FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1:   QA. TYPE OF PHONE

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
YES	**63%**	**65%**	**62%**	**75%**	**77%**	**65%**	**62%**	**53%**	**32%**	**76%**	**64%**	**49%**	**73%**	**54%**	**63%**	**63%**	**71%**	**65%**	**68%**	**60%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	65	62	75	77	65	62	53	32	76	64	49	73	54	63	63	71	65	68	60
NO	**37**	**35**	**38**	**25**	**23**	**35**	**38**	**47**	**68**	**24**	**36**	**51**	**27**	**46**	**37**	**37**	**29**	**35**	**32**	**40**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	21	27	18	16	26	21	35	41	17	23	33	20	29	31	24	12	24	31	31
No, not on and do not own	13	14	11	7	7	9	17	11	26	7	13	18	7	18	6	13	17	11	2	9

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
YES	**63%**	**56%**	**72%**	**68%**	**60%**	**65%**	**65%**	**60%**	**62%**	**63%**	**66%**	**67%**	**62%**	**67%**	**57%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	56	72	68	60	65	65	60	62	63	66	67	62	67	57
NO	**37**	**44**	**28**	**32**	**40**	**35**	**35**	**40**	**38**	**37**	**34**	**33**	**38**	**33**	**43**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	40	20	23	25	24	26	19	25	20	26	23	23	24	31
No, not on and do not own	13	4	8	9	15	11	8	21	13	16	8	9	14	9	12

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
YES	**63%**	**67%**	**68%**	**60%**	**68%**	**42%**	**60%**	**66%**	**65%**	**62%**	**64%**	**70%**	**60%**	**70%**	**67%**	**65%**	**65%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	67	68	60	68	42	60	66	65	62	64	70	60	70	67	65	65
NO	**37**	**33**	**32**	**40**	**32**	**58**	**40**	**34**	**35**	**38**	**36**	**30**	**40**	**30**	**33**	**35**	**35**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	20	22	30	24	43	29	20	22	26	26	17	28	18	22	23	28
No, not on and do not own	13	13	10	10	8	15	11	14	13	12	10	14	12	12	12	12	6

TABLE 1:   QA. TYPE OF PHONE

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	Q19 IDEOLOGY VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
YES	**63%**	**90%**	**37%**	**13%**	**60%**	**62%**	**59%**	**70%**	**61%**	**59%**	**62%**	**61%**	**60%**	**72%**	**57%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	90	37	13	60	62	59	70	61	59	62	61	60	72	57
NO	**37**	**10**	**63**	**87**	**40**	**38**	**41**	**30**	**39**	**41**	**38**	**39**	**40**	**28**	**43**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	10	63	30	30	18	26	24	22	13	28	15	30	20	24
No, not on and do not own	13	0	0	58	10	20	15	6	17	29	10	24	10	8	19

	Total	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
YES	**63%**	**58%**	**68%**	**61%**	**57%**	**68%**	**59%**	**77%**	**57%**	**57%**	**64%**	**69%**	**58%**	**59%**	**59%**	**56%**	**75%**	**55%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	58	68	61	57	68	59	77	57	57	64	69	58	59	59	56	75	55
NO	**37**	**42**	**32**	**39**	**43**	**32**	**41**	**23**	**43**	**43**	**36**	**31**	**42**	**41**	**41**	**44**	**25**	**45**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	26	23	25	26	23	26	18	27	21	25	22	32	23	21	31	22	27
No, not on and do not own	13	16	9	14	17	9	15	5	15	22	11	8	10	17	20	13	3	18

	Total	GENDER BY AGE MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	PARTY BY GENDER DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PARTY BY AGE DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
YES	**63%**	**76%**	**55%**	**70%**	**52%**	**53%**	**61%**	**70%**	**66%**	**66%**	**51%**	**67%**	**47%**	**76%**	**57%**	**67%**	**55%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	76	55	70	52	53	61	70	66	66	51	67	47	76	57	67	55
NO	**37**	**24**	**45**	**30**	**48**	**47**	**39**	**30**	**34**	**34**	**49**	**33**	**53**	**24**	**43**	**33**	**45**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	17	25	23	33	27	24	19	28	17	35	24	28	18	31	20	28
No, not on and do not own	13	7	20	7	15	19	15	11	7	17	14	9	25	6	12	13	17

TABLE 1:   QA. TYPE OF PHONE

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
YES	**63%**	**58%**	**65%**	**65%**	**68%**	**61%**	**66%**	**63%**	**60%**	**68%**	**64%**	**64%**	**73%**	**69%**	**64%**	**66%**	**53%**	**66%**	**52%**
Yes, cell and can talk safely	63	58	65	65	68	61	66	63	60	68	64	64	73	69	64	66	53	66	52
NO	**37**	**42**	**35**	**35**	**32**	**39**	**34**	**37**	**40**	**32**	**36**	**36**	**27**	**31**	**36**	**34**	**47**	**34**	**48**
No, not on cell, but own one	24	24	22	27	21	23	26	22	24	20	30	33	23	28	21	23	28	18	20
No, not on and do not own	13	18	13	8	11	16	9	15	16	12	6	3	4	4	15	11	19	16	28

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 2:   QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	Total	GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
		MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	540	265	274	111	86	97	130	60	46	197	181	152	294	236	122	328	67	203	51	71
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**72%**	**64%**	**90%**	**71%**	**61%**	**72%**	**51%**	**33%**	**81%**	**65%**	**53%**	**75%**	**59%**	**65%**	**68%**	**70%**	**67%**	**58%**	**71%**
All cell phone	41	47	36	56	44	33	48	26	16	51	39	31	45	36	40	41	45	41	34	44
Mostly cell phone	27	25	28	33	27	28	24	25	17	31	27	22	30	23	26	27	25	26	24	27
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**18**	**24**	**10**	**26**	**24**	**21**	**20**	**34**	**17**	**25**	**23**	**19**	**23**	**28**	**20**	**11**	**22**	**38**	**21**
Cell and landline equally	21	18	24	10	26	24	21	20	34	17	25	23	19	23	28	20	11	22	38	21
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**9**	**12**	**1**	**3**	**12**	**7**	**27**	**34**	**2**	**9**	**24**	**5**	**17**	**7**	**12**	**14**	**9**	**4**	**9**
Mostly landline	11	9	12	1	3	12	7	27	34	2	9	24	5	17	7	12	14	9	4	9
DK/NA	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0

	Total	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
		IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	540	70	52	198	287	55	385	154	203	132	74	96	335	170	35
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**59%**	**74%**	**71%**	**68%**	**56%**	**65%**	**73%**	**67%**	**68%**	**73%**	**66%**	**67%**	**69%**	**64%**
All cell phone	41	30	53	44	41	31	37	52	40	41	44	40	41	42	44
Mostly cell phone	27	29	21	27	27	25	28	22	26	28	29	26	27	27	19
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**31**	**24**	**18**	**20**	**37**	**22**	**18**	**20**	**24**	**15**	**22**	**22**	**19**	**21**
Cell and landline equally	21	31	24	18	20	37	22	18	20	24	15	22	22	19	21
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**10**	**2**	**9**	**12**	**6**	**12**	**8**	**11**	**7**	**13**	**11**	**9**	**12**	**15**
Mostly landline	11	10	2	9	12	6	12	8	11	7	13	11	9	12	15
DK/NA	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0

TABLE 2:   QB.   CELL PHONE USAGE

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	540	173	69	73	34	29	247	293	268	271	54	44	97	95	97	192	26
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**64%**	**64%**	**67%**	**69%**	**55%**	**65%**	**70%**	**71%**	**64%**	**59%**	**71%**	**59%**	**73%**	**64%**	**66%**	**59%**
All cell phone	41	42	43	40	48	30	36	45	41	41	42	48	35	46	44	41	39
Mostly cell phone	27	23	21	26	20	25	29	25	30	23	18	23	24	26	20	25	20
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**25**	**27**	**23**	**18**	**34**	**20**	**21**	**19**	**23**	**29**	**16**	**30**	**17**	**23**	**24**	**38**
Cell and landline equally	21	25	27	23	18	34	20	21	19	23	29	16	30	17	23	24	38
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**9**	**10**	**10**	**14**	**10**	**13**	**8**	**9**	**12**	**12**	**13**	**10**	**7**	**13**	**9**	**2**
Mostly landline	11	9	10	10	14	10	13	8	9	12	12	13	10	7	13	9	2
DK/NA	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**0**
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	540	365	113	57	85	49	191	210	124	27	91	53	117	145	28
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**67%**	**74%**	**66%**	**69%**	**68%**	**59%**	**62%**	**68%**	**60%**	**67%**	**59%**
All cell phone	41	61	0	0	44	45	41	40	42	40	38	47	38	43	35
Mostly cell phone	27	39	0	0	23	29	26	29	26	19	24	22	22	25	23
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**23**	**20**	**21**	**20**	**24**	**29**	**26**	**23**	**27**	**24**	**27**
Cell and landline equally	21	0	100	0	23	20	21	20	24	29	26	23	27	24	27
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**10**	**6**	**13**	**10**	**8**	**12**	**12**	**9**	**13**	**7**	**14**
Mostly landline	11	0	0	100	10	6	13	10	8	12	12	9	13	7	14
DK/NA	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 2:   QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	540	182	150	155	159	209	118	53	121	38	22	37	31	86	73	85	28	88
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**64%**	**66%**	**70%**	**65%**	**68%**	**65%**	**78%**	**62%**	**76%**	**52%**	**86%**	**60%**	**67%**	**70%**	**61%**	**69%**	**63%**
All cell phone	41	41	35	43	43	40	36	51	40	54	28	65	30	38	42	44	32	38
Mostly cell phone	27	22	31	27	22	28	29	27	22	23	24	21	30	29	28	17	37	26
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**22**	**24**	**20**	**21**	**21**	**23**	**14**	**23**	**15**	**27**	**7**	**22**	**24**	**19**	**23**	**18**	**25**
Cell and landline equally	21	22	24	20	21	21	23	14	23	15	27	7	22	24	19	23	18	25
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**14**	**7**	**10**	**13**	**9**	**11**	**8**	**15**	**9**	**21**	**7**	**18**	**9**	**10**	**16**	**12**	**11**
Mostly landline	11	14	7	10	13	9	11	8	15	9	21	7	18	9	10	16	12	11
DK/NA	**1**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

			GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	540	136	124	159	112	76	83	102	108	62	56	88	67	123	84	43	73
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**79%**	**63%**	**71%**	**54%**	**68%**	**63%**	**70%**	**66%**	**76%**	**53%**	**73%**	**55%**	**74%**	**61%**	**74%**	**61%**
All cell phone	41	53	38	38	33	49	39	43	37	48	23	47	36	42	38	39	34
Mostly cell phone	27	25	25	34	21	20	24	27	30	28	30	25	19	32	24	35	26
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**15**	**22**	**23**	**24**	**17**	**25**	**20**	**23**	**16**	**31**	**18**	**26**	**20**	**23**	**25**	**22**
Cell and landline equally	21	15	22	23	24	17	25	20	23	16	31	18	26	20	23	25	22
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**4**	**14**	**6**	**21**	**15**	**12**	**7**	**10**	**8**	**16**	**9**	**19**	**4**	**15**	**1**	**17**
Mostly landline	11	4	14	6	21	15	12	7	10	8	16	9	19	4	15	1	17
DK/NA	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0

TABLE 2:   QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	540	141	126	205	65	267	271	142	125	121	149	78	95	173	129	77	77	56	27
ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE	**68%**	**64%**	**69%**	**65%**	**80%**	**66%**	**69%**	**74%**	**57%**	**68%**	**69%**	**72%**	**62%**	**67%**	**73%**	**63%**	**62%**	**74%**	**64%**
All cell phone	41	39	42	41	42	40	42	45	35	48	36	50	39	44	36	39	35	48	51
Mostly cell phone	27	25	26	24	37	26	27	30	22	20	33	22	23	23	37	24	27	26	12
BOTH EQUALLY	**21**	**24**	**24**	**18**	**19**	**24**	**18**	**17**	**31**	**19**	**17**	**25**	**16**	**20**	**19**	**24**	**25**	**15**	**29**
Cell and landline equally	21	24	24	18	19	24	18	17	31	19	17	25	16	20	19	24	25	15	29
MOSTLY LANDLINE	**11**	**12**	**6**	**15**	**2**	**9**	**12**	**8**	**11**	**10**	**13**	**3**	**17**	**11**	**8**	**12**	**13**	**11**	**7**
Mostly landline	11	12	6	15	2	9	12	8	11	10	13	3	17	11	8	12	13	11	7
DK/NA	**1**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4	2	0	1	0	0	0

TABLE 3:   QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

	Total	GENDER MEN	WOMEN	Q2 AGE 18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	Q3 ETHNICITY HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	62%	57%	84%	66%	56%	60%	45%	24%	76%	57%	43%	69%	49%	62%	59%	59%	60%	57%	65%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	16	21	9	24	22	17	18	25	16	21	19	18	19	26	18	9	20	37	19
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	22	22	7	10	19	23	35	51	8	21	38	12	32	12	23	28	19	6	17
OTHER	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0

	Total	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR IMMIG	BORN	Q7 U.S. DIRECTION RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS NONE	ALL OTHER	Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	56%	68%	64%	58%	50%	60%	58%	58%	57%	67%	60%	58%	63%	56%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	30	22	17	17	33	20	14	18	20	13	20	19	17	19
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	14	10	17	26	17	19	27	23	22	20	20	22	20	26
OTHER	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	A/B SPLIT NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	56%	58%	60%	63%	47%	58%	60%	62%	57%	53%	61%	52%	64%	57%	58%	55%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	22	24	21	16	29	18	19	17	20	26	14	27	15	20	21	36
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	21	18	20	21	24	23	21	21	23	21	25	21	19	23	20	9
OTHER	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	Q19 IDEOLOGY VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	100%	0%	0%	61%	59%	57%	64%	56%	42%	56%	52%	54%	62%	48%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	0	100	0	21	16	18	19	20	21	23	17	24	22	22
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	0	0	100	19	25	25	16	24	37	21	31	21	14	30
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

TABLE 3:   QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

	Total	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID													IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	53%	60%	60%	54%	62%	55%	74%	53%	59%	46%	78%	54%	56%	56%	53%	67%	52%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	18	22	17	18	19	20	13	20	11	24	7	20	20	15	20	18	21
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	28	15	23	28	17	25	13	28	29	29	15	27	25	28	27	15	27
OTHER	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Total	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
		MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	73%	51%	66%	46%	55%	54%	62%	62%	64%	46%	66%	41%	69%	54%	64%	50%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	14	18	21	21	14	21	18	21	13	27	17	19	19	20	21	18
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	11	31	13	33	31	25	18	16	23	27	17	40	10	25	14	31
OTHER	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	59%	53%	60%	60%	71%	56%	63%	63%	48%	60%	65%	70%	60%	65%	62%	56%	50%	63%	46%
BOTH EQUALLY	18	19	21	17	17	20	17	15	26	17	16	24	16	19	16	22	20	12	21
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	22	28	19	22	13	24	19	22	25	21	18	6	21	14	22	22	30	25	33
OTHER	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
18-49	**52%**	**47%**	**56%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**	**51%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**71%**	**44%**	**58%**	**66%**	**69%**	**72%**
18-24	11	7	15	57	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	21	0	19	7	5	17	23	17
25-29	8	10	7	43	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	16	0	9	9	7	8	3	13
30-34	7	7	7	0	45	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	13	0	10	6	9	8	11	8
35-39	8	6	11	0	55	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	16	0	11	7	12	11	9	13
40-44	8	7	9	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	23	0	15	0	9	7	12	10	11	8
45-49	10	11	8	0	0	55	0	0	0	0	28	0	18	0	13	8	12	12	12	13
50+	**46**	**50**	**43**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**49**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**29**	**54**	**39**	**32**	**30**	**28**
50-54	9	11	8	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	28	0	0	20	11	9	9	10	12	10
55-59	7	9	5	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	21	0	0	15	6	8	7	6	10	4
60-64	9	11	7	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	30	0	19	5	9	15	8	6	4
65-74	11	10	12	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	36	0	23	4	16	4	4	2	5
75+	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	34	0	22	4	13	4	5	1	5
DK/REF	**2**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**0**
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
18-49	**52%**	**63%**	**81%**	**65%**	**42%**	**56%**	**50%**	**54%**	**51%**	**56%**	**57%**	**36%**	**53%**	**45%**	**66%**
18-24	11	18	22	18	6	13	8	17	11	13	20	0	12	9	13
25-29	8	5	14	9	7	12	7	10	10	5	8	4	8	6	22
30-34	7	5	15	9	5	6	8	5	7	10	4	2	9	3	6
35-39	8	14	8	10	7	11	9	7	8	10	6	6	9	6	10
40-44	8	9	9	7	9	4	8	7	5	10	12	11	7	11	2
45-49	10	12	13	12	8	10	10	8	10	8	6	12	9	10	13
50+	**46**	**37**	**19**	**34**	**56**	**41**	**48**	**43**	**47**	**42**	**40**	**62**	**45**	**52**	**33**
50-54	9	11	10	8	9	17	10	9	6	13	9	12	9	11	7
55-59	7	11	0	4	10	3	7	8	7	9	3	8	8	6	7
60-64	9	6	4	8	11	1	10	7	9	6	8	14	8	11	9
65-74	11	4	3	5	15	10	11	10	12	8	10	15	11	13	5
75+	10	5	2	8	11	10	10	9	12	7	10	12	10	11	4
DK/REF	**2%**	**1%**	**0%**	**2%**	**2%**	**3%**	**2%**	**2%**	**2%**	**2%**	**3%**	**3%**	**2%**	**3%**	**1%**
Don't know/Refused	2	1	0	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	1

TABLE 4:   Q2. AGE

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
18-49	**52%**	**56%**	**46%**	**58%**	**54%**	**61%**	**52%**	**51%**	**52%**	**51%**	**51%**	**52%**	**57%**	**53%**	**52%**	**55%**	**57%**
18-24	11	11	8	13	12	5	13	9	11	11	4	0	18	14	2	16	6
25-29	8	7	6	14	9	17	6	10	10	7	6	10	5	10	8	8	12
30-34	7	10	2	3	4	3	8	6	5	8	4	10	8	6	7	7	3
35-39	8	9	8	8	7	12	8	8	9	7	4	23	8	5	13	7	6
40-44	8	9	7	10	16	7	8	7	7	9	7	7	6	9	7	7	27
45-49	10	11	15	9	6	15	8	11	10	9	26	2	11	10	15	10	2
50+	**46**	**43**	**52**	**40**	**44**	**38**	**47**	**46**	**46**	**47**	**47**	**47**	**42**	**45**	**47**	**43**	**40**
50-54	9	8	13	13	14	12	5	13	9	10	10	18	6	10	14	8	16
55-59	7	8	8	5	4	5	7	7	7	7	8	10	11	4	9	7	3
60-64	9	9	9	6	7	5	11	7	9	9	9	4	6	12	7	9	7
65-74	11	8	14	6	8	6	11	11	13	9	14	7	6	10	11	8	6
75+	10	10	8	10	11	9	12	8	8	12	5	7	12	10	6	11	9
DK/REF	**2**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**3**
Don't know/Refused	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	3

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
18-49	**52%**	**60%**	**50%**	**29%**	**26%**	**45%**	**36%**	**64%**	**57%**	**21%**	**53%**	**26%**	**54%**	**67%**	**30%**
18-24	11	16	6	3	2	12	5	15	14	0	5	1	8	18	0
25-29	8	12	3	4	3	7	7	10	8	4	12	5	12	6	5
30-34	7	8	8	3	1	5	4	9	8	0	10	1	9	7	2
35-39	8	9	12	4	4	10	5	10	10	0	10	3	10	11	5
40-44	8	8	8	8	6	3	7	10	6	4	5	7	6	12	8
45-49	10	9	13	7	9	8	8	11	11	13	11	10	10	13	10
50+	**46**	**38**	**49**	**68**	**72**	**52**	**62**	**34**	**41**	**78**	**47**	**72**	**46**	**31**	**69**
50-54	9	9	13	9	13	1	13	8	7	8	11	19	9	8	15
55-59	7	7	6	8	13	15	10	3	9	20	6	16	6	5	5
60-64	9	10	6	10	16	18	10	7	10	18	10	11	11	5	17
65-74	11	8	11	18	15	10	13	10	8	21	10	14	10	5	12
75+	10	4	14	24	16	8	16	6	7	12	9	12	10	8	20
DK/REF	**2%**	**2%**	**1%**	**3%**	**1%**	**3%**	**1%**	**2%**	**2%**	**1%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**2%**	**1%**
Don't know/Refused	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	2	2	1	0	2	0	2	1

TABLE 4:   Q2. AGE

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
18-49	**52%**	**51%**	**62%**	**36%**	**50%**	**57%**	**36%**	**72%**	**47%**	**60%**	**54%**	**39%**	**43%**	**33%**	**53%**	**49%**	**48%**	**32%**
18-24	11	9	13	3	10	10	3	41	11	5	4	2	3	3	13	6	6	2
25-29	8	8	7	9	8	8	7	13	5	17	4	15	11	5	4	12	9	6
30-34	7	7	10	4	7	9	3	3	5	13	8	8	0	4	10	4	6	3
35-39	8	9	11	6	8	10	6	5	11	0	15	7	12	4	10	7	0	7
40-44	8	8	12	7	7	10	8	1	6	11	9	3	5	8	6	9	6	8
45-49	10	10	10	8	10	9	9	9	9	13	15	4	12	8	9	11	20	6
50+	**46**	**47**	**37**	**62**	**47**	**41**	**63**	**24**	**50**	**39**	**43**	**59**	**56**	**65**	**45**	**48**	**51**	**67**
50-54	9	10	8	12	10	7	14	4	10	12	3	6	6	17	9	12	6	16
55-59	7	7	6	10	7	7	10	1	9	4	4	9	8	10	11	4	9	10
60-64	9	10	5	12	10	7	13	4	9	11	16	10	13	13	11	8	5	15
65-74	11	8	11	14	8	13	12	9	9	6	9	24	12	12	6	9	17	11
75+	10	11	6	14	12	7	15	5	13	6	9	9	17	14	8	14	15	15
DK/REF	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**
Don't know/Refused	2	3	1	1	3	1	1	4	3	1	3	1	1	1	2	3	1	1

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
18-49	**52%**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**41%**	**60%**	**57%**	**57%**	**32%**	**39%**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**
18-24	11	16	0	26	0	8	12	7	13	2	3	19	0	17	0	8	0
25-29	8	20	0	13	0	8	9	9	7	10	4	16	0	14	0	20	0
30-34	7	14	0	12	0	6	8	8	10	5	2	14	0	16	0	9	0
35-39	8	12	0	19	0	3	13	9	11	3	9	16	0	18	0	16	0
40-44	8	15	0	16	0	6	9	10	10	6	9	15	0	17	0	21	0
45-49	10	23	0	15	0	10	9	13	6	6	12	20	0	17	0	26	0
50+	**46**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**55**	**39**	**41**	**42**	**67**	**59**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**100**
50-54	9	0	22	0	18	12	9	6	9	20	8	0	22	0	17	0	22
55-59	7	0	17	0	12	12	3	7	6	9	10	0	16	0	16	0	15
60-64	9	0	21	0	17	9	10	10	5	16	9	0	21	0	18	0	20
65-74	11	0	19	0	28	9	8	10	16	11	12	0	17	0	32	0	19
75+	10	0	20	0	24	14	9	7	7	11	19	0	25	0	17	0	24
DK/REF	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Don't know/Refused	2	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 4:   Q2. AGE

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
18-49	**52%**	**51%**	**51%**	**50%**	**59%**	**51%**	**53%**	**51%**	**50%**	**43%**	**61%**	**53%**	**59%**	**56%**	**53%**	**52%**	**40%**	**60%**	**37%**
18-24	11	16	15	6	9	15	6	12	19	2	10	14	9	11	13	9	12	10	10
25-29	8	7	6	7	19	7	10	8	6	12	8	5	10	8	10	9	7	10	5
30-34	7	6	3	10	5	5	9	5	4	8	9	7	3	5	8	2	9	12	7
35-39	8	7	13	6	6	10	6	7	13	3	9	11	8	9	5	17	3	9	8
40-44	8	5	7	10	10	6	10	9	3	5	14	5	11	8	10	8	5	9	2
45-49	10	9	6	12	9	8	11	10	6	13	10	11	18	15	8	8	5	10	5
50+	**46**	**47**	**47**	**48**	**39**	**47**	**46**	**46**	**49**	**56**	**38**	**46**	**37**	**41**	**44**	**46**	**58**	**40**	**62**
50-54	9	10	7	11	7	9	10	10	7	13	8	10	7	9	12	10	8	10	6
55-59	7	10	7	5	6	8	6	12	5	5	6	7	2	4	6	7	3	14	23
60-64	9	9	11	9	9	10	8	11	8	11	6	15	4	9	9	8	13	6	7
65-74	11	9	12	12	10	10	12	8	12	11	12	7	12	10	9	12	18	5	17
75+	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	5	15	15	6	7	12	10	9	9	16	6	9
DK/REF	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	1	1	2	0	4	2	2	2	2	1	1

TABLE 5:   Q3. ETHNICITY

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
WHITE	**61%**	**61%**	**61%**	**51%**	**52%**	**54%**	**62%**	**87%**	**79%**	**51%**	**58%**	**76%**	**52%**	**71%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**
Anglo/White	61	61	61	51	52	54	62	87	79	51	58	76	52	71	0	100	0	0	0	0
NON-WHITE	**37**	**37**	**37**	**48**	**48**	**46**	**34**	**13**	**18**	**48**	**41**	**20**	**47**	**26**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**
Hispanic or Latino	21	20	22	31	29	26	18	7	7	30	24	8	29	13	100	0	0	57	100	100
African-American or Black	13	14	12	9	18	19	16	5	6	12	16	10	15	11	0	0	100	35	0	0
Asian	2	1	3	8	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
Something else	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	7	9	11	11	11	9	1	1	11	11	2	11	5	40	0	0	23	100	0
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	13	12	20	18	15	9	6	6	19	13	6	18	8	60	0	0	34	0	100
REF/NA	**2**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Refused/NA	2	2	2	0	1	0	4	1	2	1	1	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
WHITE	**61%**	**0%**	**0%**	**47%**	**70%**	**59%**	**63%**	**58%**	**62%**	**51%**	**60%**	**73%**	**58%**	**67%**	**63%**
Anglo/White	61	0	0	47	70	59	63	58	62	51	60	73	58	67	63
NON-WHITE	**37**	**100**	**100**	**51**	**27**	**40**	**35**	**42**	**34**	**48**	**39**	**24**	**40**	**31**	**36**
Hispanic or Latino	21	100	100	27	16	27	22	19	22	23	17	17	22	17	26
African-American or Black	13	0	0	18	10	12	10	19	9	24	15	7	15	10	9
Asian	2	0	0	4	1	0	2	2	3	0	8	0	2	3	0
Something else	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	2
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	42	37	9	8	10	9	7	11	9	5	6	10	6	0
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	58	63	18	8	17	13	12	11	14	11	11	12	11	26
REF/NA	**2**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**1**
Refused/NA	2	0	0	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	2	1

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 5:   Q3. ETHNICITY

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
WHITE	**61%**	**52%**	**68%**	**63%**	**65%**	**50%**	**60%**	**62%**	**61%**	**61%**	**57%**	**63%**	**46%**	**69%**	**60%**	**57%**	**50%**
Anglo/White	61	52	68	63	65	50	60	62	61	61	57	63	46	69	60	57	50
NON-WHITE	**37**	**46**	**30**	**37**	**35**	**50**	**37**	**37**	**37**	**37**	**41**	**36**	**54**	**27**	**39**	**40**	**50**
Hispanic or Latino	21	24	21	27	30	32	26	17	21	21	25	23	30	14	24	22	38
African-American or Black	13	18	5	8	4	14	9	16	13	13	14	12	17	9	13	13	9
Asian	2	3	4	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	0	0	6	3	0	4	0
Something else	1	1	0	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	3
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	14	8	4	3	8	11	6	8	9	10	3	13	8	7	10	26
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	10	13	23	28	25	15	11	13	12	15	19	18	6	17	12	12
REF/NA	**2**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**0**
Refused/NA	2	2	2	0	0	0	3	1	2	2	2	2	1	4	2	2	0

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
WHITE	**61%**	**61%**	**59%**	**64%**	**81%**	**52%**	**69%**	**56%**	**55%**	**80%**	**56%**	**75%**	**57%**	**50%**	**85%**
Anglo/White	61	61	59	64	81	52	69	56	55	80	56	75	57	50	85
NON-WHITE	**37**	**38**	**40**	**32**	**18**	**43**	**31**	**42**	**42**	**20**	**39**	**22**	**39**	**50**	**12**
Hispanic or Latino	21	22	30	12	14	16	22	18	25	5	22	7	22	33	12
African-American or Black	13	13	7	17	3	26	5	20	16	15	17	15	13	12	0
Asian	2	2	3	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	0
Something else	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	0
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	8	17	2	5	5	6	9	11	5	8	4	7	16	6
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	14	13	10	9	10	16	9	14	0	13	3	15	17	6
REF/NA	**2**	**2**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**5**	**2**	**4**	**0**	**3**
Refused/NA	2	2	1	4	0	5	0	2	3	0	5	2	4	0	3

TABLE 5:   Q3. ETHNICITY

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
WHITE	**61%**	**52%**	**58%**	**77%**	**52%**	**61%**	**77%**	**53%**	**50%**	**56%**	**51%**	**75%**	**80%**	**76%**	**49%**	**54%**	**73%**	**80%**
Anglo/White	61	52	58	77	52	61	77	53	50	56	51	75	80	76	49	54	73	80
NON-WHITE	**37**	**46**	**39**	**21**	**46**	**37**	**21**	**45**	**47**	**43**	**49**	**20**	**20**	**22**	**49**	**44**	**26**	**20**
Hispanic or Latino	21	22	19	17	23	17	19	38	23	24	14	10	15	20	26	20	23	17
African-American or Black	13	24	16	2	22	15	2	0	24	17	35	1	3	2	22	22	3	2
Asian	2	0	4	2	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Something else	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	7	11	5	7	9	6	18	4	13	10	0	5	7	7	7	10	5
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	15	8	12	17	8	12	20	18	11	4	10	10	13	19	14	13	12
REF/NA	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**0**
Refused/NA	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	2	1	0	5	0	2	2	2	1	0

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
WHITE	**61%**	**52%**	**70%**	**53%**	**72%**	**48%**	**56%**	**61%**	**60%**	**76%**	**79%**	**48%**	**58%**	**52%**	**72%**	**67%**	**83%**
Anglo/White	61	52	70	53	72	48	56	61	60	76	79	48	58	52	72	67	83
NON-WHITE	**37**	**48**	**27**	**47**	**25**	**50**	**43**	**36**	**38**	**22**	**21**	**52**	**40**	**47**	**23**	**33**	**15**
Hispanic or Latino	21	28	14	29	12	23	23	15	18	19	19	32	15	20	12	31	11
African-American or Black	13	18	10	11	12	25	19	16	14	3	1	20	23	21	8	0	3
Asian	2	0	2	5	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0
Something else	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	8	7	14	3	6	7	8	10	6	7	10	3	10	8	5	7
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	20	7	15	9	17	16	7	8	13	12	21	12	10	5	26	5
REF/NA	**2**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**2**
Refused/NA	2	1	3	0	3	3	1	3	2	2	0	1	2	1	5	0	2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 5:   Q3. ETHNICITY

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
WHITE	**61%**	**47%**	**63%**	**64%**	**80%**	**55%**	**68%**	**55%**	**54%**	**67%**	**69%**	**9%**	**61%**	**37%**	**68%**	**67%**	**79%**	**71%**	**68%**
Anglo/White	61	47	63	64	80	55	68	55	54	67	69	9	61	37	68	67	79	71	68
NON-WHITE	**37**	**53**	**33**	**33**	**20**	**44**	**30**	**42**	**45**	**31**	**30**	**91**	**39**	**63**	**29**	**30**	**17**	**27**	**32**
Hispanic or Latino	21	30	18	17	19	25	17	23	27	18	17	73	22	45	17	12	5	3	17
African-American or Black	13	18	9	15	1	14	12	16	12	11	12	17	16	16	10	13	5	22	15
Asian	2	4	4	0	0	4	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	0	0
Something else	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Latino Cuban ancestry	8	9	10	8	5	10	7	8	11	6	8	39	10	23	2	3	3	2	0
Latino no Cuban ancestry	13	21	8	9	14	15	10	14	16	12	9	33	12	22	15	9	2	1	17
REF/NA	**2**	**0**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**4**	**3**	**0**
Refused/NA	2	0	4	3	0	2	2	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	3	3	4	3	0

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	130	62	67	36	27	28	28	5	5	63	50	16	92	37	130	0	0	130	52	78
Spanish all or most	27%	17%	35%	17%	33%	4%	45%	58%	62%	24%	25%	46%	18%	49%	27%	0%	0%	27%	28%	26%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	65	44	59	57	58	52	18	17	58	54	36	58	44	54	0	0	54	61	49
English all or most	19	18	21	24	9	38	2	24	22	18	22	18	24	7	19	0	0	19	11	25

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL					
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	130	73	57	60	53	17	92	37	52	36	13	18	88	32	10
Spanish all or most	27%	33%	18%	25%	25%	39%	29%	21%	33%	20%	30%	14%	28%	21%	32%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	57	50	55	55	47	54	53	44	69	51	62	54	57	44
English all or most	19	10	32	20	20	15	16	26	23	11	18	24	18	22	24

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	130	47	16	22	11	11	71	58	65	65	15	11	33	15	26	49	10
Spanish all or most	27%	35%	15%	25%	40%	11%	22%	32%	27%	27%	17%	18%	35%	33%	18%	34%	34%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	54	69	48	44	52	60	47	55	53	41	77	60	38	57	53	66
English all or most	19	10	16	26	16	37	18	21	19	20	42	5	6	29	26	13	0

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	130	80	34	16	13	10	48	41	38	2	22	5	29	51	4
Spanish all or most	27%	22%	33%	35%	35%	25%	33%	21%	25%	100%	19%	39%	22%	32%	48%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	59	53	29	40	41	49	60	54	0	51	27	52	60	33
English all or most	19	18	14	36	25	34	18	19	21	0	30	34	26	8	19

TABLE 6:   Q4.   When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	130	48	30	30	44	38	26	21	33	12	3	4	5	21	24	20	7	18
Spanish all or most	27%	21%	32%	25%	20%	34%	22%	35%	25%	5%	32%	50%	17%	23%	17%	21%	25%	21%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	55	59	47	57	57	46	54	53	66	41	50	51	45	54	61	51	46
English all or most	19	24	9	28	24	10	32	11	22	30	27	0	32	33	29	18	23	33

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	130	41	21	51	16	22	23	17	21	14	12	31	14	26	12	15	10
Spanish all or most	27%	8%	35%	25%	68%	11%	28%	12%	52%	34%	7%	14%	33%	22%	59%	0%	55%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	70	55	48	28	73	41	72	43	39	53	58	54	63	41	52	35
English all or most	19	22	10	26	4	17	30	16	5	27	39	28	13	14	0	48	10

		Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	130	52	26	38	14	78	52	38	40	25	27	58	22	80	25	11	4	2	6
Spanish all or most	27%	42%	17%	22%	0%	34%	16%	17%	50%	18%	14%	36%	5%	27%	24%	53%	0%	0%	10%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	54	42	72	45	90	52	57	72	33	54	60	55	70	59	40	24	81	100	58
English all or most	19	15	11	33	10	14	27	11	17	28	27	9	25	13	35	23	19	0	32

TABLE 7:   Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	130	62	67	36	27	28	28	5	5	63	50	16	92	37	130	0	0	130	52	78
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	55%	57%	45%	52%	55%	72%	60%	78%	48%	63%	68%	50%	71%	56%	0%	0%	56%	60%	54%
Born in U.S.	44	45	43	55	48	45	28	40	22	52	37	32	50	29	44	0	0	44	40	46

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	130	73	57	60	53	17	92	37	52	36	13	18	88	32	10
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	100%	0%	47%	67%	54%	63%	38%	50%	55%	67%	53%	52%	59%	83%
Born in U.S.	44	0	100	53	33	46	37	62	50	45	33	47	48	41	17

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	130	47	16	22	11	11	71	58	65	65	15	11	33	15	26	49	10
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	55%	75%	81%	71%	91%	68%	42%	62%	50%	90%	82%	70%	8%	86%	50%	85%
Born in U.S.	44	45	25	19	29	9	32	58	38	50	10	18	30	92	14	50	15

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	130	80	34	16	13	10	48	41	38	2	22	5	29	51	4
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	51%	63%	65%	29%	53%	42%	68%	58%	0%	63%	16%	67%	70%	19%
Born in U.S.	44	49	37	35	71	47	58	32	42	100	37	84	33	30	81

TABLE 7:   Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

| | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	130	48	30	30	44	38	26	21	33	12	3	4	5	21	24	20	7	18
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	57%	61%	47%	56%	66%	38%	61%	67%	26%	73%	100%	34%	39%	57%	53%	83%	20%
Born in U.S.	44	43	39	53	44	34	62	39	33	74	27	0	66	61	43	47	17	80

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	130	41	21	51	16	22	23	17	21	14	12	31	14	26	12	15	10
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	49%	67%	51%	76%	71%	41%	55%	75%	28%	50%	49%	70%	55%	91%	29%	51%
Born in U.S.	44	51	33	49	24	29	59	45	25	72	50	51	30	45	9	71	49

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	130	52	26	38	14	78	52	38	40	25	27	58	22	80	25	11	4	2	6
Immigrated to U.S.	56%	63%	51%	55%	45%	59%	52%	61%	57%	47%	57%	68%	41%	60%	52%	46%	57%	0%	56%
Born in U.S.	44	37	49	45	55	41	48	39	43	53	43	32	59	40	48	54	43	100	44

TABLE 8:   Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

		GENDER					Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	73	34	38	16	14	15	20	3	4	30	31	11	46	27	73	0	0	73	31	42
Bolivia	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Columbia	13	14	12	27	12	6	6	48	0	20	6	13	15	10	13	0	0	13	0	22
Cuba	42	37	47	34	36	51	52	29	21	35	49	43	40	45	42	0	0	42	100	0
Ecuador	5	7	4	0	0	0	12	0	39	0	4	25	0	15	5	0	0	5	0	9
El Salvador	3	0	6	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	8	0	0	9	3	0	0	3	0	6
Haiti	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Honduras	1	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Mexico	11	12	11	8	15	16	10	23	0	11	14	6	12	10	11	0	0	11	0	20
Nicaragua	4	7	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	4	0	6
Puerto Rico	5	2	8	5	11	8	0	0	0	8	4	0	8	0	5	0	0	5	0	9
Venezuala	4	6	2	0	15	0	0	0	18	7	0	6	5	2	4	0	0	4	0	7
Guatemala	1	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Peru	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1
Panama	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Spain	2	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	22	0	3	7	0	7	2	0	0	2	0	4
Brazil	1	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Refused	3	0	5	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	4

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	73	73	0	28	35	9	58	14	26	20	9	10	46	19	8
Bolivia	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	4%	0%
Columbia	13	13	0	20	2	34	5	46	5	8	16	9	6	12	52
Cuba	42	42	0	29	54	39	46	26	60	40	21	57	51	40	0
Ecuador	5	5	0	14	0	0	7	0	0	6	16	13	3	14	0
El Salvador	3	3	0	2	0	19	4	0	0	9	7	0	4	3	0
Haiti	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	3	0
Honduras	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	0	2	0	0
Mexico	11	11	0	10	16	0	12	9	8	16	0	6	12	3	29
Nicaragua	4	4	0	0	7	0	4	0	0	0	28	0	0	14	0
Puerto Rico	5	5	0	13	0	0	5	6	5	4	0	0	4	0	19
Venezuala	4	4	0	0	8	0	5	0	2	11	0	0	6	0	0
Guatemala	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	5	0	0	2	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	3	0
Panama	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
Spain	2	2	0	0	3	8	3	0	4	0	0	8	2	4	0
Brazil	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
Refused	3	3	0	0	5	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	4	0	0

TABLE 8:   Q6A.   What country did you immigrate from?

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	73	26	12	18	8	10	48	24	40	32	13	9	23	1	23	24	9
Bolivia	1%	0%	0%	4%	10%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Columbia	13	2	19	32	44	22	16	7	12	14	9	15	26	0	11	25	0
Cuba	42	57	49	15	0	26	47	34	45	39	47	8	40	0	31	38	80
Ecuador	5	5	10	8	18	0	3	11	3	8	9	0	11	0	5	11	0
El Salvador	3	7	0	4	8	0	0	10	2	6	0	0	3	0	0	3	20
Haiti	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0
Mexico	11	18	0	13	0	24	9	16	5	20	18	22	6	100	20	11	0
Nicaragua	4	0	21	0	0	0	0	10	6	0	0	27	0	0	11	0	0
Puerto Rico	5	5	0	13	20	7	3	9	7	3	11	6	7	0	9	6	0
Venezuala	4	2	0	12	0	21	6	0	7	0	0	22	3	0	9	3	0
Guatemala	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Panama	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazil	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	73	41	21	10	4	5	20	28	22	0	14	1	19	36	1
Bolivia	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Columbia	13	16	8	14	0	0	13	5	24	0	4	0	3	22	0
Cuba	42	37	69	9	68	47	23	57	39	0	44	0	34	47	0
Ecuador	5	9	0	0	0	24	13	0	6	0	19	0	20	0	0
El Salvador	3	2	8	0	17	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Haiti	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	1	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0
Mexico	11	8	9	30	0	14	0	14	17	0	27	0	27	5	0
Nicaragua	4	6	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Puerto Rico	5	2	2	23	0	0	3	5	7	0	5	0	16	1	0
Venezuala	4	5	3	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Guatemala	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	1	0	0	15	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Panama	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	2	2	0	10	0	15	5	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brazil	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 8:   Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	73	27	18	14	25	25	10	13	22	3	3	4	2	8	14	11	5	4
Bolivia	1%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	22%
Columbia	13	26	0	10	29	6	0	6	29	28	0	34	0	0	40	17	0	0
Cuba	42	28	75	35	20	65	49	36	18	35	100	0	100	38	5	41	52	34
Ecuador	5	9	0	0	10	0	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	9	12	0	0
El Salvador	3	0	10	5	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haiti	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Honduras	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Mexico	11	21	8	0	23	6	0	9	23	19	0	0	0	0	27	13	0	0
Nicaragua	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	5	8	0	0	9	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	0	0
Venezuala	4	2	0	15	3	8	0	0	3	0	0	50	0	0	0	6	0	0
Guatemala	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	16
Panama	1	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	2	0	0	13	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	14	28
Brazil	1	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	3	0	0	13	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	34	0

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	73	20	14	26	12	15	9	10	15	4	6	15	10	14	11	4	5
Bolivia	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	0%
Columbia	13	25	0	7	21	27	33	0	9	0	0	40	12	0	13	0	0
Cuba	42	24	56	53	32	32	0	56	70	33	60	16	27	65	64	40	54
Ecuador	5	0	17	0	11	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	3	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0
Haiti	1	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	1	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	11	10	14	14	5	17	33	15	0	0	0	20	28	10	0	0	0
Nicaragua	4	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	5	3	0	11	0	4	17	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0
Venezuala	4	10	0	0	5	0	7	22	0	0	0	0	7	14	0	0	0
Guatemala	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	11
Panama	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Spain	2	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Brazil	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Refused	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	42	0

TABLE 8:   Q6A.   What country did you immigrate from?

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	73	33	13	21	6	46	27	23	23	12	15	40	9	49	13	5	3	0	4
Bolivia	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Columbia	13	20	8	4	14	17	6	18	16	7	6	17	0	14	16	12	0	0	0
Cuba	42	27	75	56	11	41	45	42	39	29	58	51	46	50	19	39	77	0	0
Ecuador	5	4	0	12	0	3	9	0	6	22	0	7	0	5	0	25	0	0	0
El Salvador	3	7	0	0	0	5	0	0	11	0	0	5	0	4	0	13	0	0	0
Haiti	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
Honduras	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
Mexico	11	14	5	15	0	12	11	15	8	5	16	5	26	9	11	0	23	0	55
Nicaragua	4	8	0	0	0	6	0	11	0	0	0	0	28	5	0	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	5	7	0	3	11	5	5	0	10	6	4	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0
Venezuala	4	2	0	0	34	1	8	0	3	18	0	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Panama	1	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
Spain	2	3	0	4	0	2	3	4	0	7	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Brazil	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
Refused	3	0	0	0	30	0	7	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country is your family from?

	Total	GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
		MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	57	28	29	20	13	13	8	2	1	33	19	5	46	11	57	0	0	57	21	36
Cuba	37%	35%	38%	38%	41%	34%	45%	0%	0%	39%	42%	0%	38%	33%	37%	0%	0%	37%	100%	0%
Dominican Republic	6	10	3	0	0	21	0	39	0	0	14	15	6	7	6	0	0	6	0	10
Ecuador	2	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	3
El Salvador	2	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	3
Mexico	9	7	12	15	7	4	11	0	0	12	8	0	9	8	9	0	0	9	0	15
Puerto Rico	13	9	17	7	17	16	20	0	0	11	16	13	12	14	13	0	0	13	0	20
Spain	4	9	0	0	0	5	10	0	100	0	4	37	1	17	4	0	0	4	0	7
United States	20	21	19	20	28	12	14	61	0	23	11	35	20	21	20	0	0	20	0	32
Peru	2	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	3
Nigeria	2	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	4
Portugal	2	0	4	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	3
Two or more countries	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	2

	Total	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
		IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	57	0	57	31	18	8	34	23	26	16	4	9	42	13	2
Cuba	37%	0%	37%	37%	37%	36%	34%	40%	43%	38%	52%	15%	41%	27%	0%
Dominican Republic	6	0	6	9	4	0	10	0	4	15	0	0	8	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0
El Salvador	2	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0
Mexico	9	0	9	10	8	11	4	17	11	0	0	16	7	11	48
Puerto Rico	13	0	13	16	4	22	12	13	8	8	13	26	8	22	52
Spain	4	0	4	2	10	0	7	0	3	11	0	0	6	0	0
United States	20	0	20	18	27	15	14	30	18	16	35	30	18	31	0
Peru	2	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0
Nigeria	2	0	2	0	0	17	4	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0
Portugal	2	0	2	0	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	13	0	9	0
Two or more countries	1	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 9:   Q6B.   What country is your family from?

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	57	21	4	4	3	1	23	34	24	32	2	2	10	14	4	24	2
Cuba	37%	60%	12%	22%	29%	0%	36%	37%	22%	48%	0%	47%	44%	61%	27%	54%	0%
Dominican Republic	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	6	0	0	7	11	0	10	0
Ecuador	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	9	0	0	32	42	0	0	16	10	9	0	0	13	0	0	6	0
Puerto Rico	13	5	23	22	28	0	13	13	26	3	0	53	9	0	31	4	61
Spain	4	7	0	24	0	100	8	2	10	0	0	0	8	12	0	10	0
United States	20	14	64	0	0	0	32	12	18	22	100	0	18	12	43	14	39
Peru	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more countries	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	57	39	12	6	9	5	28	13	16	2	8	4	10	15	3
Cuba	37%	37%	34%	38%	23%	21%	30%	29%	54%	100%	27%	68%	31%	53%	59%
Dominican Republic	6	9	0	0	12	0	10	0	5	0	29	0	24	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	0	18	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	2	3	0	0	0	27	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	9	2	28	17	5	0	8	22	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Puerto Rico	13	11	17	10	10	37	11	14	14	0	14	0	11	12	0
Spain	4	4	0	18	11	15	4	0	9	0	9	0	15	7	0
United States	20	23	21	0	27	0	24	23	11	0	14	32	12	20	41
Peru	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	2	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	2	3	0	0	12	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more countries	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	6	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 9:   Q6B.   What country is your family from?

					Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	57	21	12	16	20	13	16	8	11	9	1	0	4	12	10	9	1	15
Cuba	37%	37%	35%	24%	38%	33%	24%	63%	21%	60%	0%	0%	0%	31%	52%	23%	0%	26%
Dominican Republic	6	9	13	0	9	12	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	7	12	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	7
El Salvador	2	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
Mexico	9	10	11	11	10	10	11	0	19	0	0	0	52	0	0	22	0	12
Puerto Rico	13	19	10	6	20	10	6	13	10	33	0	0	0	7	6	36	0	6
Spain	4	3	0	6	4	0	6	10	6	0	0	0	0	8	7	0	0	7
United States	20	11	19	36	12	17	36	15	16	7	0	0	32	37	17	6	48	35
Peru	2	5	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	2	0	11	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	2	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	8
Two or more countries	1	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	52	0

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	57	21	7	25	4	6	13	8	5	10	6	16	4	12	1	11	5
Cuba	37%	32%	44%	42%	13%	17%	49%	48%	10%	31%	13%	48%	0%	31%	50%	7%	62%
Dominican Republic	6	13	0	0	19	17	6	21	0	0	0	7	19	13	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
El Salvador	2	6	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	9	4	13	14	0	0	15	0	27	18	0	13	0	11	0	8	18
Puerto Rico	13	11	0	13	40	9	26	10	10	0	15	16	38	10	0	8	0
Spain	4	3	26	0	0	11	0	0	0	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	20
United States	20	23	17	18	28	27	4	22	10	25	53	4	43	14	50	52	0
Peru	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0
Nigeria	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
Portugal	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	10	0
Two or more countries	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	0

TABLE 9:   Q6B.   What country is your family from?

