEN 18-39	18	17	21	16	16	20	15	25	14	22	23	21	17	17	15	35	9	18	14	17	15
MEN 40-59	17	16	16	19	17	16	19	15	20	10	8	16	19	16	20	10	22	18	16	14	20
MEN 60+	13	10	14	18	9	15	18	9	9	11	15	14	16	13	21	6	15	6	13	12	21
WOMEN 18-39	16	19	12	12	20	11	15	21	18	26	15	12	4	23	10	30	7	23	17	19	13
WOMEN 40-59	20	23	21	17	23	19	20	12	24	21	22	21	8	21	19	8	19	23	23	29	17
WOMEN 60+	14	12	15	16	12	18	11	15	13	8	15	15	33	9	12	9	25	9	13	8	12
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	100	98	100	100	99	100	96	100	100	100	98	100	100	100	100	88	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	25	30	25	25	29	25	34	25	24	26	30	27	27	23	45	18	21	29	14	28
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	29	25	21	30	23	22	41	32	24	23	25	15	26	20	41	39	28	32	23	22
COLL+ MEN	21	19	21	28	18	22	29	12	17	20	21	21	27	20	34	6	21	22	14	29	29
COLL+ WOMEN	24	27	23	26	27	25	24	9	25	32	29	23	32	28	22	7	11	29	26	34	21
PARTY BY GENDER	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	0	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	37	0	0	43	0	0	0	42	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	43	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	49	0	0	57	0	0	0	58	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	57	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	7	52	13	0	52	0	0	0	0	47	52	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	8	48	11	0	48	0	0	0	0	53	48	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	0	0	41	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	58	0	0	0	0	43	57
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	0	0	35	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	42	0	0	0	0	57	43
PARTY BY AGE	89	97	99	98	97	99	98	0	97	97	99	99	98	99	97	0	0	98	96	99	98
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	31	0	0	36	0	0	0	32	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	31	0	0
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	34	0	0	40	0	0	0	44	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	39	0	0
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	18	0	0	21	0	0	0	22	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	26	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	5	33	5	0	32	0	0	0	0	38	33	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	4	38	6	0	35	0	0	0	0	31	38	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	4	29	12	0	33	0	0	0	0	30	29	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	0	0	23	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	25	0	0	0	0	36	28
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	0	0	29	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	39	0	0	0	0	42	37
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	0	0	22	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	33	0	0	0	0	20	32
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	84	0	76	98	0	99	0	98	99	0	0	0	100	99	0	0	100	100	100	100
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	44	0	0	51	0	0	0	51	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	41	0	0	47	0	0	0	47	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	0	0	19	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	17	0	0	0	0	100	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	0	0	57	0	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	82	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

			(		BY AGE						GENDE							RTY BY				
		MEN	MEN	MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM		IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM		IND/L		REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1023	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
GENDER BY AGE	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	96%	99%	100%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MEN 18-39	18	100	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	39	0	29	0	45	0	0	64	0	0	51	0	0
MEN 40-59	17	0	100	0	0	0	0	39	0	31	0	35	0	0	42	0	0	45	0	0	49	0
MEN 60+	13	0	0	100	0	0	0	21	0	29	0	34	0	0	0	44	0	0	45	0	0	63
WOMEN 18-39	16	0	0	0	100	0	0	0		0	23	0	32	55	0	0	36	0	0	49	0	0
WOMEN 40-59	20	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	41	0	39	0	43	0	58	0	0	55	0	0	51	0
WOMEN 60+	14	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	20	0	37	0	23	0	0	56	0	0	55	0	0	37
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	100	99	97	100	99	99	100	100	99	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	97	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	65	50	49	0	0	0	58	0	56	0	46	0	25	27	24	47	20	21	26	18	30
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	0	0	0	51	49	57	0	52	0	47	0	48	25	34	28	13	26	29	28	18	21
COLL+ MEN	21	35	49	48	0	0	0	42	0	43	0	54	0	20	15	20	17	26	22	25	31	33
COLL+ WOMEN	24	0	0	0	49	50	42	0	48	0	52	0	52	30	24	28	23	28	24	20	33	16
PARTY BY GENDER	90	87	91	93	87	94	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	28	32	22	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	45	42	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	0	0	0	40	37	26	0	100	0	0	0	0	55	58	56	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	41	35	41	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	45	45	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	0	0	0	27	35	47	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	36	55	55	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	18	24	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	49	63
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	0	0	0	20	21	16	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	51	37
PARTY BY AGE	89	87	91	93	87	94	90	99	96	99	100	98	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	28	0	0	40	0	0	38	35	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	0	32	0	0	37	0	39	41	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	0	0	22	0	0	26	21	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	41	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	39	23	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	0	31	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	0	0	41	0	0	47	0	0	29	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	18	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	0	24	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	35	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	0	0	30	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	34	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	45	55	51	60	58	41	99	98	0	0	99	100	99	98	96	0	0	0	99	99	100
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	16	17	7	23	19	11	51	51	0	0	0	0	58	52	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	12	14	14	16	17	14	47	47	0	0	0	0	41	46	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	4	5	7	8	3	0	0	0	0	20	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	27	17
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	13	20	25	14	14	13	0	0	0	0	79	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	72	83

