

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE ..... 5

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE ..... 8

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE ..... 12

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE ..... 14

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY ..... 21

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking? ..... 25

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States? ..... 27

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from? ..... 29

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from? ..... 32

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?..... 36

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba..... 38

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?..... 42

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?..... 46

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)..... 50

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?..... 54

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?..... 58

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)..... 62

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens..... 66

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba..... 70

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba..... 74

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling..... 78

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States..... 82

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year..... 86

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials..... 90

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power..... 94

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses..... 98

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland.... 102

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country..... 106

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?..... 110

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?..... 114

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses..... 118

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy.....122

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores.....126

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests.....130

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America.....134

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status.....138

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime.....142

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences.....146

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE 39:	Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall.....	150
TABLE 40:	Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?.....	154
TABLE 41:	Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?.....	158
TABLE 42:	Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?).....	162
TABLE 43:	Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?.....	166
TABLE 44:	Q19. IDEOLOGY .....	168
TABLE 45:	Q20. PARTY SELF ID .....	172
TABLE 46:	Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?.....	176
TABLE 47:	Q20/Q21. OPINION OF TEA PARTY BY PARTY SELF-ID .....	180
TABLE 48:	Q22. EDUCATION .....	182
TABLE 49:	GENDER .....	186
TABLE 50:	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW .....	188
TABLE 51:	CENSUS REGION .....	190
TABLE 52:	REGION .....	193
TABLE 53:	CENSUS DIVISION .....	196
TABLE 54:	A/B SPLIT .....	200
TABLE 55:	C/D SPLIT .....	202
TABLE 56:	Q15/Q16 ROTATION .....	204
TABLE 57:	DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS .....	206
TABLE 58:	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS .....	213

**TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>66%</b>	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	64	54	78	70	60	53	43	28	75	55	44	56	56	70	66	
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	
No, not on cell, but own one	30	26	35	15	24	35	33	44	44	19	35	40	37	32	23	26	
No, not on and do not own	11	10	11	7	5	6	15	13	28	6	10	17	7	12	8	8	
	Total	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
		BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>		
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	41	64	63	55	68	59	59	59	55	60	63	57	62	59		
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>		
No, not on cell, but own one	30	59	25	28	34	19	32	28	33	34	26	26	33	26	31		
No, not on and do not own	11	0	11	9	11	14	10	13	8	12	13	11	10	12	10		
	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>55%</b>
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	60	59	58	69	51	61	57	58	60	61	55	60	60	59	60	55
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>45</b>
No, not on cell, but own one	30	32	28	31	25	36	28	33	33	28	26	34	34	28	29	31	31
No, not on and do not own	11	9	13	11	6	13	11	10	10	11	13	11	6	12	12	9	14

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	91	44	13	61	69	57	56	66	58	57	55	57	62	62	54
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>46</b>
No, not on cell, but own one	30	9	56	37	23	26	30	35	26	31	34	30	34	28	31	26
No, not on and do not own	11	0	0	51	17	5	13	9	8	11	9	14	9	10	7	20

	Total	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
		DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	62	54	57	63	53	61	63	61	68	57	54	44	68	58	66	59	67	59	62	62
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>
No, not on cell, but own one	30	27	36	31	26	38	27	25	27	23	35	36	45	24	29	25	25	25	27	24	28
No, not on and do not own	11	11	9	11	11	9	11	11	12	9	8	9	11	8	14	9	16	8	14	13	11

	Total	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
		MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	78	60	50	72	51	38	67	60	62	43	62	61	81	54	52	68	50	41	80	61	41
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>59</b>
No, not on cell, but own one	30	16	31	35	22	38	44	25	27	28	48	27	28	12	35	31	27	39	46	12	31	38
No, not on and do not own	11	6	10	15	6	11	19	8	13	9	9	11	12	8	11	17	5	10	13	8	7	21

TABLE 1: QA. TYPE OF PHONE

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>YES</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>48%</b>
Yes, cell and can talk safely	59	57	61	61	52	59	59	65	54	63	55	59	59	67	47	59	60	71	62	54	42	48
<b>NO</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>52</b>
No, not on cell, but own one	30	27	30	32	38	28	33	24	33	29	37	32	29	24	39	31	27	21	32	33	42	38
No, not on and do not own	11	16	9	7	11	12	8	11	14	8	8	9	13	8	14	10	12	9	6	13	15	13

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	916	453	463	196	132	194	217	105	53	328	337	233	133	547	114	341
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>54%</b>
All cell phone	32	37	27	53	39	27	23	19	10	48	25	20	31	33	38	32
Mostly cell phone	18	18	18	21	23	19	18	13	9	22	20	12	15	17	26	22
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>
Cell and landline equally	37	35	39	21	34	45	41	37	55	26	43	42	49	36	25	36
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>
Mostly landline	11	8	14	4	2	7	16	30	24	3	10	24	4	12	11	9
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	1

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	ALL NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	916	50	83	330	510	76	627	289	279	237	116	200	516	316	84
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>37%</b>
All cell phone	32	25	34	32	30	42	31	35	30	33	33	37	31	36	21
Mostly cell phone	18	7	19	20	18	15	17	21	17	16	25	20	17	22	16
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>49</b>
Cell and landline equally	37	64	39	38	36	36	38	34	41	40	30	28	40	28	49
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
Mostly landline	11	3	4	9	15	2	13	7	11	11	13	12	11	12	11
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	1	0	3	1	1	5	1	3	1	1	0	3	1	2	2



TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER		MOVER										FIRST
Base	916	428	242	247	93	108	462	454	453	463	206	158	268	221	363	489	63
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>44%</b>
All cell phone	32	32	30	33	44	28	33	31	31	32	38	22	29	36	31	32	36
Mostly cell phone	18	18	23	15	17	13	20	16	18	18	16	22	21	17	19	19	8
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42</b>
Cell and landline equally	37	38	31	42	31	51	34	40	37	37	36	37	39	34	36	37	42
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>
Mostly landline	11	11	14	9	6	8	11	12	12	11	7	19	11	11	12	11	10
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	1	4

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	916	461	338	104	130	87	306	319	254	99	232	193	307	385	92	49
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>48%</b>
All cell phone	32	63	0	0	35	38	31	31	31	38	29	29	30	36	24	31
Mostly cell phone	18	37	0	0	22	18	21	16	18	9	19	14	19	19	16	18
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>35</b>
Cell and landline equally	37	0	100	0	29	39	31	40	41	33	41	39	41	34	46	35
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>
Mostly landline	11	0	0	100	9	4	13	12	9	20	11	17	10	10	11	17
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	0

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM			REP			INDEP		NT RG		STR		NSTR		INDEP		LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA				
Base	916	338	233	256	288	344	195	89	206	82	50	233	61	74	121	56	33	152	132	47	147
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>48%</b>
All cell phone	32	34	29	29	36	26	32	42	36	34	24	29	18	37	29	43	40	30	41	41	29
Mostly cell phone	18	19	17	18	18	17	20	19	18	18	25	17	13	21	20	24	10	20	16	22	20
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38</b>
Cell and landline equally	37	37	41	36	37	40	35	28	37	38	38	41	39	30	39	24	34	43	31	28	38
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>
Mostly landline	11	9	14	13	9	15	9	10	8	10	13	14	24	10	9	6	16	6	11	6	10
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	1	0	0	4	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	6	2	4	3	0	0	1	3	4

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE											
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	916	176	154	115	151	182	117	127	160	177	167	104	91	108	116	56	115	119	107	61	79	51			
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>24%</b>			
All cell phone	32	54	29	22	40	21	17	43	30	32	21	30	33	56	22	27	39	21	18	52	26	13			
Mostly cell phone	18	19	19	16	25	20	9	16	20	20	15	18	22	14	23	17	25	17	9	26	21	12			
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>			
Cell and landline equally	37	24	42	44	29	45	41	33	40	38	42	40	30	28	42	44	27	50	43	22	42	44			
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>27</b>			
Mostly landline	11	2	9	18	5	11	31	8	9	10	21	8	11	2	12	12	8	11	29	0	5	27			
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>			
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	3	2	0	1	1	1	3	4	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	5	5			

TABLE 2: QB. CELL PHONE USAGE

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	916	228	254	320	106	482	427	247	236	200	226	168	188	348	212	182	132	207	127	56	55	157
<b><u>ALL/MOSTLY CELL PHONE</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>44%</b>
All cell phone	32	43	28	30	24	35	28	40	30	33	24	30	32	34	30	30	31	35	31	34	26	32
Mostly cell phone	18	14	24	18	14	20	17	20	19	16	18	17	22	21	13	19	23	22	17	19	13	13
<b><u>BOTH EQUALLY</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>
Cell and landline equally	37	30	38	38	46	34	40	31	36	40	41	39	35	34	42	37	35	33	37	37	37	43
<b><u>MOSTLY LANDLINE</u></b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>10</b>
Mostly landline	11	10	8	13	16	9	14	7	11	10	17	14	10	10	13	13	9	8	14	10	23	10
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	1	3	2	0	0	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	1	3

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	50%	40%	69%	59%	44%	36%	27%	14%	65%	40%	27%	42%	44%	59%	50%
BOTH EQUALLY	33	32	34	20	32	43	35	33	40	25	39	35	45	32	23	34
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	17	24	11	8	12	28	39	45	9	19	37	11	23	18	15
OTHER	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	1

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	32%	48%	47%	43%	49%	43%	49%	43%	43%	50%	51%	43%	51%	33%
BOTH EQUALLY	33	64	35	35	32	31	35	30	37	35	26	25	36	25	45
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	3	15	17	24	15	22	19	18	21	24	22	20	23	20
OTHER	1	0	3	1	1	4	1	3	1	1	0	3	1	2	2

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	46%	46%	42%	57%	36%	48%	42%	45%	45%	47%	39%	47%	47%	44%	47%	38%
BOTH EQUALLY	33	34	27	37	29	44	30	36	33	33	31	33	36	30	32	33	36
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	19	26	19	12	20	20	21	21	21	20	28	16	22	23	19	22
OTHER	1	1	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	3

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	100%	0%	0%	47%	54%	45%	43%	46%	42%	44%	37%	44%	49%	37%	39%
BOTH EQUALLY	33%	0%	100%	0%	24%	37%	27%	37%	38%	29%	38%	33%	37%	30%	43%	28%
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	0	0	100	24	9	25	19	16	29	18	29	18	19	18	33
OTHER	1	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	0

TABLE 3: QA/QB. CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	48%	42%	42%	48%	40%	46%	54%	48%	48%	45%	42%	28%	53%	42%	61%	42%	47%	49%	54%	43%
BOTH EQUALLY	33	33	37	32	33	36	31	24	33	34	35	37	35	28	33	22	29	40	27	24	34
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	19	22	23	19	23	20	20	19	18	20	22	32	17	21	14	29	13	23	19	20
OTHER	1	0	0	4	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	2	4	3	0	0	1	3	3

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE										
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	69%	44%	32%	61%	37%	21%	54%	44%	47%	32%	43%	49%	64%	40%	36%	61%	34%	24%	72%	44%	19%	
BOTH EQUALLY	33	22	38	37	28	40	34	31	35	34	38	36	26	26	37	37	26	45	38	20	39	34	
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	8	17	30	11	21	44	15	21	18	28	19	21	10	22	27	12	20	38	8	12	42	
OTHER	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	5	4	

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
ALL/MOSTLY CELL	45%	48%	48%	45%	34%	48%	42%	54%	42%	46%	38%	42%	47%	50%	37%	45%	48%	52%	45%	46%	33%	38%	
BOTH EQUALLY	33	25	34	36	41	30	37	28	31	36	38	35	31	31	36	34	30	31	34	32	31	38	
ALL/MOSTLY LANDLINE	21	24	16	20	25	20	21	17	23	18	24	22	21	17	25	22	20	16	20	22	34	22	
OTHER	1	3	2	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	1	0	1	2	

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>42%</b>
18-24	12	14	10	58	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	16	10	10	17
25-29	9	10	7	42	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	7	9	12	8
30-34	7	8	7	0	55	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	9	7	7	9
35-39	6	6	6	0	45	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	12	5	6	8
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>42</b>
40-44	11	9	12	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	30	0	17	9	16	14
45-49	9	9	9	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	25	0	12	8	11	11
50-54	9	9	9	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	24	0	9	8	13	10
55-59	8	7	8	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	21	0	7	8	8	7
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>
60-64	8	8	9	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	30	2	9	10	5
65-74	12	12	12	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	43	3	15	6	5
75+	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	26	3	10	0	3
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	3

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT	WRONG	NONE	ALL	STR	SMWT	SMWT	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
				DIR	TRACK		DK/NA	OTHER	FAVOR	FAVOR	OPPOS	OPPOS	FAVOR		OPPOS
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>24%</b>
18-24	12	14	17	17	8	19	10	16	11	11	14	13	11	13	12
25-29	9	2	9	9	7	15	9	8	10	10	9	7	10	8	4
30-34	7	3	12	8	7	6	7	9	7	10	8	5	8	6	6
35-39	6	13	12	7	6	6	6	7	4	9	9	6	6	7	2
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>48</b>
40-44	11	20	15	11	11	13	11	10	12	8	10	10	11	10	15
45-49	9	21	7	7	11	3	10	8	9	9	5	12	9	9	10
50-54	9	17	4	9	8	10	8	11	7	6	9	10	7	10	19
55-59	8	8	7	7	8	7	7	9	7	9	10	8	8	8	4
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>
60-64	8	0	3	7	10	2	9	6	7	7	12	13	7	12	2
65-74	12	0	4	10	13	8	14	6	16	10	8	9	14	8	13
75+	7	0	5	7	7	8	7	8	7	10	6	4	8	4	11
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	2

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>37%</b>
18-24	12	11	11	15	19	14	13	11	12	12	13	15	13	8	14	11	11
25-29	9	10	7	9	10	8	9	8	9	8	6	5	11	11	6	11	5
30-34	7	9	7	6	5	6	9	6	7	8	6	5	11	7	5	9	9
35-39	6	5	8	6	5	8	6	6	6	6	8	6	6	3	7	4	11
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>
40-44	11	11	9	13	13	11	12	9	11	11	12	11	10	12	11	10	10
45-49	9	9	11	8	9	9	8	10	10	9	10	10	7	10	10	8	8
50-54	9	6	12	10	11	10	7	11	8	9	10	11	8	9	11	8	3
55-59	8	9	8	6	8	3	9	7	8	8	8	7	8	6	8	7	11
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>
60-64	8	7	13	5	7	4	9	8	8	8	10	9	6	9	10	7	8
65-74	12	13	9	12	5	17	10	13	12	12	9	10	14	13	9	14	12
75+	7	9	4	8	5	8	7	7	7	7	5	8	6	11	6	8	7
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	4



TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>17%</b>
18-24	12	19	6	7	11	13	11	10	15	10	4	6	6	20	1	7
25-29	9	12	6	4	5	14	6	9	10	1	10	4	11	9	5	2
30-34	7	12	4	3	3	14	4	9	10	2	10	3	9	9	2	6
35-39	6	6	9	2	5	8	6	6	6	5	6	5	5	8	6	2
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57</b>
40-44	11	11	13	6	9	14	11	11	11	4	13	9	13	11	10	10
45-49	9	8	13	5	11	5	10	11	8	15	9	13	10	6	16	16
50-54	9	6	11	12	7	3	8	11	8	10	9	13	9	8	13	19
55-59	8	7	6	10	10	13	8	7	9	12	10	11	10	5	12	11
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>25</b>
60-64	8	7	9	11	8	4	10	8	7	10	9	9	9	7	8	6
65-74	12	7	12	22	15	8	15	9	12	18	16	16	14	8	14	11
75+	7	2	9	16	14	0	10	9	2	10	4	8	6	8	10	7
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	3	1	3	1	2	3	2

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP INDEP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>28%</b>
18-24	12	12	12	8	13	10	9	25	12	15	10	12	3	15	6	40	2	11	14	10	9
25-29	9	8	9	9	9	8	10	8	6	14	4	9	5	10	10	10	5	12	5	19	7
30-34	7	10	7	5	9	8	5	7	8	11	16	7	6	8	3	8	6	11	6	5	5
35-39	6	6	5	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	5	7	8	6	8	3	7	5	2	8
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>
40-44	11	11	14	9	11	13	9	8	11	12	10	14	10	10	8	10	5	13	9	7	9
45-49	9	8	10	10	8	9	11	8	8	9	8	10	7	6	14	1	17	9	7	14	10
50-54	9	10	9	8	11	8	9	5	12	9	4	9	4	14	7	5	5	7	16	11	9
55-59	8	10	4	9	10	5	10	7	13	0	10	4	7	7	11	2	13	13	7	10	10
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>32</b>
60-64	8	8	8	8	8	9	7	11	9	4	8	8	12	9	6	7	15	3	12	5	8
65-74	12	10	12	14	9	15	11	8	9	10	18	12	25	10	12	4	14	9	9	7	13
75+	7	4	8	11	4	8	11	5	4	5	3	8	13	2	15	3	9	3	5	8	11
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	1	3	2	4	2	4	1	2

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
18-24	12	36	0	0	34	0	0	17	10	13	7	6	13	35	0	0	31	0	0	30	0	0
25-29	9	26	0	0	24	0	0	9	8	9	6	10	10	24	0	0	25	0	0	33	0	0
30-34	7	22	0	0	22	0	0	10	8	9	6	4	6	25	0	0	25	0	0	16	0	0
35-39	6	16	0	0	20	0	0	2	9	8	4	9	3	17	0	0	19	0	0	21	0	0
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>
40-44	11	0	28	0	0	31	0	8	13	11	15	8	9	0	27	0	0	37	0	0	23	0
45-49	9	0	26	0	0	24	0	8	8	11	8	7	16	0	20	0	0	27	0	0	29	0
50-54	9	0	25	0	0	23	0	13	10	5	11	11	7	0	28	0	0	22	0	0	24	0
55-59	8	0	21	0	0	21	0	10	10	5	6	9	11	0	24	0	0	15	0	0	25	0
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>
60-64	8	0	0	28	0	0	32	7	8	8	10	9	6	0	0	37	0	0	27	0	0	25
65-74	12	0	0	44	0	0	42	10	8	14	17	13	10	0	0	43	0	0	47	0	0	39
75+	7	0	0	27	0	0	26	5	4	7	10	13	8	0	0	20	0	0	26	0	0	36
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 4: Q2. AGE

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>18-39</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>31%</b>
18-24	12	18	17	8	1	17	6	21	14	5	7	14	13	14	6	15	14	11	16	12	1	8
25-29	9	10	6	10	9	8	10	10	5	10	10	10	7	8	9	9	5	11	6	12	3	11
30-34	7	5	8	9	8	7	8	7	6	9	8	12	5	6	8	12	5	6	7	3	4	9
35-39	6	5	6	8	5	5	7	6	5	7	7	8	1	10	3	8	2	11	10	1	5	2
<b>40-59</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>
40-44	11	6	14	13	9	10	12	9	11	10	14	6	13	13	9	7	13	13	13	16	10	8
45-49	9	7	6	10	17	7	12	5	8	13	11	7	12	7	11	7	14	9	4	9	12	10
50-54	9	11	8	9	5	10	8	11	9	6	10	6	8	11	9	6	7	10	12	10	11	9
55-59	8	7	8	8	9	7	8	6	9	10	7	7	11	5	9	7	12	5	4	11	11	9
<b>60+</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>29</b>
60-64	8	7	8	6	16	8	9	7	8	8	10	10	8	7	8	10	9	8	6	8	9	8
65-74	12	8	12	14	12	10	13	9	12	15	12	8	13	11	15	8	15	9	15	10	21	12
75+	7	12	6	4	7	9	5	8	10	7	3	10	5	6	9	10	5	5	6	8	10	9
<b>DK/REF</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
Don't know/Refused	2	2	1	1	4	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	4

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Anglo/White	61	63	59	56	54	54	62	76	85	55	56	76	0	100	0	0
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
Hispanic or Latino	14	12	16	16	22	20	10	3	7	18	17	4	100	0	0	39
African-American or Black	12	12	12	13	12	16	15	6	0	12	16	7	0	0	100	33
Asian	2	1	3	3	4	0	2	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	5
Something else	8	9	7	12	8	8	6	6	6	11	8	5	0	0	0	23
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Refused/NA	3	2	3	1	0	2	5	8	3	0	2	7	0	0	0	0
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>44%</b>	
Anglo/White	61	0	0	52	66	64	62	59	55	67	69	64	61	66	44	
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>49</b>	
Hispanic or Latino	14	100	100	19	11	10	13	16	16	14	13	9	15	11	20	
African-American or Black	12	0	0	17	8	17	11	15	11	11	13	10	11	11	20	
Asian	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	2	4	
Something else	8	0	0	9	8	4	9	7	11	7	1	11	9	7	6	
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	
Refused/NA	3	0	0	1	4	3	4	1	5	0	0	4	3	2	7	

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER		MOVER		FIRST		FIRST	A	B	YES	YES		NO
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Anglo/White	61	61	69	53	50	59	63	59	61	61	62	50	61	68	57	64	62
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>
Hispanic or Latino	14	13	9	20	20	23	13	15	14	14	14	19	17	8	16	12	12
African-American or Black	12	12	9	16	19	10	12	12	12	12	12	16	11	10	13	11	14
Asian	2	2	2	2	3	0	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	4	1	2	4
Something else	8	9	8	6	6	6	8	9	9	8	10	9	6	8	10	7	7
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
Refused/NA	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	2
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB		LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>62%</b>	
Anglo/White	61	59	59	67	73	43	67	61	52	61	58	67	62	57	80	62	
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>37</b>	
Hispanic or Latino	14	13	19	7	10	27	9	17	16	11	11	8	10	20	6	4	
African-American or Black	12	16	8	10	5	17	8	13	18	3	20	4	17	12	0	7	
Asian	2	3	1	0	1	3	3	0	2	1	1	3	2	1	1	7	
Something else	8	8	8	9	10	9	9	6	10	9	7	9	7	9	6	18	
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	
Refused/NA	3	1	4	6	1	1	4	3	1	15	2	9	2	0	7	1	

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>81%</b>
Anglo/White	61	51	61	75	47	64	77	59	41	63	70	61	69	68	83	59	58	47	47	66	81
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>16</b>
Hispanic or Latino	14	17	16	7	17	15	7	17	20	10	15	16	8	6	7	19	15	13	22	17	3
African-American or Black	12	25	7	1	28	7	1	5	31	20	11	7	2	4	0	5	6	29	27	3	1
Asian	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	5	2	1	2	2	1	4	0	7	2	3	0	0	2
Something else	8	4	12	9	5	9	10	10	5	6	0	12	6	13	9	10	11	7	3	10	10
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Refused/NA	3	1	2	6	1	4	3	4	2	0	2	2	14	6	1	1	8	1	2	3	3

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39		40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>94%</b>
Anglo/White	61	57	59	78	53	53	74	46	48	62	65	83	71	44	39	73	62	58	71	61	77	94
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>
Hispanic or Latino	14	17	12	5	19	21	3	13	21	17	12	4	10	20	20	3	19	19	6	11	9	0
African-American or Black	12	10	17	8	15	15	7	30	26	8	5	0	3	25	36	20	7	8	4	5	0	0
Asian	2	3	0	1	4	2	1	2	1	0	3	1	1	4	0	0	1	3	1	5	0	0
Something else	8	12	8	6	9	7	5	9	2	9	9	8	13	6	6	0	11	8	8	19	9	2
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>
Refused/NA	3	1	4	3	0	1	10	0	2	3	6	4	2	0	0	5	0	3	10	0	5	4

TABLE 5: Q3. ETHNICITY

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>56%</b>
Anglo/White	61	52	59	66	72	56	67	57	55	72	63	64	75	52	60	63	71	57	44	82	73	56
<b>NON-WHITE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>43</b>
Hispanic or Latino	14	25	17	8	1	21	6	17	24	6	7	12	6	13	24	11	6	7	25	5	16	27
African-American or Black	12	15	13	10	10	14	10	14	13	9	11	11	10	19	3	13	14	22	12	0	0	5
Asian	2	1	2	3	1	1	2	1	2	1	4	1	0	3	3	1	1	1	4	0	1	3
Something else	8	6	8	8	13	7	9	9	5	8	10	10	7	8	7	10	7	11	6	7	6	7
<b>REF/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
Refused/NA	3	1	1	5	3	1	5	1	2	4	6	2	3	4	2	2	2	2	9	6	3	2



TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON-WHITE	
Base	143	61	83	33	31	41	25	4	5	63	63	11	143	0	0	143	
Spanish all or most	23%	22%	24%	21%	13%	33%	37%	0%	0%	17%	36%	0%	23%	0%	0%	23%	
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	34	41	23	55	40	33	0	0	38	35	21	38	0	0	38	
English all or most	39	44	35	56	32	27	29	100	100	44	29	79	39	0	0	39	

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	ALL NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	143	50	94	69	65	9	91	52	49	38	17	21	87	39	18
Spanish all or most	23%	61%	4%	10%	38%	18%	24%	23%	22%	15%	21%	10%	19%	15%	61%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	33	41	44	34	22	39	35	41	45	47	32	43	39	13
English all or most	39	7	56	46	28	60	37	42	37	40	31	58	38	46	26

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	143	63	24	56	20	28	67	77	70	73	33	34	48	19	68	67	9
Spanish all or most	23%	27%	0%	30%	58%	6%	20%	27%	27%	20%	23%	30%	30%	9%	26%	24%	0%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	36	42	38	24	51	35	40	38	38	46	27	41	25	36	36	63
English all or most	39	38	58	32	18	43	45	33	35	42	31	43	30	66	37	40	37

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	143	61	65	16	16	25	33	59	43	13	29	18	33	87	6	2
Spanish all or most	23%	16%	34%	11%	43%	17%	21%	35%	10%	54%	28%	48%	28%	18%	0%	72%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	34	40	52	22	46	40	34	46	6	43	17	37	40	39	28
English all or most	39	51	25	37	35	37	40	31	44	40	30	35	35	41	61	0

TABLE 6: Q4. When you are speaking to family and friends, what language do you find yourself speaking?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	143	64	42	20	56	56	15	17	46	9	8	42	5	5	10	12	6	22	34	9	5
Spanish all or most	23%	27%	37%	0%	31%	28%	0%	6%	37%	0%	0%	37%	0%	0%	10%	0%	20%	38%	0%	0%	
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	43	39	24	43	36	32	31	41	55	44	39	0	52	23	16	62	44	43	51	0
English all or most	39	30	25	76	26	36	68	63	22	45	56	25	100	48	77	75	38	36	19	49	100

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	143	33	21	7	31	42	5	17	38	34	22	5	10	23	26	2	23	26	7	7	8	0
Spanish all or most	23%	26%	25%	0%	8%	42%	0%	37%	28%	21%	38%	0%	0%	30%	40%	0%	18%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	27	56	0	51	25	52	17	55	36	36	15	41	46	33	0	40	33	33	25	40	0
English all or most	39	48	20	100	41	34	48	46	17	43	26	85	59	25	28	100	42	22	67	75	60	0