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	57	19	13	17	8	32	25	15	17	13	12	19	13	32	12	6	2	2	3
Cuba	37%	38%	40%	31%	41%	39%	34%	31%	45%	41%	27%	60%	47%	55%	4%	14%	44%	56%	0%
Dominican Republic	6	9	13	0	0	11	0	18	4	0	0	10	0	6	13	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	8	0	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	7	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	9	4	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	9	18	7	5	0	14	4	6	20	7	0	4	0	3	15	0	26	0	72
Puerto Rico	13	8	8	15	26	8	19	11	5	6	33	10	16	12	21	3	30	0	0
Spain	4	5	6	0	9	6	3	12	0	5	0	0	0	0	8	12	0	0	28
United States	20	22	7	26	23	16	25	15	17	28	22	10	21	14	33	52	0	0	0
Peru	2	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	8	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	2	0	10	0	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Portugal	2	0	0	7	0	0	5	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0
Two or more countries	1	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
Right direction	35%	32%	39%	49%	44%	38%	28%	17%	28%	47%	32%	26%	44%	26%	46%	27%	48%	49%	38%	51%
Wrong track	55	57	53	37	44	54	63	74	62	40	58	67	45	66	41	63	42	40	50	35
DK/NA	10	12	9	13	12	8	8	9	10	13	10	7	11	9	13	10	9	11	12	14

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
Right direction	35%	39%	55%	100%	0%	0%	32%	42%	46%	33%	40%	15%	41%	26%	25%
Wrong track	55	49	31	0	100	0	59	46	45	57	46	80	50	66	54
DK/NA	10	13	14	0	0	100	9	13	9	11	13	5	10	9	21

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
Right direction	35%	40%	17%	36%	45%	24%	34%	36%	35%	36%	22%	21%	47%	40%	22%	43%	17%
Wrong track	55	52	74	51	36	66	54	55	57	52	72	65	44	54	69	49	64
DK/NA	10	8	9	13	18	10	11	9	9	12	6	13	9	6	9	8	19

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
Right direction	35%	38%	32%	28%	20%	54%	25%	36%	52%	6%	57%	10%	53%	31%	5%
Wrong track	55	53	50	64	78	41	68	52	38	94	34	84	39	58	88
DK/NA	10	9	18	8	1	5	7	12	10	0	9	7	8	11	7

TABLE 10:   Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

			Q20 PARTY SELF-ID											IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP W/LNS	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
Right direction	35%	57%	31%	13%	59%	31%	10%	35%	65%	40%	47%	23%	13%	8%	60%	58%	3%	12%
Wrong track	55	33	56	81	32	57	84	50	26	50	42	70	79	86	29	34	82	84
DK/NA	10	9	13	6	9	12	6	16	9	11	11	7	8	6	11	8	15	4

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
Right direction	35%	38%	26%	49%	25%	55%	62%	31%	32%	8%	11%	70%	47%	38%	22%	15%	7%
Wrong track	55	47	67	43	64	34	30	57	56	85	83	26	39	49	68	74	89
DK/NA	10	15	7	8	11	11	8	12	12	7	5	4	14	14	10	11	4

		Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
Right direction	35%	33%	37%	37%	33%	35%	36%	34%	36%	30%	42%	40%	51%	46%	38%	35%	23%	24%	23%
Wrong track	55	56	50	54	61	53	56	53	54	60	52	42	44	44	51	54	62	72	74
DK/NA	10	11	13	9	6	12	8	13	11	10	7	18	5	11	11	11	14	4	3

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
NONE	**68%**	**70%**	**66%**	**55%**	**75%**	**72%**	**70%**	**72%**	**71%**	**64%**	**70%**	**72%**	**66%**	**70%**	**71%**	**70%**	**53%**	**64%**	**75%**	**69%**
1	52	57	46	43	59	50	51	54	58	50	49	56	50	53	59	51	41	51	62	57
2	17	14	20	12	16	22	19	17	12	14	21	16	17	17	12	19	12	13	13	12
OTHER	**32**	**30**	**34**	**45**	**25**	**28**	**30**	**28**	**29**	**36**	**30**	**28**	**34**	**30**	**29**	**30**	**47**	**36**	**25**	**31**
3	11	11	10	17	7	14	8	6	9	12	12	6	13	8	14	9	13	13	9	17
4	6	8	5	9	5	4	3	12	10	7	3	9	6	7	6	7	2	6	6	6
5	5	3	7	10	4	4	4	2	7	7	4	4	6	4	3	6	8	5	3	4
6	2	2	3	1	2	0	5	6	1	1	4	3	1	4	3	2	7	4	3	2
7	3	2	3	7	2	2	2	0	1	5	2	1	4	2	0	3	5	2	0	0
8	4	3	6	2	5	4	8	2	1	3	5	5	4	5	3	4	11	6	4	2

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
NONE	**68%**	**80%**	**59%**	**62%**	**73%**	**61%**	**100%**	**0%**	**75%**	**60%**	**64%**	**75%**	**69%**	**70%**	**48%**
1	52	67	49	46	54	54	76	0	59	41	46	63	52	56	30
2	17	14	10	16	19	7	24	0	17	19	18	12	18	15	18
OTHER	**32**	**20**	**41**	**38**	**27**	**39**	**0**	**100**	**25**	**40**	**36**	**25**	**31**	**30**	**52**
3	11	12	16	14	8	8	0	33	7	13	15	9	9	12	16
4	6	1	13	6	6	10	0	20	7	7	4	5	7	5	4
5	5	3	4	4	5	10	0	16	4	9	3	4	6	3	6
6	2	2	3	2	3	3	0	8	1	5	1	2	3	1	2
7	3	0	0	5	1	4	0	9	3	3	5	0	3	2	5
8	4	1	5	6	3	5	0	14	2	3	8	6	2	7	18

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
NONE	**68%**	**70%**	**74%**	**57%**	**56%**	**65%**	**66%**	**70%**	**70%**	**67%**	**68%**	**69%**	**66%**	**70%**	**69%**	**68%**	**68%**
1	52	53	57	39	44	40	47	56	54	49	49	53	50	49	51	49	58
2	17	18	17	18	12	25	20	14	15	18	19	16	15	22	18	19	10
OTHER	**32**	**30**	**26**	**43**	**44**	**35**	**34**	**30**	**30**	**33**	**32**	**31**	**34**	**30**	**31**	**32**	**32**
3	11	10	7	17	14	13	13	9	10	11	9	6	14	11	8	12	15
4	6	6	5	8	10	8	5	8	5	8	11	3	5	6	7	5	3
5	5	5	5	5	0	7	5	6	5	5	3	8	4	6	5	5	6
6	2	4	2	1	2	0	2	3	3	2	0	3	6	1	1	4	6
7	3	2	2	6	7	5	4	1	2	4	2	5	3	3	3	3	1
8	4	2	5	6	10	2	5	4	5	4	8	5	2	3	6	2	2

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
NONE	**68%**	**69%**	**75%**	**60%**	**75%**	**57%**	**68%**	**71%**	**64%**	**63%**	**78%**	**67%**	**76%**	**62%**	**70%**
1	52	55	53	43	61	42	51	57	45	52	56	50	55	47	51
2	17	14	22	17	14	15	17	14	19	10	21	17	21	15	18
OTHER	**32**	**31**	**25**	**40**	**25**	**43**	**32**	**29**	**36**	**37**	**22**	**33**	**24**	**38**	**30**
3	11	11	10	11	6	11	10	9	13	5	12	5	12	13	5
4	6	6	8	7	6	3	8	6	4	4	6	4	7	6	4
5	5	5	1	10	4	4	6	4	5	7	1	10	1	6	11
6	2	1	2	6	2	15	2	1	6	15	1	8	1	3	0
7	3	4	1	2	2	4	2	3	3	0	3	1	3	4	0
8	4	5	4	5	4	7	3	5	5	5	0	5	1	5	10

TABLE 11:   Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
            U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
            trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
            Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
            travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
NONE	**68%**	**61%**	**77%**	**67%**	**61%**	**72%**	**68%**	**74%**	**61%**	**62%**	**59%**	**64%**	**68%**	**68%**	**56%**	**66%**	**74%**	**66%**
1	52	45	58	49	44	55	50	64	45	43	49	45	46	52	37	53	64	46
2	17	16	18	18	17	17	18	10	17	19	11	18	21	17	20	14	10	20
OTHER	**32**	**39**	**23**	**33**	**39**	**28**	**32**	**26**	**39**	**38**	**41**	**36**	**32**	**32**	**44**	**34**	**26**	**34**
3	11	14	6	12	13	9	12	5	15	7	23	12	19	9	16	10	6	14
4	6	5	7	7	5	7	6	9	4	6	4	12	6	6	4	6	8	5
5	5	7	3	6	7	3	7	5	5	14	1	4	2	8	7	7	6	7
6	2	5	1	2	5	1	3	0	6	4	0	0	5	2	8	3	7	1
7	3	4	3	1	4	3	1	3	4	5	0	1	0	1	3	6	0	1
8	4	5	3	5	4	5	4	4	5	2	12	7	0	5	6	2	0	5

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
NONE	**68%**	**70%**	**72%**	**63%**	**69%**	**67%**	**56%**	**76%**	**69%**	**66%**	**71%**	**59%**	**63%**	**71%**	**75%**	**68%**	**69%**
1	52	57	57	44	49	52	37	63	47	49	51	41	47	54	57	48	52
2	17	13	15	20	20	15	19	12	23	16	20	18	16	17	18	20	17
OTHER	**32**	**30**	**28**	**37**	**31**	**33**	**44**	**24**	**31**	**34**	**29**	**41**	**37**	**29**	**25**	**32**	**31**
3	11	14	8	12	8	13	13	9	10	14	9	20	5	11	6	8	14
4	6	6	9	6	4	6	4	10	4	3	9	6	4	5	10	5	6
5	5	3	3	8	5	3	12	1	4	9	4	8	6	2	2	10	4
6	2	0	4	2	5	6	5	0	1	1	4	0	11	1	1	4	2
7	3	3	2	5	1	4	5	2	4	2	0	6	3	3	2	3	0
8	4	3	3	4	8	2	6	3	8	6	2	2	7	6	4	2	6

TABLE 11:   Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
            U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
            trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
            Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
            travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
NONE	**68%**	**61%**	**68%**	**73%**	**73%**	**64%**	**73%**	**67%**	**61%**	**74%**	**71%**	**71%**	**77%**	**74%**	**65%**	**76%**	**56%**	**72%**	**60%**
1	52	50	50	53	52	50	53	55	44	58	48	59	54	56	49	60	37	56	50
2	17	11	17	19	20	14	19	12	17	16	23	12	22	18	17	16	19	15	10
OTHER	**32**	**39**	**32**	**27**	**27**	**36**	**27**	**33**	**39**	**26**	**29**	**29**	**23**	**26**	**35**	**24**	**44**	**28**	**40**
3	11	13	7	10	14	10	11	10	10	12	10	11	10	11	14	6	13	5	12
4	6	8	8	4	6	8	5	9	7	5	4	3	7	5	5	10	10	2	7
5	5	7	5	4	4	6	4	3	10	4	5	4	3	3	2	2	11	12	6
6	2	5	3	1	1	4	1	4	4	0	2	2	1	1	3	0	3	0	15
7	3	3	4	3	1	3	3	4	2	1	4	1	0	1	4	2	2	9	1
8	4	3	6	5	1	4	4	2	7	4	5	9	2	5	7	4	5	0	0

TABLE 12: Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	309	154	154	64	44	52	77	39	26	108	101	93	161	142	65	188	40	114	24	41
NONE	**3%**	**2%**	**3%**	**1%**	**1%**	**1%**	**5%**	**0%**	**10%**	**1%**	**2%**	**5%**	**1%**	**4%**	**3%**	**3%**	**0%**	**2%**	**4%**	**3%**
1	2	2	3	1	1	0	5	0	10	1	2	5	1	4	3	3	0	2	4	3
2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	**97**	**98**	**97**	**99**	**99**	**99**	**95**	**100**	**90**	**99**	**98**	**95**	**99**	**96**	**97**	**97**	**100**	**98**	**96**	**97**
3	2	1	3	0	3	0	2	6	3	1	2	4	1	3	1	3	0	1	4	0
4	3	1	5	3	4	3	1	9	0	3	1	5	3	3	3	2	7	4	0	5
5	13	10	17	24	15	11	11	5	12	20	10	10	17	9	17	8	27	23	4	24
6	16	17	16	34	6	12	21	6	2	23	18	7	19	13	10	18	24	14	15	7
7	57	64	50	34	66	70	51	70	70	47	63	61	54	59	56	63	26	45	66	50
8	6	5	6	4	5	4	10	4	3	4	3	9	4	7	9	2	16	11	7	11

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	309	40	24	107	175	26	215	93	114	74	46	53	188	100	21
NONE	**3%**	**4%**	**2%**	**2%**	**3%**	**2%**	**3%**	**2%**	**2%**	**4%**	**4%**	**1%**	**3%**	**3%**	**2%**
1	2	4	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	4	3	1	3	2	2
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
OTHER	**97**	**96**	**98**	**98**	**97**	**98**	**97**	**98**	**98**	**96**	**96**	**99**	**97**	**97**	**98**
3	2	2	0	0	4	0	2	3	1	3	2	3	2	3	0
4	3	2	6	4	3	0	3	3	3	4	3	1	3	2	4
5	13	23	7	23	8	9	13	14	6	11	29	11	8	19	34
6	16	4	20	12	19	16	13	25	13	23	11	14	17	12	26
7	57	52	63	54	58	65	63	43	73	53	30	67	65	50	18
8	6	14	2	4	6	7	4	10	2	2	21	2	2	11	16

TABLE 12:   Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	309	93	39	40	15	15	138	170	309	0	23	25	54	57	48	110	14
NONE	3%	3%	1%	4%	10%	0%	4%	2%	3%	0%	4%	6%	3%	1%	5%	2%	0%
1	2	3	1	2	7	0	4	2	2	0	4	6	2	1	5	2	0
2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
OTHER	97	97	99	96	90	100	96	98	97	0	96	94	97	99	95	98	100
3	2	2	4	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	3	5	2	0	4	1	6
4	3	1	2	2	0	5	3	3	3	0	3	0	2	1	1	1	4
5	13	3	10	33	43	18	14	13	13	0	23	7	13	9	15	11	2
6	16	16	16	18	6	20	11	21	16	0	12	9	21	18	11	19	15
7	57	71	58	36	23	57	60	54	57	0	52	54	55	71	53	63	58
8	6	3	9	7	17	0	7	4	6	0	3	19	4	0	11	2	16

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	309	191	52	65	50	40	110	113	73	19	51	32	66	74	10
NONE	3%	2%	6%	2%	11%	1%	6%	1%	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	4%	0%
1	2	2	6	2	11	1	5	1	1	5	1	3	1	4	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
OTHER	97	98	94	98	89	99	94	99	99	95	99	95	99	96	100
3	2	1	2	4	4	2	2	2	1	9	0	5	0	3	9
4	3	4	2	2	2	0	3	3	3	0	1	0	2	2	0
5	13	14	15	9	6	18	7	17	18	3	10	10	14	10	6
6	16	18	4	23	15	26	17	13	23	41	16	24	16	14	8
7	57	55	64	56	57	38	60	62	43	37	71	54	66	57	69
8	6	6	7	4	6	14	6	2	11	5	1	3	1	11	9

TABLE 12: Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	309	101	84	90	91	114	70	33	68	24	10	21	17	52	47	42	14	53
NONE	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	0%	8%	0%	3%	1%	3%	0%	3%
1	2	2	1	3	3	2	2	4	3	2	0	8	0	3	1	3	0	3
2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	97	98	99	97	97	98	98	96	97	98	100	92	100	97	99	97	100	97
3	2	0	3	4	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	5	0	0	6	3
4	3	2	5	3	1	6	1	2	1	0	7	7	0	2	1	1	0	2
5	13	15	19	6	15	16	7	13	17	10	16	5	14	4	22	8	9	6
6	16	17	10	20	19	10	21	19	18	24	0	13	15	24	22	17	20	21
7	57	57	57	60	55	59	60	50	52	64	77	57	66	58	41	69	65	59
8	6	6	3	5	7	4	4	11	9	0	0	7	5	4	12	1	0	6

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	309	76	74	85	68	50	42	51	63	36	34	49	39	64	49	23	46
NONE	3%	1%	4%	1%	5%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	6%	1%	4%	0%	3%
1	2	1	4	1	5	1	4	2	2	3	2	0	6	0	4	0	3
2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
OTHER	97	99	96	99	95	99	96	97	98	97	98	100	94	99	96	100	97
3	2	0	2	2	5	0	0	3	3	0	8	0	0	2	5	0	6
4	3	1	1	5	5	1	1	1	10	0	3	2	0	6	5	0	2
5	13	14	6	20	13	15	16	12	20	0	13	23	7	19	14	5	7
6	16	14	20	24	6	18	21	12	8	24	19	16	24	15	4	33	15
7	57	65	62	44	57	61	48	70	50	68	53	58	48	52	67	62	60
8	6	4	6	4	9	4	10	0	7	6	3	1	14	6	1	0	6

TABLE 12:   Q8B. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: England

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	309	83	74	110	41	157	151	91	66	62	88	40	49	89	78	44	48	29	21
NONE	**3%**	**7%**	**2%**	**1%**	**0%**	**5%**	**1%**	**3%**	**7%**	**2%**	**0%**	**8%**	**2%**	**5%**	**1%**	**4%**	**2%**	**2%**	**0%**
1	2	7	1	1	0	4	1	2	7	2	0	8	2	5	1	2	2	2	0
2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
OTHER	**97**	**93**	**98**	**99**	**100**	**95**	**99**	**97**	**93**	**98**	**100**	**92**	**98**	**95**	**99**	**96**	**98**	**98**	**100**
3	2	1	6	1	0	3	1	2	6	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	4	0	8
4	3	2	4	4	1	3	3	2	5	0	5	7	0	3	5	2	2	2	4
5	13	12	13	14	16	13	14	11	15	9	18	17	11	14	22	20	4	4	0
6	16	26	9	11	25	18	14	21	14	10	17	10	10	10	17	11	10	38	36
7	57	42	58	67	59	49	65	54	43	79	55	37	71	56	52	60	70	53	52
8	6	9	8	3	0	9	2	8	10	1	3	18	6	12	2	3	9	0	0

TABLE 13: Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	309	154	154	64	44	52	77	39	26	108	101	93	161	142	65	188	40	114	24	41
NONE	**23%**	**22%**	**24%**	**24%**	**17%**	**36%**	**17%**	**19%**	**25%**	**21%**	**28%**	**19%**	**26%**	**19%**	**30%**	**22%**	**19%**	**27%**	**34%**	**27%**
1	14	16	12	14	7	24	9	14	17	11	18	12	15	12	25	12	10	17	30	21
2	9	7	11	10	10	12	8	5	8	10	10	7	11	7	5	9	10	9	4	6
OTHER	**77**	**78**	**76**	**76**	**83**	**64**	**83**	**81**	**75**	**79**	**72**	**81**	**74**	**81**	**70**	**78**	**81**	**73**	**66**	**73**
3	21	19	23	33	16	15	18	20	30	26	19	19	22	21	25	24	4	16	34	20
4	10	10	10	5	21	8	13	3	12	11	12	6	10	10	6	12	8	7	3	8
5	21	23	20	23	30	14	24	18	10	26	17	19	22	20	16	21	23	19	8	21
6	4	2	6	2	3	3	2	14	0	2	2	6	2	5	2	5	0	1	0	4
7	10	13	6	8	1	13	13	11	13	5	13	11	8	12	11	7	14	15	13	10
8	11	10	13	5	13	11	14	15	12	8	8	19	9	14	9	9	31	16	7	10

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	309	40	24	107	175	26	215	93	114	74	46	53	188	100	21
NONE	**23%**	**37%**	**18%**	**26%**	**21%**	**26%**	**26%**	**16%**	**20%**	**21%**	**32%**	**21%**	**20%**	**26%**	**34%**
1	14	32	12	14	13	20	15	12	10	12	21	13	11	16	34
2	9	5	6	12	8	6	11	4	10	9	12	8	9	10	0
OTHER	**77**	**63**	**82**	**74**	**79**	**74**	**74**	**84**	**80**	**79**	**68**	**79**	**80**	**74**	**66**
3	21	25	25	17	24	19	20	23	19	22	29	21	20	24	13
4	10	3	12	14	8	12	11	8	12	13	3	11	12	7	4
5	21	19	12	15	25	16	21	20	20	26	15	23	22	19	22
6	4	0	6	3	4	6	4	3	6	0	3	5	4	4	4
7	10	7	19	9	10	5	8	13	11	13	4	10	12	7	0
8	11	10	8	16	8	15	9	17	14	4	14	9	10	12	23

TABLE 13: Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	309	93	39	40	15	15	138	170	309	0	23	25	54	57	48	110	14
NONE	23%	18%	22%	24%	43%	15%	27%	20%	23%	0%	21%	13%	27%	14%	17%	20%	29%
1	14	9	9	24	43	15	16	13	14	0	4	10	21	5	7	13	29
2	9	9	13	0	0	0	11	7	9	0	18	3	6	9	10	7	0
OTHER	77	82	78	76	57	85	73	80	77	0	79	87	73	86	83	80	71
3	21	21	26	24	2	19	20	22	21	0	22	19	16	30	21	23	22
4	10	15	13	4	0	0	6	13	10	0	12	19	5	16	15	11	10
5	21	20	29	17	14	31	17	24	21	0	26	26	14	27	26	21	11
6	4	2	6	3	6	3	6	2	4	0	7	5	3	2	6	2	0
7	10	13	4	17	16	29	9	10	10	0	10	0	23	9	5	16	6
8	11	12	0	12	14	3	14	9	11	0	3	17	13	1	10	7	22

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	309	191	52	65	50	40	110	113	73	19	51	32	66	74	10
NONE	23%	22%	28%	21%	26%	19%	23%	27%	21%	20%	6%	23%	7%	31%	32%
1	14	13	16	15	20	9	14	16	12	15	2	11	2	23	23
2	9	9	12	7	6	10	9	11	9	5	4	12	5	8	9
OTHER	77	78	72	79	74	81	77	73	79	80	94	77	93	69	68
3	21	24	20	14	17	25	21	20	24	6	29	16	26	23	5
4	10	10	12	9	10	9	11	7	13	19	19	16	16	7	8
5	21	20	17	27	16	10	22	22	15	3	26	15	29	18	30
6	4	3	2	7	5	6	3	4	3	5	2	3	2	4	0
7	10	8	9	14	10	18	11	6	11	47	8	27	9	7	22
8	11	13	12	7	16	14	8	14	13	0	10	1	11	10	2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 13:   Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
            U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
            trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
            Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
            travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

| | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
| | DEM W/LNS | INDEP | REP W/LNS | DEM | INDEP W/LNS | REP | NT RG DK/NA | STR DEM | NSTR DEM | INDEP L/DEM | INDEP L/REP | NSTR REP | STR REP | LIB DEM | MD/CN DEM | MD/LB REP | CONS REP |
Total																	
Base	309	101	84	90	91	114	70	33	68	24	10	21	17	52	47	42	14	53
NONE	23%	19%	31%	17%	19%	26%	19%	33%	18%	23%	12%	11%	16%	19%	18%	22%	12%	19%
1	14	10	16	14	11	13	15	22	10	15	0	11	16	14	11	12	12	14
2	9	8	16	3	8	12	4	10	8	8	12	0	0	5	7	10	0	5
OTHER	77	81	69	83	81	74	81	67	82	77	88	89	84	81	82	78	88	81
3	21	15	20	26	15	20	27	27	15	14	16	23	29	27	16	14	58	20
4	10	15	8	9	13	11	8	4	14	11	36	14	0	10	14	11	5	9
5	21	19	17	30	18	20	30	14	16	24	24	31	41	26	19	17	25	30
6	4	4	5	3	4	5	3	0	5	0	7	4	8	2	3	4	0	4
7	10	11	9	7	12	8	8	13	12	10	5	4	5	8	17	5	0	10
8	11	17	9	8	19	9	6	9	20	18	0	13	1	7	14	26	0	8

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | |
| | | MEN 18-49 | MEN 50+ | MEN 18-49 | WOMEN 50+ | DEM MEN | DEM WOMEN | IND/L MEN | IND/L WOMEN | REP MEN | REP WOMEN | DEM 18-49 | DEM 50+ | IND/L 18-49 | IND/L 50+ | REP 18-49 | REP 50+ |
Total																	
Base	309	76	74	85	68	50	42	51	63	36	34	49	39	64	49	23	46
NONE	23%	27%	17%	25%	22%	24%	14%	21%	30%	13%	25%	20%	17%	29%	22%	27%	15%
1	14	20	10	11	14	19	3	8	18	12	17	12	8	14	13	20	12
2	9	7	7	14	7	5	11	13	12	1	8	8	9	15	8	7	2
OTHER	77	73	83	75	78	76	86	79	70	87	75	80	83	71	78	73	85
3	21	20	20	24	22	12	19	23	18	26	29	17	13	19	22	29	27
4	10	11	10	10	10	12	14	16	7	4	11	10	16	14	7	10	7
5	21	23	23	21	17	15	22	18	22	39	20	27	9	20	19	23	32
6	4	1	2	4	8	2	5	3	7	0	7	1	4	3	8	6	2
7	10	8	19	7	5	17	6	13	4	12	3	4	23	8	9	5	9
8	11	10	10	9	18	18	21	5	12	7	5	21	19	7	12	0	9

TABLE 13:   Q8C. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
            U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
            trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
            Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
            travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Vietnam

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	309	83	74	110	41	157	151	91	66	62	88	40	49	89	78	44	48	29	21
NONE	**23%**	**31%**	**31%**	**19%**	**5%**	**31%**	**15%**	**30%**	**32%**	**12%**	**17%**	**31%**	**20%**	**25%**	**25%**	**25%**	**27%**	**12%**	**8%**
1	14	18	17	13	3	18	10	21	13	8	12	22	14	18	10	15	19	12	4
2	9	13	14	6	2	13	5	9	19	4	5	9	6	7	16	10	8	0	4
OTHER	**77**	**69**	**69**	**81**	**95**	**69**	**85**	**70**	**68**	**88**	**83**	**69**	**80**	**75**	**75**	**75**	**73**	**88**	**92**
3	21	17	16	19	41	16	25	15	18	24	26	23	27	25	23	23	10	26	13
4	10	2	10	14	17	6	15	6	5	16	14	2	14	9	7	14	6	18	18
5	21	25	15	21	26	20	22	21	19	26	20	5	19	13	26	18	29	35	8
6	4	0	2	7	5	1	7	1	1	3	9	0	1	1	3	2	14	5	0
7	10	13	11	9	1	12	7	15	8	11	4	8	12	10	6	10	7	4	34
8	11	13	14	11	5	13	9	12	16	8	11	32	6	18	10	9	7	0	19

TABLE 14: Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	308	154	154	55	48	55	80	28	37	103	107	92	157	145	65	188	40	114	28	37
NONE	**9%**	**10%**	**8%**	**1%**	**11%**	**9%**	**10%**	**8%**	**21%**	**5%**	**10%**	**13%**	**7%**	**12%**	**5%**	**11%**	**3%**	**6%**	**8%**	**2%**
1	7	8	5	1	7	9	6	3	17	3	9	8	5	8	5	8	0	6	8	2
2	3	2	3	0	4	0	3	5	5	2	1	5	1	4	0	4	3	1	0	0
OTHER	**91**	**90**	**92**	**99**	**89**	**91**	**90**	**92**	**79**	**95**	**90**	**87**	**93**	**88**	**95**	**89**	**97**	**94**	**92**	**98**
3	7	6	8	9	9	9	3	6	7	9	6	6	9	5	8	6	5	9	8	8
4	11	12	11	13	9	16	8	11	13	11	12	11	13	10	13	13	6	10	18	9
5	20	21	20	23	17	33	15	19	15	20	24	16	25	16	15	22	26	18	3	24
6	17	17	17	26	10	10	21	22	13	19	17	17	16	19	11	19	19	14	4	17
7	32	33	31	22	42	22	40	28	30	31	30	34	28	35	43	26	38	39	49	39
8	3	1	5	6	2	1	4	6	0	4	3	3	3	3	5	2	3	4	11	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	308	32	32	110	162	36	206	103	119	84	34	53	203	87	19
NONE	**9%**	**9%**	**0%**	**9%**	**11%**	**3%**	**10%**	**9%**	**9%**	**7%**	**2%**	**18%**	**8%**	**12%**	**9%**
1	7	9	0	8	7	0	7	7	8	4	0	13	6	8	9
2	3	0	0	1	4	3	3	2	2	4	2	5	2	4	0
OTHER	**91**	**91**	**100**	**91**	**89**	**97**	**90**	**91**	**91**	**93**	**98**	**82**	**92**	**88**	**91**
3	7	7	9	7	8	4	8	5	5	7	15	6	6	9	7
4	11	13	12	5	14	20	11	12	7	8	23	18	8	20	16
5	20	18	11	29	17	9	17	27	21	25	23	13	22	17	15
6	17	0	23	15	19	15	17	16	17	19	5	20	18	14	19
7	32	52	35	31	29	48	35	26	38	31	28	21	35	24	33
8	3	0	10	5	2	1	2	5	3	3	5	4	3	4	2

TABLE 14:   Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	308	105	37	41	22	19	139	170	0	308	36	26	57	51	62	108	14
NONE	9%	11%	15%	13%	14%	11%	8%	10%	0%	9%	16%	16%	5%	17%	16%	11%	6%
1	7	9	9	10	14	6	7	7	0	7	14	11	1	14	13	8	6
2	3	2	7	3	0	6	2	3	0	3	2	4	4	3	3	4	0
OTHER	91	89	85	87	86	89	92	90	0	91	84	84	95	83	84	89	94
3	7	5	12	8	9	8	8	6	0	7	6	9	14	2	7	8	0
4	11	8	22	19	25	14	8	14	0	11	19	8	13	11	14	12	25
5	20	23	15	8	16	0	23	18	0	20	20	9	14	21	15	17	36
6	17	15	12	22	8	39	12	21	0	17	13	29	19	11	20	15	6
7	32	35	23	27	29	24	36	28	0	32	24	29	36	32	26	34	27
8	3	3	0	2	0	4	4	2	0	3	2	0	0	6	1	3	0

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	308	175	61	70	45	22	114	111	77	19	49	38	64	82	24
NONE	9%	9%	10%	10%	20%	4%	17%	8%	1%	15%	8%	18%	10%	11%	7%
1	7	7	5	7	14	4	13	4	1	15	3	18	3	10	7
2	3	2	4	3	6	0	4	4	0	0	5	0	7	2	0
OTHER	91	91	90	90	80	96	83	92	99	85	92	82	90	89	93
3	7	6	12	4	9	8	7	9	4	9	4	8	8	6	3
4	11	11	14	9	12	9	11	14	9	23	6	18	5	19	28
5	20	19	22	17	7	21	15	26	19	10	23	10	25	16	7
6	17	17	11	24	22	13	22	13	16	21	16	30	16	9	30
7	32	33	30	32	28	46	26	29	45	23	43	14	36	34	22
8	3	4	0	3	2	0	3	2	5	0	0	2	0	4	3

TABLE 14:   Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID													IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	308	116	80	89	101	115	70	23	75	25	15	20	18	52	45	56	15	54
NONE	9%	5%	15%	9%	6%	13%	7%	11%	8%	0%	0%	16%	5%	8%	0%	11%	0%	9%
1	7	3	12	5	4	11	2	11	5	0	0	16	5	2	0	7	0	3
2	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	0	6
OTHER	91	95	85	91	94	87	93	89	92	100	100	84	95	92	100	89	100	91
3	7	6	9	4	7	7	5	12	9	4	0	3	0	6	4	10	0	6
4	11	12	8	13	12	9	13	17	12	11	11	12	0	18	10	13	23	11
5	20	19	21	21	14	26	18	23	14	15	49	31	23	16	15	14	20	18
6	17	16	16	22	19	14	24	7	16	26	0	15	30	22	19	18	13	27
7	32	38	31	29	39	31	31	12	38	40	35	25	41	27	51	29	44	26
8	3	3	0	2	3	1	2	17	3	4	5	0	0	3	0	5	0	3

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	308	71	81	87	64	44	56	63	52	38	31	48	51	67	46	27	42
NONE	9%	8%	13%	6%	11%	2%	9%	16%	10%	9%	5%	6%	6%	10%	17%	3%	10%
1	7	6	10	5	6	0	7	14	6	5	0	4	4	9	14	3	2
2	3	2	3	1	5	2	2	1	4	4	5	2	2	1	3	0	8
OTHER	91	92	87	94	89	98	91	84	90	91	95	94	94	90	83	97	90
3	7	8	5	10	4	7	7	4	10	5	5	7	8	9	2	9	2
4	11	13	9	12	10	15	10	7	11	15	11	12	10	9	9	18	11
5	20	30	14	21	18	14	15	30	23	14	23	19	10	34	17	18	17
6	17	17	18	14	21	16	21	13	14	29	17	15	23	13	15	28	22
7	32	23	41	33	28	46	33	30	31	28	34	40	39	25	38	23	34
8	3	1	0	4	7	0	5	1	1	0	5	1	4	0	2	0	4

TABLE 14:   Q8D. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Mexico

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	308	90	71	113	32	160	146	77	83	75	70	40	49	89	74	42	47	38	18
NONE	**9%**	**12%**	**9%**	**9%**	**5%**	**10%**	**8%**	**11%**	**10%**	**10%**	**7%**	**5%**	**1%**	**3%**	**10%**	**17%**	**15%**	**13%**	**0%**
1	7	9	4	8	5	7	7	9	5	8	6	5	0	2	9	6	13	9	0
2	3	3	5	2	0	4	1	2	5	2	1	0	1	1	1	11	2	4	0
OTHER	**91**	**88**	**91**	**91**	**95**	**90**	**92**	**89**	**90**	**90**	**93**	**95**	**99**	**97**	**90**	**83**	**85**	**87**	**100**
3	7	9	6	7	4	7	7	4	10	8	5	6	11	9	4	2	10	6	16
4	11	7	11	15	8	9	14	7	11	15	12	16	9	12	10	11	13	14	5
5	20	22	22	16	24	22	18	22	23	20	15	7	35	22	29	10	16	19	15
6	17	18	12	17	27	15	19	15	16	20	18	14	21	18	10	17	24	16	28
7	32	31	33	33	30	32	33	40	24	27	39	53	15	32	34	40	19	32	32
8	3	1	7	2	2	4	2	0	7	0	4	0	6	4	4	3	3	0	4

TABLE 15: Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	308	154	154	55	48	55	80	28	37	103	107	92	157	145	65	188	40	114	28	37
NONE	**63%**	**69%**	**57%**	**56%**	**55%**	**61%**	**66%**	**69%**	**73%**	**56%**	**61%**	**73%**	**58%**	**68%**	**60%**	**67%**	**47%**	**55%**	**73%**	**50%**
1	47	51	42	28	42	41	55	57	67	34	46	62	36	58	51	50	34	42	58	45
2	16	18	15	29	13	21	12	12	7	21	15	11	21	10	10	17	12	13	15	5
OTHER	**37**	**31**	**43**	**44**	**45**	**39**	**34**	**31**	**27**	**44**	**39**	**27**	**42**	**32**	**40**	**33**	**53**	**45**	**27**	**50**
3	17	16	18	22	24	19	16	11	7	23	18	10	21	13	19	13	36	24	12	24
4	8	8	8	9	6	5	6	10	14	8	7	10	7	9	8	8	4	9	3	12
5	4	1	7	2	7	4	4	9	0	4	4	4	4	5	5	0	3	0	9	
6	2	2	2	0	3	2	3	0	3	2	3	2	2	3	0	2	6	2	0	0
7	2	2	1	2	1	6	1	0	0	2	4	0	3	1	2	2	4	2	0	3
8	4	2	5	9	4	3	4	0	3	6	3	2	5	3	6	3	3	5	11	2

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	308	32	32	110	162	36	206	103	119	84	34	53	203	87	19
NONE	**63%**	**60%**	**60%**	**52%**	**69%**	**68%**	**71%**	**47%**	**66%**	**53%**	**68%**	**70%**	**61%**	**69%**	**61%**
1	47	57	45	37	53	49	54	31	52	38	39	57	46	50	41
2	16	4	15	15	16	19	17	15	15	14	29	13	14	20	20
OTHER	**37**	**40**	**40**	**48**	**31**	**32**	**29**	**53**	**34**	**47**	**32**	**30**	**39**	**31**	**39**
3	17	32	6	19	16	14	17	17	11	25	15	16	17	16	27
4	8	5	11	13	4	8	4	15	9	12	5	4	10	5	0
5	4	3	7	4	5	2	3	5	5	5	0	6	5	3	0
6	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	5	2	0	5	2	1	3	4
7	2	0	3	3	0	4	1	3	2	2	4	0	2	1	0
8	4	0	12	5	3	3	2	8	5	3	4	1	4	2	7

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 15:   Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	308	105	37	41	22	19	139	170	0	308	36	26	57	51	62	108	14
NONE	**63%**	**58%**	**80%**	**58%**	**59%**	**57%**	**57%**	**68%**	**0%**	**63%**	**66%**	**45%**	**70%**	**60%**	**58%**	**65%**	**64%**
1	47	44	52	44	51	36	41	51	0	47	60	34	48	41	49	45	37
2	16	14	28	14	8	21	16	17	0	16	7	11	23	19	9	21	26
OTHER	**37**	**42**	**20**	**42**	**41**	**43**	**43**	**32**	**0**	**37**	**34**	**55**	**30**	**40**	**42**	**35**	**36**
3	17	19	10	26	18	35	20	15	0	17	21	35	17	12	27	15	18
4	8	11	4	6	7	4	9	7	0	8	9	4	6	10	7	8	16
5	4	6	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	2	7	7	1	7	0
6	2	2	0	6	11	0	3	1	0	2	0	10	0	3	4	2	1
7	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	2	4	0	0	3	0	0
8	4	3	0	4	5	4	6	2	0	4	2	0	0	8	1	4	0

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	308	175	61	70	45	22	114	111	77	19	49	38	64	82	24
NONE	**63%**	**62%**	**73%**	**56%**	**75%**	**57%**	**70%**	**58%**	**60%**	**85%**	**57%**	**69%**	**61%**	**61%**	**74%**
1	47	48	47	45	71	25	58	40	38	76	45	60	43	40	64
2	16	13	26	11	3	32	12	18	22	9	13	9	17	21	10
OTHER	**37**	**38**	**27**	**44**	**25**	**43**	**30**	**42**	**40**	**15**	**43**	**31**	**39**	**39**	**26**
3	17	15	19	21	9	3	11	24	17	9	26	21	24	14	15
4	8	8	6	9	6	26	8	5	12	4	13	2	13	8	3
5	4	5	1	4	6	8	4	6	3	2	0	1	0	9	1
6	2	2	0	3	2	7	3	2	2	0	3	5	3	1	4
7	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
8	4	5	0	4	0	0	3	4	5	0	0	2	0	5	3

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 15:   Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think
U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow
trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country.
Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or
travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

| | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	308	116	80	89	101	115	70	23	75	25	15	20	18	52	45	56	15	54
NONE	**63%**	**56%**	**73%**	**67%**	**53%**	**69%**	**72%**	**52%**	**52%**	**58%**	**74%**	**49%**	**74%**	**71%**	**49%**	**56%**	**71%**	**72%**
1	47	44	50	49	40	51	53	39	39	41	72	37	53	53	33	45	44	55
2	16	12	22	17	13	18	19	13	12	17	2	13	21	18	16	11	27	16
OTHER	**37**	**44**	**27**	**33**	**47**	**31**	**28**	**48**	**48**	**42**	**26**	**51**	**26**	**29**	**51**	**44**	**29**	**28**
3	17	22	14	13	23	13	14	20	24	19	19	7	10	16	24	22	17	14
4	8	12	3	9	13	5	6	4	14	11	0	20	11	4	17	11	5	6
5	4	3	1	8	3	5	3	5	2	6	0	25	0	4	6	1	0	4
6	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	0	2	3	7	0	5	0	3	2	0	2
7	2	3	2	0	3	2	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
8	4	2	5	3	2	3	4	17	3	0	0	0	0	5	2	2	7	3

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	308	71	81	87	64	44	56	63	52	38	31	48	51	67	46	27	42
NONE	**63%**	**66%**	**71%**	**51%**	**65%**	**59%**	**49%**	**69%**	**69%**	**81%**	**60%**	**48%**	**57%**	**69%**	**68%**	**58%**	**81%**
1	47	44	59	30	57	50	32	46	56	58	47	34	46	45	59	29	68
2	16	22	12	20	8	9	17	23	13	23	13	14	11	24	8	29	13
OTHER	**37**	**34**	**29**	**49**	**35**	**41**	**51**	**31**	**31**	**19**	**40**	**52**	**43**	**31**	**32**	**42**	**19**
3	17	20	14	22	11	20	24	16	10	10	19	25	20	18	7	23	9
4	8	6	9	8	9	14	13	6	5	5	6	13	13	1	12	12	2
5	4	0	1	7	7	0	6	2	9	1	6	2	5	5	5	4	2
6	2	0	3	3	2	2	3	1	4	2	1	3	2	2	4	1	2
7	2	4	1	3	0	6	1	1	2	0	0	7	0	1	2	0	0
8	4	4	1	6	5	0	4	5	1	0	8	2	3	4	2	2	4

TABLE 15:   Q8E. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Iran

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	308	90	71	113	32	160	146	77	83	75	70	40	49	89	74	42	47	38	18
NONE	**63%**	**67%**	**53%**	**65%**	**64%**	**61%**	**65%**	**58%**	**64%**	**80%**	**49%**	**67%**	**64%**	**66%**	**60%**	**58%**	**64%**	**59%**	**82%**
1	47	57	35	49	38	47	47	46	49	58	34	53	43	48	52	41	34	52	56
2	16	10	19	16	26	14	18	13	15	22	14	14	22	18	8	17	30	7	26
OTHER	**37**	**33**	**47**	**35**	**36**	**39**	**35**	**42**	**36**	**20**	**51**	**33**	**36**	**34**	**40**	**42**	**36**	**41**	**18**
3	17	17	19	17	14	18	17	24	12	8	25	17	22	20	13	19	18	19	13
4	8	10	9	5	10	10	6	8	11	8	4	6	2	3	13	8	11	8	0
5	4	2	3	6	8	2	6	1	3	0	12	6	0	3	7	8	2	1	4
6	2	0	3	4	0	1	3	1	2	2	4	0	2	1	0	2	2	9	1
7	2	1	5	1	2	3	1	4	1	0	2	3	0	1	2	2	0	5	0
8	4	2	8	3	2	5	3	3	7	1	4	2	10	6	5	2	3	0	0

TABLE 16: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		GENDER					Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	309	154	154	64	44	52	77	39	26	108	101	93	161	142	65	188	40	114	24	41
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**64%**	**58%**	**60%**	**78%**	**64%**	**52%**	**57%**	**58%**	**68%**	**62%**	**52%**	**67%**	**55%**	**61%**	**59%**	**67%**	**63%**	**75%**	**52%**
Strongly favor	37	36	38	39	44	43	26	42	36	41	35	36	42	32	39	39	22	33	51	31
Somewhat favor	24	28	19	21	34	21	27	15	22	26	27	17	25	23	22	21	45	29	24	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**27**	**38**	**24**	**16**	**34**	**41**	**40**	**39**	**21**	**35**	**43**	**25**	**41**	**29**	**35**	**28**	**29**	**25**	**31**
Somewhat oppose	15	12	18	22	3	18	16	9	17	14	16	14	15	14	13	14	18	16	8	16
Strongly oppose	17	15	19	2	13	16	25	31	22	7	18	29	10	26	16	20	10	13	17	16
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**5**	**16**	**6**	**2**	**6**	**3**	**3**	**12**	**4**	**4**	**8**	**5**	**11**	**6**	**5**	**8**	**0**	**17**
DK/NA	7	9	5	16	6	2	6	3	3	12	4	4	8	5	11	6	5	8	0	17

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	309	40	24	107	175	26	215	93	114	74	46	53	188	100	21
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**57%**	**67%**	**64%**	**59%**	**63%**	**64%**	**53%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	37	37	41	48	29	44	43	23	100	0	0	0	61	0	0
Somewhat favor	24	20	26	15	30	19	21	30	0	100	0	0	39	0	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**28**	**29**	**30**	**36**	**23**	**32**	**33**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**
Somewhat oppose	15	15	9	22	12	9	14	17	0	0	100	0	0	46	0
Strongly oppose	17	13	21	8	24	13	18	16	0	0	0	100	0	54	0
DK/NA	**7**	**15**	**4**	**7**	**6**	**14**	**4**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**
DK/NA	7	15	4	7	6	14	4	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 16:   Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast
             majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS				Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	309	93	39	40	15	15	138	170	309	0	23	25	54	57	48	110	14
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**100%**	**0%**	**28%**	**0%**	**75%**	**60%**	**62%**	**61%**	**0%**	**37%**	**45%**	**59%**	**77%**	**41%**	**68%**	**65%**
Strongly favor	37	58	0	9	0	23	40	34	37	0	15	9	33	53	12	43	32
Somewhat favor	24	42	0	19	0	52	19	27	24	0	23	36	26	24	29	25	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**0**	**100**	**34**	**48**	**0**	**32**	**33**	**32**	**0**	**60**	**52**	**27**	**16**	**56**	**22**	**13**
Somewhat oppose	15	0	38	24	31	0	14	16	15	0	3	27	18	11	15	14	8
Strongly oppose	17	0	62	10	16	0	18	17	17	0	57	25	9	5	41	7	5
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**0**	**39**	**52**	**25**	**8**	**6**	**7**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**14**	**6**	**3**	**10**	**22**
DK/NA	7	0	0	39	52	25	8	6	7	0	3	3	14	6	3	10	22

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	309	191	52	65	50	40	110	113	73	19	51	32	66	74	10
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**57%**	**70%**	**64%**	**42%**	**68%**	**52%**	**65%**	**70%**	**50%**	**77%**	**46%**	**73%**	**56%**	**25%**
Strongly favor	37	35	45	37	26	44	33	35	47	15	48	14	43	34	16
Somewhat favor	24	22	25	27	17	24	19	30	23	35	29	32	30	22	9
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**36**	**25**	**30**	**48**	**32**	**40**	**30**	**23**	**50**	**16**	**53**	**19**	**30**	**73**
Somewhat oppose	15	18	9	11	18	23	16	15	15	15	12	19	12	14	17
Strongly oppose	17	18	16	18	29	10	24	15	8	35	4	35	7	17	56
DK/NA	**7**	**7**	**5**	**7**	**10**	**0**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**0**	**7**	**1**	**8**	**13**	**2**
DK/NA	7	7	5	7	10	0	7	6	7	0	7	1	8	13	2

TABLE 16:   Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast
              majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	309	101	84	90	91	114	70	33	68	24	10	21	17	52	47	42	14	53
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**68%**	**64%**	**46%**	**68%**	**61%**	**47%**	**71%**	**66%**	**73%**	**70%**	**44%**	**45%**	**47%**	**63%**	**74%**	**53%**	**47%**
Strongly favor	37	35	45	28	36	39	30	49	36	37	26	20	34	29	37	34	27	32
Somewhat favor	24	33	19	19	32	22	17	22	30	35	43	25	11	19	25	40	26	15
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**24**	**33**	**44**	**23**	**35**	**44**	**24**	**23**	**23**	**30**	**46**	**54**	**41**	**26**	**18**	**47**	**41**
Somewhat oppose	15	12	16	16	14	16	14	18	16	6	0	24	29	8	18	10	7	16
Strongly oppose	17	11	17	29	9	19	31	6	7	18	30	22	25	33	8	8	41	26
DK/NA	**7**	**8**	**2**	**9**	**9**	**4**	**9**	**5**	**11**	**4**	**0**	**10**	**1**	**12**	**11**	**8**	**0**	**12**
DK/NA	7	8	2	9	9	4	9	5	11	4	0	10	1	12	11	8	0	12

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	309	76	74	85	68	50	42	51	63	36	34	49	39	64	49	23	46
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**68%**	**61%**	**65%**	**48%**	**72%**	**63%**	**71%**	**54%**	**50%**	**43%**	**70%**	**64%**	**64%**	**58%**	**55%**	**43%**
Strongly favor	37	42	30	42	35	32	41	41	37	31	29	40	31	38	40	44	23
Somewhat favor	24	26	31	23	13	40	22	30	16	19	14	30	33	25	18	11	20
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**22**	**32**	**28**	**50**	**17**	**30**	**27**	**42**	**37**	**52**	**15**	**33**	**33**	**39**	**34**	**49**
Somewhat oppose	15	13	9	17	20	5	24	12	20	11	17	9	20	20	11	14	13
Strongly oppose	17	8	23	11	30	12	7	15	22	26	36	6	13	12	28	21	36
DK/NA	**7**	**10**	**7**	**7**	**2**	**11**	**7**	**3**	**4**	**13**	**5**	**15**	**3**	**4**	**3**	**11**	**8**
DK/NA	7	10	7	7	2	11	7	3	4	13	5	15	3	4	3	11	8

TABLE 16:   Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast
              majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	309	83	74	110	41	157	151	91	66	62	88	40	49	89	78	44	48	29	21
TOTAL FAVOR	**61%**	**60%**	**61%**	**58%**	**72%**	**60%**	**62%**	**64%**	**55%**	**66%**	**59%**	**59%**	**53%**	**56%**	**51%**	**76%**	**66%**	**57%**	**84%**
Strongly favor	37	27	45	37	43	36	39	37	33	34	42	45	33	38	28	48	44	21	48
Somewhat favor	24	33	16	21	29	25	23	27	22	32	17	15	20	18	23	28	21	36	35
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**27**	**36**	**38**	**20**	**31**	**33**	**25**	**40**	**29**	**36**	**32**	**46**	**40**	**38**	**16**	**25**	**43**	**16**
Somewhat oppose	15	17	20	11	11	18	11	16	22	5	16	13	27	21	22	4	11	11	4
Strongly oppose	17	9	16	27	9	13	22	9	18	25	21	19	20	19	16	12	14	31	12
DK/NA	**7**	**14**	**3**	**4**	**8**	**9**	**5**	**11**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**9**	**1**	**4**	**11**	**9**	**9**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	7	14	3	4	8	9	5	11	5	5	5	9	1	4	11	9	9	0	0