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

				Q22 EDI	JCATION	Г		EDU	JCATION		IDER			REGION					REGION	ī		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN				MID WEST			NORTH EAST	MID WEST		SOUTH CNTRL		MNTN	WEST
Base	1023	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	378	245	201	151	227	135	64	64	181
GENDER BY AGE	98%	98%	99%	99%	96%	98%	98%	99%	97%	98%	98%	98%	99%	98%	97%	99%	99%	99%	98%	99%	99%	96%
MEN 18-39	18	25	20	16	10	22	14	44	0	30	0	24	17	19	14	25	15	19	17	23	9	16
MEN 40-59	17	14	17	17	23	16	18	31	0	39	0	13	23	18	13	13	23	20	14	22	19	11
MEN 60+	13	14	10	13	18	12		24		30	0	13	11		17	13	12	12	12		20	15
WOMEN 18-39	16	14	16	18	13	15		0		0	32		9		12	19	11	20	22		5	14
WOMEN 40-59	20	17	19	24	17	18	22	0		0	41	13	22		25	14	21	18	19		26	25
WOMEN 60+	14	15	15	12	17	15	13	0	30	0	24	15	16	11	16	14	16	10	15	17	21	14
EDUCATION BY GENDER	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	100	100	99	98	100	99	96	100	99
NON-COLL MEN	27	53	48	0	0	50	0	100	0	0	0	31	27	31	19	31	26	33	26	29	17	20
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	47	52	0	0	50	0	0	100	0	0	24	20	27	34	23	22	24	33	16	37	33
COLL+ MEN	21	0	0	45	52	0	47	0	0	100	0	20	24	18	26	21	23	19	15	24	32	23
COLL+ WOMEN	24	0	0	55	48	0	53	0	0	0	100	25	27	24	21	24	27	24	25	27	14	23
PARTY BY GENDER	90	82	90	94	99	86	96	88	85	95	96	94	91	88	90	91	93	91	87	85	92	89
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	16	13	12	16	14	13	29	0	27	0	16	11	13	14	16	14	18	6	2	11	15
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	18	18	18	21	18	19	0	36	0	36	18	13	19	21	19	15	19	18	10	10	24
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	18	22	18	19	20	18	39	0	38	0	21	27	16	16	21	25	15	18	31	21	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	13	18	23	15	16	21	0	32	0	39	20	17	17	19	19	17	15	22	17	23	17
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	10	10	13	16	10	14	20	0	29	0	9	11	12	12	8	9	14	12	17	14	12
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	8	10	11	12	9	11	0	18	0	22	8	12	11	8	8	13	10	12	9	14	7
PARTY BY AGE	89	81	89	93	96	85	94	87	83	93	94	93	89	87	87	90	92	90	85	84	92	86
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	12	9	14	9	11	13	10	11	11	14	17	7	11	12	16	10	12	10	2	4	15
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	14	14	9	16	14	11	12	16	9	13	11	10	15	14	12	12	18	7	7	10	15
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	6	7	6	10	6	7	6	7	6	8	7	6	6	7	7	7	8	4	3	7	7
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	12	13	13	4	13	10	20	6	9	11	19	10	13	6	18	7	14	12	15	6	6
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	8	14	15	16	11	15	9	13	16	15	7	19	12	14	7	19	10	15	20	14	13
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	11	12	12	13	11	12	9	13	13	12	14	14	8	15	14	15	5	13	12	24	11
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	5	8	5	9	7	6	6	7	8	5	5	7	9	4	5	7	9	9	7	1	5
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	5	6	13	8	6	12	5		12	11	7	12		9	6	11	7	7	12	20	5
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	7	5	5	11	6	7	7	5	10	4	5	4	7	7	5	4	7	8	6	6	8
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	52	51	49	53	65	50	56	48	53	55	57	52	47	54	55	51	51	60	46	37	48	58
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	14	16	15	26	15	18	13	17	17	19	18	10	17	19	19	11	21	9	9	13	21
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	20	14	14	10	17	13	16	18	10	16	16	14	14	16	16	18	16	13	3	8	19
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	2	6	12	4	7	3	5	7	7	7	7	3	6	7	7	3	3	8	6	6
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	12	18	18	17	15	18	17	13	22	14	10	16	20	15	10	15	21	21	18	22	12