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION								
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST		
Base	143	67	48	28	1	114	29	49	66	12	17	21	12	50	60	21	9	17	33	3	10	50		
Spanish all or most	23%	35%	13%	13%	0%	26%	12%	23%	29%	20%	7%	0%	0%	27%	34%	0%	0%	0%	41%	0%	51%	30%		
English/Spanish fifty-fifty	38	33	37	53	0	35	51	38	32	17	75	52	25	54	22	52	26	85	38	23	7	25		
English all or most	39	32	50	34	100	39	37	39	39	62	18	48	75	19	44	48	74	15	22	77	42	44		

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	143	61	83	33	31	41	25	4	5	63	63	11	143	0	0	143	
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	31%	38%	25%	26%	50%	49%	0%	0%	25%	52%	0%	35%	0%	0%	35%	
Born in U.S.	65	69	62	75	74	50	51	100	100	75	48	100	65	0	0	65	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL									
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	143	50	94	69	65	9	91	52	49	38	17	21	87	39	18		
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	100%	0%	26%	47%	14%	38%	28%	36%	36%	30%	20%	36%	24%	51%		
Born in U.S.	65	0	100	74	53	86	62	72	64	64	70	80	64	76	49		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	143	63	24	56	20	28	67	77	70	73	33	34	48	19	68	67	9
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	38%	13%	41%	61%	27%	34%	36%	39%	31%	36%	25%	60%	4%	30%	44%	0%
Born in U.S.	65	62	87	59	39	73	66	64	61	69	64	75	40	96	70	56	100
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	143	61	65	16	16	25	33	59	43	13	29	18	33	87	6	2	
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	27%	50%	11%	43%	17%	28%	53%	20%	54%	43%	45%	41%	30%	21%	0%	
Born in U.S.	65	73	50	89	57	83	72	47	80	46	57	55	59	70	79	100	

TABLE 7: Q5. Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	143	64	42	20	56	56	15	17	46	9	8	42	5	5	10	12	6	22	34	9	5
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	46%	31%	12%	52%	24%	17%	29%	60%	13%	0%	31%	0%	25%	13%	24%	38%	33%	64%	13%	24%
Born in U.S.	65	54	69	88	48	76	83	71	40	87	100	69	100	75	87	76	62	67	36	87	76

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE											
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP	
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	143	33	21	7	31	42	5	17	38	34	22	5	10	23	26	2	23	26	7	7	8	0		
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	24%	51%	0%	26%	52%	0%	50%	53%	20%	30%	25%	12%	50%	64%	0%	17%	37%	0%	0%	32%	0%		
Born in U.S.	65	76	49	100	74	48	100	50	47	80	70	75	88	50	36	100	83	63	100	100	68	0		

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION								
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	NON-	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST		
Base	143	67	48	28	1	114	29	49	66	12	17	21	12	50	60	21	9	17	33	3	10	50		
Immigrated to U.S.	35%	41%	37%	17%	0%	39%	17%	31%	45%	31%	7%	19%	0%	54%	32%	19%	0%	59%	52%	0%	33%	31%		
Born in U.S.	65	59	63	83	100	61	83	69	55	69	93	81	100	46	68	81	100	41	48	100	67	69		

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	50	19	31	8	8	20	12	0	0	16	33	0	50	0	0	50
Bolivia	3%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%
Columbia	6	0	10	0	38	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	6	0	0	6
Cuba	29	62	8	85	0	26	18	0	0	43	23	0	29	0	0	29
El Salvador	2	0	3	0	11	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	2
Japan	2	0	3	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	2
Mexico	49	25	64	0	52	57	61	0	0	26	59	0	49	0	0	49
Venezuala	3	0	5	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	3
Guatemala	5	0	8	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	0	5
Refused	2	7	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	2

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	ALL NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	50	50	0	18	31	1	35	15	18	13	5	4	31	9	9
Bolivia	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	13%	0%
Columbia	6	6	0	17	0	0	0	20	0	22	0	0	10	0	0
Cuba	29	29	0	50	17	0	35	14	44	0	84	50	25	68	0
El Salvador	2	2	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	16	0	0	9	0
Japan	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	21	0	10	0
Mexico	49	49	0	16	71	0	47	54	47	51	0	0	49	0	100
Venezuala	3	3	0	0	5	0	5	0	9	0	0	0	5	0	0
Guatemala	5	5	0	13	0	0	7	0	0	17	0	0	8	0	0
Refused	2	2	0	0	0	100	4	0	0	9	0	0	4	0	0

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	50	24	3	23	12	8	22	27	27	23	12	8	29	1	20	29	0
Bolivia	3%	0%	0%	5%	10%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%
Columbia	6	0	0	13	0	40	13	0	0	13	0	0	10	0	0	10	0
Cuba	29	24	71	28	35	29	33	25	37	19	54	30	18	0	44	18	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	4	7	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	100	0	3	0	
Japan	2	0	29	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	8	0	0	0	4	0	0
Mexico	49	64	0	40	48	0	27	67	52	45	28	70	53	0	45	51	0
Venezuala	3	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	6	0
Guatemala	5	0%	0%	10%	0%	31%	10%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Refused	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	50	16	32	2	7	4	9	31	8	7	12	8	14	26	1	0
Bolivia	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Columbia	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	11	0	0
Cuba	29	42	23	0	100	0	74	24	0	100	18	85	16	12	0	0
El Salvador	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Japan	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	0
Mexico	49	32	60	0	0	100	13	57	65	0	65	0	68	58	0	0
Venezuala	3	0	0	100	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
Guatemala	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
Refused	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	9	0	0	0

  

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM	REP	INDEP	NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS						
	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	50	29	13	2	29	13	2	5	28	1	0	13	0	1	1	3	2	7	22	1	1
Bolivia	3%	0%	0%	51%	0%	0%	51%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Columbia	6	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0
Cuba	29	31	23	0	31	23	0	43	32	0	0	23	0	0	0	100	0	0	41	0	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0
Japan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	49	45	68	49	45	68	49	22	43	100	0	68	0	100	0	39	0	59	40	100	0
Venezuala	3	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0
Guatemala	5	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0
Refused	2	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	50	8	11	0	8	22	0	9	20	7	7	1	1	11	16	0	4	10	0	0	2	0
Bolivia	3%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	51%	0%
Columbia	6	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cuba	29	53	69	0	32	0	0	75	13	45	0	0	0	60	13	0	0	32	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	2	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Japan	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	49	31	20	0	21	78	0	25	53	37	100	0	100	14	62	0	67	68	0	0	49	0
Venezuala	3	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	5	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 8: Q6A. What country did you immigrate from?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	50	28	17	5	0	45	5	15	30	4	1	4	0	27	19	4	0	10	17	0	3	16
Bolivia	3%	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	34%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Columbia	6	0	17	0	0	7	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0
Cuba	29	41	17	0	0	32	0	77	9	0	0	0	0	45	11	0	0	45	45	0	0	14
El Salvador	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Japan	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Mexico	49	49	42	74	0	46	74	14	62	66	100	0	0	36	77	0	0	12	50	0	100	72
Venezuala	3	0	9	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	41	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	5	0	14	0	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	59	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	5	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	94	42	52	25	23	20	13	4	5	47	30	11	94	0	0	94
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Cuba	8	13	3	4	6	23	0	0	0	5	15	0	8	0	0	8
Ecuador	2	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	2
El Salvador	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
India	1	0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mexico	34	23	43	42	35	51	6	0	0	39	36	0	34	0	0	34
Nicaragua	5	0	9	0	0	11	19	0	0	0	8	21	5	0	0	5
Puerto Rico	9	11	8	9	23	0	11	0	0	15	4	0	9	0	0	9
Spain	1	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1
United States	29	35	23	30	22	14	44	100	0	26	27	36	29	0	0	29
Italy	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	19	2	0	0	2
Poland	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	0	24	3	0	0	3
Peru	2	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	2
Refused	2	0	3	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	2

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	94	0	94	51	35	8	56	37	31	24	12	17	56	29	9
Argentina	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Cuba	8	0	8	8	8	0	7	8	13	12	0	0	13	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	2	4	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0
El Salvador	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
India	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	9	0	0	4	0
Mexico	34	0	34	39	23	50	36	31	23	42	39	50	31	46	12
Nicaragua	5	0	5	0	14	0	4	6	8	0	0	0	4	0	26
Puerto Rico	9	0	9	3	21	0	9	9	7	4	22	16	6	19	0
Spain	1	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	4	0
United States	29	0	29	32	20	50	23	38	32	31	30	25	31	27	17
Italy	2	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Poland	3	0	3	5	0	0	5	0	0	11	0	0	5	0	0
Peru	2	0	2	0	5	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0
Refused	2	0	2	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	20



TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	94	39	21	33	8	21	44	49	43	50	21	26	19	18	47	38	9
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Cuba	8	18	0	0	0	0	14	2	6	9	5	12	7	0	9	4	17
Ecuador	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	5	0
El Salvador	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	2	0
India	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	2	0	0
Mexico	34	23	33	47	80	45	38	30	37	31	38	37	34	33	38	33	14
Nicaragua	5	6	0	7	0	0	0	10	11	0	11	9	0	0	10	0	0
Puerto Rico	9	8	26	0	0	0	2	16	9	10	0	0	24	0	0	12	46
Spain	1	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	3	0	0
United States	29	24	30	34	20	47	36	22	22	34	31	30	16	41	31	28	22
Italy	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	12	0	6	0
Poland	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	15	0	7	0
Peru	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	9	0	0	0	4	0	0
Refused	2	0	0	5	0	8	0	4	4	0	0	7	0	0	4	0	0

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				CONS	UNFAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS
Base	94	45	33	14	9	21	24	28	35	6	17	10	20	61	5	2
Argentina	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	5%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Cuba	8	5	10	11	16	0	24	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
Ecuador	2	4	0	0	0	9	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
El Salvador	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
India	1	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	6	0	5	0	0	0
Mexico	34	37	35	9	32	23	43	32	25	41	38	24	33	35	50	0
Nicaragua	5	0	14	0	0	12	0	8	7	0	15	24	12	0	50	0
Puerto Rico	9	13	8	0	0	0	6	16	8	0	0	0	0	14	0	0
Spain	1	3	0	0	14	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
United States	29	31	24	38	37	47	22	18	44	12	35	7	45	28	0	28
Italy	2	0	0	16	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Poland	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	10	0	47	0	28	0	0	0	0
Peru	2	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Refused	2	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	72

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	94	35	29	17	27	42	12	12	19	8	8	29	5	4	9	9	4	14	12	8	4
Argentina	1%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Cuba	8	0	16	0	0	11	0	19	0	0	0	16	0	0	11	39	0	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
India	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
Mexico	34	26	18	65	27	22	72	51	35	10	20	18	48	100	60	72	0	26	29	72	72
Nicaragua	5	0	8	13	0	6	19	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	28	0	0
Puerto Rico	9	22	3	0	21	7	0	0	15	35	26	3	0	0	0	0	19	23	0	0	0
Spain	1	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
United States	29	32	45	7	33	37	9	12	28	44	30	45	0	0	13	17	0	35	31	0	28
Italy	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	3	0	0	15	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	2	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	94	25	10	7	23	20	5	9	18	27	15	4	9	11	9	2	19	16	7	7	5	0
Argentina	1%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cuba	8	10	31	0	0	7	0	0	0	12	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
India	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	34	25	34	0	54	38	0	9	36	19	27	100	60	11	41	0	26	27	0	84	56	0
Nicaragua	5	0	0	0	0	11	52	0	0	0	16	0	27	0	0	0	0	33	0	44	0	0
Puerto Rico	9	18	0	0	12	7	0	16	23	12	0	0	0	37	15	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United States	29	32	26	61	19	28	0	44	27	41	30	0	13	15	34	100	43	32	31	16	0	0
Italy	2	0	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	3	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0
Peru	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0

TABLE 9: Q6B. What country did you immigrate from?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	94	39	30	23	1	69	24	33	36	8	16	17	12	23	41	17	9	7	16	3	7	34
Argentina	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Cuba	8	6	11	6	0	8	6	13	4	17	0	9	0	24	0	9	0	81	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	2	0	6	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Salvador	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
India	1	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Mexico	34	35	39	21	100	37	26	20	52	35	21	6	41	35	43	6	19	0	50	100	11	49
Nicaragua	5	0	0	21	0	0	20	0	0	0	30	0	19	0	6	0	26	0	0	0	0	7
Puerto Rico	9	6	7	18	0	6	17	9	4	17	17	42	0	6	0	42	0	19	0	0	0	0
Spain	1	0	0	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
United States	29	31	27	28	0	30	26	36	23	32	24	0	23	35	39	0	31	0	50	0	63	34
Italy	2	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	3	7	0	0	0	4	0	8	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	2	5	0	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	26	0

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON-WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Right direction	36%	37%	34%	44%	38%	32%	33%	30%	36%	42%	33%	32%	48%	30%	51%	47%	
Wrong track	56	55	57	42	55	61	61	64	54	47	59	62	46	61	37	45	
DK/NA	9	8	9	14	7	7	7	6	10	11	8	6	6	9	12	8	

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
Right direction	36%	36%	55%	100%	0%	0%	33%	41%	43%	41%	30%	25%	42%	27%	29%	
Wrong track	56	61	37	0	100	0	59	50	50	49	58	71	50	66	53	
DK/NA	9	2	8	0	0	100	8	9	7	10	11	4	8	6	19	

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Right direction	36%	43%	23%	36%	32%	39%	37%	34%	35%	36%	33%	36%	33%	42%	34%	37%	32%
Wrong track	56	50	71	51	54	52	55	56	57	55	65	52	55	52	59	53	56
DK/NA	9	8	6	13	14	9	8	9	8	9	3	13	12	7	7	9	12

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV REPS	FAV IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
Right direction	36%	37%	37%	30%	24%	53%	23%	40%	50%	15%	56%	14%	54%	33%	4%	17%
Wrong track	56	53	55	64	72	41	73	52	39	83	38	83	41	54	94	79
DK/NA	9	9	8	6	4	6	5	8	11	1	6	3	5	13	2	5

TABLE 10: Q7. In general, would you say that things in the United States are generally headed in the right direction or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
Right direction	36%	55%	29%	19%	55%	30%	19%	27%	58%	48%	52%	29%	20%	30%	14%	31%	20%	58%	54%	46%	11%	
Wrong track	56	35	61	77	35	61	77	59	33	41	38	61	77	65	83	51	73	34	35	46	87	
DK/NA	9	10	10	4	10	9	4	14	9	11	9	10	3	6	3	19	7	9	11	8	3	

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE																					
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP		REP	
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+				
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64												
Right direction	36%	38%	38%	35%	46%	28%	29%	59%	53%	35%	26%	21%	17%	59%	54%	52%	31%	29%	31%	36%	12%	12%												
Wrong track	56	51	54	61	42	63	64	34	36	56	66	73	80	33	34	41	57	65	60	55	85	86												
DK/NA	9	11	8	4	12	8	7	7	12	10	8	5	3	8	12	7	11	7	9	8	3	2												

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
Right direction	36%	34%	36%	34%	45%	35%	37%	37%	32%	38%	36%	32%	34%	36%	39%	32%	36%	40%	28%	30%	29%	43%	
Wrong track	56	57	55	58	52	56	57	55	57	56	57	63	54	54	54	61	52	49	64	61	68	49	
DK/NA	9	9	9	8	3	9	7	8	11	6	7	6	11	10	7	6	12	10	8	9	3	8	

**TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
<b>Base</b>	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b><u>NONE</u></b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>64%</b>
1	53	56	50	48	50	54	51	68	48	49	51	60	55	52	47	53
2	15	16	13	13	11	17	15	15	18	12	16	16	8	17	12	11
<b><u>OTHER</u></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>
3	10	9	10	8	16	8	10	6	11	12	9	9	6	11	8	8
4	7	7	7	8	8	7	6	3	10	8	8	5	4	7	7	7
5	6	3	9	13	5	5	5	2	5	9	5	4	9	6	7	7
6	2	2	2	1	4	3	3	0	0	2	3	1	5	1	7	5
7	2	2	3	3	1	2	4	0	3	3	3	1	4	2	3	4
8	5	4	6	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	6	4	8	4	8	6

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
<b>Base</b>	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b><u>NONE</u></b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>62%</b>
1	53	64	50	48	56	50	78	0	54	49	45	65	51	58	45
2	15	6	10	15	15	15	22	0	19	11	19	10	15	13	17
<b><u>OTHER</u></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>38</b>
3	10	6	6	11	10	6	0	30	7	14	14	7	10	10	7
4	7	0	6	7	7	4	0	21	5	10	8	7	7	7	3
5	6	6	11	8	5	7	0	19	6	8	8	4	7	5	4
6	2	4	6	2	3	1	0	7	4	1	1	2	3	2	0
7	2	2	5	3	1	10	0	8	1	4	1	2	2	2	5
8	5	12	6	7	3	8	0	15	4	4	4	2	4	3	18

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
		Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414
<b>NONE</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>58%</b>
1	53	54	61	43	39	44	51	55	56	50	53	50	57	54	52	56	42
2	15	16	12	15	18	13	15	14	15	15	15	15	13	16	15	14	16
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>42</b>
3	10	10	10	8	6	9	9	10	9	10	11	6	11	10	9	10	10
4	7	7	6	7	9	8	6	8	8	6	7	7	6	8	7	7	6
5	6	6	5	8	8	10	7	5	5	7	5	8	7	6	6	6	6
6	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	2	4	1	2	1	3	2	3
7	2	1	2	5	5	5	2	2	1	4	2	4	3	1	3	2	3
8	5	2	2	12	13	10	6	3	3	6	4	9	1	4	6	2	14

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
		Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427
<b>NONE</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>68%</b>
1	53	52	52	58	53	54	55	51	55	64	50	60	52	50	63	51
2	15	13	19	12	13	11	14	14	15	18	18	16	16	14	19	17
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>32</b>
3	10	13	7	7	10	12	9	11	11	5	11	6	12	9	5	9
4	7	7	6	7	7	3	9	8	3	3	5	8	6	7	5	14
5	6	6	6	7	8	7	5	5	9	4	5	5	4	8	4	8
6	2	3	1	2	0	6	2	2	3	2	3	1	3	2	1	0
7	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	3	1	2	1	1	2	4	2	0
8	5	4	6	4	5	5	4	7	3	1	7	2	5	6	1	2

TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>NONE</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>75%</b>
1	53	52	48	57	53	50	58	54	53	55	46	48	56	46	64	45	68	58	50	52	59
2	15	12	20	15	12	18	15	11	12	10	12	20	16	18	13	11	11	14	9	12	16
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>
3	10	11	11	9	11	11	8	6	11	10	9	11	12	9	7	6	6	10	12	4	9
4	7	4	7	10	4	7	9	8	4	4	5	7	11	16	5	12	3	3	5	16	7
5	6	9	5	4	9	6	4	6	6	15	15	5	2	6	4	5	7	11	6	4	5
6	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	2	4	2	4	2	2	0	1	3	0	2	4	3	0
7	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	9	3	1	0	2	0	2	2	14	1	1	3	3	2
8	5	6	6	2	6	5	3	4	7	3	8	6	1	2	3	3	4	2	11	6	1

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>NONE</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>85%</b>
1	53	52	55	61	45	48	59	63	46	50	49	58	57	59	45	61	41	51	55	46	58	68
2	15	16	14	20	9	18	12	5	17	23	13	18	12	5	16	15	23	15	18	6	21	17
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>
3	10	11	10	7	13	8	10	11	11	10	12	7	9	12	10	11	13	10	10	14	5	5
4	7	8	7	5	7	8	4	4	4	6	9	10	9	3	6	2	7	9	6	15	8	4
5	6	7	2	1	12	8	7	5	11	3	8	3	6	13	5	6	8	7	2	8	2	5
6	2	2	3	0	2	2	2	4	3	1	3	0	2	2	6	1	4	0	2	1	1	0
7	2	1	4	1	5	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	3	1	4	2	1	1	1	4	3	0
8	5	3	5	4	6	6	4	4	8	6	5	3	2	6	8	2	4	6	7	5	2	0



TABLE 11: Q8A. I would like to ask you about America's relations with other countries around the world. For each of the following, please tell me what you think U.S. relations are with that country. We will use a scale of one to seven, where one means the United States has no diplomatic relations and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the United States has a close relationship, including free and open trade and travel with that country. Here is the first one, and remember you can use any number from one to seven. A one means the U.S. has no diplomatic relations, and does not allow trade or travel with that country, and seven means the U.S. and that country have a close relationship, with free and open trade and travel: Cuba

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>NONE</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>71%</b>
1	53	51	46	57	61	49	58	51	47	62	55	58	56	47	55	58	55	46	49	58	53	56
2	15	10	17	13	24	14	15	15	12	17	15	14	13	16	16	13	13	14	19	16	16	15
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>
3	10	8	14	8	11	11	9	11	10	7	10	11	11	10	8	10	11	13	6	10	8	8
4	7	7	7	8	2	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	8	6	7	7	6	9	5	10	5
5	6	7	7	6	2	7	5	4	10	2	8	4	5	8	6	4	6	10	5	3	4	7
6	2	4	1	2	0	3	2	3	3	1	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	0	0	3
7	2	4	3	2	1	3	1	3	4	1	2	1	2	4	2	1	2	5	3	3	2	1
8	5	9	4	4	0	6	3	5	8	3	4	3	5	5	6	4	4	3	8	5	8	5

**TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	502	246	256	107	64	103	122	60	36	171	183	138	70	306	60	181
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Strongly favor	32	38	27	34	34	35	26	41	23	34	32	31	42	27	39	39
Somewhat favor	28	26	29	26	41	25	26	24	31	32	25	27	20	32	25	22
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	14	15	8	19	9	12	15	13	13	15	15	16	12
Strongly oppose	20	19	21	21	8	22	25	15	14	16	24	18	6	21	13	18
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>
DK/NA	7	5	9	5	3	9	4	11	20	4	7	10	17	6	7	10

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	502	27	43	177	286	39	355	147	161	139	67	99	300	166	36
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Strongly favor	32	55	34	43	26	28	35	26	100	0	0	0	54	0	0
Somewhat favor	28	18	21	28	26	38	27	30	0	100	0	0	46	0	0
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	11	17	9	15	20	12	17	0	0	100	0	0	40	0
Strongly oppose	20	3	7	12	27	5	20	19	0	0	0	100	0	60	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>
DK/NA	7	12	20	7	7	10	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	502	256	137	109	28	52	236	266	502	0	120	70	145	127	189	272	41
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>56%</b>
Strongly favor	32	58	0	13	0	22	33	31	32	0	20	11	35	56	16	44	23
Somewhat favor	28	42	0	28	0	46	30	26	28	0	17	28	43	17	21	31	33
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>25</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	0	35	16	49	0	12	15	13	0	14	20	13	9	16	11	16
Strongly oppose	20	0	65	10	35	0	21	19	20	0	43	23	7	14	36	10	9
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>
DK/NA	7	0	0	33	16	32	5	10	7	0	6	17	2	4	10	3	19

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	502	226	166	104	69	48	177	167	136	52	129	106	166	210	46	31
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Strongly favor	32	30	40	26	18	50	26	32	43	31	50	27	46	24	18	22
Somewhat favor	28	29	25	29	16	23	24	31	31	11	26	18	30	31	14	18
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>54</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	15	9	17	10	13	15	11	11	12	7	11	10	16	13	14
Strongly oppose	20	21	17	19	51	9	33	12	10	46	10	40	8	19	50	40
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	7	5	9	10	5	5	2	13	5	0	7	4	6	10	5	6

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	502	175	135	146	150	194	112	46	113	38	24	135	34	39	72	28	18	84	63	30	81
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>47%</b>
Strongly favor	32	44	25	28	44	30	24	22	40	58	40	25	39	33	19	14	34	46	45	28	23
Somewhat favor	28	27	30	24	24	30	26	32	25	21	49	30	20	38	19	44	13	27	20	31	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>50</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	12	14	11	14	12	11	21	17	6	2	14	11	11	11	19	24	12	17	9	12
Strongly oppose	20	12	18	32	13	19	33	14	15	8	5	18	29	17	42	14	13	13	14	20	38
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	7	4	13	4	4	9	5	12	4	7	3	13	2	0	8	9	17	2	5	11	3

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			REP		
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	502	93	84	65	78	99	73	78	72	84	110	57	55	53	65	28	59	59	73	39	47	25	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>45%</b>	
Strongly favor	32	41	41	30	25	24	32	45	43	35	26	38	10	52	43	33	26	31	32	33	19	21	
Somewhat favor	28	25	23	31	40	26	24	22	26	32	29	20	32	21	24	33	37	26	27	30	23	25	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	
Somewhat oppose	13	12	14	12	17	12	14	12	16	11	13	11	12	13	13	21	15	11	11	11	10	15	
Strongly oppose	20	18	21	17	14	26	19	18	9	15	21	27	39	14	13	11	16	23	17	23	40	33	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	
DK/NA	7	4	1	10	5	13	11	3	6	7	11	4	6	0	7	2	6	9	13	2	7	6	

TABLE 12: Q9A. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	502	122	125	182	67	248	248	126	122	116	132	90	105	186	120	99	78	109	67	28	36	85
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Strongly favor	32	27	27	39	37	27	38	32	22	45	32	31	26	34	35	31	25	30	42	28	29	38
Somewhat favor	28	25	32	24	32	29	26	25	33	26	26	29	37	23	25	28	34	22	26	45	16	29
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>25</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	19	13	11	9	16	10	17	14	7	13	15	12	15	10	16	11	19	8	13	2	14
Strongly oppose	20	20	20	22	11	20	19	22	19	16	23	22	16	21	20	23	18	23	17	10	39	12
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	7	9	8	4	11	9	6	4	13	6	6	2	10	6	10	3	12	5	7	4	14	8

**TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	522	256	266	103	76	102	132	61	38	179	191	142	73	318	63	188
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Strongly favor	27	35	20	28	15	29	24	41	35	23	26	35	26	27	17	27
Somewhat favor	25	23	26	27	32	19	21	23	41	29	21	25	33	25	22	26
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	9	17	14	18	11	13	8	9	16	12	11	9	15	13	10
Strongly oppose	24	24	24	22	27	27	28	18	7	24	25	22	23	25	25	22
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>
DK/NA	11	9	13	9	7	13	14	10	7	9	16	7	8	7	24	15