TABLE 17: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	308	154	154	55	48	55	80	28	37	103	107	92	157	145	65	188	40	114	28	37
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**74%**	**58%**	**71%**	**73%**	**52%**	**69%**	**66%**	**67%**	**71%**	**59%**	**68%**	**65%**	**68%**	**75%**	**61%**	**77%**	**74%**	**82%**	**69%**
Strongly favor	39	48	30	43	37	22	41	43	53	40	28	50	34	44	42	39	29	37	52	34
Somewhat favor	27	26	28	28	36	30	28	23	15	32	31	18	31	24	33	22	48	37	30	36
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**22**	**34**	**23**	**20**	**39**	**25**	**31**	**30**	**21**	**33**	**28**	**27**	**28**	**20**	**32**	**20**	**21**	**18**	**22**
Somewhat oppose	11	6	16	17	13	10	5	16	10	15	8	10	14	8	8	11	12	11	8	8
Strongly oppose	17	16	18	6	6	29	21	15	20	6	25	18	14	19	13	21	8	10	10	14
DK/NA	**6**	**4**	**8**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**8**	**4**	**8**	**4**	**5**	**7**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**9**
DK/NA	6	4	8	7	8	9	6	3	2	7	8	4	8	4	5	7	4	4	0	9

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	308	32	32	110	162	36	206	103	119	84	34	53	203	87	19
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**70%**	**79%**	**82%**	**56%**	**60%**	**65%**	**68%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	39	34	49	51	33	27	41	35	100	0	0	0	59	0	0
Somewhat favor	27	36	30	32	23	33	24	34	0	100	0	0	41	0	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**23**	**18**	**15**	**37**	**28**	**30**	**25**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**
Somewhat oppose	11	9	7	8	10	23	10	13	0	0	100	0	0	39	0
Strongly oppose	17	14	11	7	27	5	20	12	0	0	0	100	0	61	0
DK/NA	**6**	**7**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**12**	**5**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**
DK/NA	6	7	3	2	7	12	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 17:   Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast
            majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	308	105	37	41	22	19	139	170	0	308	36	26	57	51	62	108	14
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**100%**	**0%**	**35%**	**0%**	**73%**	**69%**	**64%**	**0%**	**66%**	**43%**	**63%**	**70%**	**76%**	**51%**	**73%**	**64%**
Strongly favor	39	58	0	4	0	8	43	35	0	39	24	19	27	58	22	42	23
Somewhat favor	27	42	0	31	0	65	26	28	0	27	19	43	43	18	29	31	40
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**0**	**100**	**40**	**77**	**0**	**24**	**32**	**0**	**28**	**45**	**37**	**27**	**17**	**42**	**22**	**31**
Somewhat oppose	11	0	28	28	54	0	11	11	0	11	12	19	17	6	15	12	0
Strongly oppose	17	0	72	12	23	0	12	21	0	17	32	19	10	11	27	10	31
DK/NA	**6**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**23**	**27**	**8**	**5**	**0**	**6**	**12**	**0**	**3**	**7**	**7**	**5**	**6**
DK/NA	6	0	0	25	23	27	8	5	0	6	12	0	3	7	7	5	6

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	308	175	61	70	45	22	114	111	77	19	49	38	64	82	24
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**67%**	**60%**	**67%**	**56%**	**68%**	**60%**	**67%**	**75%**	**54%**	**73%**	**54%**	**69%**	**66%**	**65%**
Strongly favor	39	40	29	42	36	47	37	40	40	39	43	28	39	33	44
Somewhat favor	27	27	31	25	21	21	23	27	35	15	30	26	31	34	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**29**	**32**	**25**	**34**	**30**	**35**	**25**	**21**	**37**	**20**	**39**	**24**	**29**	**32**
Somewhat oppose	11	11	10	12	5	12	11	11	10	9	13	7	17	11	7
Strongly oppose	17	17	22	13	30	19	23	14	11	29	7	32	6	19	25
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**8**	**8**	**9**	**1**	**5**	**8**	**5**	**9**	**8**	**7**	**7**	**4**	**4**
DK/NA	6	5	8	8	9	1	5	8	5	9	8	7	7	4	4

TABLE 17:   Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast
              majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
		DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	L/REP	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	308	116	80	89	101	115	70	23	75	25	15	20	18	52	45	56	15	54
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**72%**	**66%**	**60%**	**74%**	**66%**	**56%**	**58%**	**81%**	**53%**	**59%**	**71%**	**66%**	**53%**	**72%**	**76%**	**65%**	**54%**
Strongly favor	39	39	41	40	40	42	36	25	42	33	31	54	37	35	36	44	48	32
Somewhat favor	27	33	25	20	34	24	21	33	39	20	28	17	29	18	36	32	17	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**23**	**24**	**35**	**22**	**27**	**37**	**38**	**14**	**43**	**36**	**29**	**29**	**40**	**22**	**21**	**27**	**40**
Somewhat oppose	11	13	9	10	11	12	10	12	4	31	26	12	13	9	14	8	0	12
Strongly oppose	17	10	15	25	11	15	27	26	10	12	10	18	16	31	8	13	27	27
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**10**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**7**	**3**	**5**	**4**	**5**	**0**	**5**	**7**	**6**	**3**	**8**	**7**
DK/NA	6	5	10	5	5	8	7	3	5	4	5	0	5	7	6	3	8	7

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
		MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	308	71	81	87	64	44	56	63	52	38	31	48	51	67	46	27	42
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**71%**	**76%**	**59%**	**57%**	**87%**	**64%**	**70%**	**61%**	**68%**	**42%**	**69%**	**80%**	**67%**	**63%**	**46%**	**63%**
Strongly favor	39	37	57	31	28	50	32	51	31	47	22	34	47	38	48	25	42
Somewhat favor	27	35	19	28	29	37	31	18	31	21	21	36	33	30	16	21	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**23**	**20**	**31**	**37**	**13**	**28**	**23**	**30**	**27**	**49**	**23**	**18**	**24**	**31**	**46**	**30**
Somewhat oppose	11	8	4	18	14	5	15	7	17	7	13	15	8	15	7	11	8
Strongly oppose	17	15	16	13	23	8	13	16	13	20	36	9	10	9	24	35	22
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**3**	**10**	**6**	**0**	**8**	**7**	**8**	**5**	**9**	**7**	**2**	**9**	**5**	**8**	**6**
DK/NA	6	5	3	10	6	0	8	7	8	5	9	7	2	9	5	8	6

TABLE 17:   Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast
              majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	308	90	71	113	32	160	146	77	83	75	70	40	49	89	74	42	47	38	18
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**69%**	**67%**	**65%**	**61%**	**68%**	**64%**	**78%**	**60%**	**71%**	**56%**	**69%**	**75%**	**72%**	**68%**	**63%**	**61%**	**58%**	**61%**
Strongly favor	39	37	36	43	35	37	42	46	28	51	32	31	52	42	47	34	40	15	42
Somewhat favor	27	32	31	21	26	32	22	32	32	21	24	38	23	30	21	29	21	42	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**27**	**28**	**26**	**32**	**27**	**28**	**19**	**35**	**24**	**32**	**28**	**19**	**23**	**26**	**32**	**30**	**34**	**33**
Somewhat oppose	11	16	12	7	10	14	8	9	19	4	12	10	11	10	9	15	21	6	0
Strongly oppose	17	11	16	19	22	13	20	10	16	20	20	18	8	13	17	17	10	28	33
DK/NA	**6**	**4**	**5**	**9**	**7**	**4**	**8**	**3**	**5**	**5**	**12**	**3**	**7**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**8**	**9**	**6**
DK/NA	6	4	5	9	7	4	8	3	5	5	12	3	7	5	6	5	8	9	6

TABLE 18: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**69%**	**58%**	**65%**	**75%**	**58%**	**61%**	**61%**	**63%**	**69%**	**61%**	**60%**	**66%**	**61%**	**68%**	**60%**	**72%**	**69%**	**79%**	**60%**
Strongly favor	38	42	34	41	40	32	34	43	46	41	31	43	38	38	40	39	25	35	52	32
Somewhat favor	25	27	24	24	35	26	27	18	18	29	29	18	28	23	28	21	46	33	27	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**25**	**36**	**23**	**18**	**36**	**33**	**36**	**34**	**21**	**34**	**36**	**26**	**34**	**25**	**33**	**24**	**25**	**21**	**27**
Somewhat oppose	13	9	17	20	8	14	10	12	13	15	12	12	14	11	10	13	15	14	8	12
Strongly oppose	17	16	19	4	10	23	23	24	21	6	22	24	12	23	14	21	9	11	13	15
DK/NA	**6**	**6**	**6**	**12**	**7**	**6**	**6**	**3**	**3**	**9**	**6**	**4**	**8**	**5**	**8**	**7**	**4**	**6**	**0**	**13**
DK/NA	6	6	6	12	7	6	6	3	3	9	6	4	8	5	8	7	4	6	0	13

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**63%**	**74%**	**73%**	**57%**	**61%**	**64%**	**61%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	38	36	46	50	31	34	42	29	100	0	0	0	60	0	0
Somewhat favor	25	27	28	24	26	27	23	32	0	100	0	0	40	0	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**26**	**23**	**22**	**36**	**26**	**31**	**28**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**
Somewhat oppose	13	12	8	15	11	17	12	15	0	0	100	0	0	43	0
Strongly oppose	17	13	15	7	25	8	19	14	0	0	0	100	0	57	0
DK/NA	**6**	**11**	**3**	**5**	**6**	**13**	**5**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**
DK/NA	6	11	3	5	6	13	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 18:   Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**100%**	**0%**	**31%**	**0%**	**74%**	**64%**	**63%**	**61%**	**66%**	**41%**	**54%**	**65%**	**77%**	**47%**	**71%**	**64%**
Strongly favor	38	58	0	6	0	14	42	35	37	39	20	14	30	55	18	43	27
Somewhat favor	25	42	0	25	0	59	23	28	24	27	21	40	35	21	29	28	37
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**0**	**100**	**37**	**65**	**0**	**28**	**32**	**32**	**28**	**51**	**44**	**27**	**17**	**48**	**22**	**22**
Somewhat oppose	13	0	33	26	45	0	13	13	15	11	9	23	18	9	15	13	4
Strongly oppose	17	0	67	11	20	0	15	19	17	17	42	22	9	8	33	9	18
DK/NA	**6**	**0**	**0**	**32**	**35**	**26**	**8**	**5**	**7**	**6**	**8**	**2**	**8**	**7**	**5**	**7**	**14**
DK/NA	6	0	0	32	35	26	8	5	7	6	8	2	8	7	5	7	14

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**62%**	**65%**	**65%**	**49%**	**68%**	**56%**	**66%**	**72%**	**52%**	**75%**	**51%**	**71%**	**62%**	**53%**
Strongly favor	38	37	36	39	30	45	35	37	43	27	46	22	41	33	36
Somewhat favor	25	25	28	26	19	23	21	28	29	25	29	29	30	28	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**32**	**29**	**27**	**41**	**32**	**38**	**27**	**22**	**44**	**18**	**45**	**21**	**30**	**44**
Somewhat oppose	13	15	10	12	12	19	14	13	12	12	12	12	15	12	10
Strongly oppose	17	17	19	15	29	13	24	14	10	32	6	33	7	18	34
DK/NA	**6**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**10**	**1**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**5**	**7**	**4**	**8**	**9**	**3**
DK/NA	6	6	7	8	10	1	6	7	6	5	7	4	8	9	3

TABLE 18:   Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority
              of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more
              directly with Cuba?)

| | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**70%**	**65%**	**53%**	**71%**	**64%**	**52%**	**66%**	**74%**	**63%**	**63%**	**57%**	**56%**	**50%**	**67%**	**75%**	**59%**	**50%**
Strongly favor	38	37	43	34	38	40	33	39	39	35	29	36	36	32	37	39	38	32
Somewhat favor	25	33	22	19	33	23	19	27	35	27	34	21	20	18	31	36	21	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**24**	**29**	**40**	**22**	**31**	**40**	**30**	**18**	**33**	**34**	**38**	**41**	**40**	**24**	**20**	**37**	**41**
Somewhat oppose	13	13	13	13	12	14	12	15	10	19	16	18	21	8	16	9	3	14
Strongly oppose	17	11	16	27	10	17	29	14	8	15	18	20	20	32	8	11	34	26
DK/NA	**6**	**6**	**6**	**7**	**7**	**6**	**8**	**5**	**8**	**4**	**3**	**5**	**3**	**10**	**9**	**5**	**4**	**9**
DK/NA	6	6	6	7	7	6	8	5	8	4	3	5	3	10	9	5	4	9

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**70%**	**69%**	**62%**	**53%**	**79%**	**63%**	**70%**	**57%**	**60%**	**43%**	**70%**	**73%**	**65%**	**61%**	**50%**	**53%**
Strongly favor	38	39	44	36	32	40	36	47	34	40	25	37	40	38	44	34	32
Somewhat favor	25	30	25	26	21	39	27	23	23	20	17	33	33	27	17	16	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**22**	**26**	**29**	**44**	**15**	**29**	**25**	**37**	**31**	**51**	**19**	**24**	**28**	**35**	**41**	**40**
Somewhat oppose	13	11	6	17	17	5	19	9	19	9	15	12	13	18	9	12	11
Strongly oppose	17	11	20	12	26	10	10	16	18	23	36	7	11	10	26	28	29
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**5**	**8**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**5**	**6**	**9**	**7**	**11**	**3**	**7**	**4**	**9**	**7**
DK/NA	6	8	5	8	4	6	7	5	6	9	7	11	3	7	4	9	7

TABLE 18:   Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**65%**	**64%**	**62%**	**67%**	**64%**	**63%**	**70%**	**58%**	**69%**	**58%**	**64%**	**64%**	**64%**	**60%**	**69%**	**64%**	**58%**	**73%**
Strongly favor	38	32	41	40	39	36	40	41	30	43	38	38	42	40	37	41	42	18	45
Somewhat favor	25	33	23	21	28	28	23	29	28	26	20	26	22	24	22	28	21	40	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	**30**	**27**	**32**	**32**	**25**	**29**	**31**	**22**	**37**	**26**	**34**	**30**	**33**	**32**	**32**	**24**	**28**	**37**	**24**
Somewhat oppose	13	17	16	9	10	16	9	13	21	4	14	12	19	16	15	9	16	8	2
Strongly oppose	17	10	16	23	15	13	21	9	17	22	20	18	14	16	17	14	12	29	22
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**6**	**8**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**8**	**7**	**9**	**5**	**3**
DK/NA	6	8	4	6	7	6	6	8	5	5	8	6	4	5	8	7	9	5	3

TABLE 19: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	120	55	66	25	10	19	37	17	11	35	39	44	54	64	25	76	13	43	6	20
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**14%**	**11%**	**29%**	**20%**	**16%**	**3%**	**5%**	**0%**	**26%**	**9%**	**3%**	**23%**	**3%**	**33%**	**8%**	**0%**	**20%**	**0%**	**43%**
Strongly favor	2	2	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5	0	3	3	0	2	0	4
Somewhat favor	10	12	8	17	20	16	3	5	0	18	9	3	17	3	30	6	0	18	0	39
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**77**	**83**	**58**	**70**	**84**	**90**	**95**	**87**	**61**	**84**	**93**	**69**	**90**	**64**	**81**	**100**	**77**	**100**	**54**
Somewhat oppose	35	30	39	54	13	22	39	28	33	43	33	32	36	35	32	34	32	36	32	31
Strongly oppose	45	47	44	3	57	63	51	67	54	18	51	62	34	55	33	47	68	41	68	22
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**6**	**13**	**10**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**13**	**12**	**7**	**3**	**8**	**6**	**2**	**10**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**3**
DK/NA	7	9	6	13	10	0	7	0	13	12	7	3	8	6	2	10	0	2	0	3

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	120	17	8	39	72	10	77	44	0	0	46	53	0	100	21
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**37%**	**25%**	**17%**	**8%**	**27%**	**9%**	**18%**	**0%**	**0%**	**7%**	**3%**	**0%**	**5%**	**47%**
Strongly favor	2	5	0	0	3	9	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Somewhat favor	10	33	25	17	5	19	8	13	0	0	7	3	0	5	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**63**	**68**	**79**	**84**	**55**	**85**	**72**	**0**	**0**	**91**	**94**	**0**	**92**	**21**
Somewhat oppose	35	32	30	50	28	25	32	40	0	0	77	10	0	41	6
Strongly oppose	45	30	38	29	56	30	53	32	0	0	14	84	0	51	16
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**7**	**4**	**8**	**17**	**6**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**31**
DK/NA	7	0	7	4	8	17	6	10	0	0	2	3	0	2	31

TABLE 19:   Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	120	0	39	29	15	4	56	65	120	0	15	14	22	13	28	35	5
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**0%**	**0%**	**32%**	**48%**	**58%**	**11%**	**13%**	**12%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**	**32%**	**16%**	**0%**	**26%**	**5%**
Strongly favor	2	0	0	7	0	58	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	16	0	6	0
Somewhat favor	10	0	0	25	48	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	32	0	0	20	5
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**0**	**99**	**46**	**47**	**31**	**82**	**78**	**80**	**0**	**95**	**94**	**63**	**81**	**95**	**69**	**15**
Somewhat oppose	35	0	38	37	44	0	40	31	35	0	4	51	48	58	26	52	0
Strongly oppose	45	0	60	9	3	31	43	47	45	0	91	44	14	22	68	17	15
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**1**	**22**	**4**	**12**	**6**	**8**	**7**	**0**	**5**	**6**	**6**	**3**	**5**	**5**	**80**
DK/NA	7	0	1	22	4	12	6	8	7	0	5	6	6	3	5	5	80

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	120	81	16	24	29	13	53	40	22	9	12	17	18	33	8
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**14%**	**9%**	**10%**	**9%**	**0%**	**7%**	**14%**	**25%**	**0%**	**18%**	**3%**	**20%**	**16%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	0	12	0	0
Somewhat favor	10	10	9	10	9	0	7	7	25	0	0	3	9	16	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**79**	**75**	**86**	**85**	**96**	**82**	**83**	**73**	**100**	**75**	**96**	**75**	**66**	**97**
Somewhat oppose	35	36	37	32	33	64	34	35	46	45	60	46	51	26	41
Strongly oppose	45	44	39	54	52	32	48	48	26	55	15	50	24	40	56
DK/NA	**7**	**7**	**16**	**3**	**6**	**4**	**10**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**7**	**1**	**5**	**18**	**3**
DK/NA	7	7	16	3	6	4	10	3	3	0	7	1	5	18	3

TABLE 19:   Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Total																	
Base	120	33	30	49	30	44	37	10	23	6	3	12	9	28	17	11	7	28
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**22%**	**6%**	**9%**	**24%**	**9%**	**7%**	**14%**	**24%**	**27%**	**0%**	**18%**	**0%**	**9%**	**32%**	**15%**	**15%**	**5%**
Strongly favor	2	0	0	4	0	5	0	9	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somewhat favor	10	22	6	5	24	4	7	6	24	27	0	0	0	9	32	15	15	5
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**71**	**87**	**85**	**68**	**86**	**86**	**67**	**68**	**64**	**100**	**82**	**98**	**83**	**65**	**68**	**85**	**86**
Somewhat oppose	35	40	33	31	44	35	25	47	54	9	0	51	51	15	48	41	0	32
Strongly oppose	45	31	54	55	24	51	62	20	15	56	100	31	47	67	17	27	85	54
DK/NA	**7**	**7**	**7**	**5**	**8**	**5**	**7**	**19**	**8**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**8**	**3**	**16**	**0**	**9**
DK/NA	7	7	7	5	8	5	7	19	8	9	0	0	2	8	3	16	0	9

			GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE			
	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Total																
Base	120	24	29	30	35	14	15	15	29	18	19	15	14	23	21	10	26
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**28%**	**0%**	**19%**	**6%**	**33%**	**17%**	**3%**	**12%**	**6%**	**8%**	**43%**	**4%**	**13%**	**4%**	**19%**	**2%**
Strongly favor	2	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
Somewhat favor	10	24	0	11	6	33	17	3	5	6	8	43	4	4	4	19	2
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**61**	**93**	**76**	**88**	**63**	**72**	**90**	**84**	**84**	**88**	**44**	**92**	**85**	**88**	**66**	**94**
Somewhat oppose	35	32	28	38	41	26	60	31	37	25	24	31	59	38	31	21	26
Strongly oppose	45	29	65	38	48	37	12	59	47	59	64	13	33	47	57	46	68
DK/NA	**7**	**11**	**7**	**6**	**6**	**4**	**12**	**7**	**4**	**10**	**4**	**12**	**4**	**2**	**8**	**15**	**4**
DK/NA	7	11	7	6	6	4	12	7	4	10	4	12	4	2	8	15	4

TABLE 19:   Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	120	33	29	46	12	62	57	33	29	21	36	16	23	40	38	11	16	12	3
TOTAL FAVOR	**12%**	**28%**	**5%**	**5%**	**18%**	**17%**	**7%**	**20%**	**14%**	**4%**	**9%**	**29%**	**2%**	**13%**	**17%**	**17%**	**8%**	**0%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	2	0	0	2	18	0	5	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Somewhat favor	10	28	5	3	0	17	2	20	14	0	4	29	2	13	10	17	8	0	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**80**	**64**	**87**	**90**	**78**	**75**	**87**	**71**	**79**	**89**	**86**	**68**	**92**	**82**	**75**	**66**	**79**	**100**	**100**
Somewhat oppose	35	32	45	30	39	38	32	33	44	26	35	26	42	36	43	30	28	24	26
Strongly oppose	45	31	42	60	40	36	56	38	35	63	51	42	50	47	32	36	50	76	74
DK/NA	**7**	**8**	**8**	**6**	**4**	**8**	**5**	**9**	**7**	**7**	**4**	**3**	**6**	**5**	**8**	**17**	**13**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	7	8	8	6	4	8	5	9	7	7	4	3	6	5	8	17	13	0	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 20: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	105	41	65	16	13	26	25	9	12	29	44	29	56	46	16	74	9	29	5	11
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**15%**	**15%**	**14%**	**0%**	**17%**	**19%**	**26%**	**14%**	**8%**	**22%**	**14%**	**12%**	**19%**	**0%**	**19%**	**23%**	**7%**	**0%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	3	2	4	0	0	0	10	0	7	0	6	3	0	7	0	5	0	0	0	0
Somewhat favor	12	13	11	14	0	17	9	26	7	8	16	11	12	12	0	14	23	7	0	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**76**	**79**	**80**	**80**	**79**	**74**	**74**	**86**	**80**	**75**	**83**	**80**	**77**	**95**	**76**	**62**	**85**	**100**	**92**
Somewhat oppose	32	24	37	68	53	17	21	35	24	61	18	27	40	25	45	26	41	49	44	46
Strongly oppose	46	51	42	12	28	62	53	39	62	19	56	56	39	53	49	50	20	35	56	46
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**5**	**6**	**20**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**12**	**4**	**3**	**8**	**3**	**5**	**5**	**15**	**8**	**0**	**8**
DK/NA	7	9	5	6	20	3	6	0	0	12	4	3	8	3	5	5	15	8	0	8

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	105	10	7	19	71	15	73	33	0	0	34	53	0	87	19
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**0%**	**0%**	**16%**	**14%**	**21%**	**11%**	**24%**	**0%**	**0%**	**28%**	**5%**	**0%**	**14%**	**23%**
Strongly favor	3	0	0	4	4	0	3	4	0	0	3	3	0	3	4
Somewhat favor	12	0	0	11	10	21	8	21	0	0	25	1	0	11	18
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**100**	**87**	**84**	**80**	**61**	**83**	**66**	**0**	**0**	**72**	**94**	**0**	**85**	**45**
Somewhat oppose	32	53	34	55	22	49	35	25	0	0	62	11	0	31	38
Strongly oppose	46	47	54	29	57	12	48	41	0	0	10	83	0	54	7
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**6**	**18**	**5**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**32**
DK/NA	7	0	13	0	6	18	5	9	0	0	0	2	0	1	32

TABLE 20:   Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	105	0	37	27	22	5	44	62	0	105	20	10	17	12	30	29	5
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**0%**	**5%**	**30%**	**37%**	**0%**	**19%**	**13%**	**0%**	**15%**	**11%**	**0%**	**16%**	**33%**	**7%**	**23%**	**16%**
Strongly favor	3	0	3	6	8	0	4	3	0	3	8	0	2	7	5	4	0
Somewhat favor	12	0	2	24	29	0	15	10	0	12	3	0	14	26	2	19	16
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**0**	**95**	**60**	**50**	**100**	**77**	**79**	**0**	**78**	**85**	**100**	**79**	**59**	**90**	**70**	**84**
Somewhat oppose	32	0	30	37	28	75	37	29	0	32	35	40	51	7	36	32	20
Strongly oppose	46	0	65	23	22	25	40	50	0	46	50	60	28	53	53	38	65
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**13**	**0**	**5**	**8**	**0**	**7**	**4**	**0**	**5**	**8**	**3**	**6**	**0**
DK/NA	7	0	0	10	13	0	5	8	0	7	4	0	5	8	3	6	0

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	105	58	24	23	20	7	45	37	19	9	13	17	19	28	9
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**11%**	**11%**	**30%**	**11%**	**0%**	**9%**	**18%**	**28%**	**11%**	**23%**	**10%**	**19%**	**16%**	**11%**
Strongly favor	3	3	8	0	6	0	4	2	4	0	0	5	0	7	0
Somewhat favor	12	9	3	30	5	0	5	15	24	11	23	5	19	9	11
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**84**	**79**	**63**	**85**	**100**	**85**	**72**	**72**	**79**	**77**	**85**	**81**	**78**	**79**
Somewhat oppose	32	35	27	30	17	36	34	34	29	39	47	30	56	19	18
Strongly oppose	46	49	52	33	68	64	51	39	43	40	29	54	24	59	60
DK/NA	**7**	**5**	**10**	**7**	**4**	**0**	**6**	**10**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**7**	**10**
DK/NA	7	5	10	7	4	0	6	10	0	10	0	5	0	7	10

TABLE 20:   Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	105	33	27	36	26	39	30	10	14	12	6	6	6	24	13	13	5	25
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**29%**	**10%**	**5%**	**19%**	**18%**	**6%**	**24%**	**0%**	**41%**	**71%**	**0%**	**10%**	**5%**	**25%**	**13%**	**0%**	**7%**
Strongly favor	3	5	3	1	7	2	1	8	0	15	0	0	0	1	7	7	0	1
Somewhat favor	12	24	7	4	12	16	5	16	0	26	71	0	10	4	18	6	0	6
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**69**	**77**	**90**	**78**	**73**	**88**	**67**	**95**	**59**	**29**	**100**	**75**	**91**	**75**	**82**	**100**	**86**
Somewhat oppose	32	34	34	31	39	34	27	21	49	27	16	57	42	23	45	34	22	28
Strongly oppose	46	34	43	58	40	38	61	46	46	32	13	43	33	69	31	48	78	58
DK/NA	**7**	**2**	**13**	**5**	**3**	**9**	**6**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**7**
DK/NA	7	2	13	5	3	9	6	9	5	0	0	0	15	3	0	6	0	7

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	105	20	19	35	27	6	20	19	20	12	18	15	10	22	17	14	15
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**11%**	**22%**	**13%**	**18%**	**41%**	**12%**	**16%**	**21%**	**8%**	**5%**	**5%**	**40%**	**18%**	**19%**	**4%**	**6%**
Strongly favor	3	0	4	0	9	0	8	4	0	0	2	0	17	0	5	0	0
Somewhat favor	12	11	17	13	9	41	4	11	21	8	3	5	23	18	14	4	6
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**76**	**74**	**82**	**79**	**59**	**84**	**70**	**75**	**85**	**90**	**95**	**53**	**66**	**81**	**89**	**88**
Somewhat oppose	32	36	14	43	32	23	43	25	43	31	24	63	9	40	28	26	28
Strongly oppose	46	40	60	39	47	36	41	45	32	54	66	31	44	26	53	63	60
DK/NA	**7**	**13**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**4**	**14**	**4**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**8**	**16**	**0**	**6**	**6**
DK/NA	7	13	4	5	3	0	4	14	4	7	5	0	8	16	0	6	6

TABLE 20:   Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	105	27	23	40	12	51	52	17	33	22	31	13	13	25	23	16	18	16	7
TOTAL FAVOR	**15%**	**17%**	**17%**	**16%**	**9%**	**17%**	**15%**	**18%**	**16%**	**15%**	**15%**	**0%**	**17%**	**9%**	**20%**	**10%**	**23%**	**22%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	3	0	7	4	3	3	4	0	5	4	4	0	0	0	4	0	2	16	0
Somewhat favor	12	17	10	12	6	14	11	18	12	11	11	0	17	9	17	10	21	7	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**78**	**77**	**72**	**81**	**84**	**75**	**82**	**67**	**79**	**81**	**82**	**93**	**83**	**88**	**69**	**82**	**72**	**69**	**100**
Somewhat oppose	32	38	30	32	32	34	32	30	36	22	39	43	40	41	22	33	55	19	0
Strongly oppose	46	39	43	49	52	41	50	37	42	59	44	50	43	47	47	50	17	50	100
DK/NA	**7**	**6**	**11**	**2**	**7**	**8**	**4**	**15**	**5**	**5**	**3**	**7**	**0**	**3**	**11**	**8**	**5**	**9**	**0**
DK/NA	7	6	11	2	7	8	4	15	5	5	3	7	0	3	11	8	5	9	0

TABLE 21: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

		GENDER					Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	226	96	130	42	23	45	62	26	23	64	82	73	109	111	42	150	23	72	11	31
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**14%**	**13%**	**23%**	**9%**	**17%**	**10%**	**13%**	**7%**	**18%**	**16%**	**8%**	**17%**	**10%**	**20%**	**14%**	**10%**	**15%**	**0%**	**27%**
Strongly favor	3	2	4	7	0	0	4	0	4	5	3	1	3	3	2	4	0	1	0	3
Somewhat favor	11	13	10	16	9	17	6	13	4	14	13	7	15	7	18	10	10	14	0	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**76**	**81**	**66**	**76**	**81**	**83**	**87**	**86**	**70**	**79**	**89**	**75**	**85**	**76**	**79**	**84**	**80**	**100**	**68**
Somewhat oppose	34	28	38	60	36	19	32	31	28	51	25	30	38	31	37	30	36	42	38	37
Strongly oppose	46	49	43	7	40	62	52	57	58	19	54	59	37	54	39	49	49	39	62	31
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**5**	**10**	**15**	**2**	**7**	**0**	**6**	**12**	**5**	**3**	**8**	**5**	**3**	**8**	**6**	**5**	**0**	**5**
DK/NA	7	9	5	10	15	2	7	0	6	12	5	3	8	5	3	8	6	5	0	5

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	226	27	15	58	144	24	150	76	0	0	81	106	0	187	39
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**24%**	**13%**	**17%**	**11%**	**24%**	**10%**	**21%**	**0%**	**0%**	**16%**	**4%**	**0%**	**9%**	**36%**
Strongly favor	3	3	0	1	3	3	2	4	0	0	1	2	0	2	10
Somewhat favor	11	21	13	15	8	20	8	16	0	0	15	2	0	8	26
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**76**	**77**	**81**	**82**	**59**	**84**	**69**	**0**	**0**	**83**	**94**	**0**	**89**	**32**
Somewhat oppose	34	40	32	52	25	39	34	34	0	0	71	10	0	36	21
Strongly oppose	46	36	45	29	57	19	51	36	0	0	12	84	0	53	12
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**10**	**2**	**7**	**18**	**6**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**32**
DK/NA	7	0	10	2	7	18	6	10	0	0	1	2	0	2	32

TABLE 21:   Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	226	0	76	56	37	9	99	127	120	105	35	23	39	25	58	64	10
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**0%**	**2%**	**31%**	**42%**	**23%**	**15%**	**13%**	**12%**	**15%**	**6%**	**0%**	**25%**	**24%**	**4%**	**25%**	**10%**
Strongly favor	3	0	1	7	5	23	3	3	2	3	5	0	1	12	3	5	0
Somewhat favor	11	0	1	24	37	0	12	10	10	12	2	0	24	13	1	20	10
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**0**	**97**	**53**	**49**	**72**	**80**	**79**	**80**	**78**	**89**	**97**	**70**	**70**	**92**	**70**	**51**
Somewhat oppose	34	0	34	37	35	45	38	30	35	32	22	46	49	33	32	43	10
Strongly oppose	46	0	63	16	15	27	41	49	45	46	67	50	20	37	61	27	41
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**1**	**16**	**9**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**7**	**7**	**4**	**3**	**5**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**39**
DK/NA	7	0	1	16	9	5	5	8	7	7	4	3	5	6	4	5	39

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	226	140	40	47	48	20	98	77	42	18	25	35	37	60	16
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**13%**	**10%**	**20%**	**10%**	**0%**	**8%**	**16%**	**26%**	**5%**	**21%**	**7%**	**20%**	**16%**	**6%**
Strongly favor	3	3	5	0	2	0	2	5	2	0	8	2	6	3	0
Somewhat favor	11	10	5	20	7	0	6	11	24	5	12	4	14	12	6
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**81**	**77**	**75**	**85**	**97**	**84**	**78**	**72**	**90**	**76**	**90**	**78**	**72**	**87**
Somewhat oppose	34	35	31	31	27	54	34	34	38	42	53	38	54	23	29
Strongly oppose	46	46	47	44	59	43	50	43	34	48	23	52	24	49	58
DK/NA	**7**	**6**	**13**	**5**	**5**	**3**	**8**	**6**	**1**	**5**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**13**	**7**
DK/NA	7	6	13	5	5	3	8	6	1	5	3	3	2	13	7

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 21:   Q10.   The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
| | | DEM | | REP | | INDEP | | NT RG | STR | NSTR | INDEP | INDEP | NSTR | STR | LIB | MD/CN | MD/LB | CONS |
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	L/REP	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	226	65	57	85	56	84	67	19	37	18	9	17	16	52	30	25	12	54
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**26%**	**8%**	**8%**	**22%**	**13%**	**6%**	**19%**	**15%**	**36%**	**49%**	**12%**	**4%**	**7%**	**29%**	**14%**	**8%**	**6%**
Strongly favor	3	3	1	3	3	4	0	8	0	9	0	12	0	1	3	4	0	1
Somewhat favor	11	23	6	5	19	10	6	11	15	27	49	0	4	7	26	10	8	6
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**70**	**82**	**87**	**73**	**80**	**87**	**67**	**78**	**61**	**51**	**88**	**89**	**87**	**69**	**76**	**92**	**86**
Somewhat oppose	34	37	33	31	41	35	25	34	52	21	11	53	48	19	47	37	10	30
Strongly oppose	46	33	49	56	31	45	62	33	27	40	41	35	41	68	23	39	82	56
DK/NA	**7**	**5**	**10**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**14**	**7**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**6**	**2**	**11**	**0**	**8**
DK/NA	7	5	10	5	6	7	6	14	7	3	0	0	7	6	2	11	0	8

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
| | | MEN | MEN | MEN | WOMEN | DEM | DEM | IND/L | IND/L | REP | REP | DEM | DEM | IND/L | IND/L | REP | REP |
	Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	226	44	48	65	63	20	36	34	49	30	37	29	24	45	37	25	42
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**20%**	**9%**	**16%**	**11%**	**35%**	**14%**	**10%**	**15%**	**6%**	**7%**	**24%**	**19%**	**16%**	**11%**	**10%**	**4%**
Strongly favor	3	2	2	3	4	0	5	2	4	0	1	0	7	5	2	0	0
Somewhat favor	11	18	7	12	7	35	9	8	11	6	6	24	12	11	9	10	4
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**68**	**85**	**79**	**84**	**62**	**79**	**79**	**81**	**85**	**89**	**69**	**75**	**76**	**85**	**80**	**92**
Somewhat oppose	34	34	22	41	37	25	50	28	40	28	24	47	38	39	30	24	27
Strongly oppose	46	34	63	38	48	37	28	51	41	57	65	22	38	37	55	56	65
DK/NA	**7**	**12**	**6**	**5**	**4**	**3**	**7**	**11**	**4**	**9**	**4**	**6**	**5**	**9**	**4**	**10**	**4**
DK/NA	7	12	6	5	4	3	7	11	4	9	4	6	5	9	4	10	4

TABLE 21:   Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights
             records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you
             again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	226	61	52	86	24	113	110	50	63	43	67	29	36	65	61	27	35	28	10
TOTAL FAVOR	**14%**	**23%**	**10%**	**10%**	**13%**	**17%**	**11%**	**20%**	**15%**	**9%**	**12%**	**16%**	**8%**	**11%**	**18%**	**13%**	**16%**	**13%**	**0%**
Strongly favor	3	0	3	3	10	1	5	0	3	4	5	0	0	0	6	0	1	9	0
Somewhat favor	11	23	7	7	3	16	6	20	13	5	7	16	8	11	12	13	15	4	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	**79**	**70**	**80**	**86**	**81**	**75**	**85**	**70**	**79**	**85**	**85**	**79**	**89**	**84**	**72**	**76**	**75**	**83**	**100**
Somewhat oppose	34	35	38	31	35	36	32	32	40	24	37	34	41	38	35	32	42	21	9
Strongly oppose	46	35	42	55	46	38	53	37	39	61	48	45	47	47	37	44	33	61	91
DK/NA	**7**	**7**	**9**	**4**	**5**	**8**	**4**	**11**	**6**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**4**	**4**	**9**	**12**	**9**	**5**	**0**
DK/NA	7	7	9	4	5	8	4	11	6	6	4	5	4	4	9	12	9	5	0

TABLE 22: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

		GENDER						Q2 AGE								Q3 ETHNICITY					
															HISP/		AFRCN	NON-	LATNO	LATNO
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	LATNO	WHITE	AMRCN	WHITE	CUBAN	N-CBN
Base	495	254	241	96	77	89	130	47	48	173	175	139	262	226	109	292	69	195	42	67
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**72%**	**62%**	**63%**	**74%**	**67%**	**71%**	**68%**	**59%**	**68%**	**70%**	**65%**	**68%**	**68%**	**73%**	**65%**	**70%**	**70%**	**68%**	**76%**
Strongly support	38	43	33	35	38	33	41	50	37	36	38	41	35	42	35	38	49	38	34	35
Somewhat support	29	29	30	28	36	34	30	18	22	31	32	23	32	26	38	27	21	32	34	41
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**25**	**34**	**32**	**22**	**32**	**26**	**26**	**39**	**27**	**28**	**32**	**29**	**29**	**27**	**31**	**23**	**27**	**32**	**24**
Somewhat oppose	12	9	14	22	5	10	10	8	13	14	10	11	13	10	9	14	5	9	18	4
Strongly oppose	18	16	20	10	17	22	16	19	26	13	19	21	16	19	18	17	18	18	15	20
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**6**	**2**	**5**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**4**	**7**	**3**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	3	4	5	4	0	3	6	2	5	2	3	3	3	0	4	7	3	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN		Q7 U.S.			Q8A U.S./		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/						
		BORN/IMMIGR		DIRECTION			CUBA RELTNS		ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	495	67	41	179	268	48	336	159	179	137	67	81	316	148	31
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**75%**	**70%**	**72%**	**63%**	**72%**	**68%**	**67%**	**88%**	**76%**	**43%**	**28%**	**83%**	**35%**	**67%**
Strongly support	38	34	37	42	34	45	39	36	67	37	6	11	54	9	20
Somewhat support	29	41	33	30	29	28	28	31	21	39	36	17	29	26	47
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**25**	**30**	**27**	**32**	**23**	**29**	**29**	**10**	**21**	**54**	**71**	**15**	**64**	**13**
Somewhat oppose	12	9	10	12	11	12	12	11	5	10	37	10	7	22	7
Strongly oppose	18	17	20	14	21	11	17	18	5	12	18	61	8	41	7
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**20**
DK/NA	3	0	0	1	4	5	3	4	2	3	3	1	2	2	20

TABLE 22:   Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	495	198	76	82	37	34	220	276	242	253	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**87%**	**26%**	**54%**	**65%**	**57%**	**69%**	**66%**	**67%**	**68%**	**44%**	**59%**	**70%**	**77%**	**51%**	**74%**	**66%**
Strongly support	38	53	5	18	16	25	38	39	37	39	17	23	34	50	20	42	37
Somewhat support	29	34	21	36	49	32	31	27	29	29	28	36	36	28	31	32	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**12**	**73**	**39**	**31**	**43**	**28**	**30**	**29**	**30**	**54**	**37**	**29**	**22**	**46**	**25**	**21**
Somewhat oppose	12	5	23	24	16	28	15	9	11	12	14	8	18	12	11	15	7
Strongly oppose	18	7	50	15	15	15	13	21	18	18	39	30	11	10	35	10	14
DK/NA	**3**	**1**	**1**	**7**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**4**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**12**
DK/NA	3	1	1	7	4	0	3	4	4	2	2	3	1	1	3	1	12

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	495	291	95	106	76	49	177	185	121	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**68%**	**65%**	**66%**	**52%**	**69%**	**60%**	**69%**	**78%**	**57%**	**77%**	**58%**	**71%**	**66%**	**55%**
Strongly support	38	39	31	39	36	48	33	42	42	41	47	33	43	29	26
Somewhat support	29	29	34	26	16	20	27	27	36	16	30	25	28	37	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**29**	**31**	**29**	**47**	**30**	**38**	**26**	**22**	**43**	**21**	**42**	**27**	**30**	**44**
Somewhat oppose	12	12	12	11	18	12	13	12	10	11	8	15	13	12	17
Strongly oppose	18	18	19	17	29	18	25	14	11	31	13	26	14	18	27
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**4**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**4**	**1**
DK/NA	3	2	4	5	1	1	2	4	1	0	2	0	2	4	1

TABLE 22:   Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	495	178	125	147	157	184	110	45	116	41	21	37	28	82	80	75	23	85
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**74%**	**68%**	**60%**	**74%**	**71%**	**54%**	**63%**	**77%**	**66%**	**78%**	**77%**	**65%**	**50%**	**83%**	**64%**	**59%**	**53%**
Strongly support	38	40	46	32	40	44	30	30	42	34	42	39	39	26	46	34	39	27
Somewhat support	29	34	22	28	34	27	24	33	35	32	35	38	25	24	36	30	20	26
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**23**	**27**	**38**	**23**	**26**	**43**	**34**	**23**	**22**	**22**	**23**	**32**	**47**	**17**	**28**	**41**	**43**
Somewhat oppose	12	12	11	12	13	10	13	11	13	12	5	10	15	12	11	15	9	14
Strongly oppose	18	11	16	26	10	16	30	23	10	10	18	13	17	35	6	13	32	28
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**4**
DK/NA	3	3	5	2	3	3	3	3	0	12	0	0	4	3	0	7	0	4

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	495	120	130	142	96	81	76	91	93	60	50	83	72	102	79	44	64
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**74%**	**71%**	**62%**	**63%**	**78%**	**70%**	**77%**	**66%**	**60%**	**47%**	**67%**	**84%**	**76%**	**65%**	**51%**	**56%**
Strongly support	38	43	45	29	39	47	32	51	38	32	27	36	45	43	45	21	35
Somewhat support	29	31	27	33	25	31	38	26	28	27	20	31	39	33	20	30	20
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**22**	**27**	**35**	**31**	**20**	**25**	**20**	**31**	**37**	**50**	**27**	**16**	**23**	**30**	**46**	**42**
Somewhat oppose	12	10	9	15	11	8	18	7	13	15	10	17	7	9	12	14	12
Strongly oppose	18	12	18	19	20	12	7	13	18	22	41	9	8	14	18	31	30
DK/NA	**3**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**5**	**3**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**4**	**3**
DK/NA	3	4	2	3	5	2	5	3	4	3	3	6	0	1	5	4	3

TABLE 22:   Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	495	140	110	183	61	249	244	134	116	119	126	66	81	146	120	69	71	58	30
TOTAL SUPPORT	**67%**	**72%**	**67%**	**64%**	**71%**	**69%**	**66%**	**77%**	**61%**	**68%**	**64%**	**69%**	**69%**	**69%**	**67%**	**70%**	**70%**	**58%**	**72%**
Strongly support	38	37	35	39	46	36	41	44	28	44	38	36	44	40	32	36	46	35	45
Somewhat support	29	34	32	25	25	33	25	33	33	24	26	33	25	28	34	33	24	23	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	**29**	**22**	**30**	**35**	**29**	**25**	**33**	**19**	**32**	**31**	**35**	**31**	**28**	**29**	**31**	**28**	**28**	**31**	**28**
Somewhat oppose	12	12	15	9	16	13	10	11	16	8	13	7	18	13	13	11	16	5	7
Strongly oppose	18	10	15	26	13	12	23	8	17	23	23	24	10	16	18	16	12	27	21
DK/NA	**3**	**6**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**1**	**4**	**7**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**11**	**0**
DK/NA	3	6	4	1	1	5	1	4	7	1	1	0	4	2	3	3	2	11	0

TABLE 23: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that
proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

		GENDER						Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY				
																	HISP/		AFRCN	NON-	LATNO	LATNO
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	LATNO	WHITE	AMRCN	WHITE	CUBAN	N-CBN
Base	495	254	241	96	77	89	130	47	48	173	175	139	262	226	109	292	69	195	42	67
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**70%**	**55%**	**57%**	**70%**	**66%**	**64%**	**61%**	**61%**	**63%**	**66%**	**61%**	**64%**	**63%**	**65%**	**65%**	**58%**	**60%**	**72%**	**61%**
Strongly support	40	48	31	36	46	39	44	42	28	40	41	38	40	40	41	39	47	41	49	36
Somewhat support	23	22	25	21	25	27	20	19	34	23	25	23	24	23	24	27	11	19	23	24
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**27**	**38**	**37**	**24**	**33**	**30**	**35**	**31**	**31**	**31**	**32**	**32**	**31**	**34**	**31**	**27**	**34**	**28**	**38**
Somewhat oppose	13	10	17	25	8	11	11	14	8	17	12	10	15	11	14	13	11	15	10	16
Strongly oppose	19	16	21	12	16	22	19	21	22	14	20	23	17	20	20	18	16	19	18	22
DK/NA	**5**	**3**	**7**	**6**	**5**	**1**	**6**	**3**	**8**	**6**	**3**	**7**	**4**	**6**	**1**	**4**	**16**	**6**	**0**	**1**
DK/NA	5	3	7	6	5	1	6	3	8	6	3	7	4	6	1	4	16	6	0	1

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	495	67	41	179	268	48	336	159	179	137	67	81	316	148	31
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**63%**	**68%**	**68%**	**59%**	**69%**	**65%**	**59%**	**89%**	**70%**	**39%**	**23%**	**81%**	**30%**	**42%**
Strongly support	40	33	55	44	35	48	43	34	66	41	16	9	55	12	13
Somewhat support	23	31	13	25	23	21	22	26	23	29	23	14	25	18	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**37**	**30**	**27**	**36**	**26**	**33**	**31**	**10**	**26**	**50**	**74**	**17**	**63**	**35**
Somewhat oppose	13	16	10	14	12	17	13	15	1	16	40	13	7	25	18
Strongly oppose	19	20	20	13	24	8	20	15	9	10	11	61	10	38	17
DK/NA	**5**	**0**	**2**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**2**	**10**	**1**	**4**	**11**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**23**
DK/NA	5	0	2	5	5	5	2	10	1	4	11	3	2	7	23

TABLE 23:   Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS				Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	495	198	76	82	37	34	220	276	242	253	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**86%**	**18%**	**44%**	**67%**	**32%**	**66%**	**60%**	**60%**	**66%**	**34%**	**55%**	**64%**	**80%**	**43%**	**72%**	**57%**
Strongly support	40	59	6	15	28	6	42	38	35	44	24	21	38	54	23	46	28
Somewhat support	23	27	13	29	39	26	24	23	25	22	10	34	26	26	21	26	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**13**	**78**	**46**	**24**	**66**	**29**	**34**	**34**	**30**	**64**	**36**	**35**	**19**	**51**	**27**	**25**
Somewhat oppose	13	6	26	25	15	31	15	12	14	13	18	15	20	8	17	14	5
Strongly oppose	19	8	52	21	9	36	14	22	20	18	46	21	15	12	34	13	20
DK/NA	**5**	**1**	**4**	**10**	**9**	**2**	**5**	**5**	**6**	**4**	**2**	**9**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**1**	**18**
DK/NA	5	1	4	10	9	2	5	5	6	4	2	9	1	1	5	1	18

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	495	291	95	106	76	49	177	185	121	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**62%**	**64%**	**64%**	**53%**	**63%**	**56%**	**66%**	**71%**	**62%**	**74%**	**52%**	**71%**	**59%**	**55%**
Strongly support	40	39	40	41	30	56	30	43	51	50	49	37	47	30	38
Somewhat support	23	23	24	23	23	7	26	23	20	12	25	15	24	29	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**33**	**33**	**29**	**45**	**22**	**41**	**30**	**23**	**38**	**23**	**48**	**27**	**35**	**44**
Somewhat oppose	13	13	16	14	13	10	14	13	12	9	10	19	14	12	21
Strongly oppose	19	21	17	15	33	12	26	16	10	29	13	29	13	23	23
DK/NA	**5**	**5**	**3**	**7**	**2**	**15**	**3**	**4**	**7**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**6**	**1**
DK/NA	5	5	3	7	2	15	3	4	7	0	3	0	2	6	1