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

		GEN	DER					Q2 AGE						Q3 ETH	NICITY	
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
CONSISTENT VOTER	73%	77%	69%	68%	76%	72%	77%	73%	70%	71%	73%	75%	61%	76%		67%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	52	39	45	47	44	41	51	55	46	43	49	44	45	44	46
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	25	29	23	29	27	36	22	16	25	29	26	17	31	20	21
SWING	27	23	31	32	24	28	23	27	30	29	27	25	39	24	35	33
SWING	27	23	31	32	24	28	23	27	30	29	27	25	39	24	35	33
MOVERS	22	20	23	27	20	23	18	21	20	25	22	19	34	20	26	26
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	9	10	14	7	10	10	4	7	11	11	6	14	8	15	13
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	11	13	13	13	12	8	17	13	13	11	13	20	12	11	13
		~	SP/LTN IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. IRECTIO		Q8A U	J.S./ RELTNS		~	NORMAI					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR		DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT	STR OPPOS		TOTAL	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
CONSISTENT VOTER	73%	54%	64%	72%	75%	59%	77%	65%	91%	71%	67%	84%	82%	78%	0%	
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	47	42	55	41	41	47	43	91	71	0	0	82	0	0	
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	6	23	17	35	18	30	22	0	0	67	84	0	78	0	
SWING	27	46	36	28	25	41	23	35	9	29	33	16	18	22	100	
SWING	27	46	36	28	25	41	23	35	9	29	33	16	18	22	100	
MOVERS	22	40	31	22	21	28	18	29	8	25	29	15	16	20	65	
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	25	9	9	9	15	8	13	0	0	29	15	0	20	28	
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	15	22	13	11	13	10	16	8	25	0	0	16	0	36	