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	522	23	50	187	286	49	339	183	143	130	68	125	273	193	57
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Strongly favor	27	12	33	29	28	18	29	24	100	0	0	0	52	0	0
Somewhat favor	25	38	30	32	20	26	19	35	0	100	0	0	48	0	0
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	9	9	13	13	15	13	12	0	0	100	0	0	35	0
Strongly oppose	24	15	27	19	29	13	28	16	0	0	0	100	0	65	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>
DK/NA	11	26	0	7	10	28	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	522	212	141	169	72	72	283	239	0	522	117	107	140	125	225	265	32
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>42%</b>
Strongly favor	27	61	0	7	0	16	28	26	0	27	15	8	31	53	11	41	23
Somewhat favor	25	39	0	28	0	61	23	27	0	25	13	29	34	23	21	29	19
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	0	29	16	35	0	13	13	0	13	16	17	14	8	16	11	6
Strongly oppose	24	0	71	15	35	0	25	23	0	24	50	27	12	11	39	12	19
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>33</b>
DK/NA	11	0	0	34	31	24	11	11	0	11	6	19	9	5	12	7	33

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	522	235	172	108	87	44	176	183	139	59	125	119	171	217	53	30
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Strongly favor	27	28	27	26	23	36	20	28	35	26	45	20	43	20	20	38
Somewhat favor	25	21	31	25	25	21	22	26	27	20	20	28	23	25	25	29
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>31</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	14	11	14	14	15	15	11	14	15	10	12	11	16	6	17
Strongly oppose	24	29	15	26	33	16	35	22	12	35	12	33	13	28	35	14
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	11	9	15	8	5	12	7	13	12	5	13	7	11	11	14	2

TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP		
Base	522	203	121	143	173	186	109	55	120	53	30	121	34	40	68	33	22	81	90	25	84	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>48%</b>	
Strongly favor	27	23	40	28	23	34	30	13	22	27	24	40	22	32	29	18	6	29	18	49	24	
Somewhat favor	25	27	26	24	25	29	22	16	24	27	40	26	30	20	23	17	15	31	20	15	24	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>41</b>	
Somewhat oppose	13	16	12	9	17	11	10	14	16	19	11	12	9	8	10	18	8	13	20	6	11	
Strongly oppose	24	21	15	29	21	20	28	38	22	18	25	15	33	21	33	32	48	13	29	21	31	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	
DK/NA	11	12	7	9	14	6	10	18	17	9	0	7	6	19	5	16	22	15	14	9	10	
	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	522	96	87	71	83	104	71	60	113	111	74	61	47	64	65	39	61	73	51	28	38	39
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>60%</b>
Strongly favor	27	26	39	44	19	16	25	29	20	41	24	37	20	18	20	36	35	31	37	19	28	40
Somewhat favor	25	27	17	25	32	24	25	29	23	23	38	22	22	31	22	22	25	29	33	38	11	20
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>31</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	15	3	7	17	19	14	8	21	9	15	8	11	21	14	13	13	12	8	9	12	8
Strongly oppose	24	26	25	19	22	24	26	20	21	21	18	25	33	25	14	24	17	26	16	26	35	23
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	11	7	15	5	11	17	10	14	15	6	5	8	13	6	30	4	9	3	6	8	15	8



TABLE 13: Q9B. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	522	149	154	163	53	303	216	152	151	102	114	94	110	193	125	102	73	117	68	36	29	96
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>56%</b>
Strongly favor	27	18	28	31	43	23	34	31	15	42	27	39	31	19	29	38	29	21	14	33	24	30
Somewhat favor	25	22	29	25	21	26	24	23	28	24	24	18	23	29	25	19	20	31	26	28	24	26
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	11	18	13	6	14	11	12	17	4	17	15	9	16	10	15	11	11	25	5	6	11
Strongly oppose	24	31	16	25	25	23	25	24	23	23	26	24	27	21	26	23	29	23	17	24	36	23
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>
DK/NA	11	18	9	6	5	14	6	11	17	6	6	5	10	15	10	6	10	14	17	11	9	10

**TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>
Strongly favor	30	36	23	31	23	32	25	41	29	28	29	33	34	27	28	33
Somewhat favor	26	25	28	27	36	22	23	23	36	30	23	26	26	29	24	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	11	15	14	17	10	16	8	10	15	12	12	12	15	15	11
Strongly oppose	22	21	22	21	18	25	27	17	11	20	24	20	15	23	19	20
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>
DK/NA	9	7	11	7	5	11	9	10	13	6	12	9	13	7	15	12

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Strongly favor	30	35	33	36	27	23	32	25	100	0	0	0	53	0	0
Somewhat favor	26	27	26	30	23	31	23	33	0	100	0	0	47	0	0
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	10	13	11	14	17	12	15	0	0	100	0	0	37	0
Strongly oppose	22	9	18	15	28	9	24	17	0	0	0	100	0	63	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>
DK/NA	9	19	9	7	9	20	8	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>50%</b>
Strongly favor	30	59	0	10	0	19	31	29	32	27	17	9	33	54	14	43	23
Somewhat favor	26	41	0	28	0	54	26	26	28	25	15	29	39	20	21	30	27
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	0	32	16	39	0	12	14	13	13	15	18	13	8	16	11	12
Strongly oppose	22	0	68	13	35	0	23	21	20	24	47	26	10	12	38	11	13
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>
DK/NA	9	0	0	33	26	27	8	10	7	11	6	18	5	5	11	5	25

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Strongly favor	30	29	34	26	21	44	23	30	39	28	48	23	45	22	19	30
Somewhat favor	26	25	28	27	21	22	23	29	29	16	23	23	26	28	20	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>43</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	15	10	15	12	14	15	11	12	13	8	12	10	16	9	16
Strongly oppose	22	25	16	23	41	12	34	18	11	40	11	36	11	23	42	27
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	9	7	12	9	5	8	5	13	8	3	10	6	8	11	10	4

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS		W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>48%</b>
Strongly favor	30	33	32	28	33	32	27	17	30	40	31	32	31	32	24	16	18	37	29	38	24
Somewhat favor	26	27	28	24	24	30	24	24	24	25	44	28	25	29	21	30	14	29	20	24	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>46</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	14	13	10	15	12	11	17	16	14	7	13	10	10	11	18	15	12	19	8	11
Strongly oppose	22	17	17	31	17	19	31	27	19	14	16	17	31	19	37	23	33	13	22	20	34
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	9	9	10	7	10	8	8	15	10	8	1	10	4	10	7	12	20	9	10	10	7

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>55%</b>
Strongly favor	30	33	40	37	22	20	28	38	29	38	25	37	15	33	32	34	31	31	34	27	23	33
Somewhat favor	26	26	20	28	35	25	25	25	24	27	33	21	28	26	23	27	31	28	30	34	18	22
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>38</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	14	8	9	17	15	14	10	19	10	14	9	12	17	13	17	14	11	10	10	11	11
Strongly oppose	22	22	23	18	18	25	22	19	16	19	20	26	36	20	13	19	16	24	16	24	38	27
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	9	5	8	7	8	15	10	8	11	7	9	6	9	3	19	3	8	5	10	5	11	7

TABLE 14: Q9. As you may know, since 1961 the United States has had no diplomatic relations with Cuba and restricts trade and travel with Cuba for the vast majority of American citizens and American businesses. Would you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Strongly favor	30	22	27	35	40	25	36	31	18	44	30	35	28	27	32	34	27	25	28	31	27	34
Somewhat favor	26	23	30	25	27	27	25	24	30	25	25	23	30	26	25	23	27	27	26	35	20	27
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	15	15	12	8	15	11	14	16	6	15	15	11	16	10	15	11	15	17	9	4	13
Strongly oppose	22	26	18	24	17	22	22	23	21	19	24	23	22	21	23	23	23	23	17	18	38	18
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>
DK/NA	9	14	9	5	8	11	6	8	15	6	6	4	10	11	10	4	11	10	12	8	12	9

**TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	202	90	113	43	16	41	59	21	17	59	80	58	27	127	22	71
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>26%</b>
Strongly favor	3	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	18	1	2	5	8	2	6	5
Somewhat favor	13	11	15	19	28	16	12	0	0	22	11	8	27	9	26	21
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	30	20	31	20	9	29	39	12	28	20	26	25	28	19	19
Strongly oppose	48	51	47	42	37	61	49	39	50	41	56	45	18	50	31	41
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>
DK/NA	11	6	15	6	14	14	8	22	20	8	11	16	21	11	18	14

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	202	7	19	51	138	13	138	64	0	0	67	99	0	166	36
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	11	8	0	5	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	10
Somewhat favor	13	0	38	18	11	10	14	12	0	0	26	3	0	12	17
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>28</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	30	23	26	21	53	23	26	0	0	55	8	0	27	13
Strongly oppose	48	24	16	34	57	20	51	44	0	0	13	84	0	56	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>45</b>
DK/NA	11	46	12	13	11	12	10	15	0	0	5	3	0	4	45

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	202	0	137	65	28	17	87	115	202	0	76	42	32	34	118	66	18
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>15%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	1	7	5	4	1	4	3	0	0	2	0	14	1	7	0
Somewhat favor	13	0	7	26	43	17	11	15	13	0	10	14	16	14	12	15	15
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>38</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	0	27	18	19	29	25	24	24	0	18	25	42	26	20	33	15
Strongly oppose	48	0	61	22	33	24	56	43	48	0	63	44	39	44	56	42	22
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>47</b>
DK/NA	11	0	4	27	0	26	8	14	11	0	9	15	3	2	11	2	47

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	202	92	59	47	46	13	89	61	35	29	30	59	40	94	31	18
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>15%</b>
Strongly favor	3	1	0	9	3	0	1	6	2	0	6	0	7	3	0	0
Somewhat favor	13	16	12	10	3	40	9	10	24	0	13	9	10	15	8	15
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>85</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	28	21	23	16	49	23	21	37	17	34	15	42	24	11	19
Strongly oppose	48	47	53	42	71	11	61	38	36	76	34	69	31	42	67	66
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	11	8	14	16	8	0	5	26	0	7	12	7	11	15	14	0

TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS		W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	202	50	61	70	48	78	56	21	40	8	3	61	14	11	44	12	10	23	22	12	43	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>6%</b>	
Strongly favor	3	3	0	1	1	2	0	16	2	0	30	0	4	0	0	11	23	0	3	0	0	
Somewhat favor	13	26	10	9	27	8	11	6	31	9	18	10	0	19	9	11	0	30	27	29	6	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>88</b>	
Somewhat oppose	24	29	24	18	31	23	17	33	30	38	0	24	19	22	16	30	38	33	29	3	22	
Strongly oppose	48	37	48	63	36	53	60	31	36	38	53	48	76	51	62	35	26	37	35	35	66	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>6</b>	
DK/NA	11	5	18	10	5	14	12	13	3	16	0	18	0	8	13	14	13	0	6	34	6	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	202	31	30	25	28	50	33	26	22	28	49	24	32	14	21	10	22	25	30	14	27	13
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	4	3	2	0	7	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
Somewhat favor	13	13	9	12	31	13	5	21	33	4	11	8	13	43	17	32	13	11	3	17	11	5
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>84</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	32	27	29	24	15	24	33	29	34	16	25	12	21	34	31	31	12	26	25	10	26
Strongly oppose	48	47	59	45	34	54	45	44	27	54	52	60	59	35	42	28	46	64	47	45	67	58
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>
DK/NA	11	8	1	11	9	17	20	2	8	5	20	7	16	0	7	9	7	13	21	13	13	11



TABLE 15: Q10A. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	202	59	52	68	21	110	89	55	56	33	56	36	40	79	48	42	32	52	22	7	20	28
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>17%</b>
Strongly favor	3	6	0	2	3	3	2	2	4	2	2	0	9	2	1	0	11	1	4	0	0	2
Somewhat favor	13	17	14	12	3	16	10	15	16	4	14	11	7	17	13	12	4	23	3	19	11	14
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>77</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	30	20	19	34	25	22	33	18	27	20	24	25	22	28	21	28	25	20	12	2	46
Strongly oppose	48	40	44	59	52	42	57	42	41	64	53	64	36	48	47	64	34	46	51	47	69	31
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	11	7	22	8	8	14	8	8	20	2	11	1	23	11	11	3	23	6	22	21	17	6

**TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	249	107	143	47	40	53	73	22	9	87	100	57	30	151	38	89
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Strongly favor	3	2	3	1	0	4	0	0	18	1	2	3	7	2	3	4
Somewhat favor	15	16	14	16	19	14	12	28	0	18	15	14	9	15	13	15
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>61</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	22	26	32	31	24	21	12	7	32	21	18	28	25	27	24
Strongly oppose	44	50	40	38	43	41	52	50	44	40	43	55	44	48	27	37
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>
DK/NA	14	10	16	12	7	18	14	10	31	10	19	10	11	9	30	20

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	249	11	18	73	149	27	174	76	0	0	68	125	0	193	57
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	12	5	2	0	3	1	0	0	3	3	0	3	2
Somewhat favor	15	24	0	9	17	19	12	22	0	0	18	3	0	8	38
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>5</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	19	35	28	22	26	22	29	0	0	64	13	0	31	1
Strongly oppose	44	30	54	43	49	23	50	31	0	0	12	81	0	56	3
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>55</b>
DK/NA	14	28	0	15	10	32	13	16	0	0	3	0	0	1	55

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	249	0	141	108	72	17	137	112	0	249	84	68	49	30	152	79	19
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	1	5	8	0	2	4	0	3	4	1	4	4	2	4	0
Somewhat favor	15	0	3	31	37	29	13	18	0	15	6	12	38	16	9	30	7
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>43</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	0	28	19	27	5	24	25	0	24	22	28	30	23	24	27	10
Strongly oppose	44	0	68	14	18	10	46	42	0	44	65	37	20	49	52	31	33
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>50</b>
DK/NA	14	0	0	31	9	56	15	12	0	14	4	23	7	8	12	7	50

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				CONS	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA
Base	249	121	72	53	45	19	102	84	54	33	44	62	59	120	29	10
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>24%</b>
Strongly favor	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	1	6	0	3	1	2	4	0	5
Somewhat favor	15	14	21	10	4	9	13	15	23	7	14	10	19	17	10	19
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>69</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	28	22	21	23	25	21	28	24	22	19	17	18	31	6	15
Strongly oppose	44	46	33	56	64	45	55	40	31	65	36	63	39	39	66	54
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	14	11	19	10	8	18	8	16	16	5	28	10	22	9	18	6

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS		W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	249	101	42	69	90	69	52	39	66	24	11	42	16	19	33	22	17	33	56	9	43	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>14%</b>	
Strongly favor	3	6	0	2	6	0	2	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	9	5	6	2	
Somewhat favor	15	15	16	13	15	15	11	19	14	18	10	16	17	18	8	11	28	17	14	6	13	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>73</b>	
Somewhat oppose	24	30	27	13	27	27	16	26	26	28	56	27	6	17	15	32	18	24	28	18	15	
Strongly oppose	44	34	46	59	34	50	57	41	37	27	34	46	68	44	64	37	46	31	37	51	58	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	
DK/NA	14	15	10	13	17	8	14	14	17	18	0	10	9	22	9	20	8	19	16	20	13	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	249	46	38	22	41	62	35	25	64	41	28	25	27	33	38	16	24	29	15	12	23	16
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	5	0	1	0	5	5	7	0	0	3	2	0	3	10	0	0	0	4	3	0
Somewhat favor	15	20	14	12	14	15	14	19	14	18	12	16	7	17	18	10	26	7	15	16	12	9
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>75</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	37	11	7	25	28	25	27	27	21	34	15	16	38	18	26	26	34	13	11	17	16
Strongly oppose	44	37	52	71	44	37	46	37	34	57	39	55	58	38	26	54	39	55	57	57	54	59
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>
DK/NA	14	5	17	10	16	20	10	13	19	4	14	10	17	7	35	0	9	4	15	12	14	16

TABLE 16: Q10B. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	249	90	67	71	19	156	90	71	85	34	56	41	51	100	58	44	37	56	40	14	15	43
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Strongly favor	3	2	3	4	0	3	3	3	3	0	5	2	2	3	4	2	1	5	0	3	0	5
Somewhat favor	15	16	21	11	0	18	9	21	16	7	10	20	17	12	15	18	15	13	12	24	14	15
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>66</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	21	30	25	20	25	24	22	27	23	25	24	26	26	19	28	28	16	37	22	12	21
Strongly oppose	44	45	31	52	61	39	54	45	34	60	50	49	45	38	51	46	45	49	26	46	70	44
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>
DK/NA	14	15	14	8	19	15	11	9	20	11	10	5	9	21	12	7	11	17	25	4	4	15

**TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	452	196	255	89	56	94	132	43	26	146	180	115	57	277	60	160
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>22%</b>
Strongly favor	3	2	3	1	0	2	1	0	18	1	2	4	8	2	4	4
Somewhat favor	14	14	15	18	22	15	12	14	0	19	13	11	18	12	18	18
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>61</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	26	23	32	28	18	25	25	10	30	21	22	27	27	24	22
Strongly oppose	46	50	43	40	42	49	51	45	48	41	49	50	32	49	28	39
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>17</b>
DK/NA	13	8	16	9	9	16	11	16	24	9	16	13	16	10	26	17

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	452	19	38	124	287	41	312	140	0	0	134	225	0	359	93
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	12	6	1	2	3	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	5
Somewhat favor	14	14	19	13	14	16	13	17	0	0	22	3	0	10	30
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>14</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	23	29	28	21	35	23	28	0	0	60	10	0	29	6
Strongly oppose	46	27	34	39	53	22	50	37	0	0	12	82	0	56	8
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>51</b>
DK/NA	13	35	6	14	10	25	11	16	0	0	4	2	0	3	51

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	452	0	278	173	100	34	225	227	202	249	160	110	81	64	270	145	37
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	1	6	7	2	1	4	3	3	2	1	3	9	2	6	0
Somewhat favor	14	0	5	29	39	23	12	16	13	15	8	13	29	15	10	23	11
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>40</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	0	28	19	25	17	24	24	24	24	20	27	35	24	23	30	12
Strongly oppose	46	0	64	17	22	17	50	42	48	44	64	40	28	47	54	36	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>49</b>
DK/NA	13	0	2	29	7	41	12	13	11	14	6	20	5	5	12	5	49

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	452	213	131	100	91	32	191	145	89	62	74	120	98	214	60	28
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Strongly favor	3	1	3	6	2	2	2	3	5	0	4	0	4	4	0	2
Somewhat favor	14	15	17	10	3	22	11	13	23	4	14	9	15	16	9	16
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>80</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	28	22	22	19	35	22	25	29	20	25	16	28	28	8	18
Strongly oppose	46	46	42	49	67	31	58	39	33	70	35	66	36	40	66	62
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	13	10	17	13	8	11	7	20	10	6	22	9	17	11	16	2

TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	452	151	102	138	138	146	108	60	105	32	14	102	30	31	77	33	27	56	78	21	86	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>10%</b>	
Strongly favor	3	5	0	1	5	1	1	6	4	7	6	0	2	0	2	4	8	5	4	2	1	
Somewhat favor	14	19	12	11	19	12	11	14	20	16	12	12	9	18	8	11	18	22	18	19	9	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>80</b>	
Somewhat oppose	24	30	25	16	28	25	16	29	27	30	45	25	12	19	16	32	25	28	28	9	18	
Strongly oppose	46	35	47	61	35	51	58	37	37	29	38	47	72	46	63	36	39	34	36	42	62	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>9</b>	
DK/NA	13	12	15	11	13	12	13	14	12	18	0	15	5	16	11	17	10	11	13	28	9	

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	452	77	68	47	69	112	68	51	87	69	77	49	59	47	59	26	46	54	45	26	50	29
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Strongly favor	3	0	5	2	2	0	6	2	6	1	1	2	1	0	2	6	1	0	2	2	2	0
Somewhat favor	14	17	12	12	21	14	10	20	19	12	11	12	10	25	17	18	20	9	7	17	11	7
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>79</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	35	18	19	25	22	25	30	27	27	23	20	14	33	24	28	28	24	22	19	13	20
Strongly oppose	46	41	55	57	40	45	45	40	32	56	48	58	59	37	32	44	42	59	51	50	61	59
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>
DK/NA	13	6	10	11	13	19	15	7	16	5	18	9	16	5	25	3	8	8	19	12	13	14



TABLE 17: Q10. The United States has formal relations or at least talks and negotiates with many countries that are not friendly to us, have poor human rights records, or both, including China, Russia and Iran. Yet we continue NOT to have any relations or discussions with Cuba. Knowing this, let me ask you again, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	452	148	118	139	39	267	179	125	141	68	111	77	91	179	105	86	69	108	62	22	35	71
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Strongly favor	3	4	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	1	4	1	5	2	3	1	6	3	1	2	0	4
Somewhat favor	14	17	18	12	2	17	9	19	16	5	12	16	13	14	14	15	10	18	9	23	13	14
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>70</b>
Somewhat oppose	24	25	26	22	28	25	23	27	24	25	22	24	26	24	23	24	28	20	31	19	7	31
Strongly oppose	46	43	37	55	56	40	56	44	37	62	52	56	41	42	49	55	40	47	34	47	69	39
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
DK/NA	13	12	18	8	13	14	9	8	20	7	11	3	15	16	11	5	16	12	24	10	12	11

**TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>
Strongly support	35	40	30	38	29	36	32	41	34	35	36	35	36	35	32	36
Somewhat support	25	23	28	26	38	19	22	28	27	31	19	28	25	26	29	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	14	17	21	17	13	17	11	14	19	15	13	19	15	16	16
Strongly oppose	20	21	19	13	14	24	26	18	18	14	24	21	11	21	20	19
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	1	6	1	2	7	4	2	7	2	6	3	9	3	2	5

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>48%</b>
Strongly support	35	35	36	42	31	30	36	33	74	28	10	14	52	13	15
Somewhat support	25	26	24	28	22	35	25	27	15	46	26	11	30	17	32
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>28</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	9	25	15	16	16	13	20	5	16	40	15	10	24	15
Strongly oppose	20	10	11	13	26	11	22	16	5	6	20	59	6	44	14
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>
DK/NA	4	20	3	1	5	8	4	4	0	4	4	0	2	2	24

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>68%</b>
Strongly support	35	58	9	22	25	21	35	35	37	33	23	17	36	57	20	46	38
Somewhat support	25	29	13	31	33	33	26	25	24	26	15	33	34	19	23	27	30
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	8	24	20	18	23	15	16	16	16	20	24	16	8	22	12	5
Strongly oppose	20	3	52	17	20	21	21	19	19	20	39	18	12	14	30	13	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>
DK/NA	4	2	2	10	4	2	3	5	3	5	3	8	2	1	5	2	12

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN				
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Strongly support	35	36	35	32	29	47	34	33	41	34	50	29	48	29	26	33
Somewhat support	25	24	30	22	18	19	22	28	29	15	26	21	27	26	24	21
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	18	12	17	11	22	15	14	18	13	10	14	11	20	12	12
Strongly oppose	20	20	16	25	38	12	27	20	10	37	12	32	12	19	34	32
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	4	2	6	4	3	0	2	6	2	2	2	3	2	6	5	2

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY					
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS			
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP			
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165		
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>		
Strongly support	35	37	37	36	37	38	35	20	39	34	36	37	42	44	29	22	16	41	34	38	33		
Somewhat support	25	29	27	20	28	26	21	26	24	40	35	27	15	20	22	29	19	29	27	20	22		
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>43</b>		
Somewhat oppose	16	17	13	15	16	14	14	22	16	18	17	13	15	17	13	22	21	18	15	18	13		
Strongly oppose	20	15	19	26	16	19	26	24	18	9	12	19	24	17	31	17	35	10	21	16	30		
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>		
DK/NA	4	2	5	4	3	4	4	8	3	0	0	5	4	2	4	9	8	2	3	8	2		
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN		MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64	
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>53%</b>	
Strongly support	35	37	45	42	32	28	28	47	30	39	36	41	27	32	40	39	37	41	36	47	28	30	
Somewhat support	25	28	16	23	34	21	32	19	35	24	28	23	18	35	19	35	31	18	27	23	19	23	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>44</b>	
Somewhat oppose	16	18	13	10	20	16	15	17	16	13	14	12	17	20	17	13	19	11	12	15	15	15	
Strongly oppose	20	16	24	23	12	24	20	17	15	23	14	23	30	14	19	12	12	23	21	14	32	29	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	
DK/NA	4	1	2	1	2	10	5	1	4	1	7	1	7	0	5	1	2	7	4	1	6	3	

TABLE 18: Q11A. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Strongly support	35	29	36	37	41	33	38	38	28	44	33	35	36	33	38	36	32	33	32	45	31	41
Somewhat support	25	27	24	24	29	25	25	22	28	23	28	28	25	25	24	26	28	25	28	17	23	25
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	16	15	16	17	15	16	16	15	13	18	16	17	15	14	17	15	16	14	20	12	15
Strongly oppose	20	22	20	21	12	21	18	23	20	19	18	18	18	23	18	19	21	23	22	11	28	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	6	5	2	2	5	2	2	9	1	3	3	4	4	6	2	2	3	5	7	6	5

**TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>
Strongly support	35	42	29	37	34	37	33	30	44	36	36	33	36	35	37	37
Somewhat support	26	22	29	26	30	24	21	33	23	28	23	27	25	27	22	21
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	14	12	15	17	8	15	8	10	16	11	12	12	13	21	13
Strongly oppose	22	21	23	20	15	25	26	23	16	18	24	24	17	21	15	22
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	4	2	6	1	3	6	5	5	7	2	6	4	10	3	6	7

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Strongly support	35	36	36	45	30	33	37	32	74	30	11	12	54	11	16
Somewhat support	26	27	24	23	27	27	25	27	17	44	23	13	30	17	35
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>25</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	10	13	12	12	20	11	18	2	14	31	15	8	21	12
Strongly oppose	22	14	19	17	27	9	24	18	6	9	29	58	7	47	13
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>
DK/NA	4	13	8	3	4	11	4	5	0	3	6	3	1	4	24

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>60%</b>
Strongly support	35	63	9	16	25	13	36	35	36	35	21	16	39	59	19	48	32
Somewhat support	26	29	12	34	32	33	26	26	26	25	18	29	35	19	23	28	28
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	4	20	21	23	26	14	12	12	14	16	18	12	7	17	10	13
Strongly oppose	22	3	55	20	18	25	20	23	22	22	41	30	12	13	36	12	12
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>
DK/NA	4	2	4	9	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	7	3	2	5	2	16

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Strongly support	35	37	37	29	27	49	28	37	46	28	56	25	52	30	25	26
Somewhat support	26	24	27	27	17	21	25	26	27	26	23	27	26	25	25	28
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>41</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	13	14	11	14	13	13	14	11	9	8	13	8	16	8	15
Strongly oppose	22	22	16	29	40	16	32	17	13	36	11	32	12	23	36	26
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	2	1	2	6	3	1	2	4	2	5	5	5

TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY							
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS					
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP				
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165				
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>52%</b>				
Strongly support	35	41	36	32	43	34	33	24	42	44	30	36	28	36	31	30	16	47	39	43	29				
Somewhat support	26	27	24	25	23	28	23	29	21	30	47	24	30	37	15	25	35	27	20	24	23				
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>47</b>				
Somewhat oppose	13	13	12	12	14	12	12	16	15	13	7	12	14	6	15	20	10	10	19	6	14				
Strongly oppose	22	16	21	29	16	21	30	24	19	10	14	21	25	21	36	20	31	13	18	21	33				
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>				
DK/NA	4	3	8	2	3	6	2	7	3	4	2	8	2	0	4	5	9	3	3	6	1				
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE											
Total	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64			
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>53%</b>			
Strongly support	35	38	45	44	34	29	23	51	37	37	30	43	20	36	47	45	38	33	30	38	30	31			
Somewhat support	26	26	16	22	30	28	31	17	28	26	31	19	27	29	20	23	32	25	29	21	25	22			
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>			
Somewhat oppose	13	19	12	8	13	10	15	19	11	11	12	12	12	17	13	12	16	7	11	11	11	15			
Strongly oppose	22	16	25	23	21	24	24	12	19	24	18	24	37	17	16	17	12	26	24	30	32	30			
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>			
DK/NA	4	1	2	3	3	9	6	1	5	2	10	2	3	1	5	3	2	9	6	0	3	3			



TABLE 19: Q11B. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Removing restrictions on U.S. citizens to spend dollars in Cuba

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Strongly support	35	30	33	38	46	32	40	35	28	51	31	38	34	31	40	39	34	34	25	35	35	42
Somewhat support	26	24	26	26	27	25	26	24	26	18	34	29	29	24	24	28	28	22	28	31	20	25
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>
Somewhat oppose	13	15	12	13	12	13	13	16	11	11	14	11	16	15	8	12	18	15	14	12	9	8
Strongly oppose	22	24	24	20	15	24	19	23	25	19	20	20	17	25	23	20	15	24	27	20	28	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	4	7	5	2	0	6	2	2	11	2	2	2	4	4	5	2	5	4	6	2	9	4

**TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>66%</b>
Strongly support	35	40	30	40	26	32	30	44	39	35	32	37	34	32	36	38
Somewhat support	27	25	29	30	32	29	24	18	29	31	27	22	30	27	28	28
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>
Somewhat oppose	12	11	13	12	13	10	16	6	14	12	12	12	11	13	14	11
Strongly oppose	24	23	24	18	27	24	27	27	13	21	25	24	17	25	19	19
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	3	2	4	0	2	5	3	4	6	1	4	4	7	2	2	4

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Strongly support	35	33	35	43	30	28	33	37	72	31	10	12	53	11	11
Somewhat support	27	43	23	30	25	26	28	25	19	49	23	11	33	16	35
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>36</b>
Somewhat oppose	12	9	13	8	13	20	11	14	2	11	32	13	7	20	14
Strongly oppose	24	4	24	16	29	20	25	21	5	8	33	62	6	51	22
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>
DK/NA	3	11	5	2	3	6	3	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	18

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>59%</b>
Strongly support	35	58	8	21	20	23	32	37	38	31	20	15	40	59	18	49	22
Somewhat support	27	32	10	36	40	33	29	25	24	30	17	35	32	23	25	27	38
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>
Somewhat oppose	12	5	21	15	17	17	13	11	10	14	15	18	12	7	16	9	7
Strongly oppose	24	4	59	20	22	22	23	24	24	23	44	28	15	12	37	13	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>
DK/NA	3	1	2	8	1	4	2	4	3	3	3	5	1	1	4	1	13

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Strongly support	35	37	34	29	32	42	33	34	40	35	45	31	44	30	27	28
Somewhat support	27	25	30	27	21	25	24	29	29	18	29	23	29	28	20	33
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>37</b>
Somewhat oppose	12	10	13	14	12	5	12	14	8	14	9	11	10	13	12	10
Strongly oppose	24	25	19	26	32	27	29	18	22	33	15	33	15	26	37	27
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	2	1	1	5	1	1	3	2	2	3	4	2

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY					
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS			
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP		
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165		
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>54%</b>		
Strongly support	35	37	33	34	37	35	33	27	38	35	41	33	40	41	28	32	20	39	36	32	33		
Somewhat support	27	30	29	21	29	28	23	26	26	37	35	29	16	30	19	25	28	30	29	29	21		
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45</b>		
Somewhat oppose	12	12	10	11	12	11	10	18	13	10	12	10	14	6	12	18	19	8	17	4	12		
Strongly oppose	24	19	22	31	20	22	31	25	22	17	13	22	29	20	37	25	24	23	17	25	33		
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>		
DK/NA	3	1	5	3	1	4	3	4	1	2	0	5	2	3	4	0	10	1	2	10	1		
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64	
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>56%</b>	
Strongly support	35	34	41	47	35	25	29	45	31	36	35	40	25	34	34	44	35	32	39	41	29	29	
Somewhat support	27	29	22	22	33	32	23	25	33	29	26	22	24	35	28	23	32	32	19	22	22	27	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>39</b>	
Somewhat oppose	12	13	11	8	12	12	16	13	12	10	13	8	13	13	12	15	11	8	14	5	14	9	
Strongly oppose	24	23	23	21	19	27	27	17	23	23	20	30	32	17	26	17	20	22	24	32	30	31	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	
DK/NA	3	1	3	3	1	5	5	1	1	2	6	1	6	1	0	1	1	5	5	0	5	4	

TABLE 20: Q11C. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing more American companies to do business in Cuba

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>66%</b>
Strongly support	35	30	31	38	40	31	39	37	25	43	35	35	37	35	32	35	39	32	39	34	28	33
Somewhat support	27	27	28	26	30	27	27	23	32	27	26	25	23	27	32	26	22	27	25	26	26	33
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	
Somewhat oppose	12	13	13	12	10	13	11	14	12	8	14	14	10	13	10	14	9	14	12	11	11	10
Strongly oppose	24	25	25	22	20	25	21	24	26	20	22	23	25	22	25	23	25	23	20	25	29	24
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	3	4	3	2	0	4	2	2	5	1	2	2	5	3	2	2	5	3	4	4	5	0

**TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>75%</b>
Strongly support	56	57	54	67	56	48	51	59	58	63	49	56	57	59	53	54
Somewhat support	21	18	25	22	25	29	18	12	18	23	22	17	27	21	16	21
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>
Somewhat oppose	6	5	6	3	4	6	8	10	2	3	7	7	0	7	8	3
Strongly oppose	14	17	12	8	13	16	20	13	12	10	20	13	14	11	18	18
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	3	1	3	6	9	1	2	6	2	2	5	4

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>77%</b>
Strongly support	56	34	66	63	52	58	52	65	82	58	50	38	70	41	34
Somewhat support	21	66	13	18	23	25	23	17	8	29	32	14	18	20	42
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>7</b>
Somewhat oppose	6	0	0	4	6	8	5	7	1	7	9	9	4	9	3
Strongly oppose	14	0	19	11	18	3	17	8	8	5	8	38	6	28	3
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>
DK/NA	3	0	2	3	2	7	2	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	16

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>63%</b>
Strongly support	56	72	39	48	56	47	57	55	56	56	54	28	57	80	43	68	42
Somewhat support	21	18	16	33	40	31	18	25	19	24	21	29	30	8	25	19	21
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>21</b>
Somewhat oppose	6	3	11	4	2	8	7	5	7	5	5	10	7	2	7	5	5
Strongly oppose	14	5	33	7	2	11	16	13	16	12	20	26	6	9	23	8	16
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>
DK/NA	3	1	1	8	0	3	3	3	2	3	0	6	0	1	3	1	16

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>68%</b>
Strongly support	56	61	56	46	51	73	50	53	70	52	66	52	65	53	57	49
Somewhat support	21	19	28	15	16	16	20	24	18	10	18	13	19	27	11	20
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>
Somewhat oppose	6	5	6	8	15	3	8	5	3	15	4	12	4	4	11	10
Strongly oppose	14	13	9	25	18	8	21	13	8	22	9	20	9	14	20	17
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	3	2	1	6	0	0	1	4	0	1	3	3	2	2	1	5

TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>74%</b>	
Strongly support	56	58	53	59	57	56	57	50	61	46	61	53	63	64	53	56	41	62	53	65	56	
Somewhat support	21	24	22	16	24	21	18	21	20	33	25	22	10	22	16	23	17	23	26	21	18	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>26</b>	
Somewhat oppose	6	5	5	8	6	4	9	7	7	3	0	5	4	5	11	1	17	4	8	5	10	
Strongly oppose	14	13	14	16	13	15	14	14	11	18	14	14	22	7	19	12	17	11	14	7	16	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	
DK/NA	3	0	6	1	0	4	1	7	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	7	8	0	0	3	1	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>80%</b>
Strongly support	56	62	54	57	64	45	55	58	57	59	53	57	58	70	50	51	59	49	60	76	46	56
Somewhat support	21	26	10	17	20	33	18	22	26	15	27	18	19	24	22	25	22	27	12	21	13	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>
Somewhat oppose	6	4	6	5	3	7	10	5	6	3	5	10	7	2	8	8	4	2	6	3	12	8
Strongly oppose	14	9	29	13	11	12	12	16	11	19	11	15	13	4	20	17	14	19	12	0	26	13
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	3	0	1	8	2	3	4	0	0	4	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	11	0	3	0



TABLE 21: Q11D. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Holding meetings with the Cuban government to coordinate on issues of mutual concern, like preventing drug trafficking and smuggling

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>76%</b>
Strongly support	56	54	53	58	63	53	59	60	46	54	64	64	63	50	53	62	61	53	45	67	41	58
Somewhat support	21	19	25	20	21	22	20	16	29	21	20	17	19	26	20	17	19	23	34	18	24	18
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>21</b>
Somewhat oppose	6	9	4	6	3	6	5	7	6	3	7	3	4	11	1	3	3	10	12	8	4	1
Strongly oppose	14	13	15	14	13	14	14	15	14	20	9	15	10	11	23	16	10	14	4	8	28	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	3	4	3	1	0	4	1	2	5	2	0	1	4	2	3	2	7	0	5	0	4	3

**TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Strongly support	29	37	22	33	38	33	24	20	24	35	29	22	23	30	28	29
Somewhat support	23	21	24	24	22	23	22	21	25	23	22	23	35	22	21	25
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>41</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	15	18	19	18	12	16	19	20	18	13	18	16	14	23	17
Strongly oppose	27	24	29	23	18	24	34	36	22	21	30	30	18	29	27	24
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	5	3	7	1	4	8	4	4	9	3	6	6	9	5	2	5

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Strongly support	29	23	24	39	21	35	31	26	65	19	8	5	44	7	11
Somewhat support	23	50	23	23	23	21	23	22	17	37	27	13	26	19	16
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>44</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	12	18	17	16	14	16	17	9	22	24	18	15	20	13
Strongly oppose	27	7	26	19	34	21	25	30	7	18	40	61	12	52	31
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28</b>
DK/NA	5	9	9	3	6	9	5	6	3	4	2	3	3	2	28

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Strongly support	29	52	7	11	11	12	33	25	35	23	23	4	29	52	15	39	35
Somewhat support	23	25	13	27	32	26	23	22	20	26	15	26	31	18	20	25	19
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	14	19	18	17	23	15	18	18	15	14	24	18	11	18	15	15
Strongly oppose	27	6	58	34	34	33	24	30	22	31	45	38	16	16	42	16	19
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>
DK/NA	5	3	2	10	7	7	5	5	5	5	2	8	6	3	5	4	12

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>45%</b>
Strongly support	29	31	36	13	18	38	22	29	40	16	50	17	45	23	26	13
Somewhat support	23	22	25	22	24	29	22	21	25	31	21	25	22	23	19	33
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	19	9	25	10	5	19	18	12	20	10	18	12	17	6	15
Strongly oppose	27	24	25	35	45	24	33	25	19	32	13	37	16	30	43	37
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	3	3	4	7	3	0	6	3	5	6	5	2

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY														
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS												
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP												
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90											
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>48%</b>											
Strongly support	29	32	29	28	34	23	34	21	30	43	21	29	7	54	24	21	21	38	30	41	31											
Somewhat support	23	28	28	14	27	26	14	15	25	32	36	28	15	14	14	13	19	29	26	7	16											
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>47</b>											
Somewhat oppose	16	14	14	17	14	19	11	31	16	10	15	14	38	13	10	39	17	9	21	15	10											
Strongly oppose	27	21	24	36	20	27	35	26	23	14	26	24	41	19	43	28	23	21	17	29	38											
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>											
DK/NA	5	4	6	4	5	4	6	8	6	1	2	6	0	0	9	0	20	3	7	8	5											
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE																		
Total	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		DEM			IND/L			IND/L			REP			REP			REP		
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+			
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33										
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>46%</b>										
Strongly support	29	40	38	31	28	22	16	44	26	28	19	43	24	43	31	23	28	26	17	40	32	32										
Somewhat support	23	16	28	22	34	17	24	24	30	25	28	17	10	29	21	31	28	25	27	10	18	13										
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>49</b>										
Somewhat oppose	16	18	11	13	18	15	23	14	14	15	22	9	14	16	11	20	20	12	23	8	15	7										
Strongly oppose	27	24	19	31	16	38	30	15	24	28	26	29	42	10	30	22	22	32	27	37	28	42										
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>										
DK/NA	5	2	4	3	4	7	8	3	6	3	5	2	10	2	6	3	3	4	5	5	7	5										

TABLE 22: Q11E. I am going to mention some different ways U.S. policy toward Cuba could be changed. For each one, please tell me whether your support or oppose that proposal: Allowing Cuba access to high-speed Internet and other telecommunications systems based in the United States

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
<b>TOTAL SUPPORT</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Strongly support	29	22	26	36	35	24	35	29	20	47	24	31	23	32	30	30	22	37	25	24	30	29
Somewhat support	23	18	30	21	22	24	21	23	25	18	24	23	25	22	22	22	28	23	21	19	14	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>42</b>
Somewhat oppose	16	22	14	14	18	18	15	18	17	10	19	14	15	17	18	13	14	13	24	18	20	18
Strongly oppose	27	30	28	25	21	29	24	28	30	20	28	30	29	25	25	32	26	23	25	36	30	24
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	5	8	2	5	4	5	5	1	9	4	5	3	8	4	5	2	10	4	4	3	6	5

**TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	36	43	29	29	35	39	34	46	38	31	37	39	32	36	31	35
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	18	23	31	18	22	16	15	22	26	19	17	22	22	18	19
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	13	18	18	16	13	19	11	16	17	16	14	21	16	11	15
Very important keep same	22	21	23	19	25	21	27	22	16	21	22	24	17	21	33	24
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	6	4	7	4	6	6	5	5	8	5	6	5	7	5	8	7
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>35%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	36	35	31	44	31	29	37	34	70	34	14	12	54	12	17	
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	32	18	21	20	25	20	23	16	38	20	8	26	13	18	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>43</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	16	24	19	11	18	16	15	16	7	13	33	19	10	25	17	
Very important keep same	22	3	25	19	24	25	23	22	4	11	27	57	7	46	26	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>21</b>	
DK/NA	6	7	7	5	6	5	5	6	3	4	6	4	4	5	21	

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>43%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	36	62	8	20	29	14	36	36	36	36	24	20	36	62	22	48	23
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	26	9	23	26	26	21	21	21	20	11	23	34	13	16	24	20
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	7	27	19	16	25	15	16	16	15	17	24	15	9	20	12	16
Very important keep same	22	3	52	26	24	29	24	21	20	25	44	28	11	13	37	12	16
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>25</b>
DK/NA	6	3	5	12	5	7	4	7	6	5	5	6	4	3	5	3	25

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>56%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	36	36	36	36	30	42	31	36	44	37	58	33	54	25	27	34
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	26	12	9	18	15	25	21	10	18	14	19	26	12	22
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>40</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	15	17	15	21	15	19	13	16	14	10	19	11	17	20	19
Very important keep same	22	23	17	29	32	22	28	21	16	34	12	30	12	24	35	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	6	5	5	8	7	3	6	6	4	4	3	4	3	8	6	4

TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM W/LNS	INDEP	REP W/LNS	DEM	INDEP W/LNS	REP	NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	36	40	37	34	41	36	33	22	42	39	32	37	37	37	31	30	11	44	38	39	31
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	21	23	15	19	23	15	29	14	32	32	23	17	23	10	30	26	21	18	16	15
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>47</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	15	18	16	14	17	17	14	15	11	18	18	12	16	17	15	12	13	16	16	17
Very important keep same	22	20	16	28	21	19	27	29	24	13	16	16	30	18	33	22	39	17	24	20	30
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	6	4	6	7	5	5	7	7	4	5	2	6	4	6	8	3	12	6	3	8	7

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN 18-39	MEN 40-59	MEN 60+	WOMEN 18-39	WOMEN 40-59	WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	36	40	45	45	21	30	34	47	36	41	31	45	20	27	46	52	37	36	36	32	30	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	25	15	15	28	22	20	16	22	21	26	15	16	20	20	16	32	20	18	17	16	13
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	12	15	13	23	18	14	11	16	14	19	13	22	23	10	9	13	21	16	18	17	15
Very important keep same	22	20	19	25	22	24	24	20	22	21	16	23	32	25	20	18	14	17	24	24	29	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	6	3	6	2	6	6	8	6	4	3	8	4	11	4	4	4	4	6	5	9	8	5



TABLE 23: Q12A. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuba trade embargo is estimated to cost the U.S. economy as much as 4-point-8 billion dollars in exports and related economic output per year

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b><u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u></b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>59%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	36	30	33	40	48	31	42	38	24	49	36	35	38	35	36	37	35	35	31	46	28	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	21	23	19	22	22	20	20	23	17	22	20	23	21	20	19	22	21	22	25	18	20
<b><u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u></b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>38</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	17	16	16	9	16	14	15	18	10	18	18	12	16	16	17	13	14	20	10	19	16
Very important keep same	22	28	21	20	18	25	20	23	26	19	20	19	21	23	24	20	25	24	23	14	27	22
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	6	5	8	5	4	6	4	4	9	4	5	8	5	6	4	8	6	7	4	5	8	3

**TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>45%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	26	29	23	23	26	30	27	21	22	24	28	24	26	25	25	28
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	18	16	24	18	21	13	14	11	21	18	12	21	17	17	17
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>45</b>
Somewhat important keep same	17	13	21	16	19	14	19	15	23	18	17	18	17	17	21	16
Very important keep same	33	34	31	31	31	28	33	44	34	31	29	40	25	35	30	29
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>
DK/NA	7	5	9	6	6	7	8	7	10	6	8	6	11	6	7	9
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>26%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	26	37	20	32	23	16	26	26	52	17	13	13	36	13	14	
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	26	18	19	16	22	17	19	19	29	16	4	23	9	11	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>48</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	17	24	13	14	18	27	18	17	10	23	29	14	16	19	14	
Very important keep same	33	3	38	27	38	26	33	32	12	25	40	66	18	56	34	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>26</b>	
DK/NA	7	11	11	8	6	9	7	7	6	6	2	4	6	3	26	

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	26	41	8	18	29	12	28	24	23	28	24	14	24	41	19	32	18
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	25	4	17	23	15	18	17	17	18	9	19	24	18	13	21	10
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>
Somewhat important keep same	17	14	20	19	15	22	16	19	18	16	12	25	25	8	17	17	19
Very important keep same	33	14	64	34	27	46	33	33	34	31	51	39	22	25	46	23	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>25</b>
DK/NA	7	6	4	12	5	4	6	8	8	6	5	3	5	8	4	7	25

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	26	25	29	23	26	29	24	25	31	29	37	25	35	20	24	34
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	18	18	15	8	20	11	20	21	10	21	12	21	18	9	16
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>42</b>
Somewhat important keep same	17	14	21	19	14	14	17	18	18	19	15	17	15	19	14	14
Very important keep same	33	37	23	37	46	30	43	29	23	38	20	40	22	36	47	29
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	7	6	9	6	6	7	6	8	6	5	8	6	7	7	6	7

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP		
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>35%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	26	29	28	23	30	25	26	17	31	28	22	28	16	31	23	19	14	28	32	25	26	
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	20	21	10	19	19	11	17	18	21	23	21	7	15	9	17	16	20	19	19	9	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>59</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	17	20	13	18	20	17	14	15	20	21	22	13	29	19	12	21	6	21	20	14	15	
Very important keep same	33	26	29	42	26	32	41	41	25	27	31	29	44	28	48	36	48	24	27	29	44	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	
DK/NA	7	5	9	7	5	7	8	10	6	3	3	9	4	7	8	6	16	8	3	14	6	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE										
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>34%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	26	28	33	26	20	24	22	28	31	30	19	32	19	26	31	29	22	30	22	28	26	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	25	15	15	17	21	9	22	17	17	22	14	8	22	19	17	26	21	10	15	10	10
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>63</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	17	12	15	12	24	18	24	13	25	15	19	10	19	22	20	19	13	19	8	19	16	
Very important keep same	33	31	31	41	31	27	39	31	21	33	31	39	42	26	22	34	29	27	41	38	37	47
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	7	4	6	6	8	10	7	5	5	5	10	4	12	5	8	1	5	9	8	12	8	4

TABLE 24: Q12B. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba continues to have a dismal human rights record. The Castro regime represses virtually all forms of political dissent through detentions, arbitrary arrests, beatings, travel restrictions, forced exile and sentencing dissidents in closed trials

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>50%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	26	21	23	29	32	22	30	27	18	31	29	22	26	27	27	23	25	29	22	29	17	30
Somewhat important norm/engage	17	17	19	15	22	18	17	21	15	16	17	21	17	15	18	22	17	13	17	18	16	19
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>47</b>
Somewhat important keep same	17	16	17	19	14	17	18	12	21	14	21	18	14	20	14	18	13	19	23	17	13	15
Very important keep same	33	40	32	29	30	36	29	36	36	33	26	31	36	31	34	31	37	32	29	34	41	32
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	3	7	6	5	10	5	7	8	7	7	6	7	9	7	9	2	13	4

**TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	23	27	20	19	14	26	26	24	33	17	26	28	24	24	18	24
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	18	18	21	16	26	12	13	20	19	19	16	19	18	18	16
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>50</b>
Somewhat important keep same	21	20	23	33	28	13	20	13	18	31	18	14	25	22	23	21
Very important keep same	30	31	29	20	34	28	35	44	23	26	30	36	22	31	35	29
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>
DK/NA	7	4	10	8	8	6	6	7	6	8	7	5	9	6	7	10

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	23	4	31	29	22	10	23	25	50	22	10	10	36	10	8
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	30	15	18	17	23	17	19	24	33	12	1	28	5	11
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>49</b>
Somewhat important keep same	21	30	24	20	20	40	20	26	13	27	42	15	20	23	24
Very important keep same	30	0	30	26	34	17	34	20	8	15	30	71	11	58	25
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>32</b>
DK/NA	7	35	0	7	7	10	6	11	5	4	7	3	5	4	32

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>21%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	23	41	7	13	19	13	23	24	23	24	20	16	18	42	18	30	11
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	32	3	10	9	14	19	16	19	17	5	15	30	21	9	26	10
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>51</b>
Somewhat important keep same	21	17	23	28	27	35	20	23	22	21	15	29	29	15	21	22	23
Very important keep same	30	7	61	32	32	35	33	27	29	31	55	33	19	17	45	18	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>28</b>
DK/NA	7	3	5	17	13	4	5	10	8	7	6	8	5	4	6	5	28
	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>56%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	23	24	23	22	31	23	26	22	24	40	29	33	31	14	23	37	
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	17	21	15	7	11	11	21	24	4	21	7	23	20	4	18	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>42</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	21	21	25	18	18	19	21	20	22	14	19	16	18	27	15	16	
Very important keep same	30	32	23	38	41	40	38	26	26	40	22	40	21	31	51	26	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	
DK/NA	7	6	8	7	3	7	4	11	4	2	9	4	8	8	7	3	

TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS		W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>37%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	23	20	28	26	20	27	24	18	22	15	17	28	33	10	33	25	8	19	20	9	28	
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	22	22	10	19	23	9	13	18	21	38	22	12	16	5	16	9	21	17	12	8	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>59</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	21	25	17	18	24	19	18	28	25	23	31	17	19	21	15	22	37	26	24	15	19	
Very important keep same	30	26	24	42	29	24	44	31	29	29	10	24	37	41	45	26	39	28	29	51	40	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	
DK/NA	7	8	9	4	8	7	6	10	7	13	4	9	0	12	2	11	8	7	9	13	4	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>36%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	23	23	30	30	12	23	26	20	21	33	21	27	20	14	26	20	21	27	34	11	28	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	22	16	16	15	21	17	22	16	22	23	6	13	15	13	33	29	27	10	10	10	7
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>64</b>
Somewhat important keep same	21	24	20	13	37	17	15	20	27	18	21	18	18	31	24	13	30	15	11	24	9	23
Very important keep same	30	27	30	38	24	30	34	34	25	24	25	45	42	32	26	29	11	25	37	45	47	41
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	7	3	4	4	12	9	7	5	11	3	11	4	8	8	11	5	8	5	7	10	6	0



TABLE 25: Q12C. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: After more than fifty years of no U.S. relations with Cuba the Castro regime remains in power

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
<b><u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u></b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>47%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	23	21	22	24	30	22	26	28	16	26	25	18	27	22	26	21	22	25	10	38	18	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	18	15	21	20	11	18	18	17	19	19	17	19	20	16	18	18	20	15	17	19	18	18
<b><u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u></b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>45</b>
Somewhat important keep same	21	20	26	20	19	23	20	26	20	12	26	29	18	22	17	27	16	22	26	23	10	20
Very important keep same	30	33	22	31	37	27	33	24	31	40	27	24	31	33	29	23	36	32	38	20	40	25
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	7	10	9	5	2	10	4	5	15	2	6	9	4	7	10	11	6	5	8	0	14	8

**TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	30	32	28	25	21	35	31	37	33	23	33	34	26	32	26	26
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	27	24	30	35	25	22	14	23	32	24	19	30	26	22	24
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	14	18	19	18	19	15	13	9	19	18	11	20	15	21	18
Very important keep same	21	23	19	20	19	14	26	28	17	20	18	26	16	20	25	23
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>
DK/NA	8	4	11	6	7	7	6	8	17	7	7	10	8	7	7	9
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>33%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	30	11	31	38	26	25	31	28	65	28	16	12	47	13	5	
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	47	24	24	27	17	23	31	18	46	19	13	32	15	28	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>40</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	16	23	19	10	18	23	17	15	6	8	40	22	7	27	22	
Very important keep same	21	0	22	19	21	26	22	18	7	9	18	50	8	40	18	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>27</b>	
DK/NA	8	18	4	8	7	9	7	8	4	9	7	3	6	4	27	

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	30	51	10	17	21	20	29	31	34	27	21	12	29	55	17	42	17
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	36	12	22	35	21	24	27	22	28	14	28	44	17	20	31	18
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>42</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	4	31	19	10	24	16	17	18	14	19	33	12	5	25	8	24
Very important keep same	21	6	43	21	28	22	26	16	18	24	38	21	10	17	31	14	18
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>23</b>
DK/NA	8	3	4	20	6	13	6	9	9	7	8	6	5	6	7	5	23