TABLE 23:   Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	495	178	125	147	157	184	110	45	116	41	21	37	28	82	80	75	23	85
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**68%**	**66%**	**54%**	**68%**	**65%**	**52%**	**67%**	**69%**	**63%**	**71%**	**60%**	**57%**	**50%**	**65%**	**70%**	**59%**	**51%**
Strongly support	40	45	47	28	45	42	29	36	44	48	42	25	31	29	50	40	47	25
Somewhat support	23	23	18	26	23	23	22	31	26	15	28	35	25	21	15	30	12	26
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**25**	**29**	**43**	**25**	**31**	**44**	**30**	**25**	**26**	**29**	**40**	**38**	**46**	**26**	**24**	**41**	**44**
Somewhat oppose	13	14	11	17	15	11	18	8	16	10	5	14	19	18	17	12	17	19
Strongly oppose	19	12	18	26	10	20	26	23	8	16	25	26	19	28	9	12	23	25
DK/NA	**5**	**7**	**5**	**3**	**8**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**6**	**11**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**4**	**9**	**6**	**0**	**5**
DK/NA	5	7	5	3	8	4	4	3	6	11	0	0	6	4	9	6	0	5

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	495	120	130	142	96	81	76	91	93	60	50	83	72	102	79	44	64
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**72%**	**70%**	**57%**	**54%**	**75%**	**60%**	**71%**	**60%**	**62%**	**40%**	**65%**	**72%**	**72%**	**56%**	**44%**	**58%**
Strongly support	40	49	47	32	30	50	39	51	34	38	20	46	45	47	36	21	35
Somewhat support	23	23	23	25	24	25	20	20	25	24	20	19	27	24	20	22	23
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**24**	**28**	**38**	**36**	**24**	**26**	**26**	**37**	**35**	**55**	**29**	**18**	**26**	**39**	**50**	**39**
Somewhat oppose	13	11	9	18	13	13	17	6	15	15	22	17	11	11	10	25	13
Strongly oppose	19	13	18	20	23	10	10	19	22	20	33	12	7	14	28	25	27
DK/NA	**5**	**4**	**2**	**5**	**10**	**1**	**14**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**6**	**6**	**9**	**3**	**5**	**7**	**3**
DK/NA	5	4	2	5	10	1	14	4	3	3	6	6	9	3	5	7	3

TABLE 23:   Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	495	140	110	183	61	249	244	134	116	119	126	66	81	146	120	69	71	58	30
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**65%**	**65%**	**62%**	**60%**	**65%**	**62%**	**75%**	**53%**	**67%**	**58%**	**50%**	**67%**	**59%**	**66%**	**61%**	**69%**	**58%**	**67%**
Strongly support	40	42	40	41	32	41	38	52	28	44	33	35	39	37	38	40	40	39	58
Somewhat support	23	23	24	22	28	24	23	23	25	22	25	15	28	22	28	22	30	19	9
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**29**	**28**	**35**	**37**	**29**	**35**	**22**	**36**	**31**	**39**	**42**	**28**	**34**	**29**	**37**	**28**	**31**	**33**
Somewhat oppose	13	19	12	10	16	16	11	14	18	7	15	15	12	13	12	20	17	8	6
Strongly oppose	19	11	16	25	21	13	24	9	18	24	24	27	16	21	17	17	10	24	27
DK/NA	**5**	**6**	**8**	**3**	**3**	**7**	**3**	**3**	**11**	**3**	**3**	**7**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**2**	**3**	**11**	**0**
DK/NA	5	6	8	3	3	7	3	3	11	3	3	7	5	6	5	2	3	11	0

TABLE 24: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

| | | GENDER | | | | | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | HISP/ | | AFRCN | NON- | LATNO | LATNO |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	LATNO	WHITE	AMRCN	WHITE	CUBAN	N-CBN
Base	495	254	241	96	77	89	130	47	48	173	175	139	262	226	109	292	69	195	42	67
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**68%**	**59%**	**62%**	**74%**	**66%**	**65%**	**56%**	**53%**	**67%**	**67%**	**55%**	**67%**	**60%**	**74%**	**61%**	**56%**	**66%**	**66%**	**79%**
Strongly support	40	46	34	36	51	37	40	45	32	42	39	38	41	40	48	38	38	43	44	50
Somewhat support	23	22	25	26	23	29	25	10	21	25	28	17	26	21	26	24	18	23	21	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**28**	**36**	**31**	**20**	**32**	**32**	**42**	**37**	**26**	**29**	**40**	**28**	**35**	**24**	**33**	**34**	**30**	**29**	**21**
Somewhat oppose	11	8	14	19	9	10	9	11	5	15	8	11	13	9	7	13	13	9	11	5
Strongly oppose	21	19	22	12	11	22	23	31	32	11	22	29	15	26	17	21	21	20	19	16
DK/NA	**5**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**2**	**10**	**7**	**3**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**2**	**5**	**9**	**4**	**5**	**0**
DK/NA	5	5	5	8	6	2	3	2	10	7	3	5	5	5	2	5	9	4	5	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN		Q7 U.S.			Q8A U.S./		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/						
		BORN/IMMIGR		DIRECTION			CUBA RELTNS		ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	495	67	41	179	268	48	336	159	179	137	67	81	316	148	31
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**75%**	**72%**	**70%**	**58%**	**64%**	**65%**	**60%**	**85%**	**77%**	**43%**	**16%**	**81%**	**28%**	**50%**
Strongly support	40	46	52	45	37	40	42	35	72	37	10	8	57	9	20
Somewhat support	23	30	20	25	22	24	23	24	13	40	33	8	24	19	31
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**22**	**28**	**28**	**35**	**25**	**31**	**34**	**13**	**20**	**51**	**81**	**16**	**67**	**20**
Somewhat oppose	11	7	9	12	11	9	8	18	3	14	29	8	8	17	17
Strongly oppose	21	15	20	16	24	16	23	16	11	6	22	73	9	50	3
DK/NA	**5**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**6**	**11**	**4**	**7**	**2**	**3**	**6**	**3**	**3**	**5**	**30**
DK/NA	5	3	0	2	6	11	4	7	2	3	6	3	3	5	30

TABLE 24:   Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	495	198	76	82	37	34	220	276	242	253	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**81%**	**18%**	**52%**	**62%**	**55%**	**67%**	**61%**	**61%**	**66%**	**37%**	**63%**	**65%**	**66%**	**49%**	**65%**	**75%**
Strongly support	40	57	1	20	22	21	43	38	40	40	23	27	30	51	25	40	50
Somewhat support	23	25	17	32	40	34	24	23	21	26	14	36	36	14	24	25	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**18**	**78**	**35**	**27**	**37**	**30**	**33**	**32**	**31**	**60**	**29**	**33**	**32**	**46**	**32**	**12**
Somewhat oppose	11	8	14	22	16	23	12	11	10	12	17	8	12	15	13	14	0
Strongly oppose	21	10	65	13	11	14	18	23	22	19	43	21	20	17	33	19	12
DK/NA	**5**	**1**	**3**	**13**	**11**	**7**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**3**	**3**	**9**	**2**	**3**	**6**	**2**	**13**
DK/NA	5	1	3	13	11	7	4	6	7	3	3	9	2	3	6	2	13

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	495	291	95	106	76	49	177	185	121	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**61%**	**67%**	**65%**	**51%**	**59%**	**56%**	**66%**	**74%**	**60%**	**67%**	**60%**	**66%**	**57%**	**55%**
Strongly support	40	40	37	43	31	47	35	44	44	36	50	35	43	31	25
Somewhat support	23	22	31	22	20	12	21	22	31	24	18	25	23	27	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**35**	**27**	**29**	**45**	**37**	**40**	**28**	**24**	**38**	**30**	**39**	**32**	**36**	**45**
Somewhat oppose	11	13	9	7	12	22	10	12	12	2	14	6	13	14	7
Strongly oppose	21	21	18	21	33	15	30	17	12	35	16	33	18	22	38
DK/NA	**5**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**7**	**0**
DK/NA	5	4	6	7	4	4	4	6	2	2	3	1	2	7	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 24:   Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	495	178	125	147	157	184	110	45	116	41	21	37	28	82	80	75	23	85
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**70%**	**63%**	**55%**	**69%**	**63%**	**55%**	**65%**	**72%**	**61%**	**79%**	**55%**	**69%**	**49%**	**72%**	**66%**	**68%**	**52%**
Strongly support	40	41	46	32	41	43	31	44	42	39	42	34	39	28	42	41	35	30
Somewhat support	23	29	17	23	28	20	24	21	29	23	37	21	30	21	31	24	33	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**26**	**29**	**42**	**26**	**31**	**41**	**29**	**26**	**26**	**21**	**43**	**31**	**45**	**25**	**28**	**27**	**44**
Somewhat oppose	11	12	9	10	14	9	9	17	17	4	0	13	9	9	13	15	4	10
Strongly oppose	21	14	20	32	13	22	33	11	9	22	21	30	22	36	12	14	23	34
DK/NA	**5**	**4**	**8**	**3**	**5**	**6**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**12**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**6**	**5**	**4**
DK/NA	5	4	8	3	5	6	4	6	2	12	0	2	0	5	3	6	5	4

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	495	120	130	142	96	81	76	91	93	60	50	83	72	102	79	44	64
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**69%**	**67%**	**65%**	**52%**	**72%**	**66%**	**69%**	**58%**	**62%**	**46%**	**69%**	**70%**	**71%**	**54%**	**52%**	**56%**
Strongly support	40	45	47	37	30	45	38	54	33	37	23	39	45	46	40	28	33
Somewhat support	23	24	20	28	21	27	28	15	25	24	23	30	26	25	15	25	23
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**24**	**30**	**31**	**41**	**28**	**25**	**24**	**38**	**34**	**51**	**24**	**28**	**26**	**37**	**41**	**41**
Somewhat oppose	11	10	6	16	11	10	18	6	11	8	10	11	17	10	6	16	3
Strongly oppose	21	14	24	16	30	18	7	18	27	26	41	12	11	16	31	25	37
DK/NA	**5**	**7**	**3**	**4**	**7**	**0**	**9**	**7**	**5**	**5**	**3**	**7**	**2**	**4**	**8**	**6**	**3**
DK/NA	5	7	3	4	7	0	9	7	5	5	3	7	2	4	8	6	3

TABLE 24:   Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	495	140	110	183	61	249	244	134	116	119	126	66	81	146	120	69	71	58	30
TOTAL SUPPORT	**63%**	**67%**	**65%**	**58%**	**69%**	**66%**	**61%**	**71%**	**62%**	**65%**	**56%**	**54%**	**66%**	**61%**	**67%**	**66%**	**74%**	**44%**	**70%**
Strongly support	40	42	41	36	48	41	39	49	33	44	35	37	38	38	43	46	43	23	55
Somewhat support	23	25	25	22	21	25	22	22	29	22	22	16	28	23	24	21	31	21	16
TOTAL OPPOSE	**32**	**26**	**29**	**38**	**30**	**27**	**36**	**24**	**30**	**31**	**41**	**44**	**30**	**36**	**29**	**29**	**21**	**44**	**28**
Somewhat oppose	11	10	9	12	16	10	13	9	10	8	17	15	11	13	11	13	4	15	10
Strongly oppose	21	16	20	27	14	17	23	15	20	23	23	29	19	24	18	16	16	29	18
DK/NA	**5**	**7**	**6**	**4**	**1**	**7**	**3**	**5**	**8**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**12**	**2**
DK/NA	5	7	6	4	1	7	3	5	8	3	3	2	4	3	4	5	6	12	2

TABLE 25: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	GENDER					Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY					
															HISP/		AFRCN	NON-	LATNO	LATNO
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	LATNO	WHITE	AMRCN	WHITE	CUBAN	N-CBN
Base	309	154	154	58	50	50	78	29	36	109	105	88	159	143	65	188	40	114	27	37
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**79%**	**85%**	**90%**	**90%**	**76%**	**80%**	**74%**	**84%**	**90%**	**77%**	**81%**	**85%**	**80%**	**83%**	**86%**	**63%**	**77%**	**85%**	**81%**
Strongly support	62	57	66	65	73	55	58	61	62	69	54	63	64	59	63	61	54	62	79	52
Somewhat support	20	22	19	24	17	21	22	13	22	21	23	18	21	20	20	24	9	15	7	30
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**19**	**11**	**3**	**8**	**24**	**20**	**20**	**14**	**5**	**23**	**16**	**11**	**19**	**16**	**12**	**28**	**19**	**15**	**17**
Somewhat oppose	4	6	1	0	0	1	11	0	4	0	8	2	0	7	2	1	20	8	0	3
Strongly oppose	11	13	10	3	8	23	9	20	10	5	15	14	11	11	14	11	8	11	15	14
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**4**	**7**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**2**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**2**
DK/NA	3	2	4	7	3	0	0	6	2	5	0	3	4	2	1	2	9	4	0	2

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
			RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	309	39	25	110	170	29	208	100	109	84	41	56	193	97	18
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**79%**	**89%**	**93%**	**73%**	**90%**	**82%**	**83%**	**97%**	**81%**	**83%**	**52%**	**90%**	**65%**	**86%**
Strongly support	62	56	74	71	55	63	61	64	84	60	59	32	74	44	29
Somewhat support	20	23	15	22	18	27	21	19	13	21	24	20	16	22	57
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**19**	**11**	**6**	**23**	**4**	**16**	**13**	**2**	**15**	**15**	**47**	**7**	**33**	**0**
Somewhat oppose	4	3	0	2	6	0	3	6	1	10	5	1	5	3	0
Strongly oppose	11	16	11	5	17	4	14	7	1	5	11	46	3	31	0
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**14**
DK/NA	3	2	0	0	4	6	2	4	1	4	2	1	2	2	14

TABLE 25:   Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	309	88	40	41	17	18	133	176	154	154	25	25	56	51	50	106	12
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**89%**	**51%**	**82%**	**91%**	**75%**	**84%**	**81%**	**76%**	**88%**	**50%**	**87%**	**77%**	**93%**	**68%**	**84%**	**68%**
Strongly support	62	71	30	44	33	41	69	56	55	68	33	57	46	72	45	58	64
Somewhat support	20	18	22	39	58	34	15	24	20	20	17	29	31	21	23	26	5
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**11**	**49**	**13**	**9**	**23**	**14**	**16**	**19**	**11**	**50**	**13**	**22**	**7**	**32**	**15**	**21**
Somewhat oppose	4	9	2	3	0	6	0	6	7	0	10	0	13	0	5	7	0
Strongly oppose	11	2	47	11	9	16	14	10	12	10	40	13	10	7	27	9	21
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**
DK/NA	3	0	0	4	0	2	2	3	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	11

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	309	183	52	72	43	36	107	113	79	17	44	36	54	79	15
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**86%**	**79%**	**73%**	**70%**	**74%**	**80%**	**83%**	**88%**	**39%**	**92%**	**51%**	**90%**	**83%**	**74%**
Strongly support	62	66	55	56	53	61	65	59	64	34	69	33	67	56	51
Somewhat support	20	21	24	17	16	12	15	24	24	5	23	18	23	27	23
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**12**	**17**	**21**	**28**	**25**	**19**	**12**	**11**	**61**	**7**	**49**	**10**	**15**	**26**
Somewhat oppose	4	2	2	9	6	16	5	0	7	33	0	27	0	0	4
Strongly oppose	11	10	15	11	23	9	14	12	4	28	7	22	10	15	22
DK/NA	**3**	**1**	**3**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**
DK/NA	3	1	3	6	2	2	1	5	1	0	1	0	1	2	0

TABLE 25:   Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									**IDEOLOGY BY PARTY**			
Base	309	114	74	94	104	105	73	27	83	21	10	21	18	55	54	49	18	52
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**87%**	**82%**	**76%**	**86%**	**82%**	**78%**	**80%**	**89%**	**72%**	**100%**	**72%**	**75%**	**79%**	**88%**	**84%**	**72%**	**82%**
Strongly support	62	64	66	54	62	63	58	68	64	53	81	42	66	55	59	67	39	66
Somewhat support	20	23	16	22	24	19	20	12	25	19	19	30	9	24	29	18	33	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**10**	**15**	**24**	**11**	**16**	**22**	**10**	**10**	**11**	**0**	**28**	**25**	**21**	**12**	**7**	**28**	**18**
Somewhat oppose	4	5	4	3	6	3	3	0	7	3	0	2	0	3	11	1	0	4
Strongly oppose	11	4	11	21	5	13	20	10	4	8	0	26	25	18	2	6	28	14
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**10**	**1**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	4	2	0	10	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0

	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
			GENDER BY AGE					**PARTY BY GENDER**						**PARTY BY AGE**			
Base	309	68	83	91	60	49	55	49	56	39	34	55	47	55	48	30	41
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**81%**	**79%**	**89%**	**81%**	**81%**	**90%**	**74%**	**88%**	**86%**	**68%**	**88%**	**85%**	**85%**	**78%**	**79%**	**78%**
Strongly support	62	57	57	69	62	48	74	61	64	63	51	63	62	68	57	54	60
Somewhat support	20	23	22	19	18	33	16	13	25	23	17	25	23	17	21	25	18
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**17**	**20**	**7**	**17**	**19**	**3**	**25**	**8**	**14**	**32**	**5**	**15**	**14**	**18**	**21**	**22**
Somewhat oppose	4	1	11	0	2	13	0	5	1	2	4	1	12	0	6	0	5
Strongly oppose	11	16	9	7	15	6	3	20	7	12	28	4	3	14	13	21	17
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**1**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**7**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	2	1	4	3	0	7	1	3	0	0	7	0	1	4	0	0

TABLE 25:   Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	309	86	80	106	35	166	141	88	78	65	76	40	49	89	75	41	40	42	21
TOTAL SUPPORT	**82%**	**76%**	**89%**	**82%**	**82%**	**83%**	**82%**	**81%**	**84%**	**79%**	**85%**	**74%**	**86%**	**81%**	**86%**	**83%**	**96%**	**76%**	**57%**
Strongly support	62	50	78	58	68	63	61	61	66	54	66	55	77	67	56	53	76	61	47
Somewhat support	20	27	12	24	14	19	22	20	18	25	19	20	9	14	30	29	20	15	10
TOTAL OPPOSE	**15**	**18**	**9**	**16**	**17**	**14**	**16**	**18**	**9**	**19**	**14**	**26**	**7**	**15**	**12**	**15**	**4**	**16**	**43**
Somewhat oppose	4	10	1	2	1	5	2	9	1	2	1	1	0	1	2	8	2	0	28
Strongly oppose	11	8	9	14	15	8	15	9	8	17	13	24	7	15	11	8	2	16	15
DK/NA	**3**	**6**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**7**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**8**	**0**
DK/NA	3	6	1	1	1	4	1	1	7	2	1	0	6	3	2	2	0	8	0

TABLE 26: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that
          proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON-WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	326	169	157	65	50	56	89	33	28	115	110	97	171	150	67	192	52	131	19	48
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**68%**	**59%**	**70%**	**71%**	**68%**	**62%**	**55%**	**48%**	**71%**	**68%**	**52%**	**70%**	**58%**	**76%**	**64%**	**50%**	**63%**	**92%**	**70%**
Strongly support	39	46	31	43	38	43	39	42	21	41	40	36	41	36	51	38	26	39	67	45
Somewhat support	25	22	28	28	33	25	23	13	27	30	28	16	28	22	25	26	24	24	26	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**25**	**32**	**16**	**23**	**30**	**32**	**37**	**36**	**19**	**27**	**39**	**23**	**34**	**20**	**29**	**36**	**27**	**2**	**27**
Somewhat oppose	9	9	10	11	8	10	5	13	11	10	7	10	10	8	7	11	3	7	0	10
Strongly oppose	19	16	22	5	15	21	26	24	26	9	20	29	13	26	13	18	33	20	2	17
DK/NA	**8**	**7**	**9**	**14**	**6**	**2**	**6**	**8**	**16**	**10**	**5**	**9**	**8**	**8**	**4**	**7**	**14**	**10**	**6**	**3**
DK/NA	8	7	9	14	6	2	6	8	16	10	5	9	8	8	4	7	14	10	6	3

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	326	39	28	126	166	34	221	105	130	86	46	47	216	93	18
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**77%**	**75%**	**70%**	**61%**	**53%**	**64%**	**64%**	**88%**	**67%**	**44%**	**20%**	**80%**	**32%**	**35%**
Strongly support	39	56	44	44	36	35	42	32	72	21	16	8	52	12	19
Somewhat support	25	21	32	27	25	19	22	32	16	46	28	11	28	20	16
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**17**	**25**	**25**	**30**	**30**	**29**	**28**	**9**	**24**	**44**	**77**	**15**	**60**	**18**
Somewhat oppose	9	6	9	11	8	11	10	7	2	13	21	9	6	15	14
Strongly oppose	19	11	15	14	23	19	18	21	7	12	23	68	9	45	4
DK/NA	**8**	**6**	**0**	**4**	**9**	**16**	**8**	**9**	**2**	**9**	**11**	**4**	**5**	**8**	**47**
DK/NA	8	6	0	4	9	16	8	9	2	9	11	4	5	8	47

TABLE 26:   Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	326	111	36	41	20	16	145	181	158	168	34	26	55	57	60	112	15
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**84%**	**17%**	**54%**	**61%**	**61%**	**62%**	**65%**	**60%**	**67%**	**56%**	**51%**	**59%**	**82%**	**54%**	**71%**	**62%**
Strongly support	39	57	11	21	22	26	38	39	42	36	39	28	31	59	34	45	34
Somewhat support	25	27	6	33	39	35	24	26	18	31	17	24	28	24	20	26	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**12**	**70**	**29**	**26**	**34**	**29**	**27**	**33**	**24**	**40**	**36**	**30**	**14**	**38**	**22**	**21**
Somewhat oppose	9	5	13	9	11	10	7	11	10	8	11	4	11	3	8	7	9
Strongly oppose	19	7	58	20	15	24	22	17	23	15	29	32	19	11	30	15	13
DK/NA	**8**	**4**	**13**	**17**	**14**	**5**	**9**	**7**	**6**	**9**	**4**	**13**	**11**	**4**	**8**	**7**	**17**
DK/NA	8	4	13	17	14	5	9	7	6	9	4	13	11	4	8	7	17

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	326	195	60	68	56	31	118	127	74	20	57	34	75	77	20
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**69%**	**59%**	**52%**	**60%**	**64%**	**59%**	**65%**	**70%**	**73%**	**72%**	**61%**	**68%**	**63%**	**65%**
Strongly support	39	42	31	33	40	44	37	36	45	58	52	44	46	34	46
Somewhat support	25	27	27	19	21	20	22	28	25	15	20	17	22	29	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**28**	**29**	**30**	**37**	**32**	**33**	**25**	**27**	**27**	**19**	**37**	**20**	**29**	**31**
Somewhat oppose	9	8	16	8	14	0	11	11	3	0	6	3	7	11	4
Strongly oppose	19	20	13	23	23	32	22	14	25	27	13	34	14	19	27
DK/NA	**8**	**3**	**13**	**18**	**2**	**4**	**8**	**10**	**3**	**0**	**10**	**2**	**11**	**8**	**4**
DK/NA	8	3	13	18	2	4	8	10	3	0	10	2	11	8	4

TABLE 26:   Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
            that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

| | | | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
| | DEM | | REP | | INDEP | | NT RG | STR | NSTR | INDEP | INDEP | NSTR | STR | LIB | MD/CN | MD/LB | CONS |
Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	L/REP	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	326	121	87	92	102	125	73	26	69	32	19	19	19	54	48	54	13	60
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**64%**	**69%**	**55%**	**61%**	**69%**	**54%**	**76%**	**66%**	**51%**	**76%**	**62%**	**72%**	**47%**	**68%**	**55%**	**55%**	**53%**
Strongly support	39	35	42	36	34	43	33	54	39	23	40	50	41	30	44	26	38	31
Somewhat support	25	28	27	19	27	26	21	22	27	27	36	12	31	18	24	29	17	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**27**	**23**	**37**	**28**	**24**	**38**	**22**	**27**	**30**	**24**	**30**	**24**	**43**	**30**	**27**	**36**	**39**
Somewhat oppose	9	8	9	10	9	10	9	8	8	13	4	16	9	8	3	15	0	11
Strongly oppose	19	19	13	26	19	14	30	14	19	18	20	14	15	35	27	12	36	28
DK/NA	**8**	**9**	**8**	**8**	**11**	**7**	**8**	**2**	**7**	**19**	**0**	**7**	**4**	**9**	**2**	**18**	**9**	**8**
DK/NA	8	9	8	8	11	7	8	2	7	19	0	7	4	9	2	18	9	8

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | |
| | MEN | MEN | MEN | WOMEN | DEM | DEM | IND/L | IND/L | REP | REP | DEM | DEM | IND/L | IND/L | REP | REP |
Total	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-49	50+	18-49	50+	18-49	50+
Base	326	88	79	84	72	52	50	62	62	41	32	56	44	69	54	25	47
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**72%**	**65%**	**67%**	**50%**	**59%**	**63%**	**79%**	**60%**	**64%**	**40%**	**68%**	**55%**	**70%**	**67%**	**65%**	**49%**
Strongly support	39	43	49	39	23	35	34	56	30	40	23	38	31	42	44	32	34
Somewhat support	25	29	16	28	27	24	30	23	30	24	17	30	24	29	23	33	15
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**22**	**27**	**24**	**41**	**30**	**26**	**16**	**32**	**30**	**50**	**25**	**30**	**22**	**27**	**24**	**44**
Somewhat oppose	9	9	8	11	8	9	10	9	10	6	12	12	7	10	10	7	8
Strongly oppose	19	13	19	13	33	21	16	7	22	23	38	13	24	12	18	17	36
DK/NA	**8**	**6**	**8**	**9**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**6**	**8**	**7**	**10**	**8**	**15**	**8**	**6**	**11**	**7**
DK/NA	8	6	8	9	9	10	11	6	8	7	10	8	15	8	6	11	7

TABLE 26:   Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose
             that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	326	90	74	124	37	164	161	85	79	82	79	41	56	97	83	41	54	34	17
TOTAL SUPPORT	**64%**	**68%**	**61%**	**62%**	**66%**	**65%**	**63%**	**72%**	**56%**	**64%**	**62%**	**60%**	**75%**	**69%**	**65%**	**68%**	**57%**	**52%**	**63%**
Strongly support	39	32	34	42	55	33	45	43	22	49	41	33	53	44	40	35	31	34	43
Somewhat support	25	35	27	21	11	32	19	29	34	16	22	27	22	24	25	32	26	18	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	**28**	**19**	**32**	**33**	**27**	**25**	**31**	**20**	**31**	**29**	**34**	**36**	**19**	**26**	**30**	**24**	**31**	**29**	**37**
Somewhat oppose	9	8	13	8	11	10	9	8	12	9	8	3	9	6	14	9	12	6	0
Strongly oppose	19	12	19	25	16	15	23	12	19	20	26	33	10	20	16	15	19	23	37
DK/NA	**8**	**13**	**8**	**5**	**7**	**10**	**5**	**8**	**14**	**7**	**4**	**3**	**6**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**12**	**20**	**0**
DK/NA	8	13	8	5	7	10	5	8	14	7	4	3	6	5	5	8	12	20	0

TABLE 27: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

| | | GENDER | | | | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	478	239	238	91	69	89	120	52	47	160	168	139	250	219	106	289	57	178	47	59
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**57%**	**60%**	**55%**	**60%**	**69%**	**63%**	**50%**	**52%**	**49%**	**64%**	**56%**	**52%**	**64%**	**50%**	**63%**	**58%**	**51%**	**56%**	**68%**	**59%**
Very important to norm/engage	35	37	32	33	39	38	35	34	22	36	34	34	37	32	39	33	29	34	52	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	23	23	27	29	25	15	18	27	28	22	18	27	19	24	24	22	22	16	31
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**34**	**40**	**34**	**29**	**34**	**41**	**41**	**43**	**32**	**38**	**40**	**33**	**41**	**30**	**37**	**39**	**36**	**32**	**28**
Somewhat important keep same	17	13	21	21	18	13	17	18	18	19	16	17	17	18	15	19	6	15	16	14
Very important keep same	19	20	18	13	11	20	24	23	25	12	23	23	15	24	15	18	32	22	16	14
DK/NA	**6**	**7**	**6**	**6**	**3**	**3**	**9**	**7**	**8**	**5**	**6**	**8**	**4**	**8**	**7**	**5**	**10**	**8**	**0**	**12**
DK/NA	6	7	6	6	3	3	9	7	8	5	6	8	4	8	7	5	10	8	0	12

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	478	61	45	161	270	47	328	150	174	124	60	85	298	145	34
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**57%**	**59%**	**70%**	**64%**	**52%**	**63%**	**61%**	**50%**	**86%**	**60%**	**34%**	**10%**	**75%**	**20%**	**60%**
Very important to norm/engage	35	35	45	40	32	29	40	23	65	25	21	4	48	11	14
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	24	25	24	20	34	21	27	21	34	13	7	27	9	46
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**34**	**25**	**30**	**42**	**30**	**35**	**40**	**11**	**36**	**62**	**79**	**22**	**72**	**18**
Somewhat important keep same	17	19	10	17	18	15	19	14	7	18	45	25	11	33	2
Very important keep same	19	15	14	12	24	15	16	26	5	18	17	54	10	39	16
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**4**	**10**	**3**	**4**	**4**	**11**	**3**	**8**	**22**
DK/NA	6	8	6	7	6	7	4	10	3	4	4	11	3	8	22

TABLE 27:   Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	478	198	76	82	37	34	219	258	238	239	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**57%**	**79%**	**13%**	**48%**	**61%**	**41%**	**59%**	**56%**	**56%**	**59%**	**43%**	**41%**	**57%**	**73%**	**42%**	**65%**	**68%**
Very important to norm/engage	35	50	4	24	36	16	36	34	35	34	25	20	31	49	22	40	36
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	29	8	24	25	25	24	22	21	25	18	21	26	23	19	25	31
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**18**	**81**	**41**	**27**	**53**	**34**	**39**	**38**	**35**	**48**	**58**	**35**	**27**	**52**	**31**	**20**
Somewhat important keep same	17	9	38	26	21	27	18	17	19	16	16	35	22	13	24	17	14
Very important keep same	19	8	43	15	6	25	16	22	19	19	32	23	13	14	28	13	6
DK/NA	**6**	**3**	**6**	**12**	**12**	**6**	**7**	**5**	**6**	**6**	**10**	**2**	**8**	**1**	**6**	**5**	**12**
DK/NA	6	3	6	12	12	6	7	5	6	6	10	2	8	1	6	5	12

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	478	278	96	100	71	44	175	169	118	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**57%**	**59%**	**56%**	**53%**	**45%**	**54%**	**46%**	**62%**	**71%**	**34%**	**73%**	**40%**	**65%**	**60%**	**47%**
Very important to norm/engage	35	36	33	28	32	45	26	37	46	27	49	22	44	32	18
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	22	23	24	13	9	20	26	25	7	23	18	22	28	29
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**35**	**39**	**40**	**50**	**44**	**46**	**34**	**24**	**64**	**22**	**58**	**30**	**33**	**48**
Somewhat important keep same	17	16	26	13	25	19	24	16	10	20	16	21	22	17	20
Very important keep same	19	18	13	28	25	24	22	18	13	44	7	37	8	16	28
DK/NA	**6**	**6**	**5**	**7**	**5**	**3**	**8**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**5**	**2**	**5**	**8**	**5**
DK/NA	6	6	5	7	5	3	8	4	6	2	5	2	5	8	5

TABLE 27:   Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	478	160	129	141	143	184	103	48	106	37	17	37	26	77	70	71	21	80
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	57%	59%	65%	48%	57%	62%	47%	58%	55%	64%	68%	51%	53%	45%	60%	56%	55%	46%
Very important to norm/engage	35	33	42	30	33	42	25	30	29	45	35	45	27	25	36	30	37	23
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	25	23	18	24	20	22	28	26	19	34	6	27	21	24	25	18	24
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	37	33	30	47	34	33	48	36	38	23	23	46	43	49	32	36	45	47
Somewhat important keep same	17	18	13	20	19	13	22	19	20	16	10	13	36	18	15	23	16	25
Very important keep same	19	15	17	27	15	20	25	16	17	7	13	33	7	31	16	13	29	23
DK/NA	6	9	5	4	8	5	5	6	7	12	9	4	3	5	8	9	0	6
DK/NA	6	9	5	4	8	5	5	6	7	12	9	4	3	5	8	9	0	6

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	478	111	122	138	96	74	69	93	90	54	50	68	71	109	72	38	64
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	57%	67%	53%	61%	47%	59%	56%	68%	57%	56%	38%	63%	52%	69%	52%	50%	45%
Very important to norm/engage	35	41	33	33	30	29	37	51	32	29	22	35	31	46	35	23	26
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	27	20	27	17	29	20	17	24	28	16	29	21	23	17	27	19
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	37	26	41	38	42	31	37	27	39	42	54	27	40	29	37	47	49
Somewhat important keep same	17	11	16	22	20	12	27	9	16	20	25	23	16	11	15	25	21
Very important keep same	19	15	26	16	22	19	10	18	22	22	29	5	24	19	22	21	28
DK/NA	6	7	6	1	11	10	6	5	5	2	8	9	8	1	10	3	6
DK/NA	6	7	6	1	11	10	6	5	5	2	8	9	8	1	10	3	6

TABLE 27:   Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	478	135	101	177	62	237	238	128	108	110	129	65	74	139	113	74	72	50	30
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**57%**	**55%**	**58%**	**61%**	**55%**	**56%**	**59%**	**59%**	**53%**	**62%**	**56%**	**66%**	**57%**	**61%**	**58%**	**68%**	**53%**	**48%**	**38%**
Very important to norm/engage	35	25	42	37	37	32	37	35	30	40	34	44	36	40	32	41	29	26	31
Somewhat important norm/engage	23	30	16	24	18	24	22	24	23	22	22	22	21	21	26	27	24	22	7
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**37**	**37**	**35**	**40**	**37**	**36**	**35**	**40**	**32**	**40**	**27**	**33**	**30**	**35**	**29**	**44**	**47**	**56**
Somewhat important keep same	17	15	25	14	22	19	16	14	24	12	19	10	15	13	20	21	18	19	15
Very important keep same	19	23	13	20	18	18	20	21	16	20	20	17	18	17	15	7	26	28	41
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**7**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**4**	**7**	**10**	**9**	**7**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**5**
DK/NA	6	8	5	5	5	7	5	6	7	6	4	7	10	9	7	3	4	5	5

TABLE 28: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	478	239	238	91	69	89	120	52	47	160	168	139	250	219	106	289	57	178	47	59
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**47%**	**42%**	**54%**	**49%**	**48%**	**40%**	**42%**	**28%**	**52%**	**42%**	**39%**	**51%**	**38%**	**51%**	**44%**	**41%**	**45%**	**53%**	**49%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	30	25	30	30	37	25	27	9	30	29	23	33	22	35	26	23	29	43	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	17	24	19	11	16	15	19	22	14	16	18	16	16	18	18	16	10	21
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**45**	**52**	**44**	**43**	**50**	**52**	**51**	**58**	**43**	**53**	**51**	**46**	**53**	**44**	**50**	**50**	**48**	**41**	**46**
Somewhat important keep same	17	15	18	14	21	19	14	16	15	17	17	14	18	15	20	16	16	17	18	21
Very important keep same	32	30	35	30	21	31	38	34	43	26	35	37	28	38	24	33	34	31	23	26
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**5**	**2**	**8**	**2**	**8**	**7**	**14**	**5**	**5**	**10**	**4**	**9**	**5**	**6**	**9**	**7**	**6**	**4**
DK/NA	6	8	5	2	8	2	8	7	14	5	5	10	4	9	5	6	9	7	6	4

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	478	61	45	161	270	47	328	150	174	124	60	85	298	145	34
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**50%**	**53%**	**54%**	**39%**	**46%**	**46%**	**42%**	**70%**	**46%**	**20%**	**9%**	**60%**	**13%**	**44%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	32	39	32	26	26	29	26	48	24	15	4	38	8	18
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	19	13	22	14	19	17	16	21	22	6	5	22	5	27
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**46**	**41**	**39**	**55**	**43**	**48**	**50**	**25**	**47**	**73**	**87**	**34**	**81**	**42**
Somewhat important keep same	17	21	17	15	16	24	17	16	8	20	39	14	13	24	16
Very important keep same	32	24	24	25	39	19	31	35	17	27	34	73	21	57	26
DK/NA	**6**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**5**	**11**	**6**	**8**	**5**	**7**	**7**	**5**	**6**	**6**	**14**
DK/NA	6	4	6	7	5	11	6	8	5	7	7	5	6	6	14

TABLE 28:   Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	478	198	76	82	37	34	219	258	238	239	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**62%**	**9%**	**35%**	**48%**	**32%**	**52%**	**39%**	**45%**	**44%**	**32%**	**40%**	**40%**	**55%**	**36%**	**47%**	**55%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	40	3	18	27	15	33	23	30	26	21	21	23	34	21	28	43
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	22	6	16	20	18	19	15	16	18	12	19	17	21	15	19	12
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**33**	**87**	**55**	**40**	**65**	**42**	**55**	**49**	**48**	**62**	**53**	**56**	**40**	**58**	**48**	**29**
Somewhat important keep same	17	13	18	30	31	21	16	17	15	18	12	17	23	17	15	20	11
Very important keep same	32	20	69	25	9	44	26	38	34	31	49	36	33	23	43	28	19
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**4**	**10**	**13**	**3**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**16**
DK/NA	6	5	4	10	13	3	6	7	6	7	6	7	4	5	6	5	16

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	478	278	96	100	71	44	175	169	118	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**47%**	**41%**	**40%**	**33%**	**60%**	**34%**	**46%**	**61%**	**29%**	**51%**	**37%**	**46%**	**46%**	**26%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	28	31	20	27	49	22	30	34	21	29	23	27	30	12
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	19	10	20	6	11	12	16	27	8	21	14	19	17	13
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**48**	**51**	**51**	**61**	**34**	**58**	**48**	**36**	**67**	**40**	**59**	**47**	**48**	**67**
Somewhat important keep same	17	14	24	16	17	11	19	15	16	13	15	14	19	19	17
Very important keep same	32	33	27	35	44	24	39	32	20	54	25	46	28	29	50
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**9**	**9**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**9**	**4**	**7**	**6**	**8**
DK/NA	6	5	9	9	7	6	7	6	4	5	9	4	7	6	8

TABLE 28:   Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	478	160	129	141	143	184	103	48	106	37	17	37	26	77	70	71	21	80
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**48%**	**48%**	**37%**	**50%**	**48%**	**30%**	**47%**	**48%**	**58%**	**31%**	**55%**	**44%**	**26%**	**54%**	**47%**	**49%**	**26%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	27	32	27	27	33	21	22	22	41	22	43	29	19	30	25	35	18
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	22	16	10	23	15	9	24	25	17	9	12	16	7	24	22	13	8
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**44**	**45**	**58**	**44**	**45**	**63**	**46**	**45**	**42**	**44**	**45**	**52**	**67**	**41**	**47**	**48**	**67**
Somewhat important keep same	17	19	14	16	18	14	19	17	20	13	26	7	30	15	21	16	10	22
Very important keep same	32	25	31	43	26	31	44	29	25	28	18	38	22	52	20	31	38	45
DK/NA	**6**	**7**	**7**	**5**	**5**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**7**	**0**	**24**	**0**	**3**	**8**	**5**	**6**	**4**	**7**
DK/NA	6	7	7	5	5	7	6	7	7	0	24	0	3	8	5	6	4	7

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	478	111	122	138	96	74	69	93	90	54	50	68	71	109	72	38	64
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**56%**	**39%**	**46%**	**37%**	**52%**	**49%**	**53%**	**42%**	**34%**	**27%**	**57%**	**44%**	**52%**	**42%**	**39%**	**25%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	34	25	31	17	26	29	39	27	23	19	34	19	38	26	25	19
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	22	14	15	19	26	20	14	15	10	8	23	25	14	16	14	6
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**40**	**51**	**51**	**55**	**41**	**48**	**40**	**50**	**58**	**68**	**40**	**48**	**44**	**45**	**56**	**68**
Somewhat important keep same	17	16	13	19	16	12	25	15	13	21	17	22	15	15	10	18	19
Very important keep same	32	23	37	32	39	29	22	25	37	38	51	19	33	29	35	37	49
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**10**	**3**	**8**	**7**	**4**	**7**	**8**	**8**	**5**	**2**	**9**	**4**	**12**	**5**	**7**
DK/NA	6	5	10	3	8	7	4	7	8	8	5	2	9	4	12	5	7

TABLE 28:   Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	478	135	101	177	62	237	238	128	108	110	129	65	74	139	113	74	72	50	30
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**45%**	**35%**	**48%**	**49%**	**52%**	**40%**	**49%**	**47%**	**33%**	**49%**	**50%**	**51%**	**44%**	**48%**	**43%**	**56%**	**44%**	**37%**	**26%**
Very important to norm/engage	28	18	32	30	38	24	32	31	16	30	34	33	21	27	30	33	30	17	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	16	19	14	16	18	16	17	19	17	18	23	21	13	22	14	20	3
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**49**	**57**	**50**	**44**	**44**	**54**	**44**	**48**	**61**	**42**	**45**	**41**	**47**	**44**	**52**	**38**	**53**	**55**	**61**
Somewhat important keep same	17	23	19	11	13	21	12	20	23	10	13	12	12	12	26	18	14	13	9
Very important keep same	32	34	30	33	30	32	32	28	38	32	32	28	35	32	26	20	40	42	52
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**2**	**7**	**4**	**6**	**6**	**6**	**6**	**9**	**4**	**8**	**9**	**9**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**7**	**13**
DK/NA	6	8	2	7	4	6	6	6	6	9	4	8	9	9	4	6	3	7	13

TABLE 29: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	291	140	151	54	42	51	68	34	34	96	99	88	147	136	62	185	29	97	33	30
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**46%**	**41%**	**54%**	**53%**	**45%**	**38%**	**34%**	**35%**	**54%**	**38%**	**39%**	**51%**	**36%**	**61%**	**39%**	**29%**	**49%**	**48%**	**74%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	30	20	28	29	28	23	16	24	28	22	24	28	22	41	22	10	30	38	43
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	16	20	26	25	17	15	17	11	26	16	15	23	15	20	18	19	19	10	32
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**49**	**53**	**44**	**36**	**53**	**60**	**60**	**59**	**40**	**60**	**55**	**45**	**60**	**37**	**55**	**60**	**46**	**47**	**26**
Somewhat important keep same	18	16	19	17	21	16	23	8	16	19	20	14	18	17	19	18	20	18	25	11
Very important keep same	34	33	34	27	15	37	37	52	43	22	40	41	27	42	18	37	41	28	22	14
DK/NA	**5**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**10**	**2**	**2**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**2**	**6**	**4**	**4**	**2**	**5**	**11**	**5**	**5**	**0**
DK/NA	5	4	6	2	10	2	2	6	7	6	2	6	4	4	2	5	11	5	5	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	291	33	29	91	172	28	200	91	104	72	34	59	175	94	22
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**66%**	**55%**	**48%**	**41%**	**42%**	**46%**	**38%**	**70%**	**46%**	**22%**	**5%**	**60%**	**11%**	**45%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	44	37	28	26	13	28	19	47	20	9	2	36	5	25
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	22	18	20	15	29	18	20	23	26	13	3	24	6	21
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**34**	**40**	**48**	**54**	**42**	**50**	**55**	**25**	**48**	**67**	**93**	**34**	**84**	**49**
Somewhat important keep same	18	22	14	23	16	13	19	15	9	22	40	18	14	26	9
Very important keep same	34	12	26	26	39	29	31	40	16	26	28	75	20	58	39
DK/NA	**5**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**5**	**16**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**6**	**11**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**6**
DK/NA	5	0	5	3	5	16	5	6	5	6	11	1	5	5	6

TABLE 29:   Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	291	88	40	41	17	18	132	159	150	140	25	25	56	51	50	106	12
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**59%**	**10%**	**40%**	**63%**	**30%**	**48%**	**40%**	**43%**	**44%**	**4%**	**39%**	**58%**	**45%**	**21%**	**52%**	**53%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	28	5	21	28	22	31	20	28	22	4	18	26	20	11	23	48
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	31	4	19	35	9	17	19	15	22	0	21	32	25	10	29	5
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**39**	**89**	**48**	**22**	**64**	**47**	**55**	**52**	**50**	**88**	**55**	**42**	**53**	**72**	**47**	**33**
Somewhat important keep same	18	14	28	23	5	24	16	19	19	16	23	21	24	14	22	19	14
Very important keep same	34	26	61	26	17	40	31	36	33	34	65	34	18	39	50	28	19
DK/NA	**5**	**1**	**2**	**11**	**14**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**6**	**8**	**6**	**0**	**2**	**7**	**1**	**14**
DK/NA	5	1	2	11	14	5	5	5	5	6	8	6	0	2	7	1	14

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	291	170	53	67	38	31	105	98	76	17	44	36	54	79	15
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**45%**	**36%**	**45%**	**24%**	**42%**	**35%**	**47%**	**53%**	**15%**	**47%**	**21%**	**45%**	**51%**	**41%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	26	25	23	16	36	20	24	35	4	24	8	22	26	15
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	19	11	22	8	6	15	23	18	11	23	13	23	24	25
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**50**	**58**	**51**	**71**	**51**	**59**	**48**	**43**	**80**	**46**	**75**	**49**	**46**	**53**
Somewhat important keep same	18	20	23	8	16	21	20	18	15	5	21	10	22	22	0
Very important keep same	34	30	34	43	55	30	39	30	28	74	25	65	27	24	53
DK/NA	**5**	**5**	**7**	**5**	**5**	**7**	**6**	**5**	**3**	**5**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**6**
DK/NA	5	5	7	5	5	7	6	5	3	5	6	4	5	3	6

TABLE 29:   Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

| | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	291	96	77	87	90	105	66	30	74	16	6	21	16	50	44	44	16	47
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**48%**	**51%**	**35%**	**47%**	**47%**	**36%**	**34%**	**46%**	**51%**	**59%**	**30%**	**33%**	**37%**	**56%**	**38%**	**47%**	**34%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	30	30	19	29	28	21	12	30	28	45	13	17	22	33	24	28	20
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	18	21	16	18	20	15	22	17	23	13	17	16	15	23	14	19	15
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**46**	**42**	**62**	**48**	**46**	**61**	**59**	**48**	**46**	**30**	**67**	**61**	**61**	**40**	**56**	**53**	**62**
Somewhat important keep same	18	21	14	17	21	14	18	18	22	19	16	16	33	13	19	24	15	19
Very important keep same	34	26	28	45	26	32	43	41	26	27	14	51	29	48	21	31	38	43
DK/NA	**5**	**6**	**7**	**3**	**5**	**6**	**3**	**7**	**6**	**3**	**12**	**2**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**6**	**0**	**3**
DK/NA	5	6	7	3	5	6	3	7	6	3	12	2	6	2	4	6	0	3

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	291	59	76	88	60	42	48	52	53	33	33	41	46	62	41	24	41
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**56%**	**39%**	**47%**	**32%**	**48%**	**46%**	**48%**	**46%**	**48%**	**25%**	**49%**	**45%**	**56%**	**35%**	**49%**	**29%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	38	24	21	18	28	30	37	18	29	14	33	25	31	23	21	21
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	18	15	26	14	20	16	11	28	20	11	16	21	25	12	28	8
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**41**	**57**	**47**	**63**	**47**	**48**	**47**	**46**	**51**	**70**	**46**	**51**	**38**	**59**	**47**	**69**
Somewhat important keep same	18	18	14	18	21	16	25	17	12	10	26	33	12	12	17	9	23
Very important keep same	34	23	43	30	42	31	23	30	34	41	45	13	39	26	41	39	45
DK/NA	**5**	**3**	**4**	**6**	**5**	**4**	**6**	**5**	**8**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**4**	**6**	**6**	**4**	**2**
DK/NA	5	3	4	6	5	4	6	5	8	1	5	5	4	6	6	4	2

TABLE 29:   Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	291	82	71	99	36	153	135	83	71	55	80	39	42	82	68	45	41	34	21
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**43%**	**33%**	**48%**	**52%**	**36%**	**40%**	**48%**	**44%**	**35%**	**51%**	**46%**	**61%**	**29%**	**45%**	**40%**	**51%**	**40%**	**47%**	**32%**
Very important to norm/engage	25	20	31	23	33	25	25	30	19	32	21	45	22	33	13	33	34	12	21
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	13	17	30	3	15	23	14	16	20	24	17	8	12	27	18	6	35	11
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**51**	**62**	**45**	**45**	**60**	**54**	**49**	**51**	**57**	**46**	**51**	**35**	**65**	**51**	**53**	**43**	**54**	**49**	**65**
Somewhat important keep same	18	26	13	11	26	20	15	20	20	10	19	17	23	20	22	18	12	16	4
Very important keep same	34	36	32	33	34	34	34	31	37	36	32	18	42	31	31	25	42	33	61
DK/NA	**5**	**6**	**7**	**3**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**5**	**8**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**6**	**5**	**6**	**6**	**6**	**5**	**4**
DK/NA	5	6	7	3	4	6	3	5	8	2	4	4	6	5	6	6	6	5	4