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

		NOR	MALIZE CUBA T	RELATI ARGET		GAGE	Q15/Q1 ROTA		A/ SPI				Q13 CU				
	Total	CONS	CONS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
CONSISTENT VOTER	73%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	72%	74%	78%	68%	71%	60%	78%	83%	66%	80%	55%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	100	0	0	0	0	46	45	51	41	20	26	61	69	23	65	35
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	0	100	0	0	0	26	28	27	27	50	34	17	14	43	16	21
SWING	27	0	0	100	100	100	28	26	22	32	29	40	22	17	34	20	45
SWING	27	0	0	100	100	100	28	26	22	32	29	40	22	17	34	20	45
MOVERS	22	0	0	81	100	100	23	21	16	28	28	31	19	14	29	17	18
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	0	0	36	100	0	10	9	6	14	12	15	8	8	13	8	7
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	0	0	45	0	100	13	11	10	14	16	16	12	7	16	9	11
			CELL F												Q20/Q2		
		LANI	DLINE U	SAGE		Q19	IDEOL	OGY		021	TEA PA	RTY FA	VORABII	LITY	PARTY	OPIN	
			BOTH	ALL/M	 VERY	VERY				 VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	 FAV/	FAV/	
	Total	 ALL/M	BOTH		VERY CONS	VERY LIB			LIB		VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV		NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	Total  1024	 ALL/M	BOTH							VERY					. ,	. ,	
	1024	ALL/M CELL  461	BOTH EQUAL  338	LNDLN  212	CONS  156	LIB  92	CONS  354	MOD  350	LIB  275	VERY FAV	UNFAV  254	FAV  226	UNFAV  337	RF/NA  427	REPS  99	IND/L  61	
Base  CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
CONSISTENT VOTER	 1024 <b>73</b> %	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>75</b> %	BOTH EQUAL  338	LNDLN  212 <b>75</b> %	CONS  156 <b>73</b> %	LIB  92 <b>71</b> %	CONS  354 <b>73</b> %	MOD  350 <b>72</b> %	LIB  275 <b>76</b> %	VERY FAV  111	UNFAV  254 <b>81</b> %	FAV  226 <b>74</b> %	UNFAV  337 <b>82</b> %	RF/NA  427 <b>67</b> %	REPS  99 <b>73%</b>	IND/L 61 78%	
CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR	73%	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>75%</b> 47	BOTH EQUAL  338 <b>70%</b> 48	LNDLN  212 <b>75%</b> 41	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 29	LIB  92 <b>71</b> % 54	CONS  354 <b>73%</b> 36	MOD  350 <b>72%</b> 49	LIB  275 <b>76%</b> 58	VERY FAV 111 87% 42	UNFAV  254 <b>81%</b> 66	FAV  226 <b>74</b> % 36	UNFAV  337 <b>82</b> % 65	RF/NA  427 <b>67%</b> 38	REPS  99 <b>73%</b> 28	IND/L 61 78% 44	
CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR CONSISTENT OPPOSE	73% 46 27	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>75%</b> 47 28	BOTH EQUAL  338 <b>70%</b> 48 22	LNDLN  212 <b>75%</b> 41 34	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 29 44	LIB  92 <b>71%</b> 54 17	CONS  354 <b>73%</b> 36 37	MOD  350 <b>72%</b> 49 23	LIB  275 <b>76%</b> 58 18	VERY FAV  111 87% 42 45	UNFAV 254 81% 66 15	FAV  226 <b>74%</b> 36 38	UNFAV  337 <b>82%</b> 65 17	RF/NA  427 <b>67%</b> 38 29	REPS  99 <b>73%</b> 28 45	IND/L  61 78% 44 34	
CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR CONSISTENT OPPOSE SWING	1024 73% 46 27	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>75%</b> 47 28	BOTH EQUAL  338 70% 48 22 30 30	LNDLN  212 <b>75%</b> 41 34 <b>25</b>	CONS  156 <b>73%</b> 29 44 <b>27</b>	LIB  92 <b>71%</b> 54 17	CONS  354 73% 36 37	MOD  350 <b>72%</b> 49 23	LIB  275 <b>76%</b> 58 18	VERY FAV  111 87% 42 45	UNFAV 254 81% 66 15	FAV  226 <b>74%</b> 36 38 <b>26</b>	UNFAV  337 <b>82%</b> 65 17 <b>18</b>	RF/NA  427 <b>67%</b> 38 29	REPS  99 <b>73%</b> 28 45	IND/L 61 78% 44 34	
CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR CONSISTENT OPPOSE  SWING SWING	73% 46 27 27	ALL/M CELL  461 <b>75%</b> 47 28 <b>25</b>	BOTH EQUAL  338 70% 48 22 30 30	LNDLN 212 75% 41 34 25 25	CONS  156 73% 29 44 27	LIB  92 <b>71%</b> 54 17 <b>29</b> 29	CONS  354 73% 36 37 27	MOD  350 <b>72%</b> 49 23 <b>28</b>	LIB  275 <b>76%</b> 58 18 <b>24</b> 24	VERY FAV 1111 87% 42 45 13 13	UNFAV 254 81% 66 15 19	FAV 226 <b>74%</b> 36 38 <b>26</b> 26	UNFAV  337 <b>82%</b> 65 17 <b>18</b>	RF/NA  427 <b>67%</b> 38 29 <b>33</b> 33	REPS  99 <b>73%</b> 28 45 <b>27</b> 27	IND/L 61 78% 44 34 22 22	