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>59%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	30	28	36	26	30	34	28	31	35	39	48	32	47	18	25	35
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	28	26	19	12	20	20	31	27	13	22	23	24	27	26	24
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	14	21	14	12	27	15	12	21	10	17	10	15	21	15	10
Very important keep same	21	23	10	31	39	17	31	17	13	34	8	29	10	23	24	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	8	7	7	10	8	2	6	10	5	3	6	6	5	11	10	2

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM	REP	INDEP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS				
	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP			
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>49%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	30	30	35	29	30	35	26	20	34	20	34	35	36	27	26	24	14	34	27	18	28	
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	26	26	24	23	28	23	23	20	31	42	26	28	23	23	24	22	24	22	32	21	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>44</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	16	16	15	13	18	12	16	25	16	24	5	15	7	18	14	23	28	25	13	15	16	
Very important keep same	21	23	14	25	24	16	25	22	26	19	18	14	25	15	32	24	19	14	33	13	28	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>7</b>	
DK/NA	8	4	10	9	5	8	10	10	4	6	2	10	5	18	5	5	17	4	5	22	7	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE										
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>55%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	30	25	37	35	21	30	33	30	30	38	31	28	24	19	30	44	28	40	35	26	32	19
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	34	23	23	30	25	15	20	25	34	23	24	22	25	25	15	46	25	14	14	20	35
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>45</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	17	17	7	20	19	16	15	21	10	15	14	17	21	24	8	12	10	15	18	15	12
Very important keep same	21	21	18	30	19	18	22	34	17	15	18	27	23	26	20	27	10	15	25	24	20	33
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	8	3	5	5	10	9	14	1	7	4	13	7	14	8	1	6	4	9	12	18	13	0

TABLE 26: Q12D. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: The Cuban government has made economic reforms to allow entrepreneurship, and today more than forty percent of all Cubans earn their income from privately-owned small businesses

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>63%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	30	21	34	32	34	28	33	31	24	33	33	31	30	26	35	32	27	26	23	36	26	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	25	24	26	26	26	25	26	26	24	29	24	26	30	23	25	25	23	23	23	43	28	24
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>
Somewhat important keep same	16	17	16	15	16	17	15	17	17	11	19	23	9	20	10	21	10	21	23	8	11	10
Very important keep same	21	31	15	19	17	23	18	23	23	23	15	11	20	25	23	11	26	25	25	7	23	24
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	8	7	9	7	6	8	7	4	12	4	9	9	11	7	6	11	13	5	6	7	11	3

**TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	38	48	29	42	38	38	29	44	40	40	34	39	24	39	32	34
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	17	23	29	25	21	16	16	11	27	20	13	37	17	20	26
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat important keep same	12	9	14	6	13	12	13	17	16	9	12	16	13	14	13	9
Very important keep same	23	19	27	18	17	22	35	18	20	18	28	23	19	24	29	23
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	7	6	7	5	8	8	7	5	14	6	6	9	7	7	6	8

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>43%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	38	35	16	43	34	37	44	27	66	38	11	14	53	13	29
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	38	35	22	19	21	17	25	19	32	23	5	25	13	13
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>33</b>
Somewhat important keep same	12	16	10	12	12	9	10	15	4	14	23	14	9	18	10
Very important keep same	23	11	26	18	28	14	22	26	6	8	36	65	7	52	22
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>
DK/NA	7	0	12	5	6	18	7	7	5	8	7	2	6	5	25

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	38	63	5	25	39	14	36	41	40	36	24	17	45	58	21	51	38
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	25	6	24	25	24	23	17	18	23	14	27	26	16	20	21	13
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>
Somewhat important keep same	12	4	18	19	13	28	14	9	11	13	14	18	11	6	16	9	9
Very important keep same	23	3	65	21	16	29	21	25	23	24	42	30	12	17	37	14	14
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26</b>
DK/NA	7	5	5	11	8	4	6	8	9	5	6	8	6	3	7	5	26

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
	Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>44%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	38	38	39	36	25	38	32	42	41	44	52	34	49	32	32	32
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	22	20	16	10	33	14	21	28	14	22	12	22	24	11	12
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>
Somewhat important keep same	12	10	13	13	19	6	15	10	10	8	7	12	8	14	18	16
Very important keep same	23	23	19	28	40	16	32	17	16	34	12	36	14	23	34	37
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	6	7	6	9	5	0	7	5	8	7	5	3

TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM	INDEP	REP	DEM	INDEP	REP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS		W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>45%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	38	40	40	38	39	40	38	27	38	42	41	40	39	53	29	39	8	39	39	43	36	
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	26	20	13	25	20	13	22	19	41	28	20	13	21	8	22	23	28	23	21	9	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>50</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	12	10	9	17	10	10	18	7	9	10	16	9	11	15	20	6	10	10	10	14	20	
Very important keep same	23	19	22	26	20	22	26	32	26	4	14	22	28	10	34	28	40	19	21	16	30	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	
DK/NA	7	5	9	7	6	8	6	11	7	3	0	9	10	0	9	6	19	5	6	7	5	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>47%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	38	49	50	43	28	20	36	48	33	47	32	53	19	34	34	52	42	34	42	43	36	36
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	24	13	11	31	24	14	23	28	16	23	10	16	38	25	5	24	24	13	16	11	11
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>
Somewhat important keep same	12	7	11	11	11	13	19	8	10	9	11	13	25	12	6	15	5	11	14	14	22	19
Very important keep same	23	16	18	25	20	37	22	14	24	19	25	22	30	15	26	20	21	27	18	19	27	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	7	4	7	10	9	6	9	7	5	8	8	2	10	1	9	8	8	3	12	8	5	5



TABLE 27: Q12E. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Cuba is only 90 miles away from the U.S. mainland

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
<b><u>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</u></b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	38	28	37	44	49	32	45	40	25	59	32	32	41	39	39	30	39	40	39	46	35	41
Somewhat important norm/engage	20	24	18	17	27	21	20	22	20	13	26	27	17	19	20	28	17	16	20	19	4	24
<b><u>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</u></b>	<b>35</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>29</b>
Somewhat important keep same	12	14	14	9	7	14	9	10	18	9	9	10	12	12	12	11	12	13	11	11	23	9
Very important keep same	23	29	23	22	12	26	20	24	29	13	26	25	23	23	23	24	24	23	24	20	29	20
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	7	6	7	7	6	6	6	5	8	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	8	8	6	4	9	6

**TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>58%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	37	46	28	38	35	37	36	34	35	37	37	33	41	35	28	39
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	17	25	24	28	23	13	26	23	26	19	21	25	22	23	19
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>
Somewhat important keep same	15	14	16	13	22	10	21	10	13	17	15	14	16	14	18	18
Very important keep same	21	18	23	17	13	23	24	24	21	15	22	26	12	24	20	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>
DK/NA	6	5	7	8	2	7	6	6	8	5	7	6	6	4	11	9

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	37	55	30	42	34	29	41	30	67	30	20	14	51	16	16
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	28	22	24	20	20	20	23	20	35	13	10	27	11	26
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>29</b>
Somewhat important keep same	15	10	21	16	14	18	12	22	3	23	29	16	12	22	16
Very important keep same	21	4	19	12	27	19	20	21	7	8	31	56	8	45	13
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>30</b>
DK/NA	6	3	8	6	5	15	7	5	2	4	7	4	3	5	30

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS SWING	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS		MOVER		MOVER		FIRST		FIRST	A		B	YES		YES
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	37	60	7	22	38	13	36	37	37	36	28	10	39	62	20	49	31
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	27	8	23	27	22	24	19	24	19	17	20	33	12	18	24	21
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>
Somewhat important keep same	15	7	25	20	10	35	14	17	14	16	13	26	14	11	19	13	14
Very important keep same	21	4	54	20	20	27	21	20	20	21	37	27	11	13	33	12	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>
DK/NA	6	2	5	14	6	3	5	7	5	7	4	17	3	2	9	2	18

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE					Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB		LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	37	37	41	28	28	58	28	37	48	36	58	29	53	29	33	26
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	18	28	21	13	18	17	25	24	22	19	19	21	24	9	14
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>
Somewhat important keep same	15	18	12	15	20	10	21	13	11	10	8	18	9	18	20	30
Very important keep same	21	22	14	24	34	13	29	17	11	28	9	27	11	23	30	20
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>
DK/NA	6	4	5	12	5	1	4	8	6	4	5	7	6	6	8	10

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM	REP	INDEP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS				
	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP		
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>39%</b>	
Very important to norm/engage	37	43	37	32	46	31	38	20	47	43	24	37	13	50	32	22	18	49	43	53	32	
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	24	22	16	24	26	8	31	18	38	26	22	41	9	8	27	37	21	26	11	7	
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>56</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	15	15	14	17	12	16	19	14	15	6	30	14	13	17	19	23	0	13	12	8	23	
Very important keep same	21	14	19	29	13	21	28	25	17	5	15	19	33	18	34	24	26	12	14	14	34	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	
DK/NA	6	5	8	5	5	6	7	10	3	8	6	8	1	5	7	3	20	5	4	13	4	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE										
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	37	44	49	45	27	28	24	59	36	36	25	51	22	39	45	54	32	30	29	48	34	32
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	22	15	14	32	21	28	21	26	20	33	5	12	35	20	15	27	24	28	8	14	3
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>57</b>	
Somewhat important keep same	15	14	15	13	21	15	15	9	15	14	19	19	18	17	9	13	14	23	12	16	18	22
Very important keep same	21	15	18	24	15	25	27	7	18	23	19	24	33	5	19	17	18	21	24	23	27	35
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	6	5	3	5	5	11	6	4	5	8	5	0	15	4	7	1	9	3	7	6	7	8

TABLE 28: Q12F. I am going to read you a series of factual statements. For each one, please indicate whether you consider it to be a reason (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "to normalize U.S. relations with Cuba") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba") or a reason to keep current U.S. policy in place: Our government only allows Cuban Americans to travel to Cuba without permission. If you are not Cuban American, the U.S. Treasury Department must approve your travel. We do not do that with any other country

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
<b>TOTAL NORMALIZE ENGAGE</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>68%</b>
Very important to norm/engage	37	36	32	35	53	34	39	39	29	53	26	37	34	33	44	36	31	34	32	43	39	45
Somewhat important norm/engage	21	20	18	25	22	19	25	19	20	16	32	29	19	22	18	30	22	21	20	11	5	23
<b>TOTAL KEEP THE SAME</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>28</b>
Somewhat important keep same	15	20	18	12	8	19	11	18	20	10	12	10	13	19	16	10	14	16	24	8	25	13
Very important keep same	21	19	25	21	13	22	19	20	24	16	21	20	25	19	18	20	28	22	17	18	30	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	6	5	6	7	4	6	6	4	7	5	8	4	9	7	3	3	5	8	7	20	0	5

**TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Definitely yes	23	24	22	22	24	26	27	17	16	23	26	20	23	23	23	23
Probably yes	17	13	21	17	14	18	19	15	19	16	19	17	24	14	23	22
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>47</b>
Probably no	28	27	28	32	35	23	24	33	21	33	24	26	33	28	26	27
Definitely no	25	31	19	23	17	27	24	28	36	21	25	30	13	27	20	20
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	7	5	9	6	11	7	6	7	7	8	6	7	6	7	9	7
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIGR	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>51%</b>	
Definitely yes	23	24	23	21	27	7	23	23	13	14	26	49	13	40	16	
Probably yes	17	17	28	17	16	26	17	19	5	19	24	20	12	22	35	
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	
Probably no	28	57	21	26	27	38	29	26	31	41	28	12	36	18	16	
Definitely no	25	2	20	29	23	19	25	23	45	19	16	14	33	15	13	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20</b>	
DK/NA	7	0	9	6	7	10	6	9	6	7	6	4	6	5	20	

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Definitely yes	23	10	43	25	28	30	23	23	24	22	100	0	0	0	57	0	0
Probably yes	17	10	22	26	26	23	16	18	14	21	0	100	0	0	43	0	0
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>
Probably no	28	37	17	23	21	27	27	28	29	27	0	0	100	0	0	53	0
Definitely no	25	37	13	15	20	13	25	25	25	24	0	0	0	100	0	47	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>
DK/NA	7	5	5	12	5	7	9	6	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Definitely yes	23	24	22	22	36	25	30	21	18	39	17	31	17	23	42	19
Probably yes	17	15	17	23	13	13	17	16	17	13	11	19	9	22	11	27
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>49</b>
Probably no	28	29	31	22	17	20	25	30	31	20	25	22	29	30	19	18
Definitely no	25	26	22	26	27	33	21	26	28	26	41	24	37	16	22	30
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	7	6	8	8	7	8	7	6	6	3	7	5	7	8	5	5

TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP		
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>42%</b>	
Definitely yes	23	21	21	28	22	20	31	22	23	18	15	21	21	27	33	20	25	16	28	23	33	
Probably yes	17	20	17	12	18	18	11	24	18	18	28	17	16	14	10	28	18	16	19	16	9	
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>48</b>	
Probably no	28	30	27	25	30	29	22	30	26	39	30	27	34	25	20	31	30	32	28	19	23	
Definitely no	25	24	27	27	24	27	27	15	26	19	27	27	26	24	28	14	16	28	20	32	25	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	
DK/NA	7	6	8	8	7	6	10	9	7	6	0	8	3	11	9	6	12	8	5	10	9	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE										
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Definitely yes	23	28	22	21	17	29	19	23	20	21	19	31	30	26	19	20	18	22	21	25	42	23
Probably yes	17	11	14	14	21	23	21	9	25	18	19	4	19	12	25	16	15	19	21	10	8	16
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>58</b>
Probably no	28	34	24	21	32	24	30	27	31	30	28	21	23	37	26	23	36	28	24	23	17	26
Definitely no	25	23	33	40	18	17	20	36	15	27	26	39	12	19	23	36	25	29	25	25	24	32
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	7	4	7	4	12	6	10	5	8	4	7	4	15	6	8	5	6	2	10	17	9	3



TABLE 29: Q13. Let me give you some more information. Currently, the U.S. State Department designates four countries in the world as state-sponsors of terrorism: Cuba, Iran, Syria and Sudan. The State Department defines state-sponsored terrorism as countries that have repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism, and places sanctions on these nations that restrict trade, travel and foreign assistance. In your opinion, does Cuba pose the same threat as these other countries, Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the list?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Definitely yes	23	29	23	20	20	26	20	28	24	20	20	25	23	21	25	23	24	24	19	20	28	24
Probably yes	17	20	19	16	12	20	15	17	22	8	21	10	18	20	18	12	17	18	22	18	22	16
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>57</b>
Probably no	28	22	33	28	27	28	28	28	28	26	29	34	27	29	22	35	27	28	28	27	17	24
Definitely no	25	21	17	30	38	19	32	24	14	40	24	22	25	22	30	21	23	23	23	29	22	33
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	7	7	8	7	3	8	6	3	12	6	6	9	7	7	5	9	9	7	7	5	11	3

**TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	414	186	228	83	52	90	118	39	26	135	168	105	68	235	56	168
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>73%</b>
Definitely yes	38	42	36	29	37	37	48	37	34	32	40	44	39	45	28	30
Probably yes	36	36	35	39	42	36	32	32	35	40	36	29	42	30	45	43
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>
Probably no	13	10	16	11	18	14	14	15	9	14	12	15	10	15	16	11
Definitely no	8	9	7	17	0	8	6	6	7	11	8	5	4	6	7	12
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	4	3	6	4	3	6	0	9	16	4	3	7	5	4	4	4

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	414	20	47	141	244	29	276	138	57	87	67	156	144	223	47
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>68%</b>
Definitely yes	38	32	42	34	44	12	40	35	40	21	42	52	29	49	20
Probably yes	36	52	37	35	33	61	34	39	33	42	36	29	39	31	48
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>
Probably no	13	0	15	15	13	10	11	19	12	21	12	10	17	11	14
Definitely no	8	0	6	12	6	6	10	3	11	11	6	8	11	7	3
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>
DK/NA	4	16	0	5	4	10	5	3	5	4	4	1	5	2	15

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	414	93	180	141	54	66	203	210	189	225	237	177	0	0	414	0	0
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Definitely yes	38	27	51	31	36	35	41	36	40	37	62	7	0	0	38	0	0
Probably yes	36	35	30	43	46	39	32	39	33	38	16	62	0	0	36	0	0
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Probably no	13	21	10	12	11	13	13	14	14	13	8	20	0	0	13	0	0
Definitely no	8	13	7	6	4	7	11	5	8	8	9	6	0	0	8	0	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	4	6	2	7	3	5	3	6	5	4	4	5	0	0	4	0	0

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	414	181	132	95	77	35	165	131	97	57	70	112	91	194	53	28
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Definitely yes	38	39	39	37	49	47	42	35	41	45	42	42	42	34	53	20
Probably yes	36	32	41	36	31	34	36	30	42	30	36	35	34	37	25	42
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>35</b>
Probably no	13	15	10	14	11	7	14	16	8	8	13	12	13	14	10	20
Definitely no	8	9	5	10	9	11	7	11	5	16	9	10	8	7	10	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	4	4	6	3	0	2	3	8	4	1	0	1	2	8	1	3

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	414	152	98	117	128	147	92	46	96	32	23	98	25	32	60	30	17	53	72	21	70	
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>76%</b>	
Definitely yes	38	39	33	43	41	33	45	36	45	30	29	33	34	40	48	29	49	34	47	41	46	
Probably yes	36	34	42	32	36	38	31	36	35	40	24	42	35	40	27	30	47	49	29	34	30	
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	
Probably no	13	13	12	15	10	16	14	12	11	8	30	12	17	15	14	16	4	5	12	17	14	
Definitely no	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	10	6	15	7	7	13	2	10	16	0	8	8	3	9	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	
DK/NA	4	5	6	2	4	6	2	6	3	7	10	6	2	3	1	9	0	4	4	5	1	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	414	73	61	47	62	106	58	45	84	76	71	42	50	45	56	24	40	54	52	24	43	25
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>80%</b>
Definitely yes	38	36	42	51	27	39	38	47	38	37	28	49	42	35	41	52	34	28	36	27	54	48
Probably yes	36	35	43	27	46	32	31	39	35	38	37	33	30	36	44	18	41	39	34	59	16	33
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>17</b>
Probably no	13	11	10	7	17	14	22	1	15	12	21	14	15	12	6	17	11	19	16	14	15	15
Definitely no	8	15	4	9	6	10	1	9	8	11	5	4	10	11	9	3	12	6	7	0	13	3
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	4	3	1	6	4	5	8	5	4	3	9	0	3	6	0	11	2	7	8	0	3	3

TABLE 30: Q14. Thousands of Al-Qaeda terrorists are in Sudan or Syria, and Iran has been aggressively building its nuclear program. Despite human rights abuses, Cuba poses none of the active dangers to the United States and our security that these other countries possess. Thinking again, does Cuba pose the same threat as Sudan, Syria and Iran, and thus belongs on the state sponsored terrorism list?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	414	134	116	123	38	250	161	125	125	61	101	64	87	158	105	71	62	95	56	25	32	73
<b>TOTAL YES</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>74%</b>
Definitely yes	38	38	37	39	42	37	40	37	38	51	33	53	35	32	43	49	37	35	29	31	35	46
Probably yes	36	37	39	33	32	38	33	40	35	29	35	24	38	42	30	27	34	39	46	48	36	28
<b>TOTAL NO</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24</b>
Probably no	13	14	11	16	13	12	15	8	17	13	17	14	11	14	14	14	12	18	8	8	17	12
Definitely no	8	9	4	10	13	7	11	10	3	8	12	2	9	10	9	2	9	8	14	10	1	12
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	4	3	9	3	0	6	2	5	7	0	3	6	7	2	5	7	8	1	4	3	10	2

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>64%</b>
Very convincing	33	37	30	35	32	28	28	42	47	34	28	40	30	34	29	33
Somewhat convincing	30	31	30	38	34	37	23	22	24	37	31	22	33	30	34	31
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>
Not convincing	20	19	21	14	21	17	28	26	12	17	21	24	19	22	22	18
Don't believe	12	11	13	9	7	15	16	9	9	8	15	11	9	10	13	13
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	3	6	4	5	3	5	2	7	4	5	3	9	4	1	5
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>34%</b>	
Very convincing	33	31	30	40	30	27	34	32	57	36	18	16	47	17	12	
Somewhat convincing	30	36	32	32	29	33	31	30	29	44	33	18	36	24	22	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>44</b>	
Not convincing	20	13	22	16	24	17	19	22	9	11	32	39	10	36	22	
Don't believe	12	7	10	10	13	14	12	11	3	5	14	26	4	22	22	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22</b>	
DK/NA	4	13	7	2	5	9	4	5	2	4	3	1	3	2	22	

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Very convincing	33	54	10	21	38	15	34	33	33	34	23	21	34	54	22	43	24
Somewhat convincing	30	36	20	30	33	32	31	30	31	30	24	30	43	23	27	33	30
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27</b>
Not convincing	20	6	42	22	13	34	19	21	19	21	28	25	18	13	27	16	15
Don't believe	12	2	25	14	12	13	13	11	12	11	22	16	4	8	19	6	12
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>
DK/NA	4	1	2	12	4	6	3	5	5	4	2	8	1	2	5	2	19

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB										
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>63%</b>
Very convincing	33	34	31	35	33	36	32	34	37	48	42	37	41	26	25	46
Somewhat convincing	30	33	32	25	17	38	27	29	38	10	32	19	33	34	18	16
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>31</b>
Not convincing	20	20	20	22	31	16	24	21	14	19	17	24	17	21	29	24
Don't believe	12	11	11	14	15	10	15	10	10	22	7	16	6	14	22	7
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	4	3	6	5	4	0	3	6	2	1	2	4	3	5	6	6

TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>49%</b>
Very convincing	33	37	35	30	38	36	27	25	40	32	32	35	41	34	23	31	14	38	37	36	24
Somewhat convincing	30	34	29	25	32	31	26	33	27	45	47	29	24	33	22	35	31	36	28	27	25
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>47</b>
Not convincing	20	15	23	24	16	20	25	22	17	13	10	23	19	17	30	19	29	12	19	16	29
Don't believe	12	11	7	16	11	9	16	15	13	7	10	7	15	11	19	11	21	11	11	10	18
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	4	3	5	5	4	4	6	5	4	3	0	5	0	5	6	4	5	3	5	11	4

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>57%</b>
Very convincing	33	37	32	45	31	23	35	42	34	39	33	32	21	35	32	49	40	30	39	23	22	37
Somewhat convincing	30	35	31	25	39	31	19	32	32	32	30	29	23	35	32	27	39	34	20	36	23	20
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>42</b>
Not convincing	20	17	20	19	16	21	29	15	17	18	22	22	29	19	14	16	13	21	26	19	26	30
Don't believe	12	9	13	9	8	17	13	9	13	9	10	16	17	7	17	6	6	10	11	13	22	12
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>
DK/NA	4	3	3	3	6	7	3	2	5	2	5	3	10	4	5	2	3	5	3	10	7	1



TABLE 31: Q15A. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (HURT) For fifty years, the U.S. embargo has hurt the people of Cuba, not the government. Changing our policy will help the Cuban people out of severe poverty, and we can continue to be tough on the Castro regime and hold it accountable for human rights abuses

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Very convincing	33	35	29	33	42	32	36	38	26	37	35	40	35	31	31	40	37	27	36	32	27	33
Somewhat convincing	30	31	32	30	27	31	29	31	32	30	28	26	33	32	29	26	30	32	33	40	28	29
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>
Not convincing	20	13	25	22	19	19	21	18	20	20	22	18	18	22	21	17	18	25	18	19	21	21
Don't believe	12	17	10	10	11	13	10	11	15	10	10	12	9	12	14	12	10	12	10	7	15	14
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	4	4	4	5	2	4	4	2	6	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2	3	9	3

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>64%</b>
Very convincing	33	37	29	32	23	30	35	40	39	29	33	37	30	33	38	33
Somewhat convincing	28	26	31	43	42	25	18	14	30	42	21	21	38	26	31	31
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>
Not convincing	25	27	23	21	24	30	28	32	11	22	29	23	20	28	17	20
Don't believe	11	9	12	3	11	11	15	9	14	6	12	14	3	11	8	9
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	3	1	5	1	0	4	4	6	6	0	4	5	9	2	5	6

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS			Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL					
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>45%</b>
Very convincing	33	11	37	39	29	37	30	39	64	37	16	10	51	12	15
Somewhat convincing	28	30	40	33	25	37	27	31	24	43	27	18	33	21	31
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>33</b>
Not convincing	25	23	19	21	29	15	28	18	10	11	43	51	10	48	18
Don't believe	11	0	4	4	14	9	12	6	1	7	13	21	4	18	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>
DK/NA	3	35	0	3	4	2	3	5	1	2	2	1	1	1	22

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
		Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>60%</b>
Very convincing	33	57	6	22	42	10	33	32	31	34	21	18	34	56	20	45	21
Somewhat convincing	28	33	15	37	41	43	29	28	24	32	14	29	40	25	21	32	40
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>
Not convincing	25	6	57	20	4	35	25	25	27	23	40	40	19	12	40	16	15
Don't believe	11	4	21	10	5	11	12	9	13	8	22	8	6	7	16	6	9
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>
DK/NA	3	0	1	11	8	1	1	6	4	2	3	5	1	0	4	1	16

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
		Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Very convincing	33	36	31	27	33	46	32	29	40	31	42	32	45	26	19	43
Somewhat convincing	28	30	32	20	11	24	22	30	33	8	23	16	24	36	10	22
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>35</b>
Not convincing	25	23	23	32	32	20	29	26	20	24	24	31	22	24	36	27
Don't believe	11	9	8	16	22	10	16	9	7	33	6	19	5	11	30	8
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	3	2	5	4	2	0	1	6	0	4	5	2	4	3	4	0

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>44%</b>	
Very convincing	33	37	37	29	37	37	27	18	39	30	38	37	36	24	29	26	7	41	33	33	26	
Somewhat convincing	28	34	25	19	31	28	19	39	27	41	51	25	16	29	13	49	24	35	26	25	18	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>55</b>	
Not convincing	25	20	27	30	23	24	31	23	25	19	5	27	28	30	33	17	32	17	29	31	31	
Don't believe	11	7	5	20	7	7	20	15	6	10	5	5	19	17	21	5	31	7	7	7	24	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	
DK/NA	3	2	6	2	2	4	3	5	3	0	0	6	1	0	4	4	7	0	4	5	1	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>43%</b>
Very convincing	33	31	41	39	27	26	35	45	31	38	35	34	18	31	43	36	32	35	44	27	21	32
Somewhat convincing	28	37	13	27	47	28	15	30	31	28	27	12	28	35	22	37	45	22	16	37	13	12
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>54</b>
Not convincing	25	25	33	22	20	26	25	21	25	26	22	32	31	22	25	23	21	32	19	29	27	38
Don't believe	11	6	13	9	7	11	19	4	9	6	8	20	20	11	4	5	3	6	14	3	36	16
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	3	1	1	3	0	8	7	0	4	1	8	2	3	0	6	0	0	5	7	3	3	3