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	291	140	151	54	42	51	68	34	34	96	99	88	147	136	62	185	29	97	33	30
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**58%**	**55%**	**51%**	**69%**	**54%**	**54%**	**52%**	**58%**	**59%**	**52%**	**56%**	**57%**	**54%**	**67%**	**54%**	**38%**	**59%**	**68%**	**65%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	37	35	44	35	39	34	29	23	40	33	32	40	30	47	35	17	37	54	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	21	20	7	34	15	20	23	34	19	20	24	18	24	20	19	21	22	14	26
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**37**	**38**	**39**	**21**	**44**	**41**	**40**	**38**	**31**	**44**	**38**	**36**	**40**	**25**	**41**	**52**	**32**	**29**	**20**
Somewhat important keep same	15	15	14	25	8	14	14	10	15	18	14	12	16	13	10	19	3	8	15	5
Very important keep same	23	21	24	14	13	31	27	30	24	14	30	26	20	27	15	22	49	24	14	15
DK/NA	**7**	**5**	**8**	**10**	**10**	**2**	**5**	**8**	**4**	**10**	**3**	**6**	**7**	**5**	**8**	**5**	**10**	**9**	**3**	**15**
DK/NA	7	5	8	10	10	2	5	8	4	10	3	6	7	5	8	5	10	9	3	15

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	291	33	29	91	172	28	200	91	104	72	34	59	175	94	22
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**63%**	**71%**	**66%**	**49%**	**67%**	**62%**	**44%**	**89%**	**63%**	**31%**	**12%**	**79%**	**19%**	**36%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	41	53	41	32	42	41	25	64	34	16	8	52	11	12
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	18	24	18	25	21	19	25	30	15	4	27	8	24
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**24**	**26**	**26**	**45**	**24**	**33**	**45**	**7**	**34**	**58**	**80**	**18**	**72**	**41**
Somewhat important keep same	15	15	5	12	16	14	12	20	4	14	39	20	8	27	13
Very important keep same	23	10	21	14	29	10	21	25	3	20	19	60	10	45	28
DK/NA	**7**	**13**	**3**	**8**	**5**	**9**	**5**	**10**	**4**	**2**	**11**	**8**	**3**	**9**	**22**
DK/NA	7	13	3	8	5	9	5	10	4	2	11	8	3	9	22

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 30:   Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
            "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
            place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from
            privately-owned small businesses

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	291	88	40	41	17	18	132	159	150	140	25	25	56	51	50	106	12
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**73%**	**17%**	**47%**	**54%**	**53%**	**57%**	**55%**	**52%**	**61%**	**31%**	**31%**	**58%**	**68%**	**31%**	**63%**	**65%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	41	10	27	30	31	39	33	33	38	19	21	26	40	20	33	50
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	32	7	20	24	22	18	23	18	23	12	10	32	27	11	30	15
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**25**	**78**	**33**	**11**	**42**	**35**	**39**	**41**	**33**	**62**	**52**	**35**	**31**	**57**	**33**	**21**
Somewhat important keep same	15	10	30	18	3	16	16	13	17	12	20	22	15	16	21	16	7
Very important keep same	23	15	48	15	9	26	18	26	24	21	43	30	20	15	36	17	14
DK/NA	**7**	**2**	**6**	**20**	**35**	**5**	**8**	**5**	**7**	**6**	**7**	**17**	**7**	**1**	**12**	**4**	**14**
DK/NA	7	2	6	20	35	5	8	5	7	6	7	17	7	1	12	4	14

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	291	170	53	67	38	31	105	98	76	17	44	36	54	79	15
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**56%**	**58%**	**56%**	**43%**	**44%**	**51%**	**60%**	**63%**	**19%**	**61%**	**36%**	**60%**	**57%**	**44%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	38	35	30	36	36	28	36	45	13	40	8	40	34	2
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	18	23	25	7	8	22	24	18	6	20	29	19	23	41
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**36**	**38**	**40**	**48**	**45**	**43**	**38**	**25**	**75**	**34**	**58**	**35**	**34**	**50**
Somewhat important keep same	15	16	17	10	10	19	20	13	10	5	17	11	19	18	13
Very important keep same	23	20	21	30	38	26	23	24	16	70	17	47	16	16	38
DK/NA	**7**	**8**	**4**	**5**	**9**	**12**	**6**	**2**	**11**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**6**	**9**	**6**
DK/NA	7	8	4	5	9	12	6	2	11	5	6	5	6	9	6

TABLE 30:   Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
"to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from
privately-owned small businesses

| | | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	291	96	77	87	90	105	66	30	74	16	6	21	16	50	44	44	16	47
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**63%**	**59%**	**47%**	**60%**	**61%**	**44%**	**55%**	**60%**	**60%**	**100%**	**58%**	**39%**	**46%**	**56%**	**65%**	**38%**	**47%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	41	40	23	39	38	23	45	35	54	73	24	17	25	36	41	21	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	19	24	21	23	21	11	25	6	27	34	22	20	20	23	17	23
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**28**	**39**	**46**	**30**	**35**	**50**	**37**	**29**	**34**	**0**	**32**	**50**	**50**	**28**	**31**	**62**	**45**
Somewhat important keep same	15	12	10	16	13	10	19	27	15	5	0	9	44	11	12	15	26	17
Very important keep same	23	16	28	29	17	25	31	10	14	29	0	23	5	40	17	15	35	27
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**3**	**7**	**10**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**10**	**6**	**0**	**11**	**11**	**4**	**15**	**5**	**0**	**8**
DK/NA	7	9	3	7	10	4	6	7	10	6	0	11	11	4	15	5	0	8

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	291	59	76	88	60	42	48	52	53	33	33	41	46	62	41	24	41
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**59%**	**55%**	**56%**	**53%**	**60%**	**60%**	**61%**	**60%**	**51%**	**37%**	**52%**	**64%**	**63%**	**58%**	**47%**	**41%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	41	32	39	28	41	37	40	36	26	20	30	44	48	23	24	22
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	18	23	17	25	19	23	21	24	24	17	22	21	15	35	23	20
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**32**	**42**	**38**	**38**	**30**	**30**	**36**	**34**	**47**	**54**	**31**	**33**	**36**	**33**	**43**	**55**
Somewhat important keep same	15	18	14	15	12	9	17	12	7	25	13	19	9	11	8	15	22
Very important keep same	23	15	28	23	26	21	14	24	27	22	41	11	23	25	26	28	34
DK/NA	**7**	**8**	**3**	**6**	**9**	**10**	**10**	**3**	**6**	**3**	**9**	**18**	**3**	**1**	**9**	**10**	**3**
DK/NA	7	8	3	6	9	10	10	3	6	3	9	18	3	1	9	10	3

TABLE 30: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	291	82	71	99	36	153	135	83	71	55	80	39	42	82	68	45	41	34	21
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**56%**	**52%**	**57%**	**62%**	**52%**	**55%**	**59%**	**55%**	**55%**	**64%**	**56%**	**67%**	**52%**	**59%**	**54%**	**70%**	**59%**	**41%**	**39%**
Very important to norm/engage	36	22	43	38	46	32	40	33	31	44	38	43	38	40	28	40	41	29	34
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	30	14	24	6	22	19	22	23	21	18	24	14	19	27	30	18	13	4
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**39**	**38**	**33**	**44**	**39**	**36**	**38**	**39**	**34**	**37**	**21**	**38**	**30**	**41**	**22**	**39**	**50**	**61**
Somewhat important keep same	15	17	14	11	20	16	14	17	14	14	14	7	14	11	24	9	13	17	11
Very important keep same	23	22	24	22	24	23	22	22	24	20	23	14	24	19	17	13	26	34	50
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**5**	**5**	**4**	**7**	**5**	**7**	**7**	**2**	**7**	**12**	**10**	**11**	**5**	**8**	**1**	**8**	**0**
DK/NA	7	9	5	5	4	7	5	7	7	2	7	12	10	11	5	8	1	8	0

TABLE 31: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

		GENDER		Q2 AGE												Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	308	154	154	61	42	56	79	38	27	102	103	97	159	143	65	188	40	114	24	40
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**66%**	**52%**	**59%**	**72%**	**63%**	**54%**	**48%**	**59%**	**65%**	**58%**	**54%**	**64%**	**53%**	**58%**	**57%**	**70%**	**60%**	**67%**	**53%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	49	34	35	51	52	38	36	38	41	42	41	45	38	34	40	59	42	47	27
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	18	24	22	11	16	12	22	23	17	12	19	16	24	18	11	18	19	26
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**28**	**45**	**39**	**23**	**37**	**35**	**49**	**36**	**33**	**37**	**40**	**34**	**39**	**39**	**38**	**25**	**37**	**25**	**47**
Somewhat important keep same	14	12	17	23	7	13	10	20	11	17	11	14	15	13	13	17	0	11	5	19
Very important keep same	23	17	28	16	16	25	26	29	26	16	26	26	19	27	25	21	25	26	20	28
DK/NA	**5**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**5**	**0**	**11**	**2**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**7**	**3**	**5**	**5**	**4**	**8**	**0**
DK/NA	5	6	3	2	5	0	11	2	4	3	4	6	2	7	3	5	5	4	8	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	308	33	31	108	167	34	213	96	125	73	39	50	198	89	21
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**51%**	**66%**	**71%**	**51%**	**57%**	**60%**	**56%**	**88%**	**67%**	**25%**	**8%**	**80%**	**16%**	**38%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	25	45	51	36	35	43	38	73	32	13	8	58	10	17
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	26	21	21	14	22	17	18	15	34	12	0	22	5	21
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**49**	**28**	**27**	**42**	**41**	**37**	**37**	**9**	**25**	**73**	**88**	**15**	**81**	**54**
Somewhat important keep same	14	19	8	9	16	21	14	15	1	12	49	20	5	33	16
Very important keep same	23	30	20	18	26	20	23	23	7	13	23	68	9	48	38
DK/NA	**5**	**0**	**6**	**1**	**7**	**2**	**4**	**7**	**3**	**8**	**2**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**8**
DK/NA	5	0	6	1	7	2	4	7	3	8	2	4	5	3	8

TABLE 31:   Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	308	111	36	41	20	16	145	164	154	154	34	26	55	57	60	112	15
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**84%**	**6%**	**35%**	**61%**	**15%**	**57%**	**60%**	**59%**	**58%**	**41%**	**47%**	**55%**	**77%**	**44%**	**66%**	**64%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	56	6	19	34	7	40	42	44	39	41	23	30	51	34	41	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	29	0	16	26	8	16	18	16	19	0	23	25	26	10	25	26
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**9**	**90**	**58**	**39**	**81**	**37**	**36**	**39**	**35**	**57**	**46**	**40**	**15**	**52**	**27**	**28**
Somewhat important keep same	14	5	49	27	31	22	18	11	14	14	15	19	31	6	17	18	23
Very important keep same	23	5	41	31	9	59	20	25	25	20	41	27	10	9	35	9	5
DK/NA	**5**	**6**	**4**	**7**	**0**	**5**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**2**	**7**	**5**	**8**	**4**	**6**	**8**
DK/NA	5	6	4	7	0	5	6	3	2	7	2	7	5	8	4	6	8

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	308	183	61	62	51	25	116	111	71	20	57	34	75	77	20
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**58%**	**64%**	**55%**	**48%**	**81%**	**47%**	**60%**	**77%**	**59%**	**79%**	**53%**	**68%**	**52%**	**51%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	41	49	33	38	69	31	45	55	39	55	38	48	29	38
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	15	22	10	12	17	16	22	20	25	15	20	23	13
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**37**	**33**	**40**	**46**	**14**	**47**	**36**	**19**	**25**	**15**	**35**	**26**	**45**	**29**
Somewhat important keep same	14	14	21	8	15	0	20	15	3	20	5	15	14	23	20
Very important keep same	23	23	12	32	31	14	27	22	16	4	10	21	12	21	8
DK/NA	**5**	**5**	**3**	**5**	**6**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**4**	**16**	**6**	**12**	**6**	**3**	**20**
DK/NA	5	5	3	5	6	4	6	3	4	16	6	12	6	3	20

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 31:   Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ:
            "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in
            place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

					Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	308	103	90	86	88	125	66	29	60	28	15	19	17	49	38	49	11	55
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**66%**	**65%**	**44%**	**63%**	**64%**	**44%**	**56%**	**61%**	**66%**	**85%**	**46%**	**62%**	**37%**	**65%**	**62%**	**54%**	**42%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	44	47	32	41	46	34	41	38	46	64	25	49	28	39	43	54	30
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	22	18	13	22	19	10	16	23	19	21	21	13	9	26	19	0	12
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**30**	**32**	**48**	**33**	**33**	**48**	**41**	**37**	**24**	**15**	**50**	**38**	**51**	**27**	**36**	**46**	**48**
Somewhat important keep same	14	6	11	24	8	14	20	21	5	14	0	34	26	18	3	11	11	22
Very important keep same	23	24	21	24	25	19	27	20	32	10	15	15	11	33	25	25	35	26
DK/NA	**5**	**4**	**3**	**8**	**5**	**3**	**9**	**3**	**2**	**10**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**12**	**8**	**2**	**0**	**10**
DK/NA	5	4	3	8	5	3	9	3	2	10	0	4	0	12	8	2	0	10

			GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER							PARTY BY AGE			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	308	79	72	80	72	45	43	65	60	35	32	41	43	76	48	20	46
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**69%**	**62%**	**58%**	**45%**	**69%**	**55%**	**75%**	**53%**	**48%**	**40%**	**65%**	**60%**	**69%**	**57%**	**49%**	**42%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	52	45	38	30	45	36	54	37	43	24	41	41	50	39	37	32
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	17	20	15	24	20	21	16	5	16	24	20	19	18	12	9
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**27**	**29**	**41**	**49**	**22**	**45**	**23**	**44**	**43**	**53**	**30**	**35**	**30**	**38**	**50**	**46**
Somewhat important keep same	14	16	8	15	18	2	14	11	17	18	23	9	6	14	13	25	18
Very important keep same	23	11	22	26	31	20	31	12	27	25	30	21	28	16	24	25	28
DK/NA	**5**	**3**	**9**	**0**	**5**	**9**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**9**	**8**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**1**	**12**
DK/NA	5	3	9	0	5	9	0	3	3	9	8	5	5	1	5	1	12

TABLE 31:   Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	308	86	65	117	38	151	156	80	71	73	82	40	49	89	76	46	55	25	17
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**60%**	**53%**	**59%**	**66%**	**57%**	**61%**	**65%**	**47%**	**67%**	**56%**	**66%**	**56%**	**61%**	**59%**	**68%**	**48%**	**57%**	**56%**
Very important to norm/engage	41	37	35	48	44	36	47	47	23	51	43	40	31	35	42	51	42	41	43
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	23	18	12	22	21	14	18	24	16	13	26	25	26	17	18	7	16	12
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**39**	**42**	**36**	**24**	**40**	**33**	**33**	**49**	**23**	**42**	**26**	**44**	**36**	**35**	**26**	**50**	**36**	**38**
Somewhat important keep same	14	16	18	10	16	17	11	16	19	7	15	11	13	12	13	11	26	6	9
Very important keep same	23	23	24	27	8	23	22	17	30	16	27	15	30	23	22	15	24	30	30
DK/NA	**5**	**1**	**5**	**4**	**10**	**3**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**10**	**2**	**8**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**6**	**1**	**8**	**6**
DK/NA	5	1	5	4	10	3	6	2	4	10	2	8	0	4	6	6	1	8	6

121

TABLE 32: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	308	154	154	61	42	56	79	38	27	102	103	97	159	143	65	188	40	114	24	40
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**68%**	**51%**	**72%**	**62%**	**62%**	**51%**	**55%**	**51%**	**68%**	**53%**	**56%**	**66%**	**52%**	**56%**	**59%**	**60%**	**58%**	**67%**	**50%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	43	24	36	31	32	37	37	17	34	31	36	33	33	26	35	36	28	32	23
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	25	27	37	31	30	13	18	35	34	23	21	33	19	30	24	24	29	35	27
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**30**	**44**	**27**	**32**	**38**	**41**	**43**	**49**	**29**	**43**	**40**	**32**	**43**	**44**	**38**	**29**	**38**	**33**	**50**
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	19	14	17	22	15	11	17	15	22	11	18	14	17	16	13	17	0	27
Very important keep same	21	17	25	13	15	16	26	31	32	14	22	29	15	29	27	22	16	21	33	23
DK/NA	**4**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**8**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**4**	**2**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**11**	**4**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	4	3	5	0	6	0	8	2	0	3	3	4	2	5	0	3	11	4	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	308	33	31	108	167	34	213	96	125	73	39	50	198	89	21
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**48%**	**65%**	**70%**	**52%**	**62%**	**61%**	**54%**	**89%**	**62%**	**26%**	**9%**	**79%**	**17%**	**54%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	23	30	40	31	27	37	27	64	19	10	7	47	9	9
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	26	35	30	21	35	25	27	25	43	16	2	32	8	45
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**52**	**35**	**29**	**43**	**35**	**35**	**42**	**11**	**30**	**68**	**86**	**18**	**78**	**38**
Somewhat important keep same	16	23	10	16	15	21	14	20	6	21	37	18	11	26	17
Very important keep same	21	29	25	12	28	14	20	23	5	10	31	68	7	52	21
DK/NA	**4**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**6**	**3**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**8**	**6**	**5**	**3**	**5**	**8**
DK/NA	4	0	0	2	6	3	4	4	0	8	6	5	3	5	8

TABLE 32:   Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	308	111	36	41	20	16	145	164	154	154	34	26	55	57	60	112	15
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**80%**	**7%**	**55%**	**71%**	**37%**	**60%**	**58%**	**64%**	**54%**	**47%**	**44%**	**56%**	**75%**	**46%**	**66%**	**81%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	43	4	20	28	9	34	33	37	30	23	7	29	47	16	38	27
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	37	3	35	42	27	26	26	27	25	24	37	27	28	30	27	54
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**17**	**86**	**40**	**29**	**59**	**36**	**37**	**32**	**41**	**46**	**56**	**36**	**25**	**50**	**30**	**11**
Somewhat important keep same	16	11	34	26	17	40	19	13	10	21	19	24	29	10	21	19	6
Very important keep same	21	6	52	13	12	19	17	24	22	20	27	31	7	15	29	11	5
DK/NA	**4**	**3**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**5**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**7**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**4**	**4**	**8**
DK/NA	4	3	7	5	0	5	4	4	3	4	7	0	8	0	4	4	8

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	308	183	61	62	51	25	116	111	71	20	57	34	75	77	20
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**61%**	**63%**	**52%**	**46%**	**85%**	**49%**	**56%**	**81%**	**52%**	**82%**	**48%**	**70%**	**56%**	**45%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	35	35	28	29	68	24	37	44	33	48	29	39	23	26
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	26	28	25	17	17	25	19	36	18	34	20	31	34	19
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**35**	**35**	**41**	**51**	**15**	**48**	**40**	**17**	**44**	**15**	**49**	**26**	**38**	**51**
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	22	15	17	9	19	18	10	10	8	18	17	20	13
Very important keep same	21	22	14	26	34	7	29	21	7	34	6	32	8	18	38
DK/NA	**4**	**4**	**2**	**7**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**4**
DK/NA	4	4	2	7	4	0	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	5	4

TABLE 32:   Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	308	103	90	86	88	125	66	29	60	28	15	19	17	49	38	49	11	55
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**67%**	**59%**	**47%**	**66%**	**60%**	**44%**	**69%**	**65%**	**67%**	**70%**	**60%**	**70%**	**34%**	**75%**	**60%**	**59%**	**40%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	38	36	28	40	35	27	23	40	40	31	32	42	22	41	39	51	23
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	28	23	19	26	26	16	45	26	28	38	28	27	13	35	21	9	18
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**29**	**39**	**47**	**31**	**36**	**51**	**28**	**33**	**26**	**20**	**32**	**25**	**61**	**20**	**38**	**41**	**54**
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	22	13	14	18	16	14	17	9	5	5	12	17	15	14	0	19
Very important keep same	21	16	17	34	16	18	36	14	16	16	15	27	13	43	5	24	41	35
DK/NA	**4**	**4**	**2**	**6**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**10**	**8**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**6**
DK/NA	4	4	2	6	3	4	5	3	2	7	10	8	5	5	5	2	0	6

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	308	79	72	80	72	45	43	65	60	35	32	41	43	76	48	20	46
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**67%**	**69%**	**65%**	**35%**	**77%**	**54%**	**70%**	**50%**	**53%**	**34%**	**72%**	**61%**	**61%**	**59%**	**65%**	**35%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	42	44	25	23	49	30	47	22	31	23	38	40	35	34	35	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	26	25	40	12	29	24	23	28	22	10	34	21	27	24	30	11
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**30**	**30**	**34**	**56**	**16**	**46**	**29**	**43**	**47**	**56**	**24**	**39**	**39**	**31**	**31**	**60**
Somewhat important keep same	16	18	7	17	22	11	18	18	17	9	24	10	20	25	6	15	15
Very important keep same	21	12	23	17	34	6	28	11	26	39	32	14	19	14	26	15	44
DK/NA	**4**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**9**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**6**	**0**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**4**	**5**
DK/NA	4	2	1	1	9	6	0	1	6	0	10	5	0	0	10	4	5

TABLE 32:   Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	308	86	65	117	38	151	156	80	71	73	82	40	49	89	76	46	55	25	17
TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE	**59%**	**56%**	**60%**	**59%**	**68%**	**57%**	**61%**	**69%**	**44%**	**67%**	**57%**	**58%**	**60%**	**59%**	**53%**	**84%**	**49%**	**68%**	**44%**
Very important to norm/engage	33	28	35	34	43	31	36	43	17	43	30	24	24	24	30	50	30	50	40
Somewhat important norm/engage	26	27	25	26	25	26	25	26	27	24	27	34	35	35	23	33	19	18	5
TOTAL KEEP THE SAME	**37**	**43**	**36**	**35**	**28**	**40**	**34**	**30**	**52**	**29**	**38**	**38**	**38**	**38**	**41**	**13**	**50**	**25**	**55**
Somewhat important keep same	16	19	20	12	14	19	13	13	26	12	14	9	9	9	20	5	34	7	21
Very important keep same	21	24	16	23	14	21	21	16	26	17	24	28	30	29	21	8	16	18	34
DK/NA	**4**	**1**	**4**	**5**	**4**	**2**	**5**	**1**	**3**	**4**	**6**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**8**	**1**
DK/NA	4	1	4	5	4	2	5	1	3	4	6	4	2	3	6	3	2	8	1

TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

		GENDER					Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY				
																HISP/		AFRCN	NON-	LATNO	LATNO
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	LATNO	WHITE	AMRCN	WHITE	CUBAN	N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**27%**	**35%**	**17%**	**40%**	**34%**	**35%**	**40%**	**20%**	**27%**	**36%**	**28%**	**29%**	**33%**	**31%**	**32%**	**31%**	**29%**	**21%**	**38%**
Definitely yes	17	14	20	9	9	28	17	28	9	9	23	18	16	18	17	17	18	17	17	18
Probably yes	14	13	15	8	31	6	17	12	11	18	14	10	14	15	13	15	14	12	5	20
TOTAL NO	**61**	**67**	**56**	**76**	**56**	**55**	**57**	**55**	**73**	**67**	**54**	**65**	**62**	**60**	**57**	**61**	**63**	**61**	**60**	**55**
Probably no	31	31	31	38	34	27	28	21	40	36	28	29	33	29	39	25	41	41	37	41
Definitely no	30	36	25	38	22	27	30	34	32	31	26	36	30	31	18	37	22	20	23	14
DK/NA	**8**	**6**	**9**	**8**	**5**	**12**	**8**	**5**	**7**	**6**	**10**	**6**	**8**	**7**	**12**	**7**	**5**	**9**	**19**	**7**
DK/NA	8	6	9	8	5	12	8	5	7	6	10	6	8	7	12	7	5	9	19	7

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
				RIGHT	WRONG			ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	DIR	TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR	OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**40%**	**12%**	**19%**	**38%**	**32%**	**31%**	**30%**	**16%**	**31%**	**35%**	**60%**	**23%**	**49%**	**22%**
Definitely yes	17	24	5	11	21	11	17	17	10	12	11	42	11	28	20
Probably yes	14	17	7	9	16	21	14	14	6	19	25	18	12	21	3
TOTAL NO	**61**	**44**	**83**	**77**	**53**	**52**	**61**	**62**	**78**	**59**	**62**	**31**	**69**	**45**	**63**
Probably no	31	42	34	42	24	31	30	34	28	37	42	17	32	28	35
Definitely no	30	2	48	35	29	21	31	28	50	22	20	14	37	17	28
DK/NA	**8**	**16**	**5**	**4**	**9**	**16**	**8**	**8**	**6**	**10**	**2**	**8**	**8**	**6**	**15**
DK/NA	8	16	5	4	9	16	8	8	6	10	2	8	8	6	15

TABLE 33:   Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**19%**	**56%**	**36%**	**28%**	**51%**	**30%**	**32%**	**28%**	**34%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**
Definitely yes	17	9	35	18	13	30	16	17	14	20	100	0	0	0	54	0	0
Probably yes	14	10	21	18	15	21	14	14	14	14	0	100	0	0	46	0	0
TOTAL NO	**61**	**73**	**39**	**54**	**66**	**44**	**64**	**59**	**64**	**59**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**
Probably no	31	33	29	28	43	21	33	29	31	31	0	0	100	0	0	51	0
Definitely no	30	40	10	26	24	23	30	30	33	28	0	0	0	100	0	49	0
DK/NA	**8**	**8**	**5**	**10**	**5**	**5**	**7**	**9**	**8**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**
DK/NA	8	8	5	10	5	5	7	9	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**31%**	**29%**	**35%**	**34%**	**30%**	**39%**	**25%**	**24%**	**28%**	**16%**	**41%**	**21%**	**35%**	**42%**
Definitely yes	17	16	20	17	18	16	17	15	15	19	10	23	14	17	23
Probably yes	14	15	9	18	16	14	22	10	9	9	6	18	7	18	18
TOTAL NO	**61**	**62**	**58**	**61**	**64**	**67**	**55**	**64**	**71**	**64**	**79**	**55**	**75**	**53**	**56**
Probably no	31	28	38	33	27	30	26	32	39	37	29	31	34	29	34
Definitely no	30	34	21	28	37	37	29	32	32	27	50	24	42	24	21
DK/NA	**8**	**7**	**13**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**6**	**11**	**6**	**7**	**5**	**4**	**4**	**12**	**3**
DK/NA	8	7	13	3	3	3	6	11	6	7	5	4	4	12	3

TABLE 33: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

| | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**28%**	**24%**	**41%**	**24%**	**33%**	**38%**	**28%**	**27%**	**16%**	**62%**	**47%**	**48%**	**35%**	**21%**	**28%**	**23%**	**40%**
Definitely yes	17	15	13	22	11	19	22	15	12	9	50	21	17	24	11	11	19	20
Probably yes	14	13	10	19	13	14	16	13	16	7	12	26	30	11	10	17	4	20
TOTAL NO	**61**	**67**	**65**	**53**	**70**	**59**	**54**	**59**	**69**	**75**	**38**	**50**	**40**	**60**	**75**	**64**	**60**	**54**
Probably no	31	39	31	22	41	25	27	31	42	39	17	12	18	30	43	40	36	25
Definitely no	30	28	34	31	29	33	28	28	26	36	21	38	22	30	32	24	25	29
DK/NA	**8**	**5**	**12**	**6**	**6**	**8**	**8**	**12**	**4**	**9**	**0**	**3**	**13**	**6**	**4**	**8**	**17**	**5**
DK/NA	8	5	12	6	6	8	8	12	4	9	0	3	13	6	4	8	17	5

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**27%**	**27%**	**31%**	**42%**	**19%**	**31%**	**26%**	**40%**	**34%**	**43%**	**21%**	**27%**	**29%**	**38%**	**43%**	**35%**
Definitely yes	17	14	14	17	24	8	15	15	22	17	27	10	11	16	22	23	21
Probably yes	14	13	13	14	18	11	16	11	17	17	15	11	16	13	15	19	14
TOTAL NO	**61**	**66**	**68**	**60**	**48**	**76**	**63**	**69**	**49**	**60**	**48**	**70**	**71**	**63**	**53**	**50**	**57**
Probably no	31	34	29	32	29	44	37	24	27	25	29	41	41	29	20	30	24
Definitely no	30	32	39	28	19	31	26	45	22	35	19	29	29	34	33	20	33
DK/NA	**8**	**7**	**5**	**9**	**9**	**6**	**6**	**5**	**11**	**6**	**9**	**9**	**2**	**8**	**9**	**7**	**8**
DK/NA	8	7	5	9	9	6	6	5	11	6	9	9	2	8	9	7	8

TABLE 33:   Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism:
            Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of
            international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same
            threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
TOTAL YES	**31%**	**29%**	**32%**	**35%**	**24%**	**30%**	**32%**	**28%**	**33%**	**27%**	**37%**	**24%**	**35%**	**29%**	**32%**	**26%**	**27%**	**45%**	**29%**
Definitely yes	17	15	9	23	13	13	20	12	15	16	24	14	19	16	23	16	11	16	11
Probably yes	14	14	22	12	11	17	12	16	18	11	13	10	16	13	9	9	17	29	18
TOTAL NO	**61**	**66**	**53**	**59**	**70**	**61**	**62**	**67**	**53**	**67**	**57**	**61**	**58**	**59**	**64**	**73**	**55**	**49**	**70**
Probably no	31	42	28	26	26	37	26	33	42	30	23	43	29	35	27	32	30	21	44
Definitely no	30	24	24	33	45	24	36	34	11	38	35	18	29	24	37	41	26	27	25
DK/NA	**8**	**5**	**16**	**6**	**6**	**9**	**6**	**6**	**14**	**6**	**6**	**16**	**8**	**11**	**5**	**1**	**18**	**6**	**1**
DK/NA	8	5	16	6	6	9	6	6	14	6	6	16	8	11	5	1	18	6	1

TABLE 34:   Q14.   Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	110	50	60	11	22	24	32	12	7	33	49	27	57	52	26	65	14	42	8	18
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**78%**	**79%**	**77%**	**72%**	**92%**	**82%**	**54%**	**75%**	**74%**	**87%**	**66%**	**82%**	**74%**	**88%**	**72%**	**91%**	**88%**	**100%**	**83%**
Definitely yes	41	42	41	46	34	52	32	43	55	38	38	51	44	38	48	43	24	39	78	35
Probably yes	37	37	37	31	38	40	50	11	20	35	50	15	37	37	40	29	67	49	22	48
TOTAL NO	**19**	**18**	**19**	**23**	**28**	**8**	**10**	**40**	**25**	**26**	**10**	**27**	**18**	**19**	**9**	**24**	**9**	**10**	**0**	**13**
Probably no	11	10	12	12	19	8	4	18	25	17	6	15	13	10	9	13	9	9	0	13
Definitely no	7	8	7	11	8	0	7	22	0	9	3	13	5	10	0	11	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	**3**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**7**	**0**	**6**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**4**
DK/NA	3	4	2	0	0	0	8	6	0	0	3	7	0	6	3	4	0	2	0	4

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	110	23	4	24	76	10	76	34	19	32	16	36	52	52	6
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**86%**	**100%**	**71%**	**82%**	**72%**	**80%**	**74%**	**52%**	**79%**	**86%**	**89%**	**69%**	**88%**	**81%**
Definitely yes	41	49	43	48	45	0	43	39	36	29	25	70	32	56	0
Probably yes	37	37	57	23	36	72	37	36	16	49	61	19	37	32	81
TOTAL NO	**19**	**11**	**0**	**29**	**14**	**28**	**15**	**26**	**48**	**19**	**14**	**6**	**30**	**9**	**6**
Probably no	11	11	0	21	7	19	10	14	26	11	8	6	17	7	0
Definitely no	7	0	0	9	7	8	5	12	22	8	6	0	13	2	6
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**5**	**1**	**3**	**13**
DK/NA	3	3	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	2	0	5	1	3	13

TABLE 34:   Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	110	38	43	30	10	17	48	62	48	62	60	50	0	0	110	0	0
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**65%**	**91%**	**78%**	**84%**	**82%**	**75%**	**81%**	**76%**	**80%**	**86%**	**70%**	**0%**	**0%**	**78%**	**0%**	**0%**
Definitely yes	41	27	58	36	43	36	46	38	47	37	63	16	0	0	41	0	0
Probably yes	37	38	33	41	41	46	29	43	29	43	23	53	0	0	37	0	0
TOTAL NO	**19**	**34**	**7**	**16**	**16**	**18**	**21**	**17**	**19**	**19**	**13**	**25**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**
Probably no	11	17	7	10	6	14	13	10	8	14	6	18	0	0	11	0	0
Definitely no	7	17	0	6	10	4	8	7	10	5	7	8	0	0	7	0	0
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	2	2	6	0	0	4	2	5	1	1	5	0	0	3	0	0

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	110	62	22	25	18	9	50	33	21	11	16	29	27	54	14
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**74%**	**92%**	**78%**	**80%**	**67%**	**77%**	**79%**	**78%**	**69%**	**80%**	**85%**	**76%**	**76%**	**83%**
Definitely yes	41	43	43	36	40	50	32	56	36	52	34	44	43	40	51
Probably yes	37	31	49	42	39	17	45	24	43	16	46	41	33	37	32
TOTAL NO	**19**	**23**	**5**	**19**	**10**	**26**	**18**	**21**	**18**	**31**	**15**	**15**	**22**	**19**	**17**
Probably no	11	13	5	13	5	10	14	10	11	22	6	12	11	11	17
Definitely no	7	11	0	6	6	16	4	11	7	9	9	4	10	8	0
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**10**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**5**	**0**
DK/NA	3	3	3	3	10	7	5	0	3	0	4	0	3	5	0

TABLE 34:   Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY		
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	110	34	22	44	26	45	28	10	21	5	8	16	9	19	12	13	3	23
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**79%**	**79%**	**77%**	**72%**	**82%**	**78%**	**80%**	**66%**	**100%**	**100%**	**75%**	**67%**	**84%**	**82%**	**62%**	**58%**	**80%**
Definitely yes	41	32	37	50	28	42	52	45	21	59	47	46	26	65	30	26	58	47
Probably yes	37	47	42	27	45	40	26	35	46	41	53	30	41	19	52	36	0	32
TOTAL NO	**19**	**16**	**17**	**21**	**21**	**17**	**19**	**20**	**25**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**33**	**12**	**12**	**30**	**42**	**17**
Probably no	11	12	12	11	15	7	15	12	18	0	0	3	21	12	0	30	42	12
Definitely no	7	4	5	10	6	10	4	8	7	0	0	22	12	0	12	0	0	5
DK/NA	**3**	**5**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**8**	**0**	**3**
DK/NA	3	5	3	2	7	2	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	6	8	0	3

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	110	23	26	33	26	11	15	18	27	14	15	11	14	23	21	14	14
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**85%**	**71%**	**79%**	**77%**	**69%**	**75%**	**84%**	**80%**	**73%**	**83%**	**83%**	**63%**	**88%**	**73%**	**73%**	**83%**
Definitely yes	41	48	36	41	39	43	15	42	42	43	60	21	31	49	33	54	51
Probably yes	37	37	35	38	38	25	60	42	38	30	23	62	33	39	40	20	33
TOTAL NO	**19**	**15**	**22**	**21**	**17**	**16**	**25**	**16**	**18**	**27**	**12**	**17**	**25**	**12**	**23**	**27**	**11**
Probably no	11	11	9	14	10	13	17	0	11	27	4	17	14	7	7	19	11
Definitely no	7	4	12	7	7	3	8	16	6	0	7	0	10	5	17	8	0
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**6**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**5**
DK/NA	3	0	7	0	6	15	0	0	3	0	5	0	12	0	3	0	5

TABLE 34:   Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	110	30	21	47	12	51	59	26	25	24	35	12	20	31	26	14	13	18	7
TOTAL YES	**78%**	**87%**	**76%**	**76%**	**71%**	**82%**	**75%**	**86%**	**78%**	**69%**	**79%**	**91%**	**74%**	**80%**	**83%**	**72%**	**72%**	**80%**	**71%**
Definitely yes	41	32	31	49	55	31	50	26	37	58	45	70	33	47	51	30	30	41	25
Probably yes	37	55	45	27	17	51	25	60	41	11	35	21	41	33	32	42	42	39	46
TOTAL NO	**19**	**11**	**21**	**20**	**29**	**15**	**22**	**11**	**19**	**26**	**19**	**3**	**26**	**17**	**14**	**28**	**28**	**16**	**12**
Probably no	11	4	15	11	23	9	14	8	10	13	14	0	7	4	13	24	7	14	12
Definitely no	7	7	5	9	5	6	8	3	10	13	5	3	19	13	1	4	20	2	0
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**4**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**16**
DK/NA	3	2	4	4	0	3	3	3	3	4	2	6	0	2	3	0	0	4	16

TABLE 35: Q13/Q14. COMBINED

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**21%**	**28%**	**13%**	**29%**	**31%**	**29%**	**21%**	**15%**	**20%**	**32%**	**19%**	**24%**	**24%**	**27%**	**23%**	**29%**	**26%**	**21%**	**32%**
Definitely yes	13	11	15	8	14	18	11	17	11	10	14	14	13	12	15	14	8	11	17	14
Probably yes	11	10	13	5	15	13	17	4	4	9	18	4	11	12	12	9	21	14	5	18
TOTAL NO	**67**	**72**	**62**	**80**	**67**	**57**	**61**	**71**	**78**	**74**	**57**	**73**	**68**	**67**	**60**	**69**	**66**	**64**	**60**	**60**
Probably no	35	34	35	40	41	30	29	28	45	41	30	33	37	32	42	29	44	43	37	46
Definitely no	33	38	27	40	25	27	32	43	32	33	27	40	31	34	18	40	22	21	23	14
DK/NA	**9**	**7**	**10**	**8**	**5**	**12**	**10**	**7**	**7**	**6**	**11**	**8**	**8**	**9**	**13**	**8**	**5**	**10**	**19**	**8**
DK/NA	9	7	10	8	5	12	10	7	7	6	11	8	8	9	13	8	5	10	19	8

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**35%**	**12%**	**14%**	**31%**	**23%**	**25%**	**23%**	**8%**	**24%**	**30%**	**53%**	**16%**	**43%**	**18%**
Definitely yes	13	20	5	9	17	0	13	12	6	9	9	42	7	28	0
Probably yes	11	15	7	4	14	23	12	11	3	15	22	11	9	16	18
TOTAL NO	**67**	**48**	**83**	**82**	**59**	**61**	**66**	**70**	**85**	**65**	**67**	**35**	**76**	**49**	**64**
Probably no	35	46	34	46	27	38	33	38	32	41	45	21	36	32	35
Definitely no	33	2	48	37	32	24	33	32	53	25	23	14	40	18	29
DK/NA	**9**	**17**	**5**	**4**	**10**	**16**	**9**	**8**	**6**	**10**	**2**	**11**	**8**	**7**	**18**
DK/NA	9	17	5	4	10	16	9	8	6	10	2	11	8	7	18

TABLE 35:   Q13/Q14. COMBINED

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**12%**	**51%**	**28%**	**24%**	**42%**	**22%**	**26%**	**21%**	**27%**	**86%**	**70%**	**0%**	**0%**	**78%**	**0%**	**0%**
Definitely yes	13	5	33	13	12	18	14	12	13	12	63	16	0	0	41	0	0
Probably yes	11	7	18	15	12	23	9	14	8	14	23	53	0	0	37	0	0
TOTAL NO	**67**	**79**	**43**	**60**	**71**	**53**	**70**	**65**	**69**	**65**	**13**	**25**	**100**	**100**	**19**	**100**	**0**
Probably no	35	36	33	32	44	28	37	32	34	35	6	18	100	0	11	51	0
Definitely no	33	43	10	28	26	26	33	33	36	30	7	8	0	100	7	49	0
DK/NA	**9**	**8**	**6**	**12**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**9**	**9**	**8**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**100**
DK/NA	9	8	6	12	5	5	8	9	9	8	1	5	0	0	3	0	100

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**23%**	**26%**	**27%**	**27%**	**20%**	**30%**	**20%**	**19%**	**19%**	**13%**	**35%**	**16%**	**27%**	**34%**
Definitely yes	13	13	12	13	14	15	13	14	9	15	5	18	9	14	21
Probably yes	11	9	14	15	13	5	18	6	10	5	7	17	7	13	13
TOTAL NO	**67**	**69**	**60**	**68**	**67**	**75**	**62**	**69**	**75**	**73**	**81**	**61**	**80**	**59**	**63**
Probably no	35	32	39	38	28	33	31	35	42	43	30	36	36	33	42
Definitely no	33	37	21	31	39	42	31	34	33	30	51	26	44	26	21
DK/NA	**9**	**8**	**14**	**4**	**6**	**5**	**8**	**11**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**14**	**3**
DK/NA	9	8	14	4	6	5	8	11	6	7	6	4	5	14	3

TABLE 35:   Q13/Q14. COMBINED

	Total	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**22%**	**19%**	**31%**	**17%**	**27%**	**30%**	**23%**	**18%**	**16%**	**62%**	**35%**	**32%**	**29%**	**17%**	**17%**	**13%**	**32%**
Definitely yes	13	9	9	20	7	14	20	13	6	9	29	21	12	22	6	7	13	19
Probably yes	11	13	10	11	11	13	10	10	12	7	33	14	19	7	11	10	0	13
TOTAL NO	**67**	**71**	**69**	**62**	**75**	**64**	**62**	**65**	**75**	**75**	**38**	**62**	**56**	**64**	**78**	**72**	**70**	**61**
Probably no	35	42	34	27	45	27	33	34	47	39	17	14	28	34	43	48	45	30
Definitely no	33	29	35	35	30	37	29	31	28	36	21	48	28	30	35	24	25	31
DK/NA	**9**	**6**	**12**	**7**	**7**	**9**	**9**	**12**	**7**	**9**	**0**	**3**	**13**	**7**	**5**	**10**	**17**	**7**
DK/NA	9	6	12	7	7	9	9	12	7	9	0	3	13	7	5	10	17	7

	Total	GENDER BY AGE MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	PARTY BY GENDER DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PARTY BY AGE DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**23%**	**19%**	**24%**	**33%**	**13%**	**23%**	**22%**	**32%**	**25%**	**35%**	**17%**	**17%**	**26%**	**28%**	**31%**	**29%**
Definitely yes	13	13	10	13	17	8	5	11	17	15	26	4	8	14	13	23	18
Probably yes	11	10	9	12	16	5	19	11	15	10	10	13	9	11	15	8	12
TOTAL NO	**67**	**70**	**74**	**66**	**55**	**79**	**71**	**73**	**56**	**69**	**53**	**74**	**78**	**66**	**62**	**61**	**61**
Probably no	35	37	32	36	33	47	43	24	31	34	31	45	45	31	23	38	28
Definitely no	33	33	42	30	22	32	28	49	24	35	22	29	32	36	39	24	33
DK/NA	**9**	**7**	**7**	**9**	**12**	**9**	**6**	**5**	**13**	**6**	**11**	**9**	**5**	**8**	**11**	**7**	**10**
DK/NA	9	7	7	9	12	9	6	5	13	6	11	9	5	8	11	7	10

TABLE 35:   Q13/Q14. COMBINED

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
TOTAL YES	**24%**	**25%**	**24%**	**26%**	**17%**	**25%**	**24%**	**24%**	**26%**	**18%**	**29%**	**21%**	**26%**	**24%**	**27%**	**18%**	**20%**	**36%**	**21%**
Definitely yes	13	9	10	17	13	9	16	7	12	15	16	16	11	14	16	8	8	19	7
Probably yes	11	16	14	9	4	15	8	17	14	3	13	5	14	10	10	11	11	18	13
TOTAL NO	**67**	**69**	**59**	**66**	**77**	**65**	**69**	**70**	**60**	**74**	**64**	**62**	**67**	**64**	**68**	**81**	**63**	**56**	**74**
Probably no	35	43	33	30	31	39	30	35	45	33	28	43	31	37	31	38	32	27	48
Definitely no	33	26	26	36	46	26	39	35	15	41	37	19	36	28	37	42	31	28	25
DK/NA	**9**	**6**	**17**	**7**	**6**	**10**	**7**	**7**	**15**	**7**	**7**	**17**	**8**	**12**	**6**	**1**	**18**	**8**	**6**
DK/NA	9	6	17	7	6	10	7	7	15	7	7	17	8	12	6	1	18	8	6

TABLE 36: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

		GENDER					Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**65%**	**63%**	**73%**	**70%**	**62%**	**59%**	**60%**	**61%**	**72%**	**61%**	**60%**	**68%**	**60%**	**71%**	**59%**	**64%**	**71%**	**69%**	**73%**
Very convincing	37	40	34	40	42	36	30	45	36	41	32	39	39	34	43	33	36	43	51	38
Somewhat convincing	27	26	29	33	28	26	29	15	25	31	29	21	29	25	28	26	29	29	18	36
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**30**	**33**	**26**	**25**	**33**	**36**	**36**	**32**	**26**	**34**	**35**	**29**	**35**	**24**	**37**	**28**	**23**	**26**	**22**
Not convincing	21	20	21	14	19	21	26	21	23	16	22	25	18	24	20	23	21	18	25	16
Don't believe	11	10	12	12	6	12	10	15	9	10	12	10	11	11	4	14	7	5	1	6
DK/NA	**4**	**5**	**4**	**0**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**4**	**7**	**2**	**5**	**6**	**3**	**6**	**5**	**4**	**8**	**6**	**4**	**5**
DK/NA	4	5	4	0	5	5	5	4	7	2	5	6	3	6	5	4	8	6	4	5

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**67%**	**80%**	**71%**	**62%**	**54%**	**67%**	**59%**	**85%**	**68%**	**52%**	**22%**	**77%**	**35%**	**73%**
Very convincing	37	36	58	48	34	12	38	35	59	36	23	8	49	14	30
Somewhat convincing	27	31	22	22	28	42	28	25	26	32	29	14	29	21	43
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**26**	**20**	**26**	**33**	**39**	**29**	**37**	**11**	**26**	**46**	**74**	**18**	**62**	**22**
Not convincing	21	24	13	16	24	22	20	23	9	16	29	48	12	40	16
Don't believe	11	2	8	10	10	16	9	13	2	10	18	26	6	22	6
DK/NA	**4**	**7**	**0**	**3**	**5**	**7**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**6**
DK/NA	4	7	0	3	5	7	4	4	3	6	2	4	5	3	6

TABLE 36:   Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**83%**	**22%**	**57%**	**84%**	**37%**	**65%**	**64%**	**65%**	**64%**	**43%**	**61%**	**65%**	**77%**	**51%**	**71%**	**67%**
Very convincing	37	54	6	26	37	18	39	36	36	38	24	28	27	58	26	43	38
Somewhat convincing	27	30	16	31	47	19	26	28	28	26	18	33	37	19	25	28	29
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**12**	**74**	**38**	**16**	**60**	**31**	**32**	**31**	**32**	**53**	**38**	**31**	**20**	**47**	**26**	**17**
Not convincing	21	10	54	17	4	34	19	22	21	20	34	21	24	13	28	19	8
Don't believe	11	2	21	21	12	26	12	10	10	12	20	17	7	7	18	7	9
DK/NA	**4**	**5**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**1**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**4**	**16**
DK/NA	4	5	3	5	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	3	3	4	16

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**65%**	**59%**	**67%**	**52%**	**67%**	**61%**	**59%**	**78%**	**62%**	**70%**	**52%**	**70%**	**65%**	**46%**
Very convincing	37	40	24	40	31	47	31	37	46	45	42	34	40	36	21
Somewhat convincing	27	25	35	27	21	20	29	22	32	17	28	18	30	29	25
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**32**	**32**	**30**	**47**	**31**	**36**	**36**	**19**	**36**	**25**	**47**	**26**	**29**	**52**
Not convincing	21	24	17	17	40	11	27	24	7	20	17	27	17	21	38
Don't believe	11	8	15	13	7	20	9	11	12	16	8	19	8	9	14
DK/NA	**4**	**3**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**4**	**5**	**4**	**2**	**5**	**1**	**4**	**6**	**2**
DK/NA	4	3	9	3	1	2	4	5	4	2	5	1	4	6	2

139

TABLE 36:   Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**67%**	**66%**	**55%**	**67%**	**66%**	**49%**	**78%**	**68%**	**65%**	**65%**	**68%**	**64%**	**44%**	**75%**	**57%**	**45%**	**51%**
Very convincing	37	36	42	29	38	41	20	53	37	40	21	48	26	18	42	33	22	21
Somewhat convincing	27	31	24	26	29	25	29	25	31	25	43	20	37	26	33	24	24	31
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**28**	**30**	**41**	**28**	**30**	**45**	**18**	**28**	**28**	**24**	**32**	**36**	**48**	**21**	**38**	**43**	**44**
Not convincing	21	18	22	29	17	22	34	3	16	21	24	19	20	38	7	29	35	33
Don't believe	11	10	8	12	11	9	12	15	13	7	0	13	16	10	13	9	8	11
DK/NA	**4**	**5**	**4**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**6**	**4**	**4**	**7**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**4**	**4**	**12**	**5**
DK/NA	4	5	4	4	4	3	6	4	4	7	12	0	0	8	4	4	12	5

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**63%**	**67%**	**72%**	**48%**	**67%**	**68%**	**71%**	**62%**	**54%**	**43%**	**61%**	**74%**	**78%**	**50%**	**44%**	**52%**
Very convincing	37	43	37	37	30	35	42	54	29	28	11	35	42	49	29	7	30
Somewhat convincing	27	21	30	35	18	32	26	17	33	26	32	26	32	29	21	37	22
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**33**	**28**	**25**	**46**	**25**	**32**	**28**	**33**	**42**	**49**	**34**	**22**	**22**	**42**	**45**	**46**
Not convincing	21	19	22	18	28	20	13	17	26	31	36	21	13	14	32	33	34
Don't believe	11	14	6	8	18	6	19	11	6	10	13	13	9	7	10	12	11
DK/NA	**4**	**4**	**5**	**3**	**6**	**8**	**0**	**1**	**6**	**4**	**8**	**5**	**4**	**0**	**9**	**11**	**2**
DK/NA	4	4	5	3	6	8	0	1	6	4	8	5	4	0	9	11	2