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

								Q2	0 PARTY	SELF-								ID	EOLOGY	BY PAR	TY	
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR CONSISTENT OPPOSE	<b>73%</b> 46 27	<b>75%</b> 52 23	<b>73</b> % 49 24	<b>75</b> % 41 34	<b>73</b> % 49 24	<b>75</b> % 50 24	<b>75%</b> 40 35	<b>62%</b> 29 32	<b>71%</b> 48 24	<b>77%</b> 55 23	<b>85%</b> 67 18	<b>73</b> % 49 24	<b>74%</b> 44 31	<b>71</b> % 47 24	<b>77%</b> 36 41	<b>62%</b> 32 30	<b>60%</b> 25 35	<b>76%</b> 57 19	<b>70%</b> 42 28	<b>69</b> % 47 21	<b>77%</b> 37 40	
<u>swing</u> swing	<b>27</b> 27	<b>25</b> 25	<b>27</b> 27	<b>25</b> 25	<b>27</b> 27	<b>25</b> 25	<b>25</b> 25	<b>38</b> 38	<b>29</b> 29	<b>23</b> 23	<b>15</b> 15	<b>27</b> 27	<b>26</b> 26	<b>29</b> 29	<b>23</b> 23	<b>38</b> 38	<b>40</b> 40	<b>24</b> 24	<b>30</b> 30	<b>31</b> 31	<b>23</b> 23	
MOVERS POSITIVE MOVERS NEGATIVE MOVERS	<b>22</b> 10 12	<b>22</b> 12 9	<b>21</b> 7 14	<b>21</b> 8 13	<b>23</b> 13 9	<b>21</b> 8 13	<b>20</b> 7 13	<b>27</b> 10 17	<b>26</b> 17 9	15 4 11	<b>15</b> 7 9	<b>21</b> 7 14	24 11 12	<b>23</b> 7 16	<b>18</b> 7 11	<b>28</b> 11 17	<b>26</b> 9 17	<b>18</b> 8 10	<b>28</b> 19 8	<b>20</b> 7 13	<b>20</b> 7 12	
				GENDER	BY AGE	: 			P.	ARTY BY	GENDE	R 					PAF	TY BY	AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
CONSISTENT VOTER CONSISTENT FAVOR CONSISTENT OPPOSE	<b>73%</b> 46 27	<b>76%</b> 51 25	<b>80%</b> 52 27	<b>76%</b> 55 22	<b>66</b> % 40 26	<b>67%</b> 36 31	<b>74%</b> 43 31	<b>77%</b> 58 20	<b>70%</b> 43 26	<b>77%</b> 54 24	<b>72</b> % 47 25	<b>82</b> % 51 30	<b>67%</b> 26 41	<b>70%</b> 46 24	<b>73%</b> 49 23	<b>82</b> % 58 25	<b>81%</b> 56 25	<b>73%</b> 46 26	<b>71%</b> 49 21	<b>64%</b> 39 25	<b>76%</b> 35 41	<b>82%</b> 46 36
<u>SWING</u> SWING	<b>27</b> 27	<b>24</b> 24	<b>20</b> 20	<b>24</b> 24	<b>34</b> 34	<b>33</b> 33	<b>26</b> 26	<b>23</b> 23	<b>30</b> 30	<b>23</b> 23	<b>28</b> 28	<b>18</b> 18	<b>33</b> 33	<b>30</b> 30	<b>27</b> 27	<b>18</b> 18	<b>19</b> 19	<b>27</b> 27	<b>29</b> 29	<b>36</b> 36	<b>24</b> 24	<b>18</b> 18
MOVERS POSITIVE MOVERS NEGATIVE MOVERS	<b>22</b> 10 12	<b>22</b> 12 10	<b>18</b> 9 10	<b>21</b> 6 14	<b>27</b> 10 17	<b>24</b> 13 12	<b>17</b> 6 11	<b>21</b> 14 7	<b>24</b> 13 11	<b>21</b> 8 13	<b>21</b> 8 13	18 6 12	<b>22</b> 8 14	<b>28</b> 14 14	<b>20</b> 13 7	<b>15</b> 11 4	<b>18</b> 9 10	<b>22</b> 10 12	<b>22</b> 6 17	<b>27</b> 9 18	<b>19</b> 10 9	14 2 13

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

				~	UCATION			EDU	JCATION	BY GEI	IDER		CENSUS	REGION	Ī				REGION	ſ		
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST		SOUTH		MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
CONSISTENT VOTER	73%	65%	70%	80%	77%	67%	80%	71%	64%	86%	74%	83%	72%	68%	73%	82%	69%	72%	62%	80%	74%	72%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	35	44	51	59	39	53	44	35	63	45	50	47	42	48	50	42	43	39	59	37	52
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	30	25	29	18	28	26	27	29	23	29	33	26	27	25	32	28	29	23	20	38	20
SWING	27	35	30	20	23	33	20	29	36	14	26	17	28	32	27	18	31	28	38	20	26	28
SWING	27	35	30	20	23	33	20	29	36	14	26	17	28	32	27	18	31	28	38	20	26	28
MOVERS	22	31	24	15	19	27	16	27	27	12	19	15	21	24	24	15	23	21	31	18	20	25
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	16	9	7	5	12	7	14	11	3	10	6	9	11	12	6	8	10	12	10	6	14
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	15	15	8	14	15	9	1.3	16	9	9	9	13	14	11	9	15	11	19	8	1.4	1.0