TABLE 32: Q15B. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (VIETNAM) Today we have good diplomatic relations with Vietnam, including open travel and free trade. If we are willing to talk to and work with a country we went to war with, surely it is time to re-evaluate our outdated Cuba policy

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>68%</b>
Very convincing	33	28	35	33	40	32	35	37	26	37	33	38	33	26	39	38	34	26	22	33	34	42
Somewhat convincing	28	27	35	27	22	31	26	28	34	23	27	30	27	31	23	30	26	30	35	30	14	27
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>27</b>
Not convincing	25	27	19	28	26	23	28	25	20	29	26	24	25	28	22	25	25	27	30	23	27	20
Don't believe	11	13	6	12	11	10	12	9	11	10	13	6	11	13	10	5	11	16	8	11	15	8
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	3	5	5	1	1	5	1	1	9	1	1	2	4	2	6	2	4	0	5	3	10	5

**TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Very convincing	32	35	29	34	28	27	34	33	35	32	29	35	28	33	30	29
Somewhat convincing	26	22	29	33	29	31	20	13	24	31	27	18	24	28	27	24
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>
Not convincing	25	29	21	23	22	21	27	41	14	23	25	27	26	25	17	24
Don't believe	12	10	15	5	17	17	14	7	16	10	14	14	10	11	19	15
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	5	5	6	4	4	3	4	7	12	4	4	7	13	3	7	8

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>35%</b>
Very convincing	32	7	36	39	28	27	31	34	64	36	13	12	50	12	8
Somewhat convincing	26	17	26	24	24	44	24	31	19	41	27	15	30	19	27
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>37</b>
Not convincing	25	23	26	23	27	20	27	21	10	14	35	48	12	44	25
Don't believe	12	4	12	9	15	7	14	7	3	5	24	24	4	24	13
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>
DK/NA	5	48	0	5	6	2	4	8	3	5	1	0	4	1	28

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>47%</b>
Very convincing	32	57	8	17	26	13	32	32	30	33	26	18	26	52	23	39	27
Somewhat convincing	26	30	16	30	34	31	31	21	21	30	11	30	42	22	19	32	20
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>
Not convincing	25	7	50	26	18	40	24	26	25	25	36	31	27	12	34	20	15
Don't believe	12	4	26	11	11	11	11	14	16	9	24	12	2	11	19	6	18
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>
DK/NA	5	3	0	15	10	6	3	7	8	3	3	8	2	3	5	3	19

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				CONS	UNFAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Very convincing	32	34	30	29	26	40	30	29	39	35	44	32	42	25	18	41
Somewhat convincing	26	30	24	20	13	33	21	25	31	5	25	18	26	30	11	24
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>35</b>
Not convincing	25	24	21	33	29	17	27	28	20	24	18	29	19	26	34	32
Don't believe	12	9	16	13	28	9	19	9	10	32	9	19	7	13	34	2
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	5	2	9	5	4	0	2	9	1	3	5	2	5	7	4	0

TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>44%</b>
Very convincing	32	33	38	26	33	37	22	26	37	23	31	38	38	21	23	36	13	34	32	22	22
Somewhat convincing	26	26	25	23	23	27	23	33	21	27	42	25	24	26	21	32	34	32	15	28	22
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>54</b>
Not convincing	25	25	23	27	25	22	30	26	22	34	23	23	19	33	27	23	32	17	33	36	28
Don't believe	12	14	5	20	16	7	21	9	16	16	2	5	17	15	25	4	16	16	16	5	26
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	5	3	9	4	3	7	5	6	4	0	3	9	2	5	4	5	7	0	4	10	2

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Very convincing	32	32	37	36	32	23	34	36	31	38	35	29	15	30	36	36	41	31	39	18	18	30
Somewhat convincing	26	31	19	17	32	33	20	18	27	26	29	18	28	30	20	16	31	33	17	28	21	22
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>44</b>
Not convincing	25	26	32	27	20	20	26	32	20	24	21	32	27	21	22	34	20	25	22	31	25	31
Don't believe	12	5	12	12	13	16	15	14	17	6	8	17	25	19	14	14	2	7	12	13	34	13
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	5	6	0	8	2	8	5	0	5	7	7	4	5	0	7	0	7	5	10	10	1	4



TABLE 33: Q15C. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CHANGE) As with Communism in Eastern Europe or dictatorships in the Middle East, Cuba's government will eventually change. It is better for the U.S. to engage more directly with Cuba now so we are in better position to respond to that change instead of waiting to respond to chaos, like what is happening in Egypt, just 90 miles from our shores

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Very convincing	32	30	31	34	34	30	34	36	25	34	34	39	38	22	35	39	34	24	15	47	26	39
Somewhat convincing	26	25	31	22	25	28	23	23	34	22	24	27	28	26	22	25	29	23	38	26	21	22
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>34</b>
Not convincing	25	24	23	25	29	23	27	29	18	30	24	19	22	28	27	20	23	30	26	19	29	27
Don't believe	12	16	8	14	12	12	13	10	14	10	16	12	9	17	10	12	9	19	10	8	14	8
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	5	5	7	5	1	6	4	3	10	6	2	3	3	6	6	4	5	4	10	0	10	5

**TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
<b>Base</b>	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Very convincing	29	35	23	26	26	35	24	27	41	26	30	29	30	27	29	34
Somewhat convincing	27	25	28	33	32	23	28	22	18	33	28	20	28	28	28	28
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>34</b>
Not convincing	25	23	26	18	33	25	29	24	21	24	24	27	29	25	32	25
Don't believe	15	14	15	19	6	11	16	25	10	14	12	21	6	16	10	9
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	4	2	7	4	3	6	4	2	10	4	5	4	7	5	0	4

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
<b>Base</b>	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Very convincing	29	45	19	37	25	19	32	23	45	24	18	21	36	20	17
Somewhat convincing	27	18	36	26	26	35	25	31	25	35	32	22	30	26	10
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>49</b>
Not convincing	25	25	33	24	26	22	25	25	12	27	34	34	19	34	29
Don't believe	15	4	7	12	19	8	15	14	14	12	15	20	13	18	19
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>
DK/NA	4	9	6	2	5	17	3	7	3	2	1	3	3	2	24

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>45%</b>
Very convincing	29	42	12	22	37	12	28	30	30	28	24	13	30	44	19	36	29
Somewhat convincing	27	31	22	25	30	26	28	26	31	23	23	28	31	27	25	29	16
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>40</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>
Not convincing	25	14	44	27	11	43	25	25	21	29	35	36	19	15	35	17	20
Don't believe	15	11	21	16	14	19	14	15	15	15	16	15	18	10	16	14	16
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>
DK/NA	4	2	2	10	8	1	5	4	3	5	3	9	1	3	5	2	19

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>49%</b>
Very convincing	29	33	31	16	27	36	24	32	36	28	49	22	43	21	20	23
Somewhat convincing	27	27	29	25	18	34	24	26	32	9	27	21	27	31	22	26
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>46</b>
Not convincing	25	25	26	24	36	27	32	18	22	29	15	26	21	27	31	19
Don't believe	15	11	10	31	13	2	15	16	9	31	5	26	6	16	21	27
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	4	4	4	6	1	4	8	1	3	3	4	4	5	6	5

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>42%</b>	
Very convincing	29	38	28	21	39	27	21	22	41	32	29	28	21	14	24	20	26	38	40	23	20	
Somewhat convincing	27	27	30	24	26	30	22	30	20	40	32	30	29	22	23	37	19	31	20	22	23	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>52</b>	
Not convincing	25	22	22	29	23	21	32	31	27	12	20	22	16	43	27	25	40	21	24	13	40	
Don't believe	15	10	17	21	8	20	17	12	6	16	19	17	33	15	18	15	8	9	7	29	12	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	
DK/NA	4	4	3	6	4	2	8	5	6	0	0	3	0	6	9	4	8	0	9	13	6	
		GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>31%</b>
Very convincing	29	29	40	39	21	22	20	48	32	32	21	28	12	32	41	37	23	23	32	20	25	16
Somewhat convincing	27	32	26	14	34	30	24	32	21	19	42	24	21	34	23	20	32	40	20	29	23	15
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>65</b>
Not convincing	25	20	21	32	31	27	24	12	30	25	15	32	33	22	22	31	19	21	22	34	26	40
Don't believe	15	17	11	13	8	13	27	6	10	23	17	14	20	11	8	6	24	13	24	7	20	25
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	4	2	3	0	6	8	6	1	7	1	4	2	14	1	7	6	2	2	2	11	6	4

TABLE 34: Q15D. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (FLORIDA) For too long, politicians have allowed Florida to control U.S. foreign policy. It is time to set our Cuba policy based on what is best for America's overall national security and economic interests

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>66%</b>
Very convincing	29	24	24	32	43	24	34	29	19	42	28	32	30	25	31	32	35	20	32	17	20	35
Somewhat convincing	27	32	24	27	22	28	26	26	30	25	26	32	26	24	28	31	25	25	23	30	18	31
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>31</b>
Not convincing	25	23	34	21	20	29	21	24	32	22	19	19	24	28	24	21	24	30	26	24	38	20
Don't believe	15	14	15	16	14	14	16	19	10	8	22	14	13	18	13	14	8	18	17	26	22	11
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	4	6	4	4	1	5	3	1	9	2	4	3	7	5	3	3	8	8	2	4	1	4

**TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>70%</b>
Very convincing	34	37	31	40	31	39	25	38	27	36	32	33	31	31	37	35
Somewhat convincing	31	31	31	38	37	28	26	30	33	38	28	29	41	30	30	35
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>25</b>
Not convincing	22	18	25	13	20	24	30	22	18	16	27	22	22	24	25	18
Don't believe	9	10	9	5	3	5	19	8	15	4	11	14	3	11	8	7
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	3	4	4	10	3	1	3	7	6	3	3	3	3	0	5
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Base	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41	
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>43%</b>	
Very convincing	34	46	20	39	32	21	34	33	61	30	13	16	47	15	14	
Somewhat convincing	31	38	43	33	29	39	32	30	24	46	40	17	34	27	29	
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>37</b>	
Not convincing	22	14	29	19	24	21	22	22	7	16	38	41	11	39	28	
Don't believe	9	3	3	6	13	5	8	11	5	6	8	24	5	17	9	
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	
DK/NA	4	0	6	4	3	13	3	5	3	3	1	2	3	1	20	

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>52%</b>
Very convincing	34	54	8	23	31	21	31	37	35	33	25	18	40	51	22	45	12
Somewhat convincing	31	35	17	36	54	25	34	28	33	30	24	36	38	24	29	32	40
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>32</b>
Not convincing	22	5	53	24	6	41	23	20	18	25	29	35	17	12	32	14	20
Don't believe	9	4	22	8	5	11	9	9	11	8	15	5	4	13	11	8	12
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>
DK/NA	4	2	1	9	4	1	3	5	4	4	6	7	1	0	6	1	17

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				CONS	UNFAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Very convincing	34	37	30	34	25	45	32	35	38	53	48	38	45	24	24	41
Somewhat convincing	31	30	33	29	22	24	26	32	37	13	27	23	29	38	27	21
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>38</b>
Not convincing	22	22	21	23	31	23	24	22	17	27	18	25	18	23	37	14
Don't believe	9	9	9	10	17	7	13	7	6	7	6	12	7	10	7	25
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	4	2	6	4	4	0	5	4	1	1	0	2	2	6	5	0

TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States? fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>47%</b>	
Very convincing	34	41	32	29	41	35	26	23	38	47	43	32	41	36	21	23	22	41	40	35	22	
Somewhat convincing	31	31	36	25	32	33	24	45	29	38	23	36	29	30	21	53	30	29	36	24	24	
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>44</b>	
Not convincing	22	21	18	27	20	20	29	13	24	10	32	18	18	21	34	2	31	23	16	22	32	
Don't believe	9	4	12	11	5	10	12	17	6	2	2	12	9	1	18	19	14	5	4	13	12	
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	
DK/NA	4	2	2	7	3	2	9	3	3	3	0	2	3	12	7	2	4	2	3	7	9	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	77	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>44%</b>
Very convincing	34	36	35	42	36	29	26	45	37	36	35	35	16	48	36	31	36	31	40	25	26	27
Somewhat convincing	31	36	29	25	40	26	31	34	30	30	35	25	23	34	27	41	39	35	24	31	24	17
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>
Not convincing	22	14	22	18	18	31	25	13	25	22	18	20	40	17	25	16	15	25	20	19	34	35
Don't believe	9	7	11	15	1	10	13	7	3	11	9	12	12	0	9	4	7	9	13	5	12	20
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>
DK/NA	4	7	1	0	6	4	5	2	4	1	3	8	10	1	3	8	3	0	2	20	5	1



TABLE 35: Q15E. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (TRADE) Latin America is the United States' fastest growing trading partner. Cuba, just 90 miles off the Florida Coast, provides new opportunities for American businesses in all types of industries?agriculture, hotels and tourism, and high-tech?that will help grow the U.S. economy and create new jobs in America

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>74%</b>
Very convincing	34	26	24	44	49	25	45	28	23	50	40	29	27	35	42	29	34	35	35	8	29	46
Somewhat convincing	31	40	29	26	27	34	27	35	34	25	28	40	37	28	25	39	32	26	31	48	16	28
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>26</b>
Not convincing	22	16	30	22	14	23	20	17	30	20	20	16	22	22	25	17	22	22	21	23	49	18
Don't believe	9	15	10	5	9	12	6	15	9	4	8	14	8	9	8	14	4	8	10	17	6	8
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	4	3	7	3	0	5	3	5	4	1	4	2	6	6	0	1	6	10	3	4	0	0

**TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
<b>Base</b>	125	49	76	29	26	34	21	4	5	55	53	11	125	0	0	125	
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>50%</b>	
Very convincing	19	14	22	16	13	16	28	0	0	15	17	21	19	0	0	19	
Somewhat convincing	31	33	30	38	18	33	58	0	0	29	44	0	31	0	0	31	
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43</b>	
Not convincing	23	30	19	11	48	18	14	100	0	29	17	36	23	0	0	23	
Don't believe	20	23	18	35	10	17	0	0	100	23	11	43	20	0	0	20	
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	
DK/NA	7	0	11	0	10	17	0	0	0	5	11	0	7	0	0	7	

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
<b>Base</b>	125	41	84	56	61	9	80	45	43	32	15	17	74	33	18
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>42%</b>
Very convincing	19	32	13	24	16	8	24	10	39	0	10	32	22	22	0
Somewhat convincing	31	52	21	27	40	0	29	36	30	42	25	7	36	16	42
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>43</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>26</b>
Not convincing	23	5	32	31	17	17	25	21	23	24	20	42	23	32	8
Don't believe	20	3	28	17	14	75	12	34	8	34	27	18	19	22	18
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>31</b>
DK/NA	7	8	6	0	14	0	11	0	0	0	18	0	0	8	31

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER		MOVER		FIRST		FIRST	A	B	YES	YES		NO
Base	125	57	20	48	19	21	57	68	65	60	27	31	41	18	58	59	7
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Very convincing	19	29	10	11	27	0	17	20	21	17	23	12	26	5	17	19	27
Somewhat convincing	31	41	7	31	51	23	36	27	31	32	26	38	44	13	32	35	0
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>
Not convincing	23	12	53	24	0	54	36	13	14	33	30	21	15	38	25	22	17
Don't believe	20	18	16	23	21	23	11	27	21	18	9	21	15	44	16	24	20
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>
DK/NA	7	0	14	12	0	0	0	12	13	0	12	7	0	0	10	0	37

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB		LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	125	55	54	14	16	23	25	54	38	13	25	17	28	79	5	2
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>72%</b>
Very convincing	19	23	18	12	30	23	35	15	14	46	18	35	16	17	23	0
Somewhat convincing	31	27	38	26	27	34	17	29	46	17	42	23	37	32	0	72
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>
Not convincing	23	30	16	26	30	27	24	24	24	10	26	8	34	23	27	0
Don't believe	20	20	12	36	14	16	24	20	10	27	14	20	13	20	0	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	7	0	16	0	0	0	0	11	7	0	0	14	0	8	50	0

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	125	55	39	17	47	52	12	13	41	6	8	39	5	5	8	11	2	17	30	9	3
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Very convincing	19	25	19	6	30	15	9	6	31	19	0	19	0	23	0	8	0	12	40	12	0
Somewhat convincing	31	41	32	21	39	32	30	0	43	13	53	32	0	77	0	0	0	41	39	39	0
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100</b>
Not convincing	23	20	19	24	20	18	34	47	13	68	20	19	0	0	55	57	0	18	22	14	100
Don't believe	20	8	20	36	5	29	9	46	6	0	26	20	100	0	14	35	100	14	0	11	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	7	5	9	13	6	6	19	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	30	0	0	16	0	24	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	125	30	12	7	25	41	5	15	32	31	22	4	9	18	22	2	23	22	7	6	6	0
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Very convincing	19	7	41	0	24	10	52	27	31	10	21	0	13	35	16	0	4	19	33	0	17	0
Somewhat convincing	31	42	30	0	12	49	0	46	36	29	38	20	34	29	61	0	39	37	0	29	30	0
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>
Not convincing	23	25	23	61	33	15	0	27	17	25	8	80	15	15	23	100	22	9	31	71	0	0
Don't believe	20	26	6	39	19	12	48	0	7	37	18	0	12	6	0	0	35	21	36	0	17	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	11	14	0	0	9	0	15	0	27	15	0	0	0	15	0	0	36	0

TABLE 36: Q15F. I am going to read some statements made by people who support (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalize relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "engage more directly with Cuba."). If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (UNEQUAL) U.S. immigration law prevents millions of hardworking and law abiding Latinos who have been here for years from gaining legal status, but if a Cuban sets foot on U.S. soil they automatically gain legal status

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	125	60	41	22	1	101	24	40	62	9	14	21	11	34	58	21	8	1	33	3	10	48
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>49%</b>
Very convincing	19	12	20	35	0	16	33	13	17	21	41	12	10	33	15	12	0	0	34	32	20	14
Somewhat convincing	31	42	23	21	0	34	20	35	34	26	15	33	37	26	33	33	43	100	23	23	24	35
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>51</b>
Not convincing	23	20	28	19	100	23	23	26	22	46	8	7	0	25	33	7	0	0	26	0	23	35
Don't believe	20	26	20	3	0	24	3	27	22	7	0	35	33	17	13	35	28	0	17	44	0	16
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	7	0	8	23	0	3	21	0	5	0	36	13	20	0	6	13	29	0	0	0	33	0

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>62%</b>
Very convincing	27	27	27	29	28	27	27	28	22	29	27	25	31	28	22	28
Somewhat convincing	34	35	33	37	41	33	29	36	33	38	31	34	30	33	41	34
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>
Not convincing	25	26	24	24	20	26	29	27	18	22	27	26	23	27	22	22
Don't believe	9	7	11	5	10	11	11	4	13	7	12	8	13	8	13	12
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	4	5	5	1	3	4	5	13	3	4	7	4	4	2	5
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>56%</b>	
Very convincing	27	22	36	29	27	24	27	29	19	19	35	45	19	41	24	
Somewhat convincing	34	39	25	32	35	32	34	33	28	41	39	30	34	33	32	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>	
Not convincing	25	12	28	27	24	26	27	21	36	27	16	17	32	17	18	
Don't believe	9	20	9	8	10	9	8	12	12	10	6	5	11	6	13	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	
DK/NA	4	7	2	3	4	10	5	4	5	3	2	2	4	2	13	

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
		Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>49%</b>
Very convincing	27	15	46	29	25	34	29	26	26	28	41	31	15	27	37	21	21
Somewhat convincing	34	34	31	36	40	35	30	37	36	32	29	41	42	27	34	35	28
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>31</b>
Not convincing	25	35	17	17	18	19	25	25	25	25	19	14	33	32	17	32	22
Don't believe	9	12	4	10	14	9	10	8	7	11	9	10	8	11	9	9	9
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>
DK/NA	4	4	2	8	4	3	5	4	5	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	20

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
		Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Very convincing	27	29	22	31	40	28	34	26	22	34	22	33	20	28	34	30
Somewhat convincing	34	38	29	33	27	24	35	37	30	28	27	34	29	37	34	31
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>
Not convincing	25	22	33	21	19	30	19	27	31	25	34	21	34	22	20	25
Don't believe	9	8	11	10	9	15	8	5	15	6	13	8	12	8	7	8
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA	4	4	5	6	5	3	3	5	2	7	4	3	4	5	5	5

TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP		NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>69%</b>	
Very convincing	27	28	26	28	28	25	30	26	30	24	24	26	22	29	30	27	25	21	36	23	32	
Somewhat convincing	34	30	35	37	28	37	36	36	26	31	45	35	39	45	31	31	44	28	28	36	36	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>27</b>	
Not convincing	25	26	26	24	28	26	21	23	27	29	20	26	32	19	23	26	17	33	22	27	19	
Don't believe	9	13	5	8	13	6	9	7	14	12	12	5	7	7	10	9	5	15	11	12	8	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	
DK/NA	4	3	7	3	3	5	4	8	3	4	0	7	0	0	6	7	8	3	3	1	4	
	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>
Very convincing	27	30	27	25	28	27	26	31	27	27	24	26	34	32	25	28	25	27	24	29	32	27
Somewhat convincing	34	38	36	29	38	26	38	29	27	38	36	34	39	36	24	24	39	33	38	43	32	36
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>
Not convincing	25	23	27	29	21	27	23	31	25	27	25	23	18	20	30	33	25	29	25	21	21	21
Don't believe	9	5	7	10	10	16	6	7	18	4	9	12	5	11	16	11	6	7	6	3	14	9
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	4	4	3	6	3	5	7	3	4	4	6	4	4	1	5	4	5	4	7	5	1	7



TABLE 37: Q16A. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (CUBAN AMERICANS) Cuban Americans support current U.S. policy because it puts economic pressure on the Castro regime, while providing assistance to Cuban citizens. Travel and financial restrictions have already been lifted for Cuban Americans to help their families; meanwhile we should stay tough on the Castro regime

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>
Very convincing	27	31	27	28	19	29	26	31	27	24	27	23	28	27	30	23	29	26	30	26	36	27
Somewhat convincing	34	33	38	35	23	36	32	36	35	33	31	35	35	36	28	35	37	34	39	30	23	30
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>
Not convincing	25	21	21	26	43	21	31	23	19	31	30	27	26	23	27	26	23	24	20	33	24	29
Don't believe	9	10	9	8	11	10	9	5	14	10	8	11	6	10	9	12	6	11	6	6	5	11
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	4	4	6	3	3	5	3	5	6	2	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	12	3

**TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE							Q3 ETHNICITY					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
<b>Base</b>	507	248	259	108	64	100	128	53	44	172	184	141	71	309	61	183
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Very convincing	30	30	30	31	36	30	29	31	24	33	28	30	35	29	23	32
Somewhat convincing	21	18	23	27	23	24	19	8	15	26	22	13	17	20	28	22
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>44</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>
Not convincing	32	34	30	30	29	29	34	37	37	30	31	37	25	36	24	26
Don't believe	12	13	12	10	7	11	14	15	18	9	13	14	12	12	16	12
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>
DK/NA	5	5	5	1	5	6	5	9	7	2	6	6	11	3	10	9
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
<b>Base</b>	507	19	52	165	299	43	366	141	135	132	60	129	267	188	52	
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>42%</b>	
Very convincing	30	12	43	30	32	16	33	24	10	20	44	60	15	55	20	
Somewhat convincing	21	29	13	18	21	28	21	19	14	25	31	18	19	22	22	
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>44</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>34</b>	
Not convincing	32	24	25	36	31	25	32	32	53	33	19	18	43	18	25	
Don't believe	12	4	15	13	10	21	9	19	21	18	4	3	19	3	8	
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	
DK/NA	5	31	4	3	5	10	5	6	3	4	3	1	3	2	24	

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER		MOVER		FIRST		FIRST	A		B	YES		YES
Base	507	224	156	127	40	59	253	254	244	263	117	87	130	130	204	259	43
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>57%</b>
Very convincing	30	12	56	30	39	26	29	31	29	31	50	36	23	17	44	20	27
Somewhat convincing	21	18	23	23	23	30	21	20	18	23	16	29	22	15	21	18	30
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>44</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>25</b>
Not convincing	32	48	18	21	21	23	34	30	35	29	25	17	41	44	21	43	20
Don't believe	12	20	3	10	6	14	14	10	11	13	5	16	8	22	10	15	5
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19</b>
DK/NA	5	2	1	15	11	8	2	8	6	4	4	2	6	2	3	4	19

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB		LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	507	228	167	108	77	41	166	186	130	50	124	107	165	213	42	32
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>56%</b>
Very convincing	30	31	27	31	42	27	41	27	22	51	20	44	20	30	47	41
Somewhat convincing	21	25	17	18	15	22	22	19	23	10	19	15	17	25	15	15
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>44</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>43</b>
Not convincing	32	30	38	29	29	34	25	34	40	29	44	27	48	24	30	32
Don't believe	12	11	10	17	11	17	11	10	15	6	13	13	11	14	4	10
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
DK/NA	5	3	7	5	2	0	2	10	0	4	4	2	3	7	3	1

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	507	182	138	129	153	200	96	58	112	41	29	138	33	37	59	35	23	71	78	20	75	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>58%</b>	
Very convincing	30	30	27	34	31	26	37	30	32	26	25	27	25	48	31	26	35	23	39	35	38	
Somewhat convincing	21	22	18	24	23	19	24	15	20	31	18	18	22	30	20	6	30	27	19	42	20	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>42</b>	
Not convincing	32	32	38	27	34	34	28	29	33	35	26	38	22	16	36	35	21	41	26	13	33	
Don't believe	12	13	7	13	10	14	8	19	12	7	29	7	28	6	9	28	5	9	11	5	9	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	
DK/NA	5	2	10	2	2	8	2	7	3	1	2	10	3	0	4	5	9	0	5	5	1	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	507	83	87	74	89	97	67	64	88	104	96	51	46	54	57	38	64	74	60	27	37	31
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>48%</b>
Very convincing	30	23	33	36	43	24	23	35	28	30	22	32	43	37	25	29	24	25	31	51	42	22
Somewhat convincing	21	25	18	12	26	26	15	13	30	16	22	27	22	33	21	15	25	21	8	28	20	26
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>49</b>
Not convincing	32	41	25	35	20	35	38	35	33	35	32	26	31	23	33	49	39	32	30	16	26	39
Don't believe	12	8	18	13	11	10	15	12	9	13	14	13	2	6	16	7	8	14	20	2	10	10
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	5	4	7	4	1	4	9	5	1	5	10	2	2	1	5	0	4	9	10	3	1	3

TABLE 38: Q16B. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WEAKEN) Ending the embargo before the Cuban government meets the conditions specified would weaken the United States. Changing our policy would send a message to Iran, North Korea and other countries that they can act against American interests with no consequences