TABLE 36:   Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**64%**	**70%**	**72%**	**60%**	**55%**	**71%**	**59%**	**72%**	**69%**	**59%**	**59%**	**57%**	**78%**	**68%**	**54%**	**73%**	**69%**	**54%**	**70%**
Very convincing	37	41	44	33	31	42	32	45	40	35	30	34	54	45	29	39	41	21	45
Somewhat convincing	27	28	28	27	24	28	26	28	29	24	29	23	24	23	24	34	28	33	26
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**31**	**26**	**27**	**33**	**44**	**26**	**36**	**26**	**27**	**35**	**37**	**37**	**18**	**27**	**40**	**24**	**29**	**41**	**25**
Not convincing	21	16	14	26	25	15	26	15	15	26	26	37	16	26	22	13	14	26	21
Don't believe	11	10	13	7	19	11	10	10	12	9	11	1	2	1	19	12	15	15	4
DK/NA	**4**	**4**	**1**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**4**	**6**	**4**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**5**	**5**
DK/NA	4	4	1	6	2	3	5	2	4	6	4	5	5	5	6	2	2	5	5

TABLE 37: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	169	85	84	31	27	33	41	14	20	58	65	43	91	75	41	101	17	64	23	19
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**57%**	**47%**	**67%**	**81%**	**69%**	**45%**	**46%**	**47%**	**55%**	**75%**	**44%**	**54%**	**64%**	**49%**	**73%**	**57%**	**5%**	**56%**	**72%**	**74%**
Very convincing	37	27	47	56	44	35	23	41	27	51	27	34	45	27	45	36	5	37	62	24
Somewhat convincing	20	20	20	25	24	11	23	5	28	25	17	20	20	21	28	21	0	19	9	50
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**37**	**48**	**27**	**19**	**23**	**47**	**50**	**48**	**34**	**21**	**51**	**37**	**30**	**45**	**22**	**37**	**85**	**38**	**28**	**14**
Not convincing	25	28	21	12	19	31	31	28	21	15	33	24	21	28	22	25	33	23	28	14
Don't believe	13	20	5	7	4	16	19	20	12	6	19	14	9	17	0	12	53	14	0	0
DK/NA	**6**	**5**	**7**	**0**	**8**	**8**	**4**	**5**	**11**	**4**	**5**	**9**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**5**	**9**	**7**	**0**	**11**
DK/NA	6	5	7	0	8	8	4	5	11	4	5	9	5	6	5	5	9	7	0	11

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	169	28	13	53	103	14	115	54	49	51	21	34	100	55	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**57%**	**62%**	**96%**	**76%**	**49%**	**43%**	**59%**	**53%**	**80%**	**54%**	**54%**	**30%**	**67%**	**39%**	**58%**
Very convincing	37	36	65	56	31	8	38	35	65	43	30	6	53	15	5
Somewhat convincing	20	26	31	20	18	35	21	18	15	11	25	24	13	24	53
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**37**	**31**	**4**	**18**	**47**	**42**	**37**	**39**	**14**	**40**	**34**	**68**	**27**	**55**	**38**
Not convincing	25	31	4	11	31	33	29	16	10	20	34	47	15	42	26
Don't believe	13	0	0	7	16	9	8	23	4	21	0	21	12	13	12
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**0**	**6**	**4**	**14**	**5**	**8**	**6**	**5**	**11**	**2**	**6**	**6**	**4**
DK/NA	6	8	0	6	4	14	5	8	6	5	11	2	6	6	4

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 37:   Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ:
             "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too
             convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not
             believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If
             we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	169	88	40	41	17	18	75	94	84	85	25	25	56	51	50	106	12
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	74%	27%	51%	80%	14%	60%	55%	53%	61%	24%	41%	56%	80%	32%	67%	71%
Very convincing	37	60	1	22	22	4	43	32	34	40	10	18	30	66	14	47	44
Somewhat convincing	20	13	26	29	57	10	17	23	19	21	15	22	25	14	18	20	27
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	37	20	71	40	11	82	34	40	41	34	76	50	40	14	63	28	14
Not convincing	25	9	56	28	3	61	20	28	28	22	54	42	22	7	48	15	14
Don't believe	13	12	14	13	9	21	13	12	13	12	22	9	18	7	15	13	0
DK/NA	6	6	2	9	9	4	7	5	7	5	0	9	4	6	4	5	16
DK/NA	6	6	2	9	9	4	7	5	7	5	0	9	4	6	4	5	16

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	169	96	35	38	20	18	58	58	46	17	44	36	54	79	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	57%	60%	50%	56%	44%	41%	63%	42%	69%	22%	64%	32%	64%	64%	55%
Very convincing	37	43	33	25	22	37	34	34	46	13	47	10	45	43	10
Somewhat convincing	20	17	17	32	21	4	29	9	23	9	17	22	19	20	45
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	37	37	39	38	50	47	31	54	25	78	28	68	28	30	45
Not convincing	25	28	24	17	35	16	26	36	9	21	23	35	23	21	40
Don't believe	13	9	15	21	15	31	5	18	16	56	5	33	5	9	5
DK/NA	6	4	11	6	6	12	6	4	7	0	8	0	8	7	0
DK/NA	6	4	11	6	6	12	6	4	7	0	8	0	8	7	0

TABLE 37:   Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	169	57	39	55	55	59	37	18	46	9	2	18	9	28	32	22	10	24
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**57%**	**60%**	**58%**	**50%**	**60%**	**55%**	**51%**	**66%**	**59%**	**67%**	**58%**	**48%**	**66%**	**46%**	**67%**	**47%**	**35%**	**61%**
Very convincing	37	36	45	26	35	43	20	55	40	12	58	38	24	19	37	35	22	20
Somewhat convincing	20	24	12	25	25	11	31	11	19	55	0	11	42	28	30	12	14	42
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**37**	**30**	**42**	**45**	**29**	**45**	**42**	**27**	**30**	**23**	**42**	**52**	**27**	**48**	**23**	**39**	**65**	**29**
Not convincing	25	17	27	32	17	28	34	21	16	21	42	28	27	36	6	34	48	23
Don't believe	13	12	16	14	13	17	9	6	15	2	0	23	0	12	18	6	17	6
DK/NA	**6**	**11**	**0**	**4**	**11**	**0**	**6**	**7**	**11**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**6**	**10**	**14**	**0**	**10**
DK/NA	6	11	0	4	11	0	6	7	11	10	0	0	7	6	10	14	0	10

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	169	33	51	58	25	29	26	28	31	19	18	27	28	33	25	19	18
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**57%**	**54%**	**43%**	**70%**	**61%**	**50%**	**70%**	**45%**	**64%**	**49%**	**54%**	**71%**	**49%**	**63%**	**46%**	**49%**	**52%**
Very convincing	37	27	27	55	29	24	48	34	52	22	18	45	26	57	26	8	29
Somewhat convincing	20	27	16	15	32	26	22	11	12	27	36	26	24	6	19	41	22
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**37**	**44**	**51**	**23**	**33**	**40**	**18**	**55**	**36**	**51**	**33**	**17**	**40**	**37**	**54**	**42**	**45**
Not convincing	25	25	30	19	24	16	18	34	22	34	33	13	18	18	39	42	26
Don't believe	13	19	21	4	9	24	0	20	15	17	0	4	21	19	16	0	18
DK/NA	**6**	**2**	**6**	**7**	**6**	**10**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**11**	**11**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**4**
DK/NA	6	2	6	7	6	10	12	0	0	0	13	11	11	0	0	9	4

TABLE 37:   Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/S-CTR
Base	169	49	36	59	24	85	83	48	37	36	47	25	25	49	37	28	17	25	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**57%**	**50%**	**77%**	**57%**	**43%**	**61%**	**53%**	**47%**	**79%**	**48%**	**57%**	**57%**	**60%**	**59%**	**59%**	**65%**	**80%**	**40%**	**30%**
Very convincing	37	27	52	40	30	38	37	30	48	25	46	26	44	35	45	39	56	21	23
Somewhat convincing	20	23	25	18	13	24	16	18	31	23	11	32	16	24	14	27	24	19	6
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**37**	**46**	**23**	**33**	**54**	**36**	**39**	**48**	**21**	**48**	**31**	**36**	**35**	**36**	**37**	**30**	**12**	**51**	**70**
Not convincing	25	33	15	17	41	26	24	31	19	25	23	32	33	32	27	16	2	38	15
Don't believe	13	13	8	16	13	11	15	18	2	23	9	4	2	3	10	14	10	13	56
DK/NA	**6**	**4**	**0**	**10**	**4**	**2**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**12**	**6**	**5**	**6**	**5**	**5**	**8**	**9**	**0**
DK/NA	6	4	0	10	4	2	8	4	0	4	12	6	5	6	5	5	8	9	0

TABLE 38: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	169	85	84	31	27	33	41	14	20	58	65	43	91	75	41	101	17	64	23	19
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**60%**	**63%**	**68%**	**71%**	**60%**	**54%**	**51%**	**69%**	**70%**	**54%**	**65%**	**66%**	**57%**	**80%**	**57%**	**41%**	**70%**	**76%**	**84%**
Very convincing	36	37	36	34	44	40	28	30	43	38	33	38	39	33	42	34	15	39	45	39
Somewhat convincing	26	24	28	34	27	20	25	21	26	31	21	27	27	25	37	22	26	31	32	44
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**37**	**34**	**32**	**23**	**40**	**46**	**43**	**24**	**28**	**46**	**30**	**32**	**40**	**17**	**41**	**59**	**27**	**24**	**8**
Not convincing	27	30	23	19	20	19	40	39	21	19	33	25	19	35	13	27	59	24	17	8
Don't believe	9	7	11	13	3	20	6	5	3	9	12	6	13	5	4	14	0	2	7	0
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**7**	**3**	**0**	**5**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**8**
DK/NA	3	2	3	0	6	0	0	5	7	3	0	5	2	3	4	2	0	3	0	8

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	169	28	13	53	103	14	115	54	49	51	21	34	100	55	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**72%**	**96%**	**75%**	**54%**	**73%**	**63%**	**59%**	**91%**	**71%**	**38%**	**25%**	**81%**	**30%**	**52%**
Very convincing	36	37	54	49	29	39	39	30	69	36	15	16	52	15	2
Somewhat convincing	26	35	42	26	24	34	24	29	22	35	23	9	29	14	50
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**23**	**4**	**21**	**46**	**19**	**35**	**37**	**7**	**28**	**58**	**75**	**18**	**69**	**33**
Not convincing	27	17	4	9	38	12	27	26	6	24	34	52	15	45	33
Don't believe	9	5	0	12	8	7	8	12	1	4	24	23	3	23	0
DK/NA	**3**	**6**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**8**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**15**
DK/NA	3	6	0	4	1	8	2	3	1	1	4	0	1	2	15

TABLE 38:   Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	169	88	40	41	17	18	75	94	84	85	25	25	56	51	50	106	12
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**88%**	**21%**	**47%**	**79%**	**28%**	**70%**	**55%**	**52%**	**72%**	**32%**	**56%**	**64%**	**77%**	**44%**	**70%**	**62%**
Very convincing	36	56	9	21	27	18	42	31	27	45	19	29	24	58	24	40	51
Somewhat convincing	26	32	12	26	52	10	28	24	25	27	14	27	40	18	20	30	11
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**12**	**79**	**44**	**11**	**68**	**29**	**41**	**43**	**28**	**68**	**44**	**33**	**22**	**56**	**28**	**22**
Not convincing	27	11	61	26	3	57	14	37	33	20	50	34	27	11	42	20	22
Don't believe	9	1	18	18	9	11	15	5	11	8	18	9	6	11	13	8	0
DK/NA	**3**	**1**	**0**	**9**	**9**	**4**	**1**	**4**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**16**
DK/NA	3	1	0	9	9	4	1	4	5	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	16

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	169	96	35	38	20	18	58	58	46	17	44	36	54	79	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**68%**	**57%**	**52%**	**43%**	**35%**	**60%**	**63%**	**64%**	**34%**	**68%**	**31%**	**65%**	**73%**	**55%**
Very convincing	36	44	25	26	24	27	35	37	36	13	49	19	46	37	38
Somewhat convincing	26	23	32	26	19	8	25	26	27	21	18	13	19	36	18
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**31**	**40**	**42**	**55**	**65**	**38**	**36**	**33**	**66**	**31**	**69**	**31**	**24**	**45**
Not convincing	27	21	29	37	49	42	35	20	24	57	21	57	22	16	40
Don't believe	9	10	12	5	6	22	4	16	9	9	9	12	9	8	4
DK/NA	**3**	**1**	**2**	**6**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**0**
DK/NA	3	1	2	6	2	0	2	1	3	0	2	0	4	2	0

TABLE 38:   Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

| | | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	169	57	39	55	55	59	37	18	46	9	2	18	9	28	32	22	10	24
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**66%**	**62%**	**55%**	**65%**	**62%**	**54%**	**68%**	**64%**	**74%**	**100%**	**57%**	**53%**	**54%**	**59%**	**72%**	**57%**	**53%**
Very convincing	36	34	34	40	32	41	35	36	31	34	100	51	29	36	25	40	57	24
Somewhat convincing	26	32	28	15	33	20	19	32	32	40	0	6	23	18	34	32	0	29
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**30**	**38**	**44**	**31**	**38**	**44**	**25**	**31**	**26**	**0**	**43**	**41**	**46**	**36**	**24**	**43**	**44**
Not convincing	27	21	29	32	22	27	36	21	23	17	0	24	24	40	24	20	28	38
Don't believe	9	9	9	12	9	12	8	4	9	10	0	19	16	6	13	4	15	6
DK/NA	**3**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**3**
DK/NA	3	4	0	1	4	0	2	7	5	0	0	0	7	0	5	3	0	3

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	169	33	51	58	25	29	26	28	31	19	18	27	28	33	25	19	18
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**64%**	**58%**	**67%**	**56%**	**67%**	**63%**	**55%**	**68%**	**61%**	**47%**	**61%**	**68%**	**77%**	**45%**	**48%**	**59%**
Very convincing	36	41	33	38	31	27	37	45	38	47	21	27	35	52	30	30	37
Somewhat convincing	26	23	25	30	25	41	25	10	30	14	25	34	33	25	15	17	22
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**36**	**39**	**30**	**42**	**30**	**31**	**45**	**32**	**39**	**50**	**33**	**29**	**23**	**55**	**52**	**37**
Not convincing	27	21	37	18	30	30	13	27	26	34	38	14	29	10	44	42	31
Don't believe	9	15	2	11	12	0	19	18	6	5	12	18	0	13	11	10	7
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**
DK/NA	3	0	3	3	3	3	6	0	0	0	4	6	3	0	0	0	4

TABLE 38:   Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	169	49	36	59	24	85	83	48	37	36	47	25	25	49	37	28	17	25	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**62%**	**55%**	**66%**	**70%**	**51%**	**60%**	**64%**	**58%**	**63%**	**65%**	**64%**	**82%**	**66%**	**74%**	**54%**	**58%**	**87%**	**57%**	**22%**
Very convincing	36	21	50	41	35	33	40	34	32	41	39	39	54	47	31	32	59	20	18
Somewhat convincing	26	34	16	28	16	27	25	23	31	25	25	42	12	27	22	26	27	37	4
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**36**	**40**	**34**	**28**	**49**	**37**	**34**	**41**	**33**	**34**	**35**	**18**	**28**	**23**	**42**	**39**	**10**	**43**	**78**
Not convincing	27	33	29	20	26	32	22	37	24	22	21	12	28	20	19	29	4	37	78
Don't believe	9	6	5	8	23	6	13	4	8	11	14	6	0	3	23	10	6	7	0
DK/NA	**3**	**5**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	5	0	2	0	3	1	2	4	1	1	0	5	3	4	3	4	0	0

TABLE 39: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	187	100	87	37	27	39	52	18	13	64	70	51	103	82	44	104	29	81	15	29
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**62%**	**54%**	**66%**	**68%**	**56%**	**46%**	**55%**	**73%**	**67%**	**51%**	**57%**	**63%**	**52%**	**60%**	**52%**	**65%**	**65%**	**55%**	**62%**
Very convincing	26	28	25	29	25	32	23	31	14	27	26	26	29	23	29	23	24	29	26	30
Somewhat convincing	32	34	29	37	43	24	24	24	59	40	25	31	34	29	31	30	41	36	29	32
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**37**	**43**	**30**	**32**	**44**	**49**	**45**	**27**	**31**	**48**	**40**	**36**	**45**	**40**	**46**	**30**	**33**	**45**	**38**
Not convincing	22	23	22	18	29	19	28	20	7	23	22	23	22	23	18	29	12	15	25	15
Don't believe	17	14	21	11	3	24	20	25	21	8	26	17	14	21	22	16	18	18	20	23
DK/NA	**2**	**1**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	2	1	3	4	0	0	5	0	0	2	1	3	1	3	0	2	5	2	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	187	28	16	70	98	19	128	59	71	53	26	25	123	51	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**61%**	**58%**	**66%**	**54%**	**51%**	**59%**	**57%**	**69%**	**67%**	**56%**	**13%**	**68%**	**35%**	**59%**
Very convincing	26	35	18	31	25	15	28	23	42	18	13	10	32	12	32
Somewhat convincing	32	25	40	35	29	37	31	34	26	49	43	3	36	23	26
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**39**	**42**	**31**	**44**	**49**	**39**	**40**	**31**	**30**	**44**	**81**	**31**	**62**	**34**
Not convincing	22	18	18	22	23	21	24	19	11	20	29	58	15	43	14
Don't believe	17	21	24	10	21	27	15	21	21	10	15	23	16	19	19
DK/NA	**2**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**1**	**3**	**8**
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	3	0	3	0	6	1	3	8

150

TABLE 39:   Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	187	111	36	41	20	16	87	100	88	99	34	26	55	57	60	112	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**74%**	**24%**	**47%**	**72%**	**21%**	**57%**	**59%**	**56%**	**60%**	**35%**	**54%**	**68%**	**62%**	**43%**	**65%**	**70%**
Very convincing	26	35	7	19	33	8	30	23	29	25	23	22	22	33	22	28	33
Somewhat convincing	32	38	16	28	39	12	27	36	27	36	12	32	46	28	21	37	37
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**26**	**72**	**47**	**28**	**72**	**41**	**38**	**40**	**39**	**60**	**46**	**30**	**38**	**54**	**34**	**22**
Not convincing	22	13	51	22	19	22	25	20	23	22	36	25	20	22	31	21	0
Don't believe	17	13	22	25	9	50	16	18	16	18	25	21	10	16	23	13	22
DK/NA	**2**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**0**	**8**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**8**
DK/NA	2	0	4	6	0	8	2	3	4	0	4	0	2	0	3	1	8

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	187	108	43	33	33	13	70	71	42	20	57	34	75	77	20
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**56%**	**70%**	**48%**	**39%**	**72%**	**49%**	**63%**	**65%**	**36%**	**75%**	**36%**	**70%**	**57%**	**47%**
Very convincing	26	28	18	24	19	32	22	36	16	18	24	17	24	33	24
Somewhat convincing	32	27	52	24	20	39	27	27	49	18	50	19	47	23	23
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**43**	**24**	**52**	**60**	**28**	**51**	**31**	**35**	**64**	**25**	**64**	**28**	**40**	**53**
Not convincing	22	28	17	14	41	28	28	18	21	27	13	31	14	28	35
Don't believe	17	15	8	38	19	0	23	14	15	37	12	33	14	13	19
DK/NA	**2**	**1**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**0**
DK/NA	2	1	5	1	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	3	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 39:   Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

| | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	187	64	52	53	53	79	37	18	33	20	11	16	10	27	26	26	5	32
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**61%**	**61%**	**42%**	**60%**	**56%**	**45%**	**90%**	**58%**	**64%**	**66%**	**35%**	**55%**	**41%**	**53%**	**68%**	**60%**	**42%**
Very convincing	26	19	27	25	22	25	22	54	22	23	4	35	32	18	21	24	13	23
Somewhat convincing	32	42	34	16	38	31	23	36	36	41	62	0	23	23	32	44	48	19
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**36**	**37**	**56**	**40**	**40**	**51**	**10**	**42**	**36**	**20**	**65**	**33**	**59**	**47**	**32**	**15**	**57**
Not convincing	22	17	29	29	18	26	31	4	24	7	13	24	24	34	24	12	0	36
Don't believe	17	20	8	26	22	15	20	7	18	29	7	42	9	24	24	21	15	21
DK/NA	**2**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**0**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**0**	**12**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**1**
DK/NA	2	2	2	3	0	3	4	0	0	0	14	0	12	1	0	0	25	1

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	187	52	47	51	36	32	21	41	37	21	16	28	25	47	31	14	23
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**60%**	**64%**	**66%**	**37%**	**73%**	**41%**	**56%**	**57%**	**50%**	**38%**	**57%**	**64%**	**61%**	**49%**	**49%**	**42%**
Very convincing	26	27	29	32	15	26	16	29	22	24	18	19	26	28	21	15	26
Somewhat convincing	32	33	35	34	22	47	25	27	35	25	20	39	38	33	28	33	17
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**40**	**34**	**31**	**59**	**27**	**59**	**41**	**39**	**50**	**53**	**43**	**36**	**39**	**43**	**41**	**58**
Not convincing	22	24	21	19	26	16	20	27	25	30	33	16	19	29	22	26	34
Don't believe	17	16	12	12	33	11	39	15	15	20	20	27	17	11	21	14	24
DK/NA	**2**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**4**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**10**	**0**
DK/NA	2	0	2	3	4	0	0	2	4	0	9	0	0	0	8	10	0

TABLE 39:   Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	187	53	30	78	26	84	103	46	38	54	49	25	32	57	45	28	31	16	9
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**58%**	**74%**	**57%**	**49%**	**54%**	**68%**	**51%**	**68%**	**68%**	**58%**	**43%**	**47%**	**66%**	**57%**	**51%**	**72%**	**57%**	**65%**	**50%**
Very convincing	26	29	24	30	14	27	26	31	22	26	26	25	30	27	24	33	27	20	23
Somewhat convincing	32	45	33	19	40	41	24	37	45	32	16	22	36	30	28	39	30	45	26
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**40**	**26**	**42**	**47**	**41**	**32**	**46**	**32**	**32**	**40**	**52**	**53**	**30**	**40**	**43**	**28**	**43**	**35**	**48**
Not convincing	22	10	30	27	26	17	27	21	13	24	30	25	14	19	24	23	25	10	48
Don't believe	17	16	12	20	15	15	19	11	19	17	22	28	16	21	19	6	18	25	0
DK/NA	**2**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
DK/NA	2	0	1	3	5	0	4	0	1	2	6	0	4	2	6	0	0	0	2

TABLE 40: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

		GENDER		Q2 AGE												Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	187	100	87	37	27	39	52	18	13	64	70	51	103	82	44	104	29	81	15	29
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**77%**	**60%**	**67%**	**80%**	**73%**	**67%**	**72%**	**52%**	**72%**	**71%**	**64%**	**72%**	**66%**	**66%**	**71%**	**72%**	**67%**	**75%**	**62%**
Very convincing	32	40	23	21	37	40	32	50	6	28	40	27	32	32	37	30	42	36	57	26
Somewhat convincing	37	37	37	45	43	33	35	22	46	44	31	37	40	34	29	41	30	31	17	35
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**20**	**38**	**33**	**19**	**27**	**30**	**28**	**30**	**27**	**29**	**28**	**27**	**30**	**34**	**29**	**15**	**28**	**25**	**38**
Not convincing	20	15	26	23	13	15	24	28	15	18	18	25	17	24	19	24	5	16	19	20
Don't believe	8	5	12	10	6	12	6	0	14	8	11	4	10	6	15	5	10	12	6	19
DK/NA	**2**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**18**	**1**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**5**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	2	3	2	1	1	0	3	0	18	1	0	8	1	5	0	0	13	5	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	187	28	16	70	98	19	128	59	71	53	26	25	123	51	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**63%**	**72%**	**76%**	**65%**	**70%**	**72%**	**64%**	**93%**	**76%**	**36%**	**16%**	**86%**	**26%**	**82%**
Very convincing	32	32	45	43	25	31	31	35	51	34	11	3	44	7	22
Somewhat convincing	37	31	27	33	40	39	41	30	42	42	26	14	42	20	60
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**37**	**28**	**18**	**35**	**30**	**26**	**33**	**3**	**24**	**64**	**78**	**12**	**71**	**18**
Not convincing	20	24	11	13	23	30	22	16	1	15	47	63	7	55	6
Don't believe	8	13	17	5	12	0	4	16	2	9	16	15	5	16	12
DK/NA	**2**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**0**
DK/NA	2	0	0	6	0	0	2	3	4	0	0	6	2	3	0

TABLE 40:   Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	187	111	36	41	20	16	87	100	88	99	34	26	55	57	60	112	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**92%**	**12%**	**60%**	**71%**	**48%**	**70%**	**69%**	**71%**	**68%**	**44%**	**58%**	**74%**	**84%**	**50%**	**79%**	**71%**
Very convincing	32	47	0	22	31	16	34	31	34	31	25	29	24	48	26	36	29
Somewhat convincing	37	45	12	38	40	32	36	38	37	38	20	30	51	36	24	43	42
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**6**	**84**	**40**	**29**	**52**	**30**	**27**	**27**	**29**	**50**	**42**	**21**	**16**	**46**	**19**	**28**
Not convincing	20	3	64	28	24	35	23	17	20	20	27	25	20	13	26	17	23
Don't believe	8	3	20	11	5	18	6	10	7	9	23	16	2	2	20	2	5
DK/NA	**2**	**2**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**1**
DK/NA	2	2	4	1	0	0	0	4	2	3	5	0	4	0	3	2	1

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	187	108	43	33	33	13	70	71	42	20	57	34	75	77	20
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**68%**	**68%**	**72%**	**62%**	**72%**	**61%**	**72%**	**80%**	**85%**	**86%**	**72%**	**79%**	**59%**	**77%**
Very convincing	32	33	30	26	29	50	24	37	40	28	35	33	34	30	23
Somewhat convincing	37	35	38	46	33	23	37	35	40	56	50	39	44	29	54
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**30**	**31**	**20**	**38**	**28**	**35**	**26**	**20**	**15**	**10**	**28**	**18**	**39**	**23**
Not convincing	20	22	21	15	27	18	21	25	11	15	8	20	15	25	19
Don't believe	8	8	10	5	10	10	14	1	9	0	2	8	3	14	4
DK/NA	**2**	**1**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**0**
DK/NA	2	1	1	8	1	0	4	2	0	0	4	0	3	2	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 40:   Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ:
             "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too
             convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not
             believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the
             Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help
             grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	187	64	52	53	53	79	37	18	33	20	11	16	10	27	26	26	5	32
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**71%**	**70%**	**65%**	**78%**	**66%**	**63%**	**75%**	**70%**	**90%**	**42%**	**68%**	**74%**	**59%**	**82%**	**73%**	**60%**	**63%**
Very convincing	32	38	37	24	38	37	20	23	33	46	38	36	7	25	42	34	13	21
Somewhat convincing	37	33	33	40	40	29	43	52	36	45	3	32	68	34	40	39	48	43
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**23**	**30**	**34**	**18**	**32**	**36**	**25**	**23**	**10**	**45**	**32**	**22**	**40**	**18**	**18**	**40**	**35**
Not convincing	20	14	25	26	12	25	26	11	19	2	20	26	22	28	13	12	40	24
Don't believe	8	9	5	8	6	8	9	14	5	8	24	5	0	13	5	7	0	11
DK/NA	**2**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**14**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**2**
DK/NA	2	6	0	1	4	2	1	0	7	0	14	0	3	1	0	9	0	2

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	187	52	47	51	36	32	21	41	37	21	16	28	25	47	31	14	23
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**79%**	**75%**	**65%**	**54%**	**77%**	**78%**	**85%**	**44%**	**74%**	**49%**	**85%**	**70%**	**69%**	**60%**	**62%**	**64%**
Very convincing	32	38	43	27	18	39	37	51	21	24	14	40	37	36	37	15	22
Somewhat convincing	37	42	32	38	36	39	41	34	23	50	35	46	33	33	23	47	42
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**20**	**20**	**34**	**42**	**16**	**22**	**15**	**52**	**24**	**50**	**15**	**21**	**31**	**35**	**34**	**36**
Not convincing	20	14	16	20	33	16	7	12	39	20	35	9	15	20	33	28	24
Don't believe	8	6	4	14	9	0	15	3	13	5	15	6	6	11	3	6	11
DK/NA	**2**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**5**	**4**	**0**
DK/NA	2	1	5	0	4	7	0	0	4	2	1	0	9	0	5	4	0

TABLE 40:   Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries; agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	187	53	30	78	26	84	103	46	38	54	49	25	32	57	45	28	31	16	9
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**69%**	**69%**	**70%**	**69%**	**71%**	**69%**	**69%**	**79%**	**58%**	**76%**	**62%**	**69%**	**67%**	**68%**	**60%**	**89%**	**62%**	**75%**	**75%**
Very convincing	32	38	28	34	20	34	31	40	27	40	20	50	31	39	19	44	29	34	32
Somewhat convincing	37	31	42	35	51	35	39	39	30	35	42	19	37	29	42	46	34	41	44
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**28**	**31**	**28**	**26**	**29**	**30**	**27**	**20**	**42**	**20**	**35**	**30**	**25**	**27**	**36**	**11**	**38**	**25**	**23**
Not convincing	20	16	17	23	22	17	23	12	23	18	28	29	12	19	21	6	35	16	23
Don't believe	8	15	11	3	7	13	4	9	19	2	7	1	14	8	16	4	3	9	0
DK/NA	**2**	**0**	**2**	**5**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**3**	**1**	**7**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
DK/NA	2	0	2	5	0	1	4	1	1	4	3	1	7	5	3	0	0	0	2

TABLE 41: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**67%**	**64%**	**71%**	**77%**	**70%**	**71%**	**59%**	**60%**	**65%**	**74%**	**66%**	**60%**	**73%**	**60%**	**76%**	**67%**	**49%**	**68%**	**80%**	**73%**
Very convincing	32	35	29	29	23	32	35	32	46	26	35	36	28	36	34	33	17	31	36	33
Somewhat convincing	35	29	42	49	47	38	25	28	19	48	32	24	44	24	42	34	32	38	44	40
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**30**	**32**	**28**	**22**	**30**	**29**	**35**	**33**	**29**	**26**	**32**	**32**	**27**	**33**	**22**	**30**	**47**	**29**	**20**	**24**
Not convincing	22	26	18	19	23	17	28	22	17	21	23	21	20	24	19	23	24	19	20	17
Don't believe	8	6	10	3	8	12	7	11	12	5	9	11	8	9	4	7	22	10	0	7
DK/NA	**3**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**6**	**7**	**0**	**2**	**8**	**0**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**3**
DK/NA	3	5	2	0	0	0	6	6	7	0	2	8	0	6	2	4	4	3	0	3

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**67%**	**74%**	**81%**	**59%**	**72%**	**64%**	**67%**	**67%**	**58%**	**63%**	**82%**	**78%**	**60%**	**79%**	**71%**
Very convincing	32	35	33	25	36	29	33	30	31	24	35	51	28	44	15
Somewhat convincing	35	38	49	34	36	35	34	37	27	39	46	26	33	35	56
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**30**	**24**	**19**	**37**	**25**	**33**	**30**	**30**	**41**	**34**	**16**	**16**	**38**	**16**	**19**
Not convincing	22	19	17	28	18	21	23	19	27	29	7	15	28	12	12
Don't believe	8	5	2	9	7	12	7	10	14	5	9	1	10	5	7
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**0**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**2**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**10**
DK/NA	3	2	0	4	3	3	3	3	1	3	2	6	2	4	10

TABLE 41:   Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**67%**	**59%**	**84%**	**72%**	**72%**	**73%**	**67%**	**67%**	**62%**	**72%**	**83%**	**72%**	**64%**	**58%**	**78%**	**61%**	**71%**
Very convincing	32	25	50	32	25	48	28	35	31	32	56	31	22	28	44	25	36
Somewhat convincing	35	34	33	40	47	25	39	32	30	40	27	41	42	30	33	36	35
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**30**	**40**	**14**	**20**	**22**	**24**	**31**	**29**	**34**	**26**	**14**	**24**	**36**	**40**	**18**	**38**	**14**
Not convincing	22	30	12	9	10	10	20	23	26	17	8	15	28	28	11	28	13
Don't believe	8	10	2	11	11	13	11	6	8	9	6	9	8	12	7	10	1
DK/NA	**3**	**1**	**2**	**9**	**6**	**4**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**4**	**1**	**15**
DK/NA	3	1	2	9	6	4	2	4	4	2	3	5	0	3	4	1	15

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**67%**	**70%**	**63%**	**67%**	**73%**	**47%**	**75%**	**65%**	**59%**	**63%**	**47%**	**73%**	**57%**	**73%**	**88%**
Very convincing	32	31	36	30	47	18	45	29	17	35	18	41	25	33	42
Somewhat convincing	35	38	27	36	26	28	30	36	42	28	29	31	32	40	46
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**30**	**28**	**33**	**29**	**23**	**53**	**23**	**29**	**41**	**34**	**49**	**25**	**40**	**24**	**12**
Not convincing	22	20	28	20	18	46	17	20	29	24	39	16	32	16	7
Don't believe	8	8	4	9	5	8	6	9	11	10	10	9	8	8	5
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**5**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**3**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**0**
DK/NA	3	2	5	4	4	0	2	6	0	3	4	2	3	4	0

159

TABLE 41:   Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

								Q20 PARTY SELF-ID							IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Total																	
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	67%	53%	70%	77%	53%	68%	81%	77%	51%	56%	54%	69%	83%	80%	55%	49%	69%	85%
Very convincing	32	18	28	45	18	32	44	47	16	22	17	48	39	46	14	23	43	45
Somewhat convincing	35	35	41	32	35	36	37	30	35	35	36	21	44	34	41	26	26	41
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	30	42	29	21	43	29	18	19	42	44	35	27	14	20	45	40	31	13
Not convincing	22	30	22	14	29	23	11	16	32	23	35	21	7	12	28	31	22	6
Don't believe	8	12	7	7	13	6	7	3	11	21	0	6	6	8	17	9	9	7
DK/NA	3	6	1	2	5	3	1	4	7	0	12	4	3	0	0	11	0	1
DK/NA	3	6	1	2	5	3	1	4	7	0	12	4	3	0	0	11	0	1

		GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Total																
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	67%	71%	57%	74%	66%	48%	58%	61%	76%	82%	79%	62%	43%	73%	62%	80%	82%
Very convincing	32	31	37	26	35	20	15	34	30	44	44	9	27	27	40	43	45
Somewhat convincing	35	40	20	48	30	28	43	27	45	38	35	53	16	46	22	37	36
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	30	29	34	26	31	43	42	37	22	18	18	38	48	27	32	19	17
Not convincing	22	21	29	18	16	35	21	31	15	10	11	23	36	21	26	13	8
Don't believe	8	7	5	8	14	8	21	5	7	8	7	15	11	6	6	6	8
DK/NA	3	0	8	0	4	8	0	3	3	0	2	0	10	0	6	1	2
DK/NA	3	0	8	0	4	8	0	3	3	0	2	0	10	0	6	1	2

TABLE 41:   Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

		Q22 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**67%**	**70%**	**77%**	**62%**	**61%**	**73%**	**62%**	**65%**	**83%**	**63%**	**61%**	**67%**	**70%**	**69%**	**69%**	**69%**	**77%**	**55%**	**46%**
Very convincing	32	29	38	35	23	32	31	33	31	36	27	30	43	37	33	34	38	15	16
Somewhat convincing	35	42	39	27	38	41	30	31	52	27	33	37	27	32	36	36	39	40	30
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**30**	**26**	**23**	**34**	**36**	**25**	**34**	**32**	**16**	**32**	**37**	**33**	**28**	**30**	**26**	**27**	**20**	**45**	**43**
Not convincing	22	21	17	22	29	19	24	29	8	22	25	22	21	21	20	17	9	38	39
Don't believe	8	6	5	12	7	5	11	3	9	10	12	11	7	9	6	10	12	7	4
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**0**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**5**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**12**
DK/NA	3	3	0	4	3	2	4	3	0	5	3	0	2	1	5	3	3	0	12

TABLE 42: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

		GENDER		Q2 AGE												Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	169	85	84	31	27	33	41	14	20	58	65	43	91	75	41	101	17	64	23	19
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**50%**	**53%**	**52%**	**65%**	**58%**	**44%**	**52%**	**36%**	**58%**	**51%**	**43%**	**58%**	**43%**	**79%**	**45%**	**38%**	**63%**	**86%**	**70%**
Very convincing	32	32	32	15	40	39	35	40	26	27	36	33	31	33	42	32	18	32	46	36
Somewhat convincing	19	18	21	37	25	20	9	12	10	31	15	10	27	10	37	13	20	30	40	33
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**41**	**42**	**48**	**29**	**36**	**50**	**43**	**42**	**39**	**43**	**43**	**38**	**47**	**17**	**51**	**53**	**26**	**5**	**30**
Not convincing	28	27	30	42	25	20	29	27	26	34	22	31	29	28	11	39	14	11	5	18
Don't believe	13	14	12	6	4	15	22	16	16	5	21	13	9	19	5	12	39	15	0	12
DK/NA	**7**	**8**	**6**	**0**	**6**	**6**	**6**	**5**	**22**	**3**	**6**	**14**	**4**	**10**	**5**	**5**	**9**	**11**	**8**	**0**
DK/NA	7	8	6	0	6	6	6	5	22	3	6	14	4	10	5	5	9	11	8	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	169	28	13	53	103	14	115	54	49	51	21	34	100	55	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**77%**	**82%**	**50%**	**53%**	**48%**	**55%**	**45%**	**39%**	**48%**	**49%**	**75%**	**43%**	**65%**	**57%**
Very convincing	32	41	43	26	36	27	34	28	17	25	27	71	21	54	22
Somewhat convincing	19	36	39	24	17	21	21	17	22	22	23	4	22	11	34
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**16**	**18**	**37**	**43**	**48**	**41**	**42**	**54**	**42**	**39**	**25**	**48**	**30**	**40**
Not convincing	28	8	18	28	27	44	29	27	29	28	39	21	29	28	28
Don't believe	13	8	0	9	16	4	12	15	24	14	0	4	19	3	12
DK/NA	**7**	**7**	**0**	**13**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**12**	**8**	**10**	**11**	**0**	**9**	**4**	**4**
DK/NA	7	7	0	13	4	3	4	12	8	10	11	0	9	4	4

TABLE 42:   Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	169	88	40	41	17	18	75	94	84	85	25	25	56	51	50	106	12
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**40%**	**76%**	**53%**	**60%**	**60%**	**53%**	**51%**	**51%**	**52%**	**65%**	**64%**	**56%**	**33%**	**65%**	**45%**	**53%**
Very convincing	32	17	63	34	31	46	26	37	34	30	58	43	22	23	51	23	39
Somewhat convincing	19	22	13	19	30	13	26	14	17	22	7	21	34	10	14	23	14
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**52**	**24**	**37**	**31**	**33**	**39**	**43**	**43**	**40**	**35**	**23**	**43**	**57**	**29**	**50**	**21**
Not convincing	28	30	20	33	27	28	27	30	27	30	32	16	24	42	24	33	10
Don't believe	13	22	4	4	4	5	13	13	15	11	3	7	19	15	5	17	11
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**0**	**10**	**9**	**8**	**8**	**6**	**6**	**8**	**0**	**13**	**1**	**9**	**6**	**5**	**26**
DK/NA	7	9	0	10	9	8	8	6	6	8	0	13	1	9	6	5	26

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	169	96	35	38	20	18	58	58	46	17	44	36	54	79	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**59%**	**59%**	**26%**	**53%**	**19%**	**50%**	**56%**	**47%**	**43%**	**43%**	**50%**	**41%**	**60%**	**57%**
Very convincing	32	34	46	15	47	12	36	33	25	38	35	40	32	29	45
Somewhat convincing	19	25	13	10	5	8	15	23	22	5	8	10	9	31	12
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**35**	**39**	**61**	**33**	**72**	**39**	**38**	**50**	**57**	**52**	**45**	**53**	**32**	**32**
Not convincing	28	29	25	29	27	36	30	25	31	19	41	27	44	18	32
Don't believe	13	5	14	32	6	36	9	14	18	38	11	18	9	14	0
DK/NA	**7**	**6**	**2**	**14**	**15**	**8**	**10**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**5**	**5**	**6**	**9**	**11**
DK/NA	7	6	2	14	15	8	10	6	3	0	5	5	6	9	11

TABLE 42:   Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

								Q20 PARTY SELF-ID							IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	169	57	39	55	55	59	37	18	46	9	2	18	9	28	32	22	10	24
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**46%**	**60%**	**53%**	**46%**	**56%**	**56%**	**48%**	**45%**	**50%**	**42%**	**48%**	**75%**	**49%**	**34%**	**63%**	**53%**	**53%**
Very convincing	32	25	37	42	24	39	41	15	24	26	42	44	45	40	18	36	37	39
Somewhat convincing	19	21	23	11	21	17	15	32	21	25	0	4	30	9	17	27	16	14
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**50**	**35**	**36**	**50**	**35**	**37**	**45**	**50**	**50**	**58**	**32**	**25**	**42**	**61**	**34**	**47**	**37**
Not convincing	28	30	21	30	29	26	29	35	26	45	58	32	25	30	34	19	38	27
Don't believe	13	21	14	6	21	9	9	10	24	4	0	0	0	12	26	15	9	10
DK/NA	**7**	**4**	**5**	**11**	**4**	**10**	**7**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**20**	**0**	**9**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**10**
DK/NA	7	4	5	11	4	10	7	7	5	0	0	20	0	9	5	3	0	10

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	169	33	51	58	25	29	26	28	31	19	18	27	28	33	25	19	18
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**65%**	**41%**	**55%**	**48%**	**52%**	**39%**	**52%**	**59%**	**49%**	**63%**	**54%**	**36%**	**64%**	**44%**	**57%**	**54%**
Very convincing	32	37	30	28	40	27	22	43	35	25	58	20	27	42	35	44	39
Somewhat convincing	19	28	11	27	7	25	17	9	24	24	5	34	9	22	9	13	15
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**35**	**46**	**39**	**47**	**46**	**55**	**37**	**33**	**41**	**34**	**40**	**61**	**30**	**41**	**43**	**32**
Not convincing	28	34	23	26	37	17	41	30	22	36	21	32	26	22	29	30	27
Don't believe	13	1	23	13	11	28	13	7	11	5	13	8	35	8	12	13	5
DK/NA	**7**	**0**	**13**	**6**	**5**	**3**	**6**	**11**	**8**	**10**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**6**	**15**	**0**	**14**
DK/NA	7	0	13	6	5	3	6	11	8	10	4	6	3	6	15	0	14

TABLE 42:   Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	169	49	36	59	24	85	83	48	37	36	47	25	25	49	37	28	17	25	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**53%**	**74%**	**37%**	**54%**	**62%**	**42%**	**55%**	**70%**	**46%**	**39%**	**77%**	**64%**	**70%**	**33%**	**63%**	**26%**	**68%**	**12%**
Very convincing	32	32	39	24	43	35	30	33	37	32	28	53	39	46	26	31	19	36	12
Somewhat convincing	19	21	35	13	11	27	12	22	33	14	11	24	25	25	7	32	6	32	0
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**41**	**38**	**24**	**55**	**43**	**32**	**52**	**35**	**28**	**51**	**52**	**23**	**31**	**27**	**64**	**30**	**40**	**26**	**88**
Not convincing	28	18	15	40	42	17	41	16	18	43	39	10	28	19	51	19	23	26	33
Don't believe	13	20	9	15	1	15	11	19	10	8	14	14	3	8	14	11	17	0	55
DK/NA	**7**	**9**	**3**	**8**	**4**	**6**	**7**	**10**	**2**	**4**	**9**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**2**	**7**	**35**	**6**	**0**
DK/NA	7	9	3	8	4	6	7	10	2	4	9	0	5	3	2	7	35	6	0

TABLE 43: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	187	100	87	37	27	39	52	18	13	64	70	51	103	82	44	104	29	81	15	29
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**38%**	**68%**	**72%**	**46%**	**52%**	**47%**	**33%**	**54%**	**61%**	**53%**	**39%**	**57%**	**45%**	**68%**	**49%**	**28%**	**56%**	**51%**	**77%**
Very convincing	22	22	23	20	20	32	26	6	21	20	30	16	24	21	38	18	21	29	26	43
Somewhat convincing	29	16	45	52	27	20	21	28	33	41	23	23	33	24	31	32	7	27	24	34
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**58**	**31**	**28**	**54**	**48**	**48**	**62**	**39**	**39**	**46**	**53**	**42**	**49**	**32**	**46**	**72**	**44**	**49**	**23**
Not convincing	26	35	16	22	28	24	24	51	14	25	22	33	24	28	20	31	24	20	36	12
Don't believe	19	23	15	5	26	25	24	10	25	14	24	20	18	21	12	15	48	23	13	11
DK/NA	**3**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**5**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**7**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	4	1	1	0	0	6	5	7	0	1	7	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	187	28	16	70	98	19	128	59	71	53	26	25	123	51	13
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**71%**	**63%**	**46%**	**57%**	**47%**	**49%**	**59%**	**29%**	**50%**	**83%**	**80%**	**38%**	**81%**	**67%**
Very convincing	22	39	35	20	27	10	21	25	10	22	38	44	15	41	26
Somewhat convincing	29	32	28	26	30	37	27	34	20	28	45	37	23	41	42
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**29**	**37**	**53**	**38**	**53**	**48**	**40**	**67**	**50**	**17**	**16**	**60**	**16**	**25**
Not convincing	26	21	19	31	21	37	28	22	38	28	14	4	34	9	25
Don't believe	19	8	18	23	17	16	20	17	29	21	4	12	26	8	0
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**8**
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	5	0	3	2	4	0	0	4	2	2	8

TABLE 43:   Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	187	111	36	41	20	16	87	100	88	99	34	26	55	57	60	112	15
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**34%**	**88%**	**68%**	**68%**	**73%**	**51%**	**53%**	**43%**	**59%**	**80%**	**59%**	**53%**	**30%**	**71%**	**41%**	**55%**
Very convincing	22	12	42	35	33	47	23	22	16	28	56	23	11	16	42	14	12
Somewhat convincing	29	23	46	33	35	25	28	30	27	32	24	36	41	15	29	28	43
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**63**	**12**	**26**	**32**	**27**	**47**	**45**	**53**	**39**	**17**	**37**	**47**	**68**	**26**	**58**	**31**
Not convincing	26	37	7	15	20	13	31	22	31	23	17	12	21	46	15	34	15
Don't believe	19	27	5	12	12	14	15	23	23	16	0	25	26	22	11	24	16
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**14**
DK/NA	3	2	0	6	0	0	2	3	3	2	3	4	0	1	3	1	14

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	187	108	43	33	33	13	70	71	42	20	57	34	75	77	20
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**55%**	**57%**	**40%**	**55%**	**48%**	**65%**	**45%**	**41%**	**53%**	**21%**	**53%**	**36%**	**67%**	**60%**
Very convincing	22	24	20	22	30	18	32	13	22	36	14	37	16	23	37
Somewhat convincing	29	31	36	17	26	29	33	32	19	18	7	16	20	44	23
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**43**	**39**	**57**	**41**	**38**	**33**	**52**	**55**	**42**	**77**	**44**	**63**	**29**	**36**
Not convincing	26	24	28	24	31	33	21	22	39	22	39	25	34	19	19
Don't believe	19	19	11	33	10	5	12	30	15	20	38	19	29	10	16
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**14**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**4**	**5**
DK/NA	3	2	4	3	4	14	2	3	4	5	2	3	1	4	5

167

TABLE 43:   Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Total
Base	187	64	52	53	53	79	37	18	33	20	11	16	10	27	26	26	5	32
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**42%**	**45%**	**62%**	**38%**	**50%**	**64%**	**77%**	**37%**	**39%**	**58%**	**60%**	**52%**	**68%**	**49%**	**27%**	**38%**	**68%**
Very convincing	22	25	14	27	22	18	31	23	26	16	37	18	0	43	25	19	0	36
Somewhat convincing	29	17	31	35	16	32	32	54	11	23	21	42	52	25	23	8	38	32
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**54**	**53**	**35**	**57**	**49**	**33**	**23**	**57**	**56**	**42**	**40**	**39**	**31**	**48**	**66**	**44**	**32**
Not convincing	26	29	30	20	26	31	17	23	31	19	42	28	19	17	27	26	0	20
Don't believe	19	25	23	15	30	17	16	0	26	37	0	12	21	15	21	40	44	12
DK/NA	**3**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**5**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**1**	**3**	**7**	**19**	**1**
DK/NA	3	4	2	2	5	1	3	0	6	4	0	0	9	1	3	7	19	1

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				
	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Total
Base	187	52	47	51	36	32	21	41	37	21	16	28	25	47	31	14	23
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**45%**	**32%**	**71%**	**62%**	**29%**	**52%**	**26%**	**76%**	**65%**	**62%**	**51%**	**23%**	**49%**	**53%**	**71%**	**58%**
Very convincing	22	23	21	25	20	19	28	15	22	36	25	31	13	17	20	29	33
Somewhat convincing	29	21	10	45	43	10	24	11	54	29	36	19	11	31	33	42	25
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**55**	**60**	**29**	**35**	**65**	**43**	**72**	**24**	**30**	**37**	**49**	**66**	**51**	**44**	**28**	**38**
Not convincing	26	30	39	18	14	31	20	49	12	19	14	19	35	34	25	13	20
Don't believe	19	25	21	11	21	35	24	22	12	11	23	30	31	17	19	15	17
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**2**	**6**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**4**
DK/NA	3	0	8	0	2	6	4	2	0	4	1	0	11	0	3	1	4

168

TABLE 43:   Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	187	53	30	78	26	84	103	46	38	54	49	25	32	57	45	28	31	16	9
VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING	**52%**	**57%**	**56%**	**50%**	**40%**	**57%**	**48%**	**38%**	**80%**	**39%**	**58%**	**58%**	**49%**	**53%**	**63%**	**39%**	**62%**	**32%**	**30%**
Very convincing	22	22	14	30	13	19	25	17	21	27	24	18	32	26	32	9	18	23	12
Somewhat convincing	29	36	42	21	28	38	23	21	59	12	34	40	17	27	31	30	44	9	18
NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE	**45**	**43**	**41**	**46**	**56**	**42**	**48**	**60**	**20**	**56**	**40**	**42**	**51**	**47**	**35**	**58**	**35**	**62**	**57**
Not convincing	26	15	41	26	34	24	28	36	10	34	21	24	25	25	21	38	8	52	43
Don't believe	19	28	0	20	22	18	20	24	10	22	19	19	26	23	14	20	27	10	14
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**3**	**4**	**4**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**6**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**6**	**13**
DK/NA	3	0	3	4	4	1	4	2	1	6	2	0	0	0	2	3	3	6	13

TABLE 44: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	172	90	82	34	23	36	48	15	13	57	70	42	94	76	41	103	18	64	17	24
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**67%**	**58%**	**54%**	**73%**	**69%**	**64%**	**54%**	**53%**	**62%**	**66%**	**58%**	**65%**	**60%**	**67%**	**56%**	**84%**	**73%**	**70%**	**65%**
Strongly favor	37	40	33	13	40	58	37	44	28	24	45	41	37	37	37	37	41	36	61	20
Somewhat favor	26	27	25	41	33	11	27	11	25	38	21	17	27	24	30	19	42	37	9	45
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**28**	**35**	**28**	**27**	**31**	**30**	**46**	**41**	**27**	**31**	**38**	**29**	**35**	**33**	**34**	**16**	**26**	**30**	**35**
Somewhat oppose	12	12	12	21	2	12	10	10	20	13	12	11	12	12	14	14	0	9	19	10
Strongly oppose	19	15	23	7	25	19	19	36	21	14	18	27	16	23	19	20	16	17	12	25
DK/NA	**6**	**6**	**7**	**18**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**7**	**11**	**3**	**5**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	6	6	7	18	0	0	6	0	7	11	3	5	7	5	0	10	0	1	0	0

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	172	30	11	59	103	10	121	51	58	47	24	28	104	52	16
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**63%**	**77%**	**71%**	**57%**	**67%**	**64%**	**59%**	**94%**	**83%**	**19%**	**9%**	**89%**	**14%**	**51%**
Strongly favor	37	33	47	40	33	51	44	21	78	29	10	2	56	5	12
Somewhat favor	26	30	30	31	24	17	21	39	16	54	10	7	33	8	39
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**37**	**23**	**21**	**37**	**27**	**33**	**28**	**6**	**17**	**60**	**86**	**11**	**74**	**23**
Somewhat oppose	12	19	0	8	14	14	11	14	4	6	38	13	5	25	21
Strongly oppose	19	18	23	13	23	12	21	14	2	11	22	73	6	49	2
DK/NA	**6**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**5**	**6**	**3**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**20**	**5**	**0**	**12**	**26**
DK/NA	6	0	0	8	5	6	3	13	0	0	20	5	0	12	26

TABLE 44:   Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S.
relations with Cuba?

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	172	93	39	40	15	15	73	99	172	0	23	25	54	57	48	110	14
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**100%**	**0%**	**37%**	**100%**	**0%**	**61%**	**64%**	**63%**	**0%**	**31%**	**41%**	**74%**	**74%**	**36%**	**74%**	**63%**
Strongly favor	37	63	0	12	32	0	40	34	37	0	17	16	24	66	16	45	39
Somewhat favor	26	37	0	25	68	0	21	30	26	0	14	26	50	8	20	29	24
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**0**	**100**	**37**	**0**	**100**	**30**	**32**	**31**	**0**	**69**	**51**	**25**	**18**	**60**	**21**	**9**
Somewhat oppose	12	0	33	20	0	56	7	16	12	0	11	22	15	8	16	12	3
Strongly oppose	19	0	67	16	0	44	23	16	19	0	58	29	10	10	43	10	5
DK/NA	**6**	**0**	**0**	**26**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**4**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**1**	**8**	**4**	**4**	**28**
DK/NA	6	0	0	26	0	0	9	4	6	0	0	7	1	8	4	4	28

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	172	105	34	32	30	21	62	60	41	19	51	32	66	74	10
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**59%**	**63%**	**75%**	**48%**	**69%**	**57%**	**59%**	**80%**	**63%**	**76%**	**51%**	**72%**	**59%**	**33%**
Strongly favor	37	35	37	42	32	39	26	47	39	15	60	16	53	31	10
Somewhat favor	26	24	26	33	16	30	31	12	41	48	16	36	19	28	22
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**32**	**34**	**25**	**45**	**11**	**36**	**39**	**10**	**37**	**15**	**48**	**21**	**33**	**65**
Somewhat oppose	12	12	20	6	20	3	14	17	5	5	11	11	10	15	15
Strongly oppose	19	20	15	19	25	9	22	23	4	32	4	37	11	18	50
DK/NA	**6**	**9**	**3**	**0**	**7**	**19**	**7**	**2**	**10**	**0**	**9**	**1**	**7**	**8**	**2**
DK/NA	6	9	3	0	7	19	7	2	10	0	9	1	7	8	2

171

TABLE 44:   Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S.
relations with Cuba?

	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	172	62	43	49	56	64	34	18	45	11	6	15	8	26	30	25	7	25
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**75%**	**66%**	**43%**	**78%**	**60%**	**42%**	**65%**	**76%**	**84%**	**52%**	**46%**	**48%**	**40%**	**79%**	**77%**	**53%**	**42%**
Strongly favor	37	43	45	28	45	41	25	18	39	69	26	35	43	19	35	57	44	21
Somewhat favor	26	32	21	15	33	19	17	47	37	15	25	11	5	21	44	20	9	21
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**17**	**31**	**52**	**13**	**38**	**50**	**28**	**12**	**16**	**48**	**54**	**49**	**51**	**7**	**19**	**47**	**47**
Somewhat oppose	12	7	9	16	8	12	12	28	6	16	0	23	8	13	3	13	0	16
Strongly oppose	19	9	22	36	5	26	38	0	6	0	48	31	41	37	4	6	47	31
DK/NA	**6**	**8**	**3**	**5**	**9**	**2**	**8**	**7**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**9**	**14**	**4**	**0**	**10**
DK/NA	6	8	3	5	9	2	8	7	12	0	0	0	3	9	14	4	0	10

	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	172	43	46	51	30	37	19	31	33	14	19	28	27	35	28	16	18
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**65%**	**70%**	**65%**	**46%**	**85%**	**64%**	**67%**	**53%**	**44%**	**40%**	**77%**	**78%**	**65%**	**53%**	**41%**	**43%**
Strongly favor	37	43	38	33	34	48	40	43	40	32	19	46	45	47	34	22	26
Somewhat favor	26	22	31	32	12	37	24	25	14	12	21	31	33	19	19	18	16
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**32**	**24**	**26**	**51**	**12**	**14**	**30**	**46**	**43**	**56**	**8**	**18**	**33**	**43**	**49**	**51**
Somewhat oppose	12	17	8	9	18	5	14	8	15	14	11	6	11	11	11	8	16
Strongly oppose	19	15	16	17	33	7	0	22	30	29	45	2	7	22	33	42	35
DK/NA	**6**	**4**	**7**	**9**	**3**	**3**	**21**	**3**	**1**	**13**	**4**	**15**	**4**	**1**	**3**	**10**	**6**
DK/NA	6	4	7	9	3	3	21	3	1	13	4	15	4	1	3	10	6

TABLE 44:   Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	172	49	31	62	29	80	91	53	27	36	55	20	25	45	42	31	21	18	15
TOTAL FAVOR	**63%**	**68%**	**65%**	**63%**	**54%**	**66%**	**60%**	**68%**	**63%**	**66%**	**56%**	**74%**	**46%**	**59%**	**50%**	**86%**	**61%**	**49%**	**81%**
Strongly favor	37	31	38	41	36	34	40	36	30	48	35	45	33	38	25	57	44	22	31
Somewhat favor	26	36	27	21	18	33	20	32	34	19	21	29	14	21	25	29	17	27	51
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**32**	**28**	**32**	**32**	**30**	**32**	**29**	**34**	**27**	**36**	**26**	**48**	**38**	**36**	**11**	**32**	**51**	**11**
Somewhat oppose	12	20	15	6	11	18	8	18	18	5	10	3	27	16	15	4	15	12	6
Strongly oppose	19	12	13	26	20	13	24	11	16	22	26	23	22	23	21	7	17	38	5
DK/NA	**6**	**0**	**8**	**5**	**14**	**3**	**8**	**3**	**3**	**7**	**8**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**14**	**2**	**7**	**0**	**7**
DK/NA	6	0	8	5	14	3	8	3	3	7	8	0	5	3	14	2	7	0	7

TABLE 45: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
		MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
	Total																			
Base	184	95	89	34	31	35	45	16	21	65	65	52	100	82	44	101	28	81	21	23
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**80%**	**57%**	**74%**	**77%**	**62%**	**64%**	**62%**	**81%**	**76%**	**62%**	**71%**	**71%**	**68%**	**70%**	**67%**	**79%**	**71%**	**81%**	**60%**
Strongly favor	36	44	28	37	36	27	35	56	40	36	29	45	33	40	45	35	37	38	61	32
Somewhat favor	33	36	30	37	42	35	29	6	41	39	33	26	38	28	25	33	42	33	21	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**20**	**42**	**26**	**23**	**38**	**36**	**38**	**19**	**24**	**38**	**29**	**29**	**32**	**30**	**33**	**21**	**29**	**19**	**40**
Somewhat oppose	14	6	24	16	12	17	15	17	3	14	17	10	15	13	14	11	18	18	0	26
Strongly oppose	17	14	19	10	10	21	21	21	16	10	21	19	14	20	16	21	3	10	19	14
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
		IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
	Total														
Base	184	26	18	64	97	23	122	62	62	57	22	32	120	54	10
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**59%**	**86%**	**85%**	**59%**	**68%**	**68%**	**71%**	**98%**	**78%**	**53%**	**16%**	**88%**	**31%**	**49%**
Strongly favor	36	38	56	44	32	34	41	27	69	25	28	5	48	14	16
Somewhat favor	33	21	30	42	27	33	27	45	29	52	24	11	40	16	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**41**	**14**	**14**	**41**	**32**	**32**	**28**	**2**	**22**	**47**	**84**	**12**	**69**	**51**
Somewhat oppose	14	23	0	9	16	25	15	14	2	17	39	10	9	22	30
Strongly oppose	17	18	14	6	26	8	18	15	0	5	8	74	2	47	21
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 45:   Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	184	105	37	41	22	19	89	95	0	184	36	26	57	51	62	108	14
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**100%**	**0%**	**53%**	**100%**	**0%**	**71%**	**67%**	**0%**	**69%**	**42%**	**60%**	**73%**	**89%**	**49%**	**81%**	**66%**
Strongly favor	36	54	0	23	44	0	38	35	0	36	19	8	32	70	15	50	28
Somewhat favor	33	46	0	30	56	0	33	32	0	33	23	51	41	20	35	31	38
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**0**	**100**	**47**	**0**	**100**	**29**	**33**	**0**	**31**	**58**	**40**	**27**	**11**	**51**	**19**	**33**
Somewhat oppose	14	0	32	35	0	74	14	14	0	14	23	21	19	0	22	10	14
Strongly oppose	17	0	68	12	0	26	14	19	0	17	35	20	8	11	29	9	19
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	184	99	44	39	23	10	66	69	47	19	49	38	64	82	24
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**73%**	**62%**	**63%**	**44%**	**80%**	**56%**	**77%**	**74%**	**72%**	**81%**	**65%**	**75%**	**66%**	**72%**
Strongly favor	36	37	28	39	35	28	30	39	42	40	57	26	49	31	26
Somewhat favor	33	36	35	25	8	52	26	38	32	31	25	39	25	35	45
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**27**	**38**	**36**	**56**	**20**	**44**	**23**	**26**	**28**	**19**	**35**	**25**	**34**	**28**
Somewhat oppose	14	9	20	22	8	0	17	10	18	4	9	14	16	13	10
Strongly oppose	17	18	18	14	47	20	27	13	8	24	10	21	9	20	19
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 45:   Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
																	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY
Base	184	58	48	59	51	73	41	18	34	18	7	18	12	29	28	23	9	32
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**70%**	**76%**	**59%**	**67%**	**75%**	**55%**	**82%**	**61%**	**79%**	**88%**	**69%**	**63%**	**51%**	**68%**	**66%**	**68%**	**50%**
Strongly favor	36	38	44	26	36	41	25	44	36	37	49	28	14	29	36	37	19	26
Somewhat favor	33	32	32	33	31	35	30	37	25	42	39	41	49	22	32	29	49	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**30**	**24**	**41**	**33**	**25**	**45**	**18**	**39**	**21**	**12**	**31**	**37**	**48**	**32**	**34**	**32**	**49**
Somewhat oppose	14	20	11	15	22	12	14	4	34	0	0	17	13	14	28	16	0	18
Strongly oppose	17	11	13	26	11	13	31	14	5	21	12	14	25	34	4	19	32	31
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
			GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				
Base	184	43	52	57	30	24	28	39	34	26	15	26	25	45	28	17	23
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**80%**	**80%**	**64%**	**48%**	**82%**	**54%**	**85%**	**65%**	**72%**	**25%**	**61%**	**74%**	**81%**	**67%**	**45%**	**61%**
Strongly favor	36	38	48	29	26	50	25	55	25	35	7	23	51	45	33	0	41
Somewhat favor	33	42	31	35	21	33	29	30	40	37	17	38	24	36	33	45	20
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**20**	**20**	**36**	**52**	**18**	**46**	**15**	**35**	**28**	**74**	**39**	**26**	**19**	**33**	**53**	**39**
Somewhat oppose	14	7	5	22	25	12	31	0	25	10	21	24	21	13	7	18	10
Strongly oppose	17	14	15	14	27	6	15	15	11	18	53	15	5	5	27	35	29
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

TABLE 45:   Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	184	53	36	74	21	89	95	41	48	55	40	30	31	61	40	25	27	23	8
TOTAL FAVOR	**69%**	**70%**	**65%**	**72%**	**63%**	**68%**	**70%**	**78%**	**59%**	**81%**	**55%**	**61%**	**76%**	**69%**	**79%**	**66%**	**68%**	**67%**	**41%**
Strongly favor	36	25	41	46	24	31	41	32	31	53	24	42	47	45	37	41	24	27	25
Somewhat favor	33	45	24	27	39	37	29	46	28	28	31	19	29	24	41	25	45	40	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**30**	**35**	**28**	**37**	**32**	**30**	**22**	**40**	**19**	**45**	**39**	**24**	**31**	**21**	**34**	**32**	**33**	**56**
Somewhat oppose	14	20	20	9	9	20	9	11	28	2	19	12	18	15	11	13	28	7	0
Strongly oppose	17	10	14	19	28	12	21	11	13	17	26	27	6	16	10	21	4	26	56
DK/NA	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

177

TABLE 46: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**73%**	**58%**	**64%**	**76%**	**66%**	**64%**	**58%**	**70%**	**69%**	**64%**	**65%**	**68%**	**64%**	**68%**	**62%**	**81%**	**72%**	**76%**	**62%**
Strongly favor	37	42	30	25	38	43	36	50	36	31	38	43	35	38	41	36	38	37	61	26
Somewhat favor	29	31	28	39	38	23	28	8	35	39	27	22	33	26	27	26	42	35	15	37
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**24**	**39**	**27**	**24**	**34**	**33**	**42**	**27**	**26**	**34**	**33**	**29**	**33**	**32**	**34**	**19**	**27**	**24**	**38**
Somewhat oppose	13	9	18	18	8	14	13	14	10	14	14	11	14	12	14	13	11	14	8	18
Strongly oppose	18	15	21	8	16	20	20	28	17	12	20	22	15	21	18	21	8	13	16	20
DK/NA	**3**	**3**	**3**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**3**	**5**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**2**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA	3	3	3	9	0	0	3	0	3	5	1	2	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**61%**	**83%**	**79%**	**58%**	**68%**	**66%**	**66%**	**96%**	**80%**	**35%**	**12%**	**89%**	**22%**	**50%**
Strongly favor	37	35	53	42	33	39	42	24	73	27	19	3	52	10	14
Somewhat favor	29	26	30	37	25	28	24	42	23	53	17	9	37	12	36
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**39**	**17**	**17**	**39**	**31**	**32**	**28**	**4**	**20**	**54**	**85**	**11**	**72**	**35**
Somewhat oppose	13	21	0	8	15	22	13	14	3	12	39	12	7	23	25
Strongly oppose	18	18	17	9	24	9	19	14	1	8	15	74	4	48	10
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**6**	**16**
DK/NA	3	0	0	4	3	2	2	6	0	0	11	2	0	6	16

TABLE 46:   Q17.   Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A:
              normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**100%**	**0%**	**45%**	**100%**	**0%**	**67%**	**66%**	**63%**	**69%**	**38%**	**51%**	**74%**	**81%**	**44%**	**77%**	**64%**
Strongly favor	37	58	0	17	39	0	39	35	37	36	18	12	28	67	15	48	34
Somewhat favor	29	42	0	28	61	0	28	31	26	33	19	39	46	14	28	30	31
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**0**	**100**	**42**	**0**	**100**	**29**	**32**	**31**	**31**	**62**	**46**	**26**	**15**	**55**	**20**	**21**
Somewhat oppose	13	0	33	28	0	66	11	15	12	14	18	21	17	4	20	11	9
Strongly oppose	18	0	67	14	0	34	18	17	19	17	44	24	9	10	35	10	12
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**15**
DK/NA	3	0	0	13	0	0	4	2	6	0	0	4	0	4	2	2	15

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**66%**	**62%**	**69%**	**46%**	**73%**	**56%**	**69%**	**77%**	**67%**	**79%**	**59%**	**73%**	**63%**	**60%**
Strongly favor	37	36	32	40	33	36	28	42	40	28	59	21	51	31	22
Somewhat favor	29	30	31	28	13	37	28	26	37	40	20	38	22	32	39
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**29**	**36**	**31**	**49**	**14**	**40**	**31**	**18**	**33**	**17**	**41**	**23**	**33**	**39**
Somewhat oppose	13	11	20	15	15	2	15	13	12	4	10	13	13	14	11
Strongly oppose	18	19	16	16	35	12	25	17	7	28	7	28	10	19	28
DK/NA	**3**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**5**	**13**	**4**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**4**	**4**	**1**
DK/NA	3	5	1	0	5	13	4	1	5	0	4	0	4	4	1

TABLE 46:   Q17.   Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**72%**	**71%**	**52%**	**73%**	**68%**	**49%**	**73%**	**70%**	**81%**	**71%**	**59%**	**57%**	**46%**	**74%**	**72%**	**62%**	**47%**
Strongly favor	37	41	45	27	41	41	25	31	38	49	38	31	26	24	36	47	30	24
Somewhat favor	29	32	27	25	32	27	24	42	32	31	33	27	31	22	38	25	32	23
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**23**	**27**	**46**	**23**	**31**	**47**	**23**	**24**	**19**	**29**	**41**	**42**	**49**	**19**	**26**	**38**	**48**
Somewhat oppose	13	13	10	15	15	12	13	16	18	6	0	20	11	14	15	14	0	17
Strongly oppose	18	10	17	30	8	19	34	7	6	13	29	22	31	35	4	12	38	31
DK/NA	**3**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**1**	**4**	**4**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**7**	**2**	**0**	**5**
DK/NA	3	4	2	3	5	1	4	4	7	0	0	0	1	5	7	2	0	5

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**72%**	**75%**	**64%**	**47%**	**84%**	**58%**	**77%**	**59%**	**62%**	**33%**	**69%**	**76%**	**74%**	**60%**	**43%**	**53%**
Strongly favor	37	40	44	31	30	49	31	49	32	34	14	35	48	46	34	11	35
Somewhat favor	29	32	31	33	17	35	27	28	27	28	19	34	28	29	26	32	18
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**26**	**22**	**31**	**52**	**14**	**33**	**22**	**40**	**33**	**64**	**23**	**22**	**25**	**39**	**51**	**45**
Somewhat oppose	13	12	6	16	22	8	24	3	20	11	15	14	16	12	9	13	13
Strongly oppose	18	14	16	16	30	7	9	18	21	22	48	8	6	13	30	39	32
DK/NA	**3**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**1**	**2**	**9**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**3**	**8**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**5**	**2**
DK/NA	3	2	3	4	1	2	9	1	1	4	3	8	2	1	2	5	2

TABLE 46:   Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
TOTAL FAVOR	**66%**	**69%**	**65%**	**68%**	**58%**	**67%**	**65%**	**72%**	**61%**	**75%**	**56%**	**66%**	**63%**	**65%**	**64%**	**77%**	**65%**	**59%**	**67%**
Strongly favor	37	28	40	44	31	32	40	34	30	51	30	43	40	42	31	50	32	25	29
Somewhat favor	29	41	25	24	27	35	25	38	30	24	25	23	22	23	33	27	33	35	39
TOTAL OPPOSE	**31**	**31**	**32**	**30**	**34**	**31**	**31**	**26**	**38**	**22**	**39**	**34**	**35**	**34**	**29**	**22**	**32**	**41**	**27**
Somewhat oppose	13	20	18	8	10	19	8	15	24	3	13	8	22	15	13	8	22	9	4
Strongly oppose	18	11	14	22	23	12	23	11	14	19	26	25	13	19	15	13	10	31	23
DK/NA	**3**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**8**	**2**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**7**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**6**
DK/NA	3	0	4	2	8	2	4	2	1	3	5	0	2	1	7	1	3	0	6

TABLE 47: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
		-----------		--											-----------------------------------					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
Yes	61%	62%	60%	53%	66%	54%	65%	57%	73%	59%	60%	65%	57%	65%	59%	60%	57%	61%	71%	49%
No	32	32	31	35	27	37	28	43	21	32	32	31	34	29	27	36	26	25	24	30
DK/NA	7	6	9	12	6	9	7	0	6	10	8	4	9	5	14	3	17	14	4	21

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
		-----------		-----------------			-----------		---						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
Yes	61%	53%	70%	68%	56%	63%	65%	52%	78%	65%	56%	37%	72%	45%	27%
No	32	26	30	26	36	31	28	40	19	23	40	62	21	52	38
DK/NA	7	21	0	6	9	6	7	8	2	11	4	1	6	3	36

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		-----------------------------					-----------		-----------		---						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
Yes	61%	76%	37%	46%	66%	37%	55%	65%	62%	60%	42%	53%	66%	70%	47%	68%	57%
No	32	17	62	39	15	55	36	28	33	31	47	43	22	30	45	26	23
DK/NA	7	7	1	14	19	7	9	7	5	10	11	4	12	0	8	6	19

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		-----------------			-----------------------------					-----------------------------------					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
Yes	61%	56%	63%	69%	52%	70%	61%	59%	66%	58%	70%	66%	66%	54%	66%
No	32	37	27	25	47	26	39	32	19	39	25	33	28	35	34
DK/NA	7	7	10	6	1	4	1	9	15	2	6	1	6	11	0

182

TABLE 47:   Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
																Q20 PARTY SELF-ID		IDEOLOGY BY PARTY
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
Yes	61%	63%	66%	57%	64%	65%	54%	50%	62%	70%	50%	65%	60%	52%	65%	64%	43%	58%
No	32	24	29	40	24	29	45	39	24	23	29	30	35	48	17	32	51	41
DK/NA	7	13	5	3	12	6	2	11	14	7	21	5	5	1	18	4	6	1

	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
Yes	61%	51%	71%	62%	56%	70%	57%	66%	63%	60%	47%	50%	79%	66%	62%	46%	61%
No	32	41	24	28	38	18	32	31	27	40	50	33	15	26	34	53	37
DK/NA	7	8	5	11	6	12	11	3	10	0	3	17	6	8	5	1	2

	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
		Q22 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER						AREAS							
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
Yes	61%	57%	64%	66%	54%	59%	62%	57%	62%	67%	58%	52%	64%	58%	52%	76%	71%	54%	58%
No	32	32	31	28	43	32	32	36	27	29	35	30	30	30	40	24	25	36	35
DK/NA	7	11	5	7	3	9	6	7	11	4	7	18	6	12	8	0	4	10	7

TABLE 48: Q19. IDEOLOGY

		GENDER		Q2 AGE												Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**39%**	**34%**	**24%**	**22%**	**31%**	**48%**	**42%**	**57%**	**23%**	**41%**	**47%**	**26%**	**48%**	**37%**	**41%**	**14%**	**30%**	**26%**	**45%**
Very conservative	15	16	14	5	6	13	25	21	24	5	18	24	8	24	10	20	3	8	9	11
Somewhat conservative	21	22	20	19	16	18	23	21	33	18	23	22	18	25	27	21	11	22	16	34
MODERATE	**36**	**37**	**36**	**46**	**46**	**43**	**26**	**33**	**22**	**46**	**33**	**29**	**45**	**27**	**32**	**33**	**56**	**41**	**38**	**27**
Moderate	36	37	36	46	46	43	26	33	22	46	33	29	45	27	32	33	56	41	38	27
LIBERAL	**24**	**21**	**27**	**27**	**30**	**24**	**25**	**17**	**17**	**29**	**23**	**21**	**27**	**21**	**29**	**22**	**30**	**28**	**33**	**27**
Somewhat liberal	14	13	15	18	20	18	11	8	10	19	15	9	18	10	22	13	10	16	27	19
Very liberal	10	8	12	10	10	6	14	9	7	10	8	12	9	11	7	9	20	12	6	8
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**7**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**4**	**2**	**4**	**2**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**1**
DK/NA/Refused	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	7	4	2	2	4	2	4	2	4	0	1	3	1

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**28%**	**49%**	**26%**	**45%**	**26%**	**36%**	**36%**	**33%**	**30%**	**38%**	**50%**	**32%**	**45%**	**36%**
Very conservative	15	5	16	9	22	2	17	12	12	11	14	26	12	21	23
Somewhat conservative	21	23	33	17	23	24	19	24	21	19	24	24	20	24	12
MODERATE	**36**	**38**	**23**	**37**	**35**	**42**	**38**	**33**	**36**	**41**	**36**	**30**	**38**	**33**	**40**
Moderate	36	38	23	37	35	42	38	33	36	41	36	30	38	33	40
LIBERAL	**24**	**31**	**28**	**36**	**17**	**24**	**23**	**28**	**28**	**27**	**23**	**14**	**28**	**18**	**22**
Somewhat liberal	14	24	20	21	9	20	14	14	16	19	9	6	17	7	21
Very liberal	10	7	8	15	7	5	8	13	12	9	14	7	11	10	1
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**8**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**3**	**6**	**2**	**5**	**3**
DK/NA/Refused	3	3	0	1	4	8	3	3	3	2	3	6	2	5	3

TABLE 48:   Q19. IDEOLOGY

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**31%**	**53%**	**33%**	**32%**	**32%**	**32%**	**40%**	**36%**	**37%**	**38%**	**55%**	**30%**	**35%**	**46%**	**32%**	**26%**
Very conservative	15	9	26	19	17	20	14	16	16	15	16	17	13	18	16	15	5
Somewhat conservative	21	21	27	15	15	12	18	24	19	22	22	39	17	17	30	17	20
MODERATE	**36**	**39**	**34**	**32**	**32**	**40**	**37**	**36**	**37**	**36**	**33**	**26**	**38**	**38**	**30**	**38**	**51**
Moderate	36	39	34	32	32	40	37	36	37	36	33	26	38	38	30	38	51
LIBERAL	**24**	**28**	**9**	**31**	**32**	**27**	**27**	**22**	**24**	**25**	**22**	**16**	**31**	**26**	**19**	**29**	**18**
Somewhat liberal	14	19	4	22	24	26	17	12	11	18	13	7	23	15	11	19	15
Very liberal	10	10	5	9	9	1	10	10	13	7	9	9	8	11	9	10	3
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**2**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**4**	**2**	**7**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**5**	**1**	**6**
DK/NA/Refused	3	2	4	3	4	1	4	2	4	2	7	3	1	2	5	1	6

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**35%**	**36%**	**42%**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**68%**	**15%**	**68%**	**21%**	**34%**	**86%**
Very conservative	15	16	17	13	100	0	42	0	0	38	4	36	10	10	40
Somewhat conservative	21	19	18	29	0	0	58	0	0	30	11	32	11	24	46
MODERATE	**36**	**40**	**37**	**26**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**10**	**39**	**14**	**39**	**44**	**6**
Moderate	36	40	37	26	0	0	0	100	0	10	39	14	39	44	6
LIBERAL	**24**	**23**	**27**	**26**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**19**	**44**	**15**	**38**	**18**	**5**
Somewhat liberal	14	13	18	15	0	0	0	0	59	0	27	3	23	16	0
Very liberal	10	10	9	11	0	100	0	0	41	19	17	12	15	2	5
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**3**	**1**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**2**	**4**	**3**
DK/NA/Refused	3	3	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	2	4	3

TABLE 48:   Q19. IDEOLOGY

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID									IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**17%**	**26%**	**69%**	**18%**	**27%**	**77%**	**36%**	**18%**	**17%**	**10%**	**41%**	**74%**	**79%**	**0%**	**35%**	**0%**	**100%**
Very conservative	15	5	12	33	6	12	37	7	6	6	0	21	21	43	0	11	0	48
Somewhat conservative	21	12	14	36	12	14	40	28	13	11	10	20	53	36	0	24	0	52
MODERATE	**36**	**35**	**52**	**25**	**33**	**53**	**16**	**31**	**27**	**51**	**50**	**55**	**18**	**16**	**0**	**65**	**77**	**0**
Moderate	36	35	52	25	33	53	16	31	27	51	50	55	18	16	0	65	77	0
LIBERAL	**24**	**47**	**17**	**4**	**48**	**17**	**5**	**23**	**54**	**30**	**40**	**2**	**8**	**4**	**100**	**0**	**23**	**0**
Somewhat liberal	14	26	12	1	24	13	1	18	25	23	35	2	3	1	51	0	6	0
Very liberal	10	21	5	3	23	4	4	5	29	7	5	0	5	3	49	0	17	0
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**1**	**5**	**2**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**10**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
DK/NA/Refused	3	1	5	2	1	4	2	10	1	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**28%**	**49%**	**24%**	**47%**	**19%**	**17%**	**29%**	**24%**	**81%**	**74%**	**13%**	**23%**	**17%**	**40%**	**70%**	**82%**
Very conservative	15	7	26	8	22	5	7	13	11	39	35	5	7	5	22	24	45
Somewhat conservative	21	21	24	15	25	14	11	16	13	42	38	9	16	12	18	46	37
MODERATE	**36**	**49**	**26**	**42**	**28**	**42**	**24**	**49**	**56**	**14**	**19**	**36**	**29**	**62**	**39**	**23**	**12**
Moderate	36	49	26	42	28	42	24	49	56	14	19	36	29	62	39	23	12
LIBERAL	**24**	**20**	**22**	**33**	**20**	**38**	**57**	**19**	**15**	**3**	**6**	**50**	**45**	**19**	**14**	**5**	**5**
Somewhat liberal	14	15	12	21	8	21	28	15	11	0	2	29	21	15	10	2	1
Very liberal	10	5	10	12	12	17	30	4	4	3	4	21	25	4	5	3	4
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**7**	**2**	**1**
DK/NA/Refused	3	3	3	1	4	1	1	3	5	2	1	1	2	2	7	2	1

TABLE 48:   Q19.   IDEOLOGY

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
CONSERVATIVE	**36%**	**32%**	**42%**	**36%**	**38%**	**36%**	**37%**	**39%**	**33%**	**39%**	**35%**	**34%**	**29%**	**31%**	**32%**	**44%**	**40%**	**48%**	**28%**
Very conservative	15	15	13	17	17	14	17	16	12	17	17	10	9	9	16	22	14	21	23
Somewhat conservative	21	17	29	19	21	22	20	23	22	22	18	24	20	22	16	23	27	27	5
MODERATE	**36**	**40**	**28**	**39**	**36**	**35**	**38**	**36**	**33**	**39**	**38**	**33**	**39**	**36**	**41**	**35**	**39**	**31**	**26**
Moderate	36	40	28	39	36	35	38	36	33	39	38	33	39	36	41	35	39	31	26
LIBERAL	**24**	**24**	**27**	**22**	**26**	**26**	**23**	**21**	**31**	**21**	**24**	**31**	**27**	**29**	**26**	**18**	**18**	**18**	**39**
Somewhat liberal	14	16	14	14	11	15	14	12	18	14	13	17	17	17	16	9	12	11	16
Very liberal	10	8	13	8	15	10	9	9	13	7	12	13	9	11	10	9	7	7	22
DK/NA/REF	**3**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**2**	**4**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**3**	**5**	**4**	**1**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**8**
DK/NA/Refused	3	4	3	3	1	3	2	4	3	1	3	3	5	4	1	3	3	3	8

TABLE 49: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

		GENDER		Q2 AGE												Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
DEMOCRAT	**31%**	**30%**	**32%**	**29%**	**32%**	**31%**	**34%**	**23%**	**36%**	**30%**	**32%**	**30%**	**30%**	**32%**	**34%**	**26%**	**53%**	**39%**	**24%**	**41%**
Strong Democrat	23	23	24	19	25	20	25	19	31	22	23	24	21	25	25	19	43	30	12	34
Not so strong Democrat	8	8	8	9	7	11	8	4	5	8	9	6	9	7	9	7	10	9	12	7
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	**37**	**37**	**37**	**35**	**49**	**42**	**31**	**45**	**26**	**41**	**37**	**34**	**41**	**33**	**29**	**37**	**43**	**37**	**40**	**22**
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	5	2	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	3	11	5	5	1
Independent/no leans	27	28	25	27	36	33	20	27	16	31	28	20	32	21	23	25	32	28	35	16
Independent/lean Republican	7	6	7	6	6	3	7	14	6	6	5	10	5	8	3	8	1	4	0	5
REPUBLICAN	**23**	**24**	**21**	**11**	**14**	**22**	**32**	**24**	**34**	**12**	**27**	**30**	**16**	**31**	**20**	**29**	**4**	**13**	**17**	**22**
Not so strong Republican	6	5	6	4	4	5	6	6	10	4	5	8	5	7	4	7	1	3	4	5
Strong Republican	17	19	15	7	9	16	26	18	24	8	22	22	11	24	16	21	3	10	13	17
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	**9**	**9**	**10**	**25**	**5**	**5**	**3**	**7**	**5**	**17**	**4**	**6**	**13**	**5**	**16**	**8**	**0**	**11**	**19**	**15**
No, not registered to vote	6	4	7	19	1	2	2	3	2	11	2	3	8	2	14	3	0	9	14	13
DK/NA	4	5	3	6	4	3	1	5	2	5	2	2	4	2	3	5	0	2	5	1

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
DEMOCRAT	**31%**	**34%**	**35%**	**52%**	**18%**	**28%**	**28%**	**38%**	**31%**	**40%**	**29%**	**18%**	**35%**	**23%**	**33%**
Strong Democrat	23	30	19	43	11	20	21	28	24	32	18	11	27	14	28
Not so strong Democrat	8	4	15	9	7	8	7	10	7	9	11	7	8	9	5
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	**37**	**34**	**23**	**33**	**39**	**44**	**40**	**32**	**40**	**34**	**40**	**36**	**37**	**38**	**33**
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	2	5	3	5	4	5	3	5	5	4	4	5	2
Independent/no leans	27	25	21	23	27	35	30	20	30	23	26	25	27	25	25
Independent/lean Republican	7	6	0	4	8	4	6	7	6	5	9	8	6	8	5
REPUBLICAN	**23**	**14**	**28**	**6**	**35**	**14**	**23**	**23**	**20**	**17**	**20**	**38**	**18**	**30**	**28**
Not so strong Republican	6	3	6	2	8	4	6	6	5	4	9	7	5	8	3
Strong Republican	17	11	22	4	27	9	17	17	14	12	11	31	13	22	25
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	**9**	**18**	**15**	**9**	**8**	**14**	**10**	**7**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**8**	**9**	**9**	**7**
No, not registered to vote	6	16	11	8	5	3	6	5	6	6	9	2	6	5	4
DK/NA	4	2	4	1	4	11	4	2	4	3	2	5	4	4	2

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 49:   Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
DEMOCRAT	31%	32%	9%	45%	39%	51%	32%	31%	30%	33%	20%	28%	40%	29%	24%	35%	22%
Strong Democrat	23	23	5	36	25	44	24	23	22	24	15	24	30	19	20	25	12
Not so strong Democrat	8	9	4	9	14	7	8	8	8	8	5	4	10	10	4	10	10
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	40	46	27	37	21	36	38	37	37	43	39	31	43	41	37	41
Independent/lean Democrat	4	4	5	1	2	0	4	4	3	5	11	3	2	3	7	2	0
Independent/no leans	27	28	30	14	24	5	27	26	27	26	21	18	26	28	20	27	38
Independent/lean Republican	7	8	11	12	11	16	5	8	7	6	12	17	4	12	14	8	4
REPUBLICAN	23	16	35	21	15	24	23	22	23	23	27	24	18	19	26	19	20
Not so strong Republican	6	5	9	3	2	5	7	4	6	6	6	12	3	4	8	4	9
Strong Republican	17	10	27	17	13	20	16	18	17	17	22	12	15	15	17	15	11
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	9	12	9	7	9	4	9	9	11	7	9	9	10	10	9	10	16
No, not registered to vote	6	9	6	4	9	0	6	5	6	5	3	6	8	8	4	8	8
DK/NA	4	3	3	3	0	4	3	4	4	3	7	3	2	1	5	2	8

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
DEMOCRAT	31%	29%	30%	40%	12%	72%	16%	28%	61%	15%	63%	14%	53%	18%	0%
Strong Democrat	23	21	25	29	8	67	12	17	51	15	46	11	40	12	0
Not so strong Democrat	8	8	5	11	3	5	4	11	10	0	17	3	13	6	0
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	39	39	29	29	15	27	54	26	29	29	30	29	50	0
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	5	6	0	2	1	6	7	0	4	0	3	6	0
Independent/no leans	27	27	32	19	21	13	19	38	19	16	21	19	21	32	0
Independent/lean Republican	7	9	2	4	9	0	7	10	1	13	4	11	4	13	0
REPUBLICAN	23	21	24	26	55	8	48	10	4	49	5	50	12	15	100
Not so strong Republican	6	5	6	7	8	3	12	3	2	12	1	11	3	5	22
Strong Republican	17	16	18	19	47	5	37	7	3	37	4	39	9	10	78
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	9%	11%	6%	5%	4%	5%	9%	8%	9%	7%	3%	5%	5%	16%	0%
No, not registered to vote	6	7	4	3	2	3	6	5	6	2	1	3	3	12	0
DK/NA	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	5	2	3	2	4	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 49:   Q20. PARTY SELF ID

						Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
DEMOCRAT	31%	88%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%
Strong Democrat	23	66	0	0	75	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	84	66	0	0
Not so strong Democrat	8	22	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	16	34	0	0
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	12	100	23	0	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/lean Democrat	4	12	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/no leans	27	0	100	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/lean Republican	7	0	0	23	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN	23	0	0	77	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	100	100
Not so strong Republican	6	0	0	19	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	31	24
Strong Republican	17	0	0	58	0	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	69	76
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No, not registered to vote	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
DEMOCRAT	31%	26%	34%	34%	29%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Strong Democrat	23	17	27	25	22	74	75	0	0	0	0	70	79	0	0	0	0
Not so strong Democrat	8	9	7	9	7	26	25	0	0	0	0	30	21	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	37	45	30	38	37	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0
Independent/lean Democrat	4	3	3	5	5	0	0	9	13	0	0	0	0	10	11	0	0
Independent/no leans	27	37	20	28	23	0	0	75	68	0	0	0	0	77	63	0	0
Independent/lean Republican	7	5	8	5	9	0	0	17	19	0	0	0	0	12	25	0	0
REPUBLICAN	23	16	32	15	29	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Not so strong Republican	6	5	6	5	8	0	0	0	0	21	29	0	0	0	0	30	22
Strong Republican	17	12	26	10	21	0	0	0	0	79	71	0	0	0	0	70	78
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	9	13	4	13	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No, not registered to vote	6	5	2	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	4	8	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 49:   Q20. PARTY SELF ID

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
DEMOCRAT	**31%**	**37%**	**27%**	**29%**	**31%**	**33%**	**30%**	**32%**	**33%**	**28%**	**31%**	**37%**	**34%**	**35%**	**32%**	**27%**	**19%**	**34%**	**44%**
Strong Democrat	23	30	22	21	17	26	20	27	25	17	23	25	32	29	24	22	11	17	40
Not so strong Democrat	8	8	5	8	14	6	10	5	8	11	8	12	2	6	8	6	8	16	4
INDEPENDENT W/LEANS	**37**	**40**	**34**	**40**	**31**	**37**	**38**	**37**	**38**	**38**	**37**	**31**	**42**	**37**	**37**	**37**	**43**	**33**	**28**
Independent/lean Democrat	4	5	4	5	1	4	4	4	5	2	5	2	6	4	6	2	4	2	3
Independent/no leans	27	29	28	28	14	29	24	28	30	28	22	23	28	26	25	31	29	26	23
Independent/lean Republican	7	7	1	7	16	4	9	5	3	8	10	6	8	7	7	4	10	5	2
REPUBLICAN	**23**	**12**	**26**	**25**	**35**	**18**	**27**	**19**	**17**	**30**	**25**	**21**	**14**	**17**	**23**	**26**	**27**	**24**	**26**
Not so strong Republican	6	2	7	6	10	4	7	4	4	6	8	4	3	3	5	10	8	8	3
Strong Republican	17	10	19	19	24	14	20	15	13	24	17	17	11	14	18	16	20	17	23
NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA	**9**	**10**	**13**	**6**	**3**	**12**	**6**	**12**	**12**	**3**	**7**	**12**	**10**	**11**	**8**	**9**	**11**	**8**	**2**
No, not registered to vote	6	8	9	4	0	8	3	6	11	1	4	9	7	8	3	8	4	6	2
DK/NA	4	3	5	3	3	4	3	6	1	2	3	3	3	3	5	2	6	3	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 50: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

		GENDER						Q2 AGE								Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**27%**	**12%**	**6%**	**4%**	**16%**	**34%**	**31%**	**25%**	**5%**	**27%**	**28%**	**9%**	**32%**	**6%**	**26%**	**23%**	**11%**	**8%**	**5%**
Strongly favor	11	15	6	2	0	9	18	25	13	1	13	20	4	19	2	15	12	5	5	0
Somewhat favor	9	12	6	4	4	7	16	6	12	4	14	8	5	13	4	11	10	5	3	5
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	13	6	3	4	9	14	14	21	3	10	18	5	15	5	14	0	3	5	5
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**41**	**31**	**39**	**44**	**27**	**36**	**42**	**38**	**41**	**29**	**43**	**36**	**38**	**34**	**36**	**37**	**35**	**26**	**41**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	7	9	15	7	4	7	9	10	11	4	11	9	8	9	9	0	7	4	12
Strongly unfavorable	28	34	23	24	38	23	29	33	28	30	25	32	28	30	25	27	37	27	22	28
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**32**	**57**	**55**	**51**	**56**	**30**	**27**	**36**	**53**	**45**	**30**	**54**	**31**	**60**	**38**	**40**	**55**	**66**	**55**
No opinion/don't know	40	30	51	51	47	53	24	24	32	49	40	26	51	26	53	35	33	48	56	50
Refused	4	2	6	4	4	4	6	3	5	4	5	4	4	5	7	3	8	7	11	5

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**1%**	**15%**	**5%**	**29%**	**14%**	**19%**	**21%**	**13%**	**19%**	**18%**	**39%**	**16%**	**30%**	**11%**
Strongly favor	11	0	7	2	18	0	10	12	8	9	9	20	9	15	7
Somewhat favor	9	1	8	4	12	14	10	8	4	10	9	19	7	14	4
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	1	11	1	15	7	10	9	10	6	7	20	8	14	4
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**35**	**33**	**56**	**25**	**33**	**41**	**28**	**44**	**38**	**41**	**15**	**41**	**26**	**38**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	10	6	9	8	5	8	8	6	10	14	5	7	9	9
Strongly unfavorable	28	24	27	47	17	29	32	20	38	28	26	9	34	17	29
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**64**	**52**	**39**	**46**	**52**	**40**	**52**	**43**	**43**	**41**	**47**	**43**	**44**	**51**
No opinion/don't know	40	56	46	36	41	45	36	48	41	38	35	40	39	38	50
Refused	4	8	6	3	4	8	4	4	3	5	6	6	4	6	1

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 50:   Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**15%**	**29%**	**22%**	**31%**	**19%**	**16%**	**23%**	**19%**	**21%**	**27%**	**26%**	**19%**	**16%**	**26%**	**18%**	**10%**
Strongly favor	11	10	15	8	16	2	8	13	11	10	12	7	13	9	10	11	10
Somewhat favor	9	5	14	14	15	16	8	10	8	10	14	19	7	6	16	7	0
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	8	15	9	13	6	10	9	6	13	14	13	11	7	13	9	3
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**43**	**21**	**36**	**28**	**41**	**36**	**37**	**38**	**35**	**30**	**18**	**39**	**50**	**24**	**45**	**20**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	7	12	8	7	12	12	5	9	8	14	5	13	4	10	8	1
Strongly unfavorable	28	36	9	27	21	29	23	32	30	27	16	13	27	46	15	36	19
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**42**	**50**	**42**	**41**	**40**	**48**	**40**	**43**	**45**	**44**	**57**	**41**	**34**	**50**	**38**	**71**
No opinion/don't know	40	39	44	38	38	35	44	37	40	39	39	53	37	33	45	35	55
Refused	4	4	6	4	3	5	5	4	3	6	5	3	4	1	4	3	16

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**18%**	**15%**	**30%**	**47%**	**26%**	**37%**	**8%**	**12%**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**
Strongly favor	11	8	10	20	27	23	20	3	8	100	0	54	0	0	52
Somewhat favor	9	10	5	10	20	3	17	5	4	0	0	46	0	0	48
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	8	10	15	26	5	23	2	2	49	0	50	0	0	100
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**34**	**41**	**39**	**24**	**63**	**21**	**39**	**56**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**0**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	7	11	10	16	8	9	8	6	0	0	0	22	0	0
Strongly unfavorable	28	28	30	29	7	55	12	31	50	0	100	0	78	0	0
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**48**	**44**	**31**	**29**	**11**	**42**	**53**	**32**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**0**
No opinion/don't know	40	42	38	31	28	10	39	47	32	0	0	0	0	90	0
Refused	4	5	6	0	1	1	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	10	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. – CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY – 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 50:   Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

| | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**8%**	**15%**	**39%**	**9%**	**15%**	**47%**	**10%**	**10%**	**7%**	**0%**	**23%**	**39%**	**50%**	**15%**	**3%**	**25%**	**53%**
Strongly favor	11	5	6	21	5	8	25	8	7	0	0	15	23	25	10	0	10	29
Somewhat favor	9	4	8	18	4	8	22	3	3	7	0	9	16	24	6	3	14	23
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	0	0	32	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	39	50	0	0	25	53
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**61**	**30**	**20**	**64**	**27**	**22**	**19**	**66**	**60**	**32**	**17**	**21**	**22**	**59**	**71**	**36**	**18**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	5	7	11	6	6	14	11	8	0	0	5	15	14	6	5	12	15
Strongly unfavorable	28	56	23	9	59	21	7	8	58	60	32	12	6	8	54	65	24	3
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**31**	**55**	**40**	**26**	**57**	**32**	**71**	**24**	**33**	**68**	**60**	**40**	**29**	**25**	**27**	**39**	**29**
No opinion/don't know	40	30	46	39	25	51	29	59	23	33	68	60	36	27	25	25	33	29
Refused	4	1	9	2	1	6	2	11	1	0	0	0	5	2	1	1	6	0

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**16%**	**37%**	**4%**	**24%**	**11%**	**7%**	**23%**	**7%**	**61%**	**30%**	**2%**	**18%**	**9%**	**24%**	**32%**	**59%**
Strongly favor	11	7	23	2	12	9	0	13	2	29	20	0	11	6	11	10	36
Somewhat favor	9	9	14	2	12	2	7	10	5	32	11	2	7	2	13	22	23
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	8	18	3	11	0	0	0	0	61	30	0	0	0	0	32	59
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**43**	**41**	**31**	**33**	**70**	**57**	**32**	**22**	**21**	**23**	**65**	**64**	**29**	**25**	**22**	**22**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	10	6	8	11	3	8	5	8	15	14	3	8	8	4	17	12
Strongly unfavorable	28	33	35	23	22	67	48	28	14	6	9	62	56	21	22	5	10
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**41**	**23**	**65**	**43**	**19**	**36**	**44**	**71**	**19**	**47**	**34**	**18**	**62**	**51**	**46**	**19**
No opinion/don't know	40	37	22	61	31	18	35	44	59	19	42	33	17	58	42	44	17
Refused	4	4	1	4	12	1	1	1	12	0	5	1	1	4	9	3	2