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	507	133	135	169	65	267	234	138	129	107	127	91	106	188	122	101	72	126	52	34	35	87
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44%</b>
Very convincing	30	38	23	32	24	30	30	28	32	33	27	34	27	30	31	33	31	30	31	18	45	25
Somewhat convincing	21	18	27	21	13	23	19	22	23	14	23	16	23	24	17	17	23	25	22	24	10	20
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>44</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>52</b>
Not convincing	32	26	27	34	50	26	39	29	23	40	38	39	35	29	29	40	29	31	19	48	24	32
Don't believe	12	12	17	10	9	15	10	15	14	10	10	9	10	11	18	8	11	10	17	8	11	20
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA	5	6	7	3	3	6	3	5	7	3	2	2	5	6	5	2	6	4	12	3	10	4

**TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
<b>Base</b>	517	253	264	102	75	105	126	68	30	177	191	139	72	315	62	186
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>
Very convincing	24	27	21	18	22	29	23	26	30	20	27	24	25	27	16	21
Somewhat convincing	29	27	32	40	35	27	30	18	19	38	26	25	34	28	36	33
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>
Not convincing	31	29	32	27	31	30	31	35	35	28	31	33	28	29	33	30
Don't believe	12	14	9	11	10	11	12	17	7	11	11	14	9	11	15	13
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	2	3	4	5	8	3	4	5	4	5	0	3

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
<b>Base</b>	517	31	41	200	273	45	328	189	169	136	74	96	306	170	41
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Very convincing	24	27	23	20	27	19	24	25	14	18	38	47	16	43	6
Somewhat convincing	29	28	39	34	28	17	29	29	21	32	37	30	26	33	40
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>35</b>
Not convincing	31	33	23	32	30	26	33	27	44	32	17	15	38	16	34
Don't believe	12	11	7	12	10	23	12	10	17	14	5	8	16	7	1
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>
DK/NA	4	0	8	2	4	15	2	8	3	5	2	0	4	1	19

TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER		MOVER		FIRST		FIRST	A	B	YES	YES		NO
Base	517	244	122	151	60	65	266	251	258	259	120	90	155	122	210	278	30
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>34%</b>
Very convincing	24	12	48	23	28	27	22	26	22	26	43	20	16	22	33	19	10
Somewhat convincing	29	25	31	36	35	37	30	29	33	26	32	45	28	19	38	24	24
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>42</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>35</b>
Not convincing	31	42	13	26	31	22	32	30	30	32	15	24	41	39	19	41	21
Don't believe	12	18	7	5	3	7	12	11	12	11	8	7	14	16	8	15	13
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>32</b>
DK/NA	4	2	1	10	3	7	4	4	3	5	1	5	1	4	3	2	32

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB		LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	517	233	171	104	79	51	187	163	145	61	130	119	172	214	57	29
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Very convincing	24	23	26	24	50	28	35	21	14	37	13	39	14	23	41	37
Somewhat convincing	29	30	27	34	19	32	24	36	30	20	23	22	26	36	20	24
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>
Not convincing	31	30	35	25	13	24	27	30	35	36	42	29	41	24	31	28
Don't believe	12	14	10	10	10	15	10	8	19	7	19	7	16	11	7	3
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>
DK/NA	4	4	2	7	7	1	3	4	2	1	2	2	3	6	1	8

TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	517	196	119	159	171	179	124	43	122	49	25	119	35	42	81	27	16	93	75	34	90	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>58%</b>	
Very convincing	24	22	24	29	22	26	29	12	25	14	29	24	27	12	39	7	21	18	25	14	35	
Somewhat convincing	29	28	30	25	29	27	27	50	27	36	22	30	20	40	20	60	34	26	34	36	23	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>37</b>	
Not convincing	31	36	29	29	34	33	26	19	33	38	43	29	40	32	22	16	25	38	31	25	26	
Don't believe	12	11	10	14	11	10	14	14	13	8	6	10	11	13	15	15	12	15	7	23	11	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	
DK/NA	4	3	7	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	0	7	2	3	5	2	8	2	3	2	5	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	517	105	84	62	72	106	74	97	91	88	67	57	63	73	29	56	58	63	40	48	33	
<b>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>50%</b>	
Very convincing	24	28	24	30	9	30	30	15	29	22	28	32	20	24	20	21	32	22	23	28	38	
Somewhat convincing	29	33	29	15	46	23	22	35	29	24	20	35	38	23	30	31	25	25	37	29	11	
<b>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>46</b>	
Not convincing	31	25	31	32	34	32	33	36	26	41	34	15	35	37	28	33	33	35	19	23	36	
Don't believe	12	12	12	21	8	10	13	10	12	7	17	11	6	15	12	11	3	15	15	17	10	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	
DK/NA	4	3	3	2	4	5	2	4	3	6	1	8	1	2	11	4	7	4	6	3	4	



TABLE 39: Q16C. I am going to read some statements made by people who oppose (SPLIT SAMPLE A READ: "normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba.") (SPLIT SAMPLE B READ: "the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba.") For each one, please tell me whether you find it to be a very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not too convincing reason to maintain current U.S. policy. If you do not believe the statement you can tell me that too: (WORKING) Now is not the time to lift sanctions as the embargo is weakening the Cuban government. Keeping existing policies in place will help bring down the Castro regime, like our tough stance against Communism helped bring down the Berlin Wall

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	517	138	145	175	54	283	230	139	144	111	119	93	109	191	124	101	79	101	83	30	30	94
<b><u>VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING</u></b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>
Very convincing	24	25	31	23	12	28	20	34	22	20	20	22	26	23	25	22	27	20	27	25	33	22
Somewhat convincing	29	33	29	30	20	31	28	33	29	20	34	28	33	32	24	26	31	31	35	37	18	26
<b><u>NOT CONVINCING/DON'T BELIEVE</u></b>	<b>42</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>52</b>
Not convincing	31	26	27	34	42	27	36	20	33	41	31	34	21	29	40	33	22	30	29	18	31	43
Don't believe	12	9	8	11	26	9	14	11	7	16	12	12	14	12	9	15	15	12	8	11	9	9
<b><u>DK/NA</u></b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA	4	7	5	3	0	6	2	3	8	2	2	4	6	4	2	4	5	7	0	9	10	0

**TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	502	246	256	107	64	103	122	60	36	171	183	138	70	306	60	181
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>61%</b>
Strongly favor	33	37	28	33	26	37	30	40	31	30	35	34	35	32	35	31
Somewhat favor	24	25	23	27	42	22	19	21	12	32	20	19	28	21	30	30
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat oppose	14	13	16	14	10	14	17	10	23	13	15	15	17	16	10	12
Strongly oppose	23	22	25	21	19	21	30	25	18	20	25	25	8	25	19	20
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	6	3	8	5	3	7	4	5	16	4	5	7	11	5	6	7

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	502	27	43	177	286	39	355	147	161	139	67	99	300	166	36
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>13%</b>
Strongly favor	33	41	32	42	26	35	36	25	77	23	0	3	52	2	11
Somewhat favor	24	27	29	29	20	31	22	28	14	56	21	6	33	12	2
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>46</b>
Somewhat oppose	14	16	18	9	17	17	13	17	6	10	42	9	8	22	31
Strongly oppose	23	3	11	13	32	9	24	23	1	7	31	80	4	61	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>41</b>
DK/NA	6	12	10	7	5	8	5	8	2	5	6	1	3	3	41

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	502	256	137	109	28	52	236	266	502	0	120	70	145	127	189	272	41
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>37%</b>
Strongly favor	33	61	0	7	26	0	36	30	33	0	15	13	34	61	14	47	25
Somewhat favor	24	39	0	19	74	0	25	23	24	0	16	30	40	14	21	28	12
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>41</b>
Somewhat oppose	14	0	27	32	0	68	13	16	14	0	15	25	14	8	18	11	17
Strongly oppose	23	0	73	15	0	32	23	24	23	0	52	24	9	13	42	11	23
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>
DK/NA	6	0	0	27	0	0	3	8	6	0	3	8	3	5	5	4	22

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	502	226	166	104	69	48	177	167	136	52	129	106	166	210	46	31
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Strongly favor	33	31	38	28	24	57	26	36	41	31	57	27	54	21	20	19
Somewhat favor	24	28	20	19	4	20	21	25	28	11	18	13	19	32	6	22
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>56</b>
Somewhat oppose	14	15	14	15	14	5	16	14	12	7	6	14	10	16	14	19
Strongly oppose	23	22	21	30	55	16	34	13	17	50	14	41	13	23	52	37
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	6	4	7	8	3	1	2	11	2	1	5	4	4	8	8	3

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP		
Base	502	175	135	146	150	194	112	46	113	38	24	135	34	39	72	28	18	84	63	30	81	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>42%</b>	
Strongly favor	33	44	29	29	42	34	25	12	44	39	51	29	43	22	26	10	16	43	44	24	25	
Somewhat favor	24	27	24	17	25	25	17	34	23	31	41	24	19	33	8	45	17	28	21	19	16	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>57</b>	
Somewhat oppose	14	11	16	16	12	13	18	19	10	15	4	16	10	20	17	10	33	10	14	20	18	
Strongly oppose	23	17	23	34	19	21	35	18	21	13	3	23	29	19	44	23	11	17	19	22	39	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	
DK/NA	6	2	9	4	2	6	5	17	2	2	0	9	0	6	5	12	24	2	2	15	2	
	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	502	93	84	65	78	99	73	78	72	84	110	57	55	53	65	28	59	59	73	39	47	25
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Strongly favor	33	37	41	34	22	30	34	49	36	34	35	35	14	38	50	35	36	32	36	20	26	31
Somewhat favor	24	32	18	24	32	21	14	21	29	34	18	17	17	30	17	32	32	27	16	28	12	9
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>55</b>
Somewhat oppose	14	8	17	14	18	14	16	9	14	10	16	18	18	7	13	14	11	14	14	20	17	20
Strongly oppose	23	19	23	23	21	27	26	19	18	20	22	30	41	21	18	18	18	19	25	27	41	35
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
DK/NA	6	3	2	5	6	8	10	2	3	3	9	1	10	4	2	0	3	8	8	5	5	6

TABLE 40: Q17A. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	502	122	125	182	67	248	248	126	122	116	132	90	105	186	120	99	78	109	67	28	36	85
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>68%</b>
Strongly favor	33	29	22	40	41	25	40	29	22	47	35	35	27	29	40	35	25	23	39	33	31	44
Somewhat favor	24	24	33	19	19	29	19	29	28	20	17	14	31	28	19	14	28	31	25	40	9	23
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>29</b>
Somewhat oppose	14	23	13	9	18	18	11	18	18	8	14	18	13	13	14	18	14	14	13	10	15	14
Strongly oppose	23	20	25	26	20	22	24	22	23	22	26	30	19	24	21	30	22	25	20	12	36	15
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	6	4	7	7	2	6	5	2	9	3	8	2	9	6	5	3	11	7	3	6	9	3

**TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	522	256	266	103	76	102	132	61	38	179	191	142	73	318	63	188
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>
Strongly favor	31	40	22	28	20	30	27	41	55	24	29	40	30	33	19	28
Somewhat favor	23	21	26	31	24	21	26	9	24	28	25	17	22	21	35	28
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	13	17	16	25	17	8	14	11	20	13	11	22	16	15	15
Strongly oppose	26	24	27	21	28	27	32	30	7	24	27	28	26	28	18	22
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	5	2	8	4	4	5	6	6	4	4	6	4	0	3	13	7

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	522	23	50	187	286	49	339	183	143	130	68	125	273	193	57
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Strongly favor	31	31	30	38	28	21	34	26	73	22	11	12	49	12	11
Somewhat favor	23	46	12	18	26	28	19	32	18	42	26	8	29	14	27
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>30</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	23	21	17	12	25	13	19	1	24	28	14	12	19	16
Strongly oppose	26	0	37	22	31	11	30	18	7	10	32	66	8	54	14
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>
DK/NA	5	0	0	4	3	16	5	4	1	3	3	0	2	1	31

TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	522	212	141	169	72	72	283	239	0	522	117	107	140	125	225	265	32
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>47%</b>
Strongly favor	31	63	0	17	41	0	28	35	0	31	23	8	31	62	16	45	20
Somewhat favor	23	37	0	25	59	0	24	23	0	23	11	31	33	17	21	25	27
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	0	26	25	0	58	16	14	0	15	15	19	22	7	17	15	4
Strongly oppose	26	0	74	18	0	42	26	26	0	26	51	32	12	15	42	13	17
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>
DK/NA	5	0	0	15	0	0	6	3	0	5	0	10	3	0	5	1	31

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	522	235	172	108	87	44	176	183	139	59	125	119	171	217	53	30
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Strongly favor	31	34	30	27	31	36	28	26	42	45	47	32	45	21	26	40
Somewhat favor	23	25	24	19	15	10	20	29	21	15	25	19	25	25	19	26
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>33</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	14	20	11	12	13	14	16	12	5	6	12	9	21	8	12
Strongly oppose	26	24	22	35	39	33	34	25	18	35	17	34	16	28	43	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
DK/NA	5	3	5	8	3	8	4	4	6	0	5	3	5	4	5	2

TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	522	203	121	143	173	186	109	55	120	53	30	121	34	40	68	33	22	81	90	25	84	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>47%</b>	
Strongly favor	31	31	34	34	33	33	33	15	37	22	21	34	37	35	32	19	9	39	27	50	28	
Somewhat favor	23	28	25	17	26	24	19	19	25	28	37	25	11	18	20	15	26	20	32	18	19	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>47</b>	
Somewhat oppose	15	12	17	13	11	17	12	27	12	10	16	17	18	12	11	29	24	12	11	14	11	
Strongly oppose	26	24	21	30	24	23	31	32	22	28	26	21	30	28	32	30	35	20	28	11	36	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	
DK/NA	5	5	3	5	6	3	6	7	3	12	0	3	4	6	5	7	6	10	3	7	5	
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	522	96	87	71	83	104	71	60	113	111	74	61	47	64	65	39	61	73	51	28	38	39
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>
Strongly favor	31	31	43	51	17	17	30	49	24	38	25	44	19	20	28	55	32	29	40	27	35	35
Somewhat favor	23	27	20	14	29	29	20	25	27	19	32	19	20	34	28	14	28	25	18	21	21	17
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>45</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	19	9	8	21	16	14	5	14	17	17	9	15	14	10	9	27	16	7	9	7	15
Strongly oppose	26	23	25	26	26	29	29	18	27	25	21	28	33	31	21	18	13	27	30	29	34	30
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	5	1	3	1	7	9	7	2	8	1	5	0	13	1	12	4	0	3	5	14	3	3



TABLE 41: Q17B. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	522	149	154	163	53	303	216	152	151	102	114	94	110	193	125	102	73	117	68	36	29	96
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Strongly favor	31	27	26	35	47	26	38	34	19	50	27	40	30	26	33	39	25	27	23	41	24	35
Somewhat favor	23	21	26	23	24	24	23	24	23	16	30	22	22	21	28	23	21	24	15	25	23	30
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	15	22	10	8	19	9	17	21	8	11	14	15	22	6	13	18	20	27	10	10	5
Strongly oppose	26	32	20	30	15	26	26	23	28	26	27	22	29	23	31	23	31	21	23	24	44	27
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	5	4	6	2	7	5	3	2	9	1	6	2	3	9	2	2	5	8	11	0	0	3

**TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>59%</b>
Strongly favor	32	39	25	31	22	33	29	41	43	27	32	37	33	32	27	30
Somewhat favor	24	23	24	29	32	21	23	15	18	30	22	18	25	21	33	29
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	13	16	15	18	15	12	12	17	16	14	13	20	16	12	13
Strongly oppose	25	23	26	21	24	24	31	27	12	22	26	26	17	27	19	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>
DK/NA	5	2	8	4	4	6	5	6	10	4	6	6	5	4	10	7

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>28%</b>
Strongly favor	32	36	31	40	27	27	35	25	76	22	6	8	50	7	11
Somewhat favor	24	36	20	23	23	29	21	30	16	49	23	7	31	13	17
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>36</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	20	20	13	15	21	13	18	4	17	35	11	10	20	22
Strongly oppose	25	2	25	18	31	10	27	20	4	8	31	73	6	57	14
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>35</b>
DK/NA	5	7	5	6	4	13	5	6	1	4	4	1	2	2	35

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>42%</b>
Strongly favor	32	62	0	13	36	0	31	32	33	31	19	10	32	62	15	46	23
Somewhat favor	24	38	0	23	64	0	25	23	24	23	13	31	37	15	21	27	19
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	0	26	28	0	62	15	15	14	15	15	21	18	7	18	13	12
Strongly oppose	25	0	74	17	0	38	24	25	23	26	51	29	11	14	42	12	20
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>26</b>
DK/NA	5	0	0	19	0	0	5	6	6	5	2	10	3	2	5	3	26

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNLDN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Strongly favor	32	32	34	27	28	47	27	31	42	39	52	30	49	21	23	29
Somewhat favor	24	27	22	19	10	16	20	27	25	13	22	16	22	28	13	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>44</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	15	17	13	13	9	15	15	12	6	6	13	10	19	11	16
Strongly oppose	25	23	22	33	47	24	34	20	18	42	15	37	14	26	47	29
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	5	4	6	8	3	4	3	7	4	0	5	4	5	6	6	3

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY					
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS			
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DEM	DEM	REP	REP			
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165		
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>45%</b>		
Strongly favor	32	37	32	31	37	34	29	14	40	29	34	32	40	29	29	15	12	41	34	36	27		
Somewhat favor	24	28	24	17	26	25	18	26	24	29	39	24	15	25	14	28	21	24	27	19	18		
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>52</b>		
Somewhat oppose	15	11	16	15	11	15	15	23	11	12	11	16	14	16	14	20	28	11	12	17	14		
Strongly oppose	25	21	22	32	21	22	33	26	21	22	16	22	29	24	38	27	24	19	24	17	38		
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>		
DK/NA	5	4	6	5	4	5	5	11	3	8	0	6	2	6	5	9	14	6	2	11	4		
	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			REP		
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64	
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>47%</b>	
Strongly favor	32	34	42	43	20	23	32	49	29	36	31	40	16	28	39	47	34	30	38	23	30	33	
Somewhat favor	24	30	19	18	30	25	17	23	28	26	24	18	18	32	23	22	30	26	17	25	16	14	
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	
Somewhat oppose	15	14	13	11	20	15	15	8	14	14	16	13	17	11	11	11	19	15	11	15	12	17	
Strongly oppose	25	21	24	25	24	28	27	19	23	23	22	29	37	27	20	18	15	24	27	28	38	32	
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	
DK/NA	5	2	2	3	7	9	9	2	6	2	7	0	11	2	7	2	1	5	7	9	4	4	

TABLE 42: Q17. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Let me ask you one more time, do you favor or oppose (Split A: normalizing U.S. relations with Cuba?) (Split B: the U.S. engaging more directly with Cuba?)

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>66%</b>
Strongly favor	32	28	24	38	43	26	39	32	20	48	31	38	29	28	36	37	25	25	31	37	28	39
Somewhat favor	24	23	29	21	21	26	21	26	25	18	23	18	27	25	24	18	25	28	20	31	15	27
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>31</b>
Somewhat oppose	15	19	18	9	14	18	10	17	20	8	13	16	14	17	10	15	16	17	20	10	12	9
Strongly oppose	25	26	22	28	18	24	25	23	26	24	26	26	24	23	26	27	26	23	22	19	40	21
<b>DK/NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>
DK/NA	5	4	7	5	4	6	5	2	9	2	7	2	6	7	4	3	8	7	7	3	5	3

**TABLE 43: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Yes	61%	67%	55%	69%	61%	56%	56%	63%	63%	66%	56%	61%	51%	63%	49%	57%	
No	32	27	36	26	33	34	37	31	24	29	35	31	43	30	41	35	
DK/NA	7	5	9	5	6	10	7	6	13	5	9	8	6	7	10	8	

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
Yes	61%	58%	48%	66%	57%	63%	64%	53%	84%	70%	51%	36%	78%	42%	31%
No	32	30	50	29	35	26	29	38	11	24	40	59	17	52	46
DK/NA	7	12	3	5	8	11	7	8	5	6	9	4	5	6	23

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Yes	61%	86%	35%	45%	64%	34%	58%	64%	63%	59%	42%	53%	73%	76%	47%	75%	37%
No	32	10	60	41	28	60	34	30	31	33	52	40	21	19	47	20	33
DK/NA	7	4	6	14	8	6	8	6	6	8	6	7	5	4	6	5	30

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNLDN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
Yes	61%	64%	59%	58%	51%	61%	59%	62%	67%	59%	70%	63%	70%	54%	49%	71%
No	32	31	35	31	40	30	34	31	29	36	23	33	23	38	42	28
DK/NA	7	6	7	11	10	8	7	7	4	5	7	4	6	8	9	1

TABLE 43: Q18. The United States often establishes a special diplomatic position, called an envoy, for countries that we have hostile or tense relationships with, like North Korea, Sudan and the Congo. Would you support the United States sending a special envoy to Cuba?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP		INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
Yes	61%	65%	62%	60%	64%	65%	58%	43%	60%	74%	74%	62%	68%	68%	52%	49%	34%	67%	61%	68%	55%
No	32	29	29	32	30	29	32	50	34	21	24	29	29	27	36	45	57	26	34	23	35
DK/NA	7	6	9	8	6	7	10	7	6	5	3	9	3	6	12	5	9	6	5	9	10

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Yes	61%	71%	65%	64%	59%	49%	57%	71%	59%	70%	59%	65%	49%	67%	55%	73%	71%	62%	61%	65%	56%	53%
No	32	25	30	28	34	40	34	23	35	28	29	26	40	28	38	20	25	29	32	27	34	34
DK/NA	7	4	5	8	7	11	8	7	6	2	12	8	12	5	7	7	5	9	7	7	11	12

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
Yes	61%	56%	50%	71%	70%	53%	71%	63%	43%	73%	69%	64%	66%	56%	61%	64%	66%	54%	59%	65%	57%	62%	
No	32	35	42	25	25	38	25	31	45	23	27	30	27	36	31	30	27	38	33	29	34	30	
DK/NA	7	9	8	4	5	9	4	5	12	4	5	6	7	8	8	5	7	8	8	6	10	8	

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Very conservative	15	17	14	12	10	16	15	19	29	11	16	20	11	18	6	11
Somewhat conservative	19	20	19	16	17	19	21	24	16	16	18	23	12	20	15	16
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>
Moderate	34	34	35	31	37	38	34	27	42	33	37	32	41	34	36	34
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>
Somewhat liberal	18	17	19	21	18	17	18	21	9	20	17	17	13	17	28	21
Very liberal	9	9	9	12	15	9	7	6	0	13	9	4	17	6	13	14
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
DK/NA/Refused	4	4	5	8	4	2	4	3	4	7	3	3	5	5	1	4
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>18%</b>	
Very conservative	15	14	10	10	20	7	15	16	11	12	15	29	11	23	8	
Somewhat conservative	19	5	16	12	25	12	20	17	16	18	25	25	17	25	10	
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>48</b>	
Moderate	34	63	30	38	32	32	33	38	35	37	29	27	36	28	48	
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	
Somewhat liberal	18	8	15	24	12	28	19	15	22	22	16	9	22	12	17	
Very liberal	9	9	22	13	7	6	9	10	13	7	10	5	10	7	8	
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	
DK/NA/Refused	4	2	7	2	4	15	4	4	3	3	6	5	3	5	9	



TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	Total	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
		CON FAVOR	CON OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>33%</b>
Very conservative	15	10	25	15	14	20	17	14	14	17	24	12	9	17	19	13	15
Somewhat conservative	19	17	23	19	28	14	18	21	22	17	21	22	22	13	21	18	18
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>
Moderate	34	36	29	35	33	33	33	35	33	35	31	33	37	36	32	37	31
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>
Somewhat liberal	18	23	12	15	15	15	18	17	18	18	11	19	23	18	15	21	14
Very liberal	9	11	6	10	8	11	10	8	10	8	10	7	6	12	9	9	10
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>
DK/NA/Refused	4	2	6	6	1	7	5	4	4	5	3	7	3	3	5	3	12

	Total	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY				Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
		ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>46%</b>
Very conservative	15	16	11	18	100	0	44	0	0	52	4	38	6	12	53	18
Somewhat conservative	19	19	17	24	0	0	56	0	0	27	6	34	8	20	33	27
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>30</b>
Moderate	34	33	38	32	0	0	0	100	0	16	39	20	40	38	13	30
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>
Somewhat liberal	18	17	21	17	0	0	0	0	67	1	28	4	27	19	0	11
Very liberal	9	11	10	4	0	100	0	0	33	2	23	2	18	6	0	3
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>
DK/NA/Refused	4	5	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	1	6	1	10

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Very conservative	15	7	9	35	8	11	38	6	10	3	2	9	24	16	51	7	5	0	17	0	51
Somewhat conservative	19	9	14	39	9	19	36	15	9	9	9	14	46	45	31	12	20	0	19	0	49
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0</b>
Moderate	34	33	48	21	30	45	18	40	29	35	49	48	29	28	12	37	45	0	64	74	0
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>
Somewhat liberal	18	31	14	4	31	15	5	17	26	41	37	14	1	8	3	22	9	60	0	20	0
Very liberal	9	18	8	1	20	6	2	1	24	11	3	8	0	2	1	1	0	40	0	7	0
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
DK/NA/Refused	4	2	7	0	2	5	1	21	2	1	0	7	0	0	1	21	21	0	0	0	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE											
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64			
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>83%</b>			
Very conservative	15	11	15	27	11	16	14	12	5	9	12	38	39	11	4	10	4	14	12	27	37	51			
Somewhat conservative	19	16	22	22	17	15	25	8	10	16	22	41	31	7	7	13	13	19	25	42	36	32			
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>			
Moderate	34	36	36	28	30	38	37	28	32	48	42	15	22	23	34	37	47	50	38	17	22	15			
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>			
Somewhat liberal	18	17	15	18	23	19	17	29	32	16	13	4	6	32	30	32	17	12	17	8	5	2			
Very liberal	9	12	10	3	14	8	4	22	19	6	5	1	2	26	23	5	9	3	6	6	0	0			
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>			
DK/NA/Refused	4	7	2	3	6	4	4	1	2	4	5	1	0	1	2	4	9	3	2	1	1	0			

TABLE 44: Q19. IDEOLOGY

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>CONSERVATIVE</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>25%</b>
Very conservative	15	18	12	17	10	15	15	16	14	18	13	12	14	20	12	12	15	20	19	13	18	10
Somewhat conservative	19	18	23	17	20	20	18	23	18	16	20	17	20	21	18	15	19	19	28	22	25	15
<b>MODERATE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>
Moderate	34	34	33	38	31	33	36	33	34	36	36	39	40	28	34	39	43	28	29	33	34	34
<b>LIBERAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37</b>
Somewhat liberal	18	12	19	20	22	16	20	15	17	19	21	21	18	17	17	23	17	21	8	19	10	19
Very liberal	9	11	7	6	17	9	9	8	10	10	8	7	6	8	14	6	5	7	11	8	5	17
<b>DK/NA/REF</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>
DK/NA/Refused	4	6	6	2	0	6	2	5	7	2	1	5	2	5	5	5	0	5	6	5	6	5

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>45%</b>
Strong Democrat	23	20	26	20	23	21	31	17	13	21	27	18	32	15	58	36
Not so strong Democrat	9	8	10	12	12	9	5	8	6	12	7	6	6	9	15	9
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>33</b>
Independent/lean Democrat	5	5	5	4	9	5	5	8	2	6	4	6	6	6	5	4
Independent/no leans	25	27	24	26	22	31	22	26	29	24	26	26	30	25	15	26
Independent/lean Republican	7	7	6	3	7	6	6	14	12	4	5	12	4	8	1	3
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>
Not so strong Republican	8	7	8	9	9	6	9	7	2	9	8	6	3	9	3	6
Strong Republican	14	16	11	11	9	15	13	14	29	10	15	17	7	19	0	6
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>
No, not registered to vote	6	6	6	14	7	3	3	2	2	11	3	3	8	6	2	7
DK/NA	4	4	4	1	3	4	5	5	5	2	4	6	4	4	2	4
		Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>34%</b>	
Strong Democrat	23	56	20	37	13	24	22	25	23	21	28	19	22	23	26	
Not so strong Democrat	9	2	9	12	6	11	9	9	12	8	9	6	10	7	8	
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	
Independent/lean Democrat	5	0	9	8	4	6	5	7	6	9	3	4	7	4	1	
Independent/no leans	25	27	31	20	27	30	25	24	27	27	25	19	27	21	28	
Independent/lean Republican	7	0	6	4	9	2	7	6	7	6	5	9	7	8	3	
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	
Not so strong Republican	8	2	4	6	9	5	7	9	8	9	6	7	9	6	8	
Strong Republican	14	3	9	5	20	5	16	10	11	11	11	23	11	19	10	
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	
No, not registered to vote	6	6	9	5	5	13	5	8	3	7	8	6	5	7	8	
DK/NA	4	4	4	2	5	3	5	2	2	2	4	6	2	5	8	

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>30%</b>
Strong Democrat	23	24	20	24	40	16	24	22	22	23	23	24	21	24	23	23	22
Not so strong Democrat	9	11	7	7	4	8	10	8	7	10	7	9	12	7	8	10	8
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>30</b>
Independent/lean Democrat	5	8	4	3	4	4	4	6	5	6	3	9	6	6	6	6	0
Independent/no leans	25	27	22	25	19	29	24	26	27	23	23	25	25	27	24	26	27
Independent/lean Republican	7	6	8	6	8	7	6	7	7	7	6	6	8	7	6	8	3
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>
Not so strong Republican	8	8	7	8	6	10	8	8	8	8	9	6	7	8	8	7	11
Strong Republican	14	11	21	12	10	12	15	13	14	13	19	8	10	16	14	13	17
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>
No, not registered to vote	6	4	7	8	7	8	6	6	6	6	5	10	7	3	7	5	5
DK/NA	4	2	5	6	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	3	6

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Strong Democrat	23	24	22	21	14	61	12	19	43	13	43	10	37	19	0	0
Not so strong Democrat	9	9	9	8	2	11	3	9	17	0	11	2	11	11	0	7
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>93</b>
Independent/lean Democrat	5	5	6	5	1	2	2	8	8	1	9	2	9	4	0	7
Independent/no leans	25	23	28	26	15	21	17	36	20	19	22	23	24	27	0	86
Independent/lean Republican	7	4	7	10	10	0	14	6	0	18	2	16	4	5	0	0
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>
Not so strong Republican	8	9	7	6	8	2	14	6	3	12	2	13	4	9	29	0
Strong Republican	14	13	14	14	46	2	33	5	2	33	7	31	8	10	71	0
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
No, not registered to vote	6	8	4	4	3	1	3	7	5	0	2	2	3	11	0	0
DK/NA	4	4	3	5	1	0	3	5	1	3	1	2	2	4	0	0

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Strong Democrat	23	62	0	0	72	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	72	0	0
Not so strong Democrat	9	24	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	28	0	0
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Independent/lean Democrat	5	14	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/no leans	25	0	100	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent/lean Republican	7	0	0	24	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
Not so strong Republican	8	0	0	28	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	56	30
Strong Republican	14	0	0	49	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	44	70
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
No, not registered to vote	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Strong Democrat	23	17	27	15	26	28	21	71	73	0	0	0	0	63	79	75	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not so strong Democrat	9	10	5	7	14	9	5	29	27	0	0	0	0	37	21	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Independent/lean Democrat	5	7	3	6	5	6	6	0	0	13	16	0	0	0	0	0	17	13	13	0	0	0
Independent/no leans	25	28	25	27	20	27	26	0	0	68	67	0	0	0	0	0	71	73	60	0	0	0
Independent/lean Republican	7	6	8	8	2	3	16	0	0	19	17	0	0	0	0	0	12	14	27	0	0	0
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
Not so strong Republican	8	7	7	7	11	8	5	0	0	0	0	31	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	35	27
Strong Republican	14	11	17	22	9	13	12	0	0	0	0	69	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	65	73
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
No, not registered to vote	6	11	4	3	11	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	4	2	5	4	2	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 45: Q20. PARTY SELF ID

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>DEMOCRAT</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Strong Democrat	23	27	22	20	25	24	21	21	28	19	24	23	16	23	27	24	19	25	19	10	16	32
Not so strong Democrat	9	7	8	10	11	8	10	8	8	8	12	12	8	9	7	12	10	12	4	2	5	8
<b>INDEPENDENT W/LEANS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>31</b>
Independent/lean Democrat	5	3	7	6	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	7	4	5	5	8	4	4	5	6	5
Independent/no leans	25	22	29	26	19	25	24	28	23	25	24	29	30	22	23	28	28	21	25	35	24	22
Independent/lean Republican	7	6	5	8	10	5	9	7	4	8	9	6	7	7	7	6	6	5	10	8	13	4
<b>REPUBLICAN</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18</b>
Not so strong Republican	8	6	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	12	6
Strong Republican	14	12	10	16	21	11	17	12	10	22	13	9	16	15	13	8	14	16	16	21	16	12
<b>NOT REGISTERED/DK/NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>
No, not registered to vote	6	12	7	2	0	10	2	10	9	2	2	4	4	7	7	6	3	4	11	5	6	8
DK/NA	4	5	3	3	1	4	3	2	6	4	2	2	6	4	3	3	4	5	3	10	3	3

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Strongly favor	11	12	10	6	6	10	14	17	15	6	12	15	9	11	2	7
Somewhat favor	11	12	10	5	7	14	15	14	10	6	15	12	4	14	5	7
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>32</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	9	8	9	4	10	8	5	13	7	9	8	3	10	5	5
Strongly oppose	25	23	26	17	28	27	28	33	13	21	28	26	20	24	42	27
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>53</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	40	43	59	52	34	33	27	44	57	33	34	61	39	40	49
Refused	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	3	5	4

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Strongly favor	11	14	6	5	16	2	13	6	10	7	11	20	9	16	3
Somewhat favor	11	3	4	4	17	7	12	11	7	13	9	17	10	14	10
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	2	3	11	7	5	8	8	10	11	10	4	10	6	2
Strongly oppose	25	25	18	39	17	16	25	25	40	22	16	12	31	13	27
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	52	66	39	40	62	39	47	31	44	51	44	37	47	50
Refused	3	4	3	2	3	8	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	8



TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Strongly favor	11	10	18	5	11	3	9	12	10	11	18	8	8	12	14	9	4
Somewhat favor	11	7	13	16	13	19	11	12	11	11	11	16	9	10	13	10	10
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	11	7	5	4	6	8	8	7	9	7	3	12	9	5	10	9
Strongly oppose	25	36	14	17	18	13	26	24	26	24	18	15	22	41	17	31	24
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>53</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	34	45	51	51	52	42	41	42	42	42	53	46	28	47	37	45
Refused	3	2	3	6	3	7	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	1	4	2	8

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Strongly favor	11	10	9	15	37	2	25	5	1	100	0	49	0	0	51	36
Somewhat favor	11	8	13	16	17	1	21	8	4	0	0	51	0	0	49	64
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	8	9	6	6	3	6	10	9	0	0	0	25	0	0	0
Strongly oppose	25	24	28	22	6	63	7	28	46	0	100	0	75	0	0	0
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	45	38	39	32	30	38	46	39	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
Refused	3	4	2	3	2	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>52%</b>
Strongly favor	11	4	8	25	5	11	23	3	6	0	2	8	29	17	26	1	7	1	9	9	28
Somewhat favor	11	4	12	22	4	13	22	5	3	5	6	12	22	19	24	5	5	2	6	15	24
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>12</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	8	10	8	7	10	8	5	6	10	11	10	10	9	7	4	6	9	6	10	7
Strongly oppose	25	42	22	10	42	22	11	10	46	31	42	22	8	8	12	10	9	54	31	27	5
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	39	46	34	40	41	36	62	35	52	34	46	30	47	29	75	41	34	46	40	35
Refused	3	3	3	1	2	3	1	16	2	1	5	3	1	0	1	5	32	1	3	0	1

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			REP		
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>
Strongly favor	11	6	14	16	5	10	14	6	3	12	10	23	23	7	3	5	5	9	18	7	34	24
Somewhat favor	11	6	18	15	5	14	10	2	4	14	13	24	19	3	4	3	6	23	11	14	24	25
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	6	12	8	8	7	9	9	6	11	9	7	9	6	9	9	9	10	10	9	6	8
Strongly oppose	25	19	26	25	24	29	26	41	43	19	26	15	6	33	51	44	20	18	29	14	11	8
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	60	26	31	53	38	37	39	41	42	40	31	42	50	33	32	56	37	30	54	23	34
Refused	3	2	4	5	5	2	3	2	2	3	2	0	1	2	0	6	3	2	3	1	1	0

TABLE 46: Q21. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Tea Party Movement, or do you not really know enough about it to have an opinion?

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>TOTAL FAVOR</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Strongly favor	11	12	8	13	9	10	12	12	8	12	12	8	8	14	11	7	8	12	18	8	19	8
Somewhat favor	11	9	9	15	12	9	14	9	9	18	12	8	17	10	10	8	16	10	11	20	23	6
<b>TOTAL OPPOSE</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>50</b>
Somewhat oppose	8	4	9	8	14	7	10	8	6	10	10	12	11	6	5	12	10	5	9	11	1	7
Strongly oppose	25	17	18	30	45	17	34	15	20	34	34	23	21	20	37	24	20	23	12	25	21	43
<b>NO OPINION/DK/REF/NA</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>
No opinion/don't know	42	53	54	31	20	53	28	53	54	25	31	47	39	46	34	47	42	45	48	31	33	34
Refused	3	6	2	2	0	4	1	4	4	1	1	2	4	4	2	2	4	6	2	6	2	3

TABLE 47: Q20/Q21. OPINION OF TEA PARTY BY PARTY SELF-ID

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	11%	8%	3%	5%	12%	13%	12%	14%	4%	13%	11%	4%	13%	0%	3%
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	6	6	3	3	8	9	6	6	3	9	5	2	6	4	6
OTHER	84	83	86	94	91	80	78	82	80	93	77	83	94	81	96	90

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	3%	5%	1%	16%	2%	12%	5%	6%	7%	7%	18%	7%	14%	10%
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	0	3	3	8	3	6	6	6	5	7	7	6	7	3
OTHER	84	97	92	96	75	95	82	89	88	87	86	74	88	79	87

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	6%	16%	10%	8%	10%	9%	10%	9%	10%	18%	6%	7%	9%	13%	8%	7%
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	6	7	5	6	5	5	7	6	6	5	9	4	7	7	6	5
OTHER	84	88	77	85	86	85	86	83	85	84	78	84	89	84	81	87	88

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	8%	13%	8%	34%	0%	24%	4%	0%	46%	0%	44%	0%	0%	100%	0%
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	5	5	10	7	2	8	5	3	20	0	27	0	0	0	100
OTHER	84	87	82	82	59	98	68	91	97	34	100	29	100	100	0	0

TABLE 47: Q20/Q21. OPINION OF TEA PARTY BY PARTY SELF-ID

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	0%	0%	34%	0%	0%	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	36%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	52%
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	2	20	0	1	15	0	0	0	5	8	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
OTHER	84	98	80	66	99	85	55	100	100	95	92	80	100	64	50	100	100	100	97	76	48

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN		DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	4%	15%	16%	4%	12%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	48%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	59%	50%
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	3	10	6	3	8	5	1	2	15	15	0	0	2	1	1	7	25	12	0	0	0
OTHER	84	94	75	78	92	80	88	99	98	85	85	52	58	98	99	99	93	75	88	79	41	50

  

	Q22 EDUCATION						EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION								
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
FAVORABLE/REPUBLICAN	10%	7%	8%	13%	10%	8%	12%	8%	7%	15%	10%	6%	11%	11%	9%	6%	11%	11%	12%	12%	17%	7%	
FAVORABLE/INDEPENDENT	6	3	6	9	4	4	8	5	4	8	7	6	9	5	5	5	9	6	6	7	8	4	
OTHER	84	90	86	78	86	88	80	87	89	76	83	88	80	84	86	89	80	84	82	80	76	90	

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>64%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	3	1	1	2
Grades 9-11	3	4	3	6	3	2	4	1	7	4	3	3	11	1	5	7
High school graduate (12)	22	23	21	27	18	15	23	16	36	23	19	23	33	20	25	25
Community college/some/bus	27	27	28	30	28	28	26	27	23	29	27	26	33	26	30	30
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>
College graduate (4)	34	31	36	29	40	39	32	39	19	33	37	30	19	36	28	27
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	12	11	5	11	15	14	12	11	8	13	15	1	14	9	8
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Refused	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	1

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	9	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	3	1	2	0
Grades 9-11	3	18	7	3	4	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	8
High school graduate (12)	22	28	35	20	22	28	19	28	15	20	26	26	17	26	33
Community college/some/bus	27	35	32	27	27	30	26	31	25	32	32	23	28	26	26
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>30</b>
College graduate (4)	34	10	24	32	35	31	35	31	40	32	30	36	36	34	20
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	0	1	15	11	4	14	6	16	12	7	9	14	8	10
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Refused	1	0	0	1	1	5	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	3

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>58%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	1	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	2	0	0
Grades 9-11	3	4	3	4	8	1	3	4	3	4	2	8	3	2	5	2	3
High school graduate (12)	22	15	25	29	33	31	23	21	20	23	28	21	18	21	25	19	24
Community college/some/bus	27	26	26	30	25	33	28	27	25	30	27	30	33	19	28	26	31
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>37</b>
College graduate (4)	34	38	36	24	25	21	32	35	36	31	29	30	33	40	30	37	33
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	15	8	10	6	13	12	11	13	10	10	8	11	18	9	14	4
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
Refused	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	4

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB				FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>40%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	2	0	1	5	0	2	1	0	5	0	3	0	1	1	0
Grades 9-11	3	4	4	2	1	4	2	4	3	5	4	3	3	4	0	3
High school graduate (12)	22	22	16	27	25	30	23	21	20	19	14	19	13	28	18	12
Community college/some/bus	27	29	28	22	22	22	28	26	27	20	20	21	23	35	23	25
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>60</b>
College graduate (4)	34	33	36	32	38	23	34	37	32	42	41	44	40	25	46	51
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	9	14	14	8	22	10	11	17	10	21	11	21	6	12	9
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Refused	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>50%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	0	1
Grades 9-11	3	4	2	2	5	2	1	9	5	4	0	2	5	2	1	12	4	5	5	3	1
High school graduate (12)	22	21	20	19	22	19	20	38	23	18	16	20	16	18	21	42	31	18	26	23	19
Community college/some/bus	27	27	31	24	26	29	25	27	26	26	34	31	19	33	21	32	20	26	26	11	30
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>50</b>
College graduate (4)	34	33	35	38	32	37	37	19	30	38	40	35	41	35	39	14	28	32	32	38	37
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	13	9	16	13	11	16	1	13	14	10	9	18	12	17	0	3	19	8	25	13
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Refused	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>51%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	2	0	4	2	0	0	3	2	2	0	1	0	4	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	2
Grades 9-11	3	5	2	4	3	5	1	4	5	3	1	1	2	5	6	1	1	1	4	3	0	2
High school graduate (12)	22	28	20	19	18	18	26	25	19	20	17	21	18	19	24	20	26	14	17	18	16	25
Community college/some/bus	27	30	28	22	28	26	30	26	27	31	28	23	28	21	31	28	31	30	27	35	20	22
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>49</b>
College graduate (4)	34	28	34	32	39	40	28	29	34	31	43	37	37	41	25	30	36	40	33	28	53	27
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	6	16	16	9	10	14	13	13	12	10	17	14	9	14	18	4	14	12	17	11	21
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Refused	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0



TABLE 48: Q22. EDUCATION

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b>NON-COLLEGE</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Grades 1-8	1	5	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
Grades 9-11	3	13	0	0	0	6	0	7	6	0	0	5	1	4	4	5	0	3	6	1	2	4
High school graduate (12)	22	82	0	0	0	41	0	41	40	0	0	22	22	21	22	22	21	23	19	23	26	21
Community college/some/bus	27	0	100	0	0	51	0	49	53	0	0	27	23	31	26	27	25	30	31	20	24	27
<b>COLLEGE+</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>
College graduate (4)	34	0	0	100	0	0	74	0	0	71	77	31	36	34	33	33	35	34	31	39	35	33
Post-graduate work/prof schl	12	0	0	0	100	0	26	0	0	29	23	13	15	9	13	12	16	9	9	12	11	13
<b>REF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Refused	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	4	1	1

TABLE 49: GENDER

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Men	49%	100%	0%	56%	51%	45%	46%	49%	50%	54%	46%	48%	42%	51%	49%	47%	
Women	51	0	100	44	49	55	54	51	50	46	54	52	58	49	51	53	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Men	49%	38%	45%	51%	48%	45%	52%	42%	60%	46%	40%	48%	53%	45%	38%		
Women	51	62	55	49	52	55	48	58	40	54	60	52	47	55	62		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Men	49%	56%	45%	41%	47%	45%	48%	50%	49%	49%	51%	37%	48%	62%	45%	54%	32%
Women	51	44	55	59	53	55	52	50	51	51	49	63	52	38	55	46	68
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
Men	49%	55%	47%	41%	54%	49%	52%	48%	47%	54%	45%	54%	47%	48%	57%	50%	
Women	51	45	53	59	46	51	48	52	53	46	55	46	53	52	43	50	

TABLE 49: GENDER

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
Men	49%	43%	52%	54%	43%	52%	54%	50%	42%	44%	47%	52%	54%	46%	58%	51%	47%	43%	43%	43%	57%
Women	51	57	48	46	57	48	46	50	58	56	53	48	46	54	42	49	53	57	57	57	43

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE													
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			IND/L			REP		
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+		
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64				
Men	49%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	45%	42%	44%	64%	45%	45%	51%	49%	63%				
Women	51	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	55	58	56	36	55	55	49	51	37				

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION								
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST		
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181		
Men	49%	53%	48%	45%	52%	50%	47%	100%	0%	100%	0%	51%	52%	49%	45%	53%	51%	52%	42%	54%	49%	44%		
Women	51	47	52	55	48	50	53	0	100	0	100	49	48	51	55	47	49	48	58	46	51	56		

TABLE 50: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
English	98%	98%	97%	100%	98%	93%	97%	100%	100%	99%	94%	100%	83%	100%	100%	93%	
Spanish	2	2	3	0	2	7	3	0	0	1	6	0	17	0	0	7	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
English	98%	55%	98%	100%	96%	100%	98%	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%	88%		
Spanish	2	45	2	0	4	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	12		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
English	98%	97%	100%	96%	94%	100%	98%	97%	97%	98%	99%	95%	95%	100%	97%	98%	100%
Spanish	2	3	0	4	6	0	2	3	3	2	1	5	5	0	3	2	0
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
English	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	95%	100%	94%	98%	100%	97%	99%	98%	97%	98%	100%	
Spanish	2	0	7	0	0	5	0	6	2	0	3	1	2	3	2	0	

TABLE 50: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
English	98%	97%	95%	99%	97%	97%	99%	100%	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	97%	96%	96%	100%
Spanish	2	3	5	1	3	3	1	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	3	4	4	0

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE													
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			IND/L			REP		
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+		
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64				
English	98%	99%	97%	100%	100%	92%	100%	98%	96%	97%	96%	100%	98%	100%	92%	100%	98%	93%	100%	100%	97%	100%				
Spanish	2	1	3	0	0	8	0	2	4	3	4	0	2	0	8	0	2	7	0	0	3	0				

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION								
	HS OR		SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST		
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181		
English	98%	95%	98%	99%	100%	96%	99%	98%	95%	99%	99%	100%	99%	98%	94%	100%	98%	100%	94%	100%	95%	94%		
Spanish	2	5	2	1	0	4	1	2	5	1	1	0	1	2	6	0	2	0	6	0	5	6		

TABLE 51: CENSUS REGION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
Northeast	18%	19%	17%	21%	26%	12%	17%	13%	24%	23%	13%	19%	15%	19%	17%	17%
Midwest	21	22	20	21	10	27	23	24	16	16	26	21	9	26	17	13
South	37	37	37	40	45	37	34	34	29	42	36	32	35	32	59	45
West	24	22	26	18	19	23	26	30	31	18	25	28	42	24	7	25

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
Northeast	18%	8%	18%	16%	20%	12%	19%	16%	21%	16%	20%	19%	19%	20%	7%
Midwest	21	0	13	20	20	28	21	20	20	24	17	21	22	19	23
South	37	54	25	37	36	42	34	42	33	37	44	35	35	39	44
West	24	38	44	26	23	19	25	22	26	23	19	25	25	23	26

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Northeast	18%	20%	22%	12%	11%	13%	18%	19%	18%	18%	20%	10%	22%	16%	15%	19%	24%
Midwest	21	22	20	21	18	22	22	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	22
South	37	34	37	43	40	42	37	37	37	37	34	44	38	34	38	36	37
West	24	25	22	24	30	23	24	24	24	24	26	25	19	29	25	24	17

  

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
Northeast	18%	17%	19%	19%	14%	14%	15%	21%	19%	13%	17%	13%	19%	20%	12%	17%

TABLE 51: CENSUS REGION

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
	Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99
Midwest	21%	22%	20%	21%	20%	14%	21%	25%	18%	15%	18%	24%	20%	20%	24%	31%
South	37	41	35	31	47	34	44	31	35	47	29	40	29	41	41	33
West	24	20	26	29	19	38	21	24	28	25	36	23	31	19	23	19

TABLE 51: CENSUS REGION

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
Northeast	18%	20%	21%	15%	20%	20%	15%	12%	18%	24%	18%	21%	17%	20%	12%	13%	10%	20%	19%	25%	12%
Midwest	21	18	25	22	16	25	22	20	15	18	28	25	21	20	24	14	31	13	19	28	21
South	37	37	32	39	38	33	39	44	38	39	30	32	39	37	41	44	43	39	36	21	46
West	24	26	22	23	26	22	23	24	29	19	23	22	24	24	23	30	16	28	26	27	22

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN	DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM		IND/L		IND/L		REP		REP	
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39		40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Northeast	18%	24%	14%	18%	23%	12%	20%	22%	18%	20%	20%	14%	15%	27%	15%	21%	30%	10%	21%	14%	15%	16%
Midwest	21	20	29	18	12	23	24	17	15	29	20	20	25	13	17	18	17	32	24	21	29	15
South	37	38	39	34	47	34	30	37	39	31	35	40	39	35	42	36	40	33	25	49	30	42
West	24	19	19	30	18	31	27	25	27	20	25	26	20	25	26	26	13	25	29	15	26	28

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL		COLL+		NORTH		MID		SOUTH		SOUTH		CNTRL		MNTN	
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL		MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	EAST	WEST	EAST	WEST	EAST	WEST	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
Northeast	18%	19%	18%	17%	20%	18%	18%	20%	17%	17%	18%	100%	0%	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Midwest	21	19	18	22	27	18	24	21	16	23	24	0	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	0
South	37	37	41	37	27	39	34	42	37	31	37	0	0	100	0	9	0	100	100	0	0	0	0
West	24	24	23	24	26	24	24	17	31	29	21	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	



TABLE 52: REGION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Northeast	20%	21%	18%	23%	28%	14%	18%	14%	27%	25%	15%	20%	15%	20%	21%	19%	
Midwest	15	15	14	14	8	19	16	19	9	11	18	15	6	17	17	11	
South	22	23	21	24	27	25	21	16	15	25	23	18	12	21	41	26	
South Central	13	11	15	14	16	11	11	16	11	15	12	13	23	10	14	17	
Central Plains	6	7	6	7	2	8	7	5	7	5	8	6	2	8	0	2	
Mountain	6	6	6	1	4	7	8	11	9	3	8	10	7	8	0	4	
West	18	16	20	17	15	16	18	18	22	16	18	19	35	16	7	21	

  

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL						
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93
Northeast	20%	8%	18%	18%	22%	15%	21%	17%	23%	17%	23%	21%	20%	21%	10%
Midwest	15	0	10	15	14	21	15	15	14	15	13	16	14	15	18
South	22	20	7	25	20	26	20	27	19	23	25	23	21	24	24
South Central	13	34	17	10	15	13	13	13	12	13	17	10	13	13	18
Central Plains	6	0	4	5	7	7	7	5	6	8	4	5	7	5	5
Mountain	6	7	7	5	8	2	6	6	6	5	2	11	5	7	8
West	18	31	36	21	16	17	19	16	20	18	17	14	19	15	17

  

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Northeast	20%	21%	23%	13%	12%	15%	19%	20%	20%	20%	20%	13%	25%	17%	17%	21%	25%
Midwest	15	14	15	17	12	18	15	15	15	14	15	15	14	14	15	14	18
South	22	21	24	23	23	20	22	22	22	22	23	23	22	21	23	22	22
South Central	13	11	11	19	17	20	12	14	13	13	11	17	13	12	14	13	14
Central Plains	6	8	5	5	6	4	7	6	6	7	5	7	6	7	6	7	4
Mountain	6	5	9	6	4	7	6	6	7	6	8	8	4	6	8	5	10
West	18	20	13	18	26	15	18	17	17	18	18	17	15	24	18	19	8

TABLE 52: REGION

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY						Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY				VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
Northeast	20%	20%	20%	21%	15%	14%	16%	22%	21%	13%	19%	14%	22%	22%	12%	17%
Midwest	15	16	14	15	14	9	14	19	12	11	12	16	13	15	16	23
South	22	26	20	17	29	18	25	18	23	25	21	22	19	24	24	21
South Central	