TABLE 50:   Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
TOTAL FAVOR	**20%**	**13%**	**18%**	**23%**	**25%**	**15%**	**24%**	**19%**	**10%**	**35%**	**13%**	**7%**	**17%**	**12%**	**16%**	**25%**	**22%**	**28%**	**32%**
Strongly favor	11	9	7	12	15	8	13	11	5	20	6	7	7	7	8	9	11	15	32
Somewhat favor	9	4	12	11	10	7	11	9	5	15	6	0	10	5	9	16	11	14	0
Rep/Tea Party Favorable	10	3	11	13	14	6	13	6	6	21	6	7	7	7	7	16	13	13	4
TOTAL UNFAVORABLE	**36**	**34**	**26**	**38**	**52**	**31**	**42**	**41**	**19**	**42**	**41**	**31**	**40**	**36**	**40**	**39**	**31**	**30**	**42**
Somewhat unfavorable	8	15	6	5	6	11	6	11	12	4	7	4	13	9	9	7	9	5	9
Strongly unfavorable	28	19	21	33	46	20	36	30	7	37	35	27	27	27	31	32	21	25	33
NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA	**44**	**53**	**55**	**39**	**23**	**54**	**35**	**40**	**71**	**23**	**46**	**63**	**43**	**52**	**43**	**35**	**47**	**42**	**25**
No opinion/don't know	40	47	52	35	23	49	32	38	62	21	41	52	36	44	43	32	46	34	25
Refused	4	6	4	4	0	5	3	2	9	2	4	11	7	9	0	4	2	7	0

TABLE 51: Q22. EDUCATION

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
NON-COLLEGE	**51%**	**54%**	**48%**	**59%**	**51%**	**41%**	**54%**	**48%**	**51%**	**56%**	**47%**	**51%**	**51%**	**52%**	**60%**	**46%**	**56%**	**60%**	**60%**	**60%**
Grades 1-8	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2
Grades 9-11	3	4	3	5	1	3	5	2	2	3	4	3	3	4	9	2	0	5	5	13
High school graduate (12)	24	27	21	29	24	20	25	21	24	27	23	22	24	24	30	19	39	34	27	32
Community college/some/bus	23	23	24	25	26	18	23	26	23	26	19	25	23	24	20	24	17	21	29	14
COLLEGE+	**48**	**45**	**51**	**41**	**48**	**59**	**46**	**52**	**48**	**44**	**53**	**48**	**49**	**48**	**40**	**54**	**43**	**39**	**40**	**40**
College graduate (4)	36	34	38	23	39	46	37	41	37	30	41	38	35	38	29	38	42	33	33	27
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	10	13	17	9	13	9	11	11	14	11	10	14	10	11	16	1	7	7	13
REF	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Refused	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
NON-COLLEGE	**51%**	**63%**	**56%**	**51%**	**50%**	**61%**	**48%**	**58%**	**49%**	**57%**	**64%**	**39%**	**52%**	**50%**	**51%**
Grades 1-8	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1
Grades 9-11	3	15	2	3	2	12	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	11
High school graduate (12)	24	28	32	22	26	20	21	31	22	30	31	13	26	21	24
Community college/some/bus	23	18	22	25	22	30	23	24	25	21	29	22	24	25	14
COLLEGE+	**48**	**37**	**44**	**49**	**49**	**39**	**51**	**42**	**51**	**43**	**35**	**59**	**48**	**49**	**49**
College graduate (4)	36	28	30	38	36	32	38	31	39	30	25	49	35	39	35
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	8	13	11	13	7	13	10	12	13	9	10	13	10	14
REF	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**
Refused	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0

TABLE 51:   Q22. EDUCATION

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
NON-COLLEGE	**51%**	**48%**	**49%**	**44%**	**49%**	**45%**	**52%**	**51%**	**51%**	**52%**	**37%**	**57%**	**56%**	**37%**	**46%**	**47%**	**57%**
Grades 1-8	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Grades 9-11	3	3	2	6	13	0	3	4	3	4	0	8	5	2	4	3	6
High school graduate (12)	24	26	28	18	16	26	23	25	23	25	27	20	33	21	23	27	12
Community college/some/bus	23	19	19	19	16	20	27	21	24	23	11	29	17	15	19	16	38
COLLEGE+	**48**	**52**	**51**	**55**	**51**	**55**	**47**	**49**	**49**	**47**	**63**	**43**	**44**	**63**	**54**	**53**	**40**
College graduate (4)	36	39	42	33	40	26	35	37	36	37	52	32	32	42	43	37	30
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	12	9	22	11	29	12	12	13	10	11	11	11	20	11	16	10
REF	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Refused	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
NON-COLLEGE	**51%**	**49%**	**57%**	**56%**	**47%**	**54%**	**51%**	**49%**	**54%**	**36%**	**33%**	**37%**	**40%**	**58%**	**29%**
Grades 1-8	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Grades 9-11	3	3	4	3	3	2	4	2	4	0	0	0	0	8	0
High school graduate (12)	24	21	25	33	22	20	19	29	24	25	18	20	26	26	8
Community college/some/bus	23	24	27	20	20	32	27	18	26	12	14	17	14	24	21
COLLEGE+	**48**	**51**	**43**	**43**	**53**	**45**	**49**	**51**	**45**	**64**	**67**	**63**	**60**	**41**	**71**
College graduate (4)	36	37	33	36	40	27	36	39	32	44	44	45	40	34	51
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	14	11	7	13	18	12	12	13	20	22	18	20	7	20
REF	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**
Refused	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

TABLE 51:   Q22.   EDUCATION

					Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
NON-COLLEGE	51%	54%	56%	39%	54%	51%	42%	67%	58%	42%	56%	31%	40%	42%	51%	56%	33%	44%
Grades 1-8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Grades 9-11	3	3	5	1	3	4	0	6	4	3	0	5	0	1	5	3	0	1
High school graduate (12)	24	30	26	16	30	26	14	22	32	24	31	23	12	15	23	36	13	15
Community college/some/bus	23	21	25	22	20	21	27	35	22	15	25	4	28	26	23	17	20	29
COLLEGE+	48	45	44	61	46	49	58	29	41	58	44	69	60	58	48	44	67	56
College graduate (4)	36	34	38	40	34	39	40	26	32	37	41	39	39	41	35	32	38	40
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	11	6	21	12	10	18	3	9	21	3	29	22	17	13	11	28	16
REF	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Refused	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
NON-COLLEGE	51%	59%	50%	44%	55%	57%	51%	54%	49%	43%	40%	47%	61%	53%	49%	36%	44%
Grades 1-8	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Grades 9-11	3	5	3	2	5	5	2	4	5	0	1	5	3	3	7	0	1
High school graduate (12)	24	29	25	20	22	29	30	32	20	17	12	27	34	26	25	12	15
Community college/some/bus	23	24	21	22	27	22	19	19	24	26	27	16	24	24	17	25	28
COLLEGE+	48	41	50	56	45	42	49	46	51	57	60	53	39	47	51	64	56
College graduate (4)	36	31	38	39	37	35	33	34	43	41	39	36	32	37	41	40	41
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	9	12	17	8	7	17	12	8	16	21	17	7	10	10	24	15
REF	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 51:   Q22. EDUCATION

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
NON-COLLEGE	**51%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**	**100%**	**0%**	**0%**	**56%**	**43%**	**49%**	**49%**	**54%**	**56%**	**50%**	**58%**
Grades 1-8	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Grades 9-11	3	12	0	0	0	7	0	7	6	0	0	12	1	6	2	3	4	0	4
High school graduate (12)	24	86	0	0	0	47	0	50	43	0	0	18	25	22	24	22	30	19	35
Community college/some/bus	23	0	100	0	0	46	0	42	50	0	0	25	17	20	23	29	22	30	19
COLLEGE+	**48**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**0**	**100**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**44**	**55**	**50**	**50**	**46**	**44**	**50**	**42**
College graduate (4)	36	0	0	100	0	0	75	0	0	77	74	30	46	39	35	36	34	39	28
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	0	0	0	100	0	25	0	0	23	26	14	9	11	15	10	10	11	14
REF	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Refused	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0

TABLE 52: GENDER

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
Men	50%	100%	0%	44%	42%	52%	60%	44%	49%	43%	56%	51%	46%	54%	48%	50%	55%	50%	44%	51%
Women	50	0	100	56	58	48	40	56	51	57	44	49	54	46	52	50	45	50	56	49

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
Men	50%	47%	49%	45%	52%	57%	52%	47%	55%	53%	35%	45%	54%	41%	50%
Women	50	53	51	55	48	43	48	53	45	47	65	55	46	59	50

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
Men	50%	59%	39%	46%	50%	41%	52%	49%	50%	50%	43%	49%	52%	61%	45%	56%	42%
Women	50	41	61	54	50	59	48	51	50	50	57	51	48	39	55	44	58

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
Men	50%	52%	43%	50%	54%	40%	53%	51%	43%	75%	62%	72%	59%	38%	70%
Women	50	48	57	50	46	60	47	49	57	25	38	28	41	62	30

TABLE 52:   GENDER

					Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
Men	50%	48%	52%	52%	49%	50%	53%	47%	49%	50%	39%	47%	45%	56%	39%	58%	44%	55%
Women	50	52	48	48	51	50	47	53	51	50	61	53	55	44	61	42	56	45

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
Men	50%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	39%	58%	50%	49%	48%	56%
Women	50	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	61	42	50	51	52	44

		Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
Men	50%	56%	49%	47%	44%	53%	47%	100%	0%	100%	0%	47%	52%	50%	44%	55%	50%	49%	63%
Women	50	44	51	53	56	47	53	0	100	0	100	53	48	50	56	45	50	51	37

TABLE 53: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
English	95%	97%	93%	100%	91%	94%	93%	95%	95%	96%	92%	96%	95%	94%	75%	100%	100%	86%	72%	78%
Spanish	5	3	7	0	9	6	7	5	5	4	8	4	5	6	25	0	0	14	28	22

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
English	95%	63%	91%	94%	96%	91%	93%	99%	94%	92%	95%	99%	94%	97%	96%
Spanish	5	37	9	6	4	9	7	1	6	8	5	1	6	3	4

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
English	95%	91%	98%	93%	90%	95%	94%	95%	94%	95%	95%	93%	90%	98%	94%	94%	76%
Spanish	5	9	2	7	10	5	6	5	6	5	5	7	10	2	6	6	24

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
English	95%	96%	90%	95%	98%	96%	94%	96%	93%	100%	92%	100%	93%	89%	100%
Spanish	5	4	10	5	2	4	6	4	7	0	8	0	7	11	0

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 53:   LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
					Q20 PARTY SELF-ID										IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
English	95%	94%	92%	97%	94%	93%	98%	98%	93%	98%	96%	95%	100%	97%	94%	94%	94%	99%
Spanish	5	6	8	3	6	7	2	2	7	2	4	5	0	3	6	6	6	1

	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
English	95%	97%	96%	94%	92%	95%	93%	96%	90%	98%	98%	94%	94%	93%	92%	100%	96%
Spanish	5	3	4	6	8	5	7	4	10	2	2	6	6	7	8	0	4

	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
		Q22 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER						AREAS							
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
English	95%	89%	96%	97%	99%	92%	97%	96%	89%	98%	97%	80%	99%	91%	96%	96%	98%	98%	95%
Spanish	5	11	4	3	1	8	3	4	11	2	3	20	1	9	4	4	2	2	5

TABLE 54: A/B SPLIT

		GENDER		Q2 AGE											Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
A Split	50%	50%	50%	54%	48%	49%	49%	58%	41%	51%	49%	50%	51%	49%	50%	50%	50%	50%	46%	53%
B Split	50	50	50	46	52	51	51	42	59	49	51	50	49	51	50	50	50	50	54	47

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
A Split	50%	56%	43%	49%	52%	42%	51%	48%	49%	47%	58%	50%	48%	54%	52%
B Split	50	44	57	51	48	58	49	52	51	53	42	50	52	46	48

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
A Split	50%	47%	51%	49%	41%	43%	50%	50%	100%	0%	39%	49%	49%	52%	44%	51%	49%
B Split	50	53	49	51	59	57	50	50	0	100	61	51	51	48	56	49	51

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
A Split	50%	52%	46%	48%	53%	65%	49%	51%	49%	50%	51%	46%	51%	47%	30%
B Split	50	48	54	52	47	35	51	49	51	50	49	54	49	53	70

FAIRBANK, MASLIN, MAULLIN, METZ & ASSOCIATES
U.S. - CUBA RELATIONS ISSUE SURVEY - 320-594 (JANUARY 7-17 & 21-22, 2014) *FLORIDA SAMPLE*

TABLE 54:   A/B SPLIT

| | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
A Split	50%	47%	51%	50%	48%	50%	50%	59%	47%	49%	39%	51%	49%	50%	51%	43%	49%	50%
B Split	50	53	49	50	52	50	50	41	53	51	61	49	51	50	49	57	51	50

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
A Split	50%	52%	48%	50%	51%	53%	42%	45%	55%	48%	52%	51%	43%	49%	51%	46%	53%
B Split	50	48	52	50	49	47	58	55	45	52	48	49	57	51	49	54	47

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
A Split	50%	48%	51%	49%	56%	49%	51%	54%	44%	45%	56%	50%	50%	50%	51%	51%	50%	43%	54%
B Split	50	52	49	51	44	51	49	46	56	55	44	50	50	50	49	49	50	57	46

TABLE 55: C/D SPLIT

| | | GENDER | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON-WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
C Split	50%	50%	50%	49%	55%	47%	50%	43%	57%	52%	50%	48%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	53%	48%
D Split	50	50	50	51	45	53	50	57	43	48	50	52	50	50	50	50	50	50	47	52

| | | Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR | | Q7 U.S. DIRECTION | | | Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS | | Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL | | | | | | |
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
C Split	50%	54%	45%	50%	50%	46%	49%	51%	47%	54%	51%	53%	49%	52%	47%
D Split	50	46	55	50	50	54	51	49	53	46	49	47	51	48	53

| | | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
C Split	50%	44%	53%	50%	47%	52%	48%	52%	50%	50%	43%	49%	50%	47%	46%	49%	45%
D Split	50	56	47	50	53	48	52	48	50	50	57	51	50	53	54	51	55

| | | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
C Split	50%	50%	46%	54%	46%	59%	48%	51%	52%	46%	44%	51%	42%	51%	43%
D Split	50	50	54	46	54	41	52	49	48	54	56	49	58	49	57

TABLE 55:   C/D SPLIT

| | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
C Split	50%	53%	45%	52%	54%	46%	52%	48%	58%	43%	40%	52%	51%	53%	58%	50%	62%	49%
D Split	50	47	55	48	46	54	48	52	42	57	60	48	49	47	42	50	38	51

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
C Split	50%	46%	54%	53%	46%	52%	56%	43%	48%	53%	51%	57%	52%	42%	50%	60%	47%
D Split	50	54	46	47	54	48	44	57	52	47	49	43	48	58	50	40	53

| | | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
C Split	50%	50%	55%	47%	48%	52%	48%	52%	52%	47%	48%	50%	50%	50%	50%	47%	42%	63%	54%
D Split	50	50	45	53	52	48	52	48	48	53	52	50	50	50	50	53	58	37	46

TABLE 56: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

		GENDER					Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
Q15 first	45%	46%	44%	44%	49%	43%	41%	47%	54%	46%	38%	52%	45%	45%	55%	44%	32%	45%	60%	52%
Q16 first	55	54	56	56	51	57	59	53	46	54	62	48	55	55	45	56	68	55	40	48

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
Q15 first	45%	66%	41%	44%	45%	49%	44%	47%	49%	40%	44%	39%	46%	41%	56%
Q16 first	55	34	59	56	55	51	56	53	51	60	56	61	54	59	44

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
Q15 first	45%	45%	40%	52%	51%	50%	100%	0%	45%	45%	44%	44%	49%	45%	44%	47%	38%
Q16 first	55	55	60	48	49	50	0	100	55	55	56	56	51	55	56	53	62

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
Q15 first	45%	44%	44%	47%	42%	47%	40%	46%	50%	34%	38%	37%	45%	50%	48%
Q16 first	55	56	56	53	58	53	60	54	50	66	62	63	55	50	52

TABLE 56:   Q15/Q16 ROTATION

							Q20 PARTY SELF-ID								IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Total																	
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
Q15 first	45%	46%	46%	44%	46%	44%	46%	43%	46%	46%	43%	35%	58%	42%	50%	42%	53%	44%
Q16 first	55	54	54	56	54	56	54	57	54	54	57	65	42	58	50	58	47	56

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Total																
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
Q15 first	45%	45%	49%	46%	41%	50%	42%	45%	43%	48%	44%	43%	50%	46%	41%	47%	46%
Q16 first	55	55	51	54	59	50	58	55	57	52	56	57	50	54	59	53	54

		Q22 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Total																	
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
Q15 first	45%	41%	51%	44%	46%	46%	44%	47%	44%	46%	43%	56%	48%	51%	43%	39%	53%	36%	32%
Q16 first	55	59	49	56	54	54	56	53	56	54	57	44	52	49	57	61	47	64	68

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

| | | GENDER | | | | | | Q2 AGE | | | | | | | | | Q3 ETHNICITY | | | | |
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	616	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
GENDER BY AGE	**98%**	**98%**	**99%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**	**98%**	**97%**	**98%**	**99%**	**100%**
MEN 18-49	24	47	0	44	42	52	0	0	0	43	27	0	46	0	32	20	33	31	22	38
MEN 50+	25	50	0	0	0	0	60	44	49	0	29	51	0	54	16	29	19	18	22	13
WOMEN 18-49	28	0	56	56	58	48	0	0	0	57	25	0	54	0	39	24	24	35	47	34
WOMEN 50+	21	0	43	0	0	0	40	56	51	0	19	49	0	46	12	25	20	14	9	15
EDUCATION BY GENDER	**100**	**99**	**100**	**100**	**99**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**99**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**100**	**99**	**100**	**100**	**100**
NON-COLL MEN	27	54	0	28	23	29	34	21	15	26	32	22	27	27	29	25	34	31	27	30
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	0	48	31	27	12	20	28	36	30	15	29	24	25	31	21	22	29	33	30
COLL+ MEN	22	45	0	16	18	23	26	23	33	17	24	28	19	27	19	25	19	19	17	21
COLL+ WOMEN	26	0	52	25	31	36	20	28	15	27	29	20	30	21	21	29	23	21	23	19
PARTY BY GENDER	**91**	**91**	**91**	**75**	**95**	**95**	**97**	**93**	**95**	**83**	**96**	**94**	**87**	**95**	**84**	**92**	**100**	**89**	**81**	**85**
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	30	0	12	10	14	20	12	21	11	18	16	12	18	17	12	30	20	12	20
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	0	32	17	22	17	14	11	15	19	14	15	18	13	17	15	23	18	13	21
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	37	0	15	22	25	17	18	14	18	20	17	21	16	13	19	23	18	18	11
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	0	37	19	27	17	14	28	13	23	16	17	21	17	16	18	21	19	22	12
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	24	0	7	6	9	21	12	13	7	15	15	7	17	11	15	3	7	8	12
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	0	21	4	8	13	11	12	20	6	12	14	8	13	9	14	1	6	9	10
PARTY BY AGE	**89**	**89**	**89**	**75**	**95**	**95**	**97**	**93**	**95**	**83**	**96**	**94**	**87**	**95**	**83**	**91**	**97**	**88**	**80**	**85**
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	12	19	29	32	31	0	0	0	30	16	0	30	0	24	12	24	22	19	27
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	17	12	0	0	0	34	23	36	0	16	30	0	32	10	14	26	16	5	14
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	21	21	35	49	42	0	0	0	41	21	0	41	0	20	18	34	27	25	17
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	15	16	0	0	0	31	45	26	0	15	34	0	33	9	18	9	10	14	6
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	8	8	11	14	22	0	0	0	12	11	0	16	0	12	9	0	7	5	17
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	16	12	0	0	0	32	24	34	0	16	30	0	31	8	19	4	6	11	5
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	**53**	**54**	**52**	**40**	**45**	**52**	**65**	**46**	**68**	**42**	**58**	**59**	**46**	**61**	**53**	**55**	**57**	**51**	**40**	**62**
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	12	18	14	19	13	17	9	12	16	15	13	15	14	18	12	26	20	12	23
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	19	13	15	12	18	16	13	22	14	17	17	15	17	15	14	27	19	13	17
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	4	5	4	2	7	4	7	7	3	6	6	4	5	5	6	1	3	5	5
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	19	16	8	12	14	28	17	27	9	20	24	11	25	14	23	3	9	10	17

TABLE 57:   DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Total	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
		IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	616	73	57	217	336	63	420	196	234	157	81	105	391	186	39
GENDER BY AGE	98%	99%	100%	98%	98%	97%	98%	98%	98%	98%	97%	98%	98%	97%	99%
MEN 18-49	24	28	37	26	20	36	25	22	25	28	20	16	26	18	29
MEN 50+	25	20	12	19	31	18	26	22	29	24	12	29	27	22	20
WOMEN 18-49	28	35	44	39	22	21	26	32	27	28	37	20	27	27	37
WOMEN 50+	21	17	7	15	25	22	22	21	18	18	28	33	18	31	13
EDUCATION BY GENDER	100	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	100	99	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	32	26	26	26	35	27	28	30	31	26	15	30	20	33
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	32	30	24	24	26	21	30	19	26	38	24	22	30	18
COLL+ MEN	22	16	23	19	25	22	24	18	25	22	7	29	24	20	17
COLL+ WOMEN	26	21	21	30	24	17	27	24	25	21	28	31	23	29	32
PARTY BY GENDER	91	82	85	91	92	86	90	93	91	90	89	93	91	92	93
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	21	11	24	10	16	15	16	16	23	6	9	19	8	14
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	13	23	28	9	12	13	22	15	17	23	10	16	15	19
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	13	14	16	20	22	21	14	23	17	13	17	21	15	15
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	21	9	17	19	22	19	18	17	17	27	20	17	23	18
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	5	18	3	19	8	12	13	12	9	8	16	11	13	17
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	8	11	3	16	6	11	10	7	7	12	22	7	18	11
PARTY BY AGE	89	82	85	90	90	84	89	90	89	89	87	91	89	89	93
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	21	27	31	7	6	14	20	15	20	14	7	17	10	27
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	13	7	19	11	20	14	17	15	19	15	10	17	12	6
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	20	21	23	19	28	22	19	21	23	29	13	22	20	22
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	15	2	10	19	15	17	12	18	10	10	24	15	18	10
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	6	19	3	11	8	8	8	7	5	8	13	6	11	11
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	7	9	3	23	5	14	14	12	11	12	25	12	19	17
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	53	46	63	58	52	42	50	60	50	57	49	54	53	52	61
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	19	18	25	8	16	12	20	14	18	18	7	16	12	20
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	14	16	26	10	12	16	17	16	22	11	10	19	10	13
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	7	2	0	7	7	5	4	5	4	1	9	4	6	3
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	5	26	6	27	7	17	19	15	13	19	27	14	24	25

TABLE 57:   DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

		NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	616	198	76	82	37	34	276	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
GENDER BY AGE	98%	99%	98%	98%	98%	99%	99%	98%	98%	98%	98%	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%	97%
MEN 18-49	24	27	18	22	23	24	24	24	25	23	21	22	26	25	21	26	21
MEN 50+	25	32	20	23	27	17	27	23	24	26	22	26	26	35	24	30	19
WOMEN 18-49	28	29	28	35	31	37	28	27	28	28	31	30	31	28	30	30	37
WOMEN 50+	21	11	32	17	17	21	20	23	22	21	25	21	16	11	23	13	21
EDUCATION BY GENDER	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	99	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	97
NON-COLL MEN	27	30	21	23	23	24	28	26	29	25	19	29	28	29	24	29	20
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	18	28	21	25	21	24	24	21	27	18	28	28	8	23	18	37
COLL+ MEN	22	29	19	22	27	17	23	22	20	25	24	19	24	32	22	28	19
COLL+ WOMEN	26	22	32	33	25	38	24	27	29	23	39	24	20	31	32	25	21
PARTY BY GENDER	91	88	91	93	91	96	92	91	89	93	91	91	90	90	91	90	84
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	22	4	17	20	17	17	14	16	14	8	13	24	18	10	21	12
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	10	5	28	19	34	15	17	14	18	12	15	16	11	13	14	10
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	24	15	14	19	10	19	19	17	21	18	15	15	29	17	22	13
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	17	31	13	18	12	18	19	20	17	26	23	16	14	25	15	28
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	11	13	11	9	11	13	11	12	12	12	13	9	13	12	11	9
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	5	23	10	7	13	10	11	11	10	16	10	9	6	13	8	11
PARTY BY AGE	89	87	89	91	89	95	91	88	88	91	89	89	89	89	89	89	83
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	15	2	29	23	32	15	16	16	16	9	11	20	15	10	17	18
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	17	7	16	15	19	16	13	13	17	10	17	20	14	13	17	3
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	26	20	16	21	14	22	21	21	22	22	21	21	25	21	23	22
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	14	26	11	16	6	14	16	16	15	21	17	10	17	19	14	19
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	5	17	11	9	11	8	8	7	9	13	13	9	6	13	7	9
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	10	18	10	6	14	15	14	15	14	15	11	9	12	13	11	12
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	53	47	41	66	54	75	54	52	51	55	44	51	58	47	47	53	42
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	16	3	29	30	25	16	14	15	15	11	11	23	17	11	20	8
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	16	5	16	9	25	15	17	14	18	9	16	17	11	12	14	14
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	4	6	2	2	4	6	4	5	5	5	1	5	3	3	4	9
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	11	27	18	13	21	17	18	17	18	19	22	13	15	21	14	11

TABLE 57:   DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	616	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
GENDER BY AGE	98%	98%	99%	97%	99%	97%	99%	98%	98%	99%	100%	98%	100%	98%	99%
MEN 18-49	24	29	18	12	11	12	18	32	19	15	28	19	28	22	19
MEN 50+	25	21	25	36	42	26	34	18	23	59	33	51	30	14	50
WOMEN 18-49	28	31	32	17	15	33	18	32	38	6	25	7	26	45	11
WOMEN 50+	21	17	24	32	31	26	28	16	18	19	13	21	15	17	19
EDUCATION BY GENDER	100	100	100	99	100	98	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	99	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	29	22	28	28	23	29	27	23	27	28	26	30	24	17
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	20	35	28	19	30	22	22	31	9	5	11	11	34	13
COLL+ MEN	22	23	21	21	25	15	24	24	19	48	34	46	29	13	53
COLL+ WOMEN	26	28	23	22	28	30	25	27	26	16	33	17	31	28	17
PARTY BY GENDER	91	89	94	95	96	95	91	92	91	93	97	95	95	84	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	14	12	22	4	25	8	18	24	15	40	10	33	7	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	14	18	19	7	47	8	11	37	0	23	5	21	11	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	19	18	15	16	7	15	25	14	25	19	23	17	20	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	20	21	14	13	8	12	29	12	4	10	7	11	31	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	13	9	13	30	4	27	5	2	31	2	35	6	5	70
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	8	16	13	24	4	21	6	3	18	3	15	6	10	30
PARTY BY AGE	89	87	92	93	95	93	90	90	89	92	97	93	94	82	99
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	18	14	13	5	33	6	16	32	0	33	1	27	12	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	10	15	27	7	36	9	12	27	15	29	13	26	6	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	25	22	10	7	8	10	36	16	13	17	10	18	32	0
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	14	17	18	22	7	17	17	9	16	12	19	11	18	0
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	9	9	5	12	2	16	5	2	8	1	15	6	10	30
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	12	14	21	42	6	32	5	3	39	4	34	7	5	69
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	53	49	54	65	66	80	64	38	65	64	68	63	65	32	97
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	14	12	20	0	72	0	0	61	15	31	13	27	10	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	14	17	20	12	0	16	28	0	0	31	2	26	8	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	5	3	0	8	0	10	4	4	4	5	4	4	11
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	15	20	22	55	0	48	0	0	44	2	43	8	11	86

TABLE 57:   DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

| | | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | | |
| | DEM W/LNS | INDEP | REP W/LNS | DEM | INDEP W/LNS | REP | NT RG DK/NA | STR DEM | NSTR DEM | INDEP L/DEM | INDEP L/REP | NSTR REP | STR REP | LIB DEM | MD/CN DEM | MD/LB REP | CONS REP |
Total																	
Base	616	217	164	180	192	230	139	55	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
GENDER BY AGE	98%	97%	99%	99%	97%	99%	99%	97%	97%	99%	97%	99%	99%	99%	98%	97%	99%	99%
MEN 18-49	24	20	33	17	20	29	17	34	17	28	18	17	19	16	13	26	18	17
MEN 50+	25	26	19	34	27	20	36	11	29	21	18	30	26	39	24	30	26	38
WOMEN 18-49	28	31	29	19	31	28	19	39	30	32	36	22	24	17	39	23	30	15
WOMEN 50+	21	21	18	28	20	21	28	13	21	18	25	30	30	27	21	18	25	29
EDUCATION BY GENDER	100	100	100	100	99	100	100	97	99	100	100	100	100	100	99	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	28	29	22	28	27	23	36	32	18	28	19	21	24	21	35	13	26
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	26	27	17	26	24	19	31	26	24	28	12	19	19	29	22	20	19
COLL+ MEN	22	19	23	30	20	23	30	8	16	32	11	28	24	32	17	24	31	30
COLL+ WOMEN	26	26	21	31	25	26	28	21	25	26	32	41	36	26	32	20	36	26
PARTY BY GENDER	91	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	43	0	0	49	0	0	0	49	50	0	0	0	0	39	58	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	45	0	0	51	0	0	0	51	50	0	0	0	0	61	42	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	5	52	11	0	50	0	0	0	0	39	47	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	7	48	12	0	50	0	0	0	0	61	53	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	0	0	41	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	45	56	0	0	44	55
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	0	0	36	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	55	44	0	0	56	45
PARTY BY AGE	89	97	99	99	97	99	99	0	97	99	97	99	99	99	98	97	99	99
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	45	0	0	50	0	0	0	47	60	0	0	0	0	53	49	0	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	42	0	0	47	0	0	0	50	39	0	0	0	0	45	48	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	6	62	9	0	57	0	0	0	0	54	39	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	5	37	13	0	41	0	0	0	0	43	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	0	0	28	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	43	33	0	0	48	32
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	0	0	49	0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	56	65	0	0	51	67
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	53	87	0	76	99	0	98	0	99	98	0	0	100	98	100	100	100	100
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	42	0	0	48	0	0	0	54	30	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	45	0	0	51	0	0	0	45	68	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	0	0	16	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	26	19	0	0	100	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	0	0	60	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	74	79	0	0	0	100

214

TABLE 57:   DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	616	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
GENDER BY AGE	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	99%	98%	99%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MEN 18-49	24	100	0	0	0	41	0	57	0	32	0	39	0	50	0	48	0
MEN 50+	25	0	100	0	0	55	0	41	0	67	0	0	58	0	49	0	56
WOMEN 18-49	28	0	0	100	0	0	60	0	57	0	39	61	0	50	0	52	0
WOMEN 50+	21	0	0	0	100	0	39	0	42	0	59	0	42	0	51	0	44
EDUCATION BY GENDER	100	100	99	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	59	50	0	0	57	0	54	0	43	0	24	31	27	26	24	23
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	0	0	44	55	0	51	0	49	0	40	23	31	26	23	12	22
COLL+ MEN	22	41	50	0	0	42	0	46	0	57	0	15	27	23	23	24	34
COLL+ WOMEN	26	0	0	56	45	0	49	0	51	0	60	38	12	24	28	40	22
PARTY BY GENDER	91	87	96	87	95	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	26	34	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	39	58	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	0	0	34	29	0	100	0	0	0	0	61	42	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	45	30	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	50	49	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	0	0	38	37	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	50	51	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	16	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	48	56
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	0	0	15	29	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	52	44
PARTY BY AGE	89	87	96	87	95	96	99	98	99	99	98	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	26	0	34	0	41	60	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	0	34	0	29	55	39	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	45	0	38	0	0	0	57	57	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	0	30	0	37	0	0	41	42	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	16	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	32	39	0	0	0	0	100	0
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	0	32	0	29	0	0	0	0	67	59	0	0	0	0	0	100
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	53	42	64	49	57	99	99	0	0	98	99	99	98	0	0	98	99
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	8	14	21	15	38	57	0	0	0	0	50	45	0	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	17	19	13	14	61	42	0	0	0	0	49	52	0	0	0	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	4	5	5	6	0	0	0	0	17	25	0	0	0	0	28	17
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	13	26	10	23	0	0	0	0	81	74	0	0	0	0	70	82

TABLE 57:   DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

| | Total | Q22 EDUCATION | | | | | | EDUCATION BY GENDER | | | | AREAS | | | | | | | |
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN-HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	616	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
GENDER BY AGE	98%	98%	98%	99%	98%	98%	99%	97%	99%	99%	98%	100%	96%	98%	98%	98%	98%	99%	99%
MEN 18-49	24	29	24	21	18	27	20	51	0	43	0	27	27	27	23	25	19	28	13
MEN 50+	25	26	22	26	25	24	26	46	0	56	0	20	22	21	21	28	30	22	49
WOMEN 18-49	28	22	26	30	40	24	32	0	50	0	61	26	31	29	31	27	21	32	24
WOMEN 50+	21	21	24	22	14	23	20	0	49	0	38	27	16	21	24	18	29	18	13
EDUCATION BY GENDER	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	99	100	100	99	100	100
NON-COLL MEN	27	56	49	0	0	53	0	100	0	0	0	25	25	25	22	33	32	26	39
NON-COLL WOMEN	24	44	51	0	0	47	0	0	100	0	0	31	18	24	28	21	24	25	19
COLL+ MEN	22	0	0	47	44	0	47	0	0	100	0	22	25	24	23	21	17	24	25
COLL+ WOMEN	26	0	0	53	56	0	53	0	0	0	100	22	30	26	28	25	26	26	18
PARTY BY GENDER	91	90	87	94	97	88	94	88	88	97	93	88	90	89	93	91	89	92	98
DEMOCRAT MEN	15	19	14	15	9	17	13	32	0	28	0	21	14	17	13	20	9	14	25
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	16	18	13	14	23	16	16	0	33	0	31	16	20	18	19	7	9	20	19
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	24	15	17	19	20	18	37	0	38	0	10	28	20	17	20	17	19	20
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	19	17	19	22	12	18	20	0	38	0	37	21	14	17	21	18	27	15	8
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	7	13	14	16	10	14	19	0	30	0	12	4	8	12	12	17	14	16
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	11	5	12	11	19	8	13	0	17	0	25	8	10	9	11	14	10	11	10
PARTY BY AGE	89	88	84	93	96	86	93	85	87	96	91	88	87	87	91	88	87	91	97
DEMOCRAT 18-49	16	18	10	16	22	14	17	14	15	11	23	23	19	21	13	13	5	25	17
DEMOCRAT 50+	15	20	15	13	8	18	12	17	19	18	7	14	13	13	18	14	12	8	27
INDEP W/LNS 18-49	21	22	22	22	18	22	21	21	23	22	20	14	23	19	29	17	21	20	15
INDEP W/LNS 50+	15	18	11	18	12	15	16	15	15	16	17	16	19	18	8	19	23	14	12
REPUBLICAN 18-49	8	3	8	9	16	6	11	7	4	9	12	6	9	8	8	13	5	9	6
REPUBLICAN 50+	14	8	17	16	18	12	17	12	13	22	12	14	4	9	15	12	22	15	21
IDEOLOGY BY PARTY	53	49	52	53	66	50	56	51	50	58	55	56	47	51	55	53	46	55	68
LIB DEMOCRAT	15	15	14	15	16	15	15	12	18	11	18	21	18	19	18	6	8	11	25
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	16	23	11	14	15	17	14	20	14	17	12	15	16	15	15	21	10	21	17
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	2	4	5	11	3	7	2	4	7	7	7	6	6	6	3	2	2	6
CONS REPUBLICAN	17	9	22	19	23	15	20	17	13	23	18	13	7	10	17	23	25	20	20

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

		GENDER					Q2 AGE										Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	356	185	171	68	54	71	93	31	33	122	135	94	194	157	85	205	46	144	37	48
CONSISTENT VOTER	**77%**	**80%**	**74%**	**68%**	**83%**	**78%**	**79%**	**83%**	**76%**	**74%**	**78%**	**80%**	**76%**	**79%**	**74%**	**75%**	**86%**	**79%**	**90%**	**61%**
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	64	47	52	68	54	54	48	58	59	53	55	58	54	55	50	77	63	74	41
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	16	27	16	14	24	25	35	18	15	24	25	18	25	19	25	8	16	17	20
SWING	**23**	**20**	**26**	**32**	**17**	**22**	**21**	**17**	**24**	**26**	**22**	**20**	**24**	**21**	**26**	**25**	**14**	**21**	**10**	**39**
SWING	23	20	26	32	17	22	21	17	24	26	22	20	24	21	26	25	14	21	10	39
MOVERS	**20**	**18**	**23**	**23**	**17**	**22**	**18**	**17**	**22**	**20**	**21**	**18**	**21**	**18**	**26**	**20**	**14**	**21**	**10**	**39**
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	10	11	12	7	11	10	10	12	10	11	10	10	10	13	12	3	9	3	21
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	8	12	11	10	11	8	7	10	11	10	8	11	8	13	8	11	12	7	18

		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	IMMIG	BORN	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	356	56	29	123	201	33	243	113	120	104	46	60	224	106	26
CONSISTENT VOTER	**77%**	**68%**	**86%**	**76%**	**79%**	**67%**	**81%**	**69%**	**96%**	**80%**	**54%**	**85%**	**89%**	**72%**	**0%**
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	47	72	65	51	47	58	52	96	80	0	0	89	0	0
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	21	14	11	28	20	23	18	0	0	54	85	0	72	0
SWING	**23**	**32**	**14**	**24**	**21**	**33**	**19**	**31**	**4**	**20**	**46**	**15**	**11**	**28**	**100**
SWING	23	32	14	24	21	33	19	31	4	20	46	15	11	28	100
MOVERS	**20**	**32**	**14**	**20**	**18**	**31**	**18**	**25**	**4**	**20**	**35**	**12**	**11**	**22**	**84**
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	14	11	14	7	21	9	14	0	0	35	12	0	22	50
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	18	3	7	11	10	9	10	4	20	0	0	11	0	35

TABLE 58:   NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

| | Total | NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS | | | | | Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION | | A/B SPLIT | | Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST | | | | | | |
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	356	198	76	82	37	34	162	194	172	184	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
CONSISTENT VOTER	77%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	74%	80%	77%	78%	75%	71%	79%	80%	73%	80%	72%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	100	0	0	0	0	55	56	54	57	30	40	59	73	34	66	57
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	0	100	0	0	0	19	24	23	20	45	31	20	7	39	13	15
SWING	23	0	0	100	100	100	26	20	23	22	25	29	21	20	27	20	28
SWING	23	0	0	100	100	100	26	20	23	22	25	29	21	20	27	20	28
MOVERS	20	0	0	87	100	100	22	18	17	22	25	25	21	15	25	18	14
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	0	0	45	100	0	11	9	9	12	8	11	14	8	9	11	7
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	0	0	42	0	100	10	9	9	11	17	14	6	7	16	7	6

| | Total | QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE | | | Q19 IDEOLOGY | | | | | Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY | | | | | |
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	356	204	78	71	53	31	128	129	89	37	101	70	130	157	35
CONSISTENT VOTER	77%	76%	78%	77%	71%	76%	79%	80%	72%	82%	78%	74%	78%	78%	80%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	55	55	58	34	63	47	60	64	52	71	43	65	53	47
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	22	23	20	37	13	31	20	8	30	7	32	12	25	33
SWING	23	24	22	23	29	24	21	20	28	18	22	26	22	22	20
SWING	23	24	22	23	29	24	21	20	28	18	22	26	22	22	20
MOVERS	20	19	20	22	25	11	18	20	24	18	18	26	19	18	20
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	11	8	11	12	10	9	9	13	16	8	16	8	10	14
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	8	13	11	13	1	9	11	10	2	10	9	11	9	6

TABLE 58:   NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

| | | | | | Q20 PARTY SELF-ID | | | | | | | | | | IDEOLOGY BY PARTY | | |
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	356	121	91	108	108	137	74	36	79	29	13	34	19	55	59	48	15	57
CONSISTENT VOTER	77%	69%	87%	76%	66%	84%	77%	84%	63%	74%	94%	72%	86%	75%	59%	73%	88%	74%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	60	62	43	59	58	41	65	58	63	65	47	52	37	55	65	57	38
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	9	25	33	6	26	36	19	5	12	29	25	33	37	5	8	30	36
SWING	23	31	13	24	34	16	23	16	37	26	6	28	14	25	41	27	12	26
SWING	23	31	13	24	34	16	23	16	37	26	6	28	14	25	41	27	12	26
MOVERS	20	27	11	22	29	15	19	12	31	26	6	28	13	21	34	25	12	21
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	13	10	9	13	10	8	9	12	18	6	12	5	9	19	7	4	9
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	14	2	13	16	5	11	3	19	8	0	16	9	12	15	18	8	13

| | | GENDER BY AGE | | | | PARTY BY GENDER | | | | | | PARTY BY AGE | | | | | |
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	MEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	356	85	97	108	60	61	47	70	68	40	34	54	52	79	56	33	41
CONSISTENT VOTER	77%	79%	81%	73%	77%	77%	51%	84%	84%	78%	77%	57%	76%	84%	84%	74%	80%
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	62	65	54	36	72	43	67	49	54	26	54	66	65	49	33	47
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	16	16	20	40	5	8	17	35	24	50	3	10	19	35	40	33
SWING	23	21	19	27	23	23	49	16	16	22	23	43	24	16	16	26	20
SWING	23	21	19	27	23	23	49	16	16	22	23	43	24	16	16	26	20
MOVERS	20	19	16	22	22	21	40	15	16	17	20	35	22	16	14	21	17
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	10	10	11	10	12	15	10	10	8	7	15	10	10	11	10	6
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	9	6	12	12	9	25	5	6	9	13	20	12	6	3	11	12

219

TABLE 58:   NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	356	103	66	137	49	169	186	94	75	90	96	50	56	106	82	56	49	41	22
CONSISTENT VOTER	**77%**	**80%**	**77%**	**81%**	**63%**	**79%**	**76%**	**80%**	**77%**	**80%**	**72%**	**75%**	**77%**	**76%**	**65%**	**82%**	**81%**	**87%**	**90%**
CONSISTENT FAVOR	56	57	56	57	50	57	55	63	48	64	46	55	55	55	47	67	57	51	67
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	21	23	21	23	14	22	21	17	29	16	26	20	21	21	17	15	24	36	22
SWING	**23**	**20**	**23**	**19**	**37**	**21**	**24**	**20**	**23**	**20**	**28**	**25**	**23**	**24**	**35**	**18**	**19**	**13**	**10**
SWING	23	20	23	19	37	21	24	20	23	20	28	25	23	24	35	18	19	13	10
MOVERS	**20**	**20**	**19**	**17**	**28**	**20**	**20**	**18**	**22**	**17**	**23**	**25**	**21**	**23**	**28**	**16**	**16**	**13**	**4**
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	12	9	11	8	11	10	9	12	11	9	11	8	10	17	10	8	8	0
NEGATIVE MOVERS	10	8	10	6	20	9	10	9	9	6	14	13	13	13	12	6	8	5	4

TABLE 59: AREAS

	Total	GENDER MEN	GENDER WOMEN	Q2 AGE 18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-49	50+	Q3 ETHNICITY HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	LATNO CUBAN	LATNO N-CBN
Base	617	309	308	119	92	107	157	67	62	211	209	185	318	287	130	376	80	228	52	78
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	12%	14%	12%	16%	12%	17%	8%	9%	14%	13%	13%	13%	13%	45%	2%	17%	32%	61%	34%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	17	15	15	12	27	8	18	19	14	18	15	18	13	17	16	19	17	20	15
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	29	29	28	28	39	25	26	28	28	31	28	32	26	62	18	36	49	81	50
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	22	27	28	20	26	26	19	23	25	26	22	25	23	19	27	18	19	6	28
ATLANTIC COAST	14	15	13	13	17	13	14	15	12	15	14	14	14	14	8	15	14	11	5	10
GULF COAST	15	15	15	15	12	8	15	26	25	14	9	24	12	19	3	20	5	7	5	2
PANHANDLE	11	11	11	12	15	12	13	4	6	13	14	6	13	9	2	13	18	8	2	1
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	8	5	5	6	2	9	9	5	6	7	7	4	8	5	7	7	5	0	8

	Total	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR IMMIG	BORN	Q7 U.S. DIRECTION RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS NONE	ALL OTHER	Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	617	73	57	217	337	63	421	196	234	157	81	106	391	187	39
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	54%	33%	15%	10%	23%	13%	12%	13%	13%	12%	14%	13%	13%	12%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	13	23	23	13	8	18	12	18	14	23	13	16	17	9
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	67	56	38	23	31	31	24	31	27	35	27	29	30	21
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	18	21	27	23	26	23	27	24	21	29	24	23	26	32
ATLANTIC COAST	14	7	10	14	14	15	16	11	15	16	10	12	15	11	15
GULF COAST	15	3	3	10	18	22	13	21	17	13	18	11	15	14	21
PANHANDLE	11	0	4	8	14	4	11	10	5	17	7	19	10	13	8
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	5	5	4	8	2	5	8	7	7	1	8	7	5	3

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	A/B SPLIT NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	617	198	76	82	37	34	277	340	309	308	60	50	110	108	110	219	28
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	14%	13%	15%	16%	19%	16%	10%	13%	13%	12%	10%	19%	8%	11%	14%	28%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	16	16	16	12	22	17	15	16	16	18	18	15	15	18	15	15
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	29	29	31	27	41	33	26	29	29	29	27	34	23	28	29	44
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	20	19	36	37	28	24	25	25	24	31	15	20	28	24	24	14
ATLANTIC COAST	14	19	11	12	15	11	12	15	14	14	15	10	16	22	13	19	2
GULF COAST	15	14	15	12	11	11	18	13	16	15	9	16	13	12	12	12	31
PANHANDLE	11	10	19	7	9	6	9	13	9	12	11	23	8	10	17	9	9
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	8	7	3	0	3	4	8	7	6	4	8	9	5	6	7	1

TABLE 59:   AREAS

		QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	REPS/ FAV
Base	617	365	113	134	95	62	224	224	150	37	101	70	130	157	35
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	15%	17%	3%	8%	17%	12%	12%	16%	9%	13%	5%	12%	20%	9%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	16	14	15	9	15	13	17	18	11	15	14	17	15	11
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	32	31	19	18	32	25	29	34	19	29	18	29	35	21
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	26	22	24	25	24	22	28	26	17	25	19	25	23	17
ATLANTIC COAST	14	13	16	14	20	13	17	13	10	13	18	20	17	13	26
GULF COAST	15	13	17	21	14	10	17	16	12	15	10	15	12	15	18
PANHANDLE	11	12	7	13	15	7	15	9	8	16	10	16	9	11	16
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	5	7	9	9	14	5	4	10	19	7	10	7	4	3

		Q20 PARTY SELF-ID													IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
Base	617	217	164	180	192	230	139	56	143	49	25	41	35	104	92	98	29	108
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	14%	11%	12%	16%	11%	12%	17%	14%	20%	6%	13%	9%	13%	19%	12%	18%	10%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	18	17	12	17	18	10	18	22	4	23	20	8	10	19	16	21	7
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	32	28	24	33	29	22	34	36	24	28	33	16	23	38	28	40	16
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	26	23	25	25	25	25	21	25	26	36	26	21	26	29	22	31	24
ATLANTIC COAST	14	12	16	14	12	14	16	14	13	10	8	9	24	13	6	19	8	18
GULF COAST	15	10	17	19	9	18	19	18	7	15	16	22	21	18	9	10	8	22
PANHANDLE	11	11	11	11	12	10	12	10	8	22	6	9	15	11	8	14	6	13
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	8	5	6	9	5	7	1	11	3	5	2	3	9	10	7	8	7

		GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	IND/L 18-49	IND/L 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+
Base	617	147	155	171	132	94	98	114	115	74	65	97	90	131	95	49	88
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	15%	10%	12%	16%	18%	13%	7%	14%	13%	10%	19%	13%	9%	14%	10%	13%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	18	14	18	12	14	20	24	12	5	15	19	14	17	20	19	5
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	33	24	30	28	32	34	31	27	19	25	38	27	26	34	29	17
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	24	20	27	27	20	30	23	27	25	24	21	31	33	12	24	26
ATLANTIC COAST	14	15	16	14	12	19	7	15	13	14	19	12	13	12	17	22	12
GULF COAST	15	12	18	11	21	9	9	14	22	22	15	5	12	15	23	9	24
PANHANDLE	11	13	9	13	9	10	14	11	9	13	11	18	6	10	10	12	12
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	3	12	5	4	10	7	7	3	8	6	7	12	4	5	4	9

TABLE 59:   AREAS

	Total	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				AREAS							
		HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	MIAMI DADE	BRWRD PALM	SOUTH FLRDA	I-4 CRRDR	ATLNT COAST	GULF COAST	PAN- HANDL	NRTH/ S-CTR
Base	617	172	145	223	73	317	296	168	149	138	159	80	99	179	151	87	95	67	38
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	13%	15%	14%	11%	15%	14%	12%	12%	17%	13%	11%	100%	0%	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
BROWARD AND PALM BEACH COUNTY	16	15	12	20	12	13	18	15	12	18	18	0	100	55	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH FLORIDA	29	30	25	31	27	28	30	27	29	31	29	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
I-4 CORRIDOR	25	23	24	24	32	23	26	19	28	25	26	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
ATLANTIC COAST	14	13	17	14	12	15	13	17	12	13	13	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
GULF COAST	15	18	15	14	12	17	14	18	15	12	16	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
PANHANDLE	11	8	14	12	10	11	11	10	11	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
NORTH/SOUTH CENTRAL	6	9	5	5	7	7	5	9	5	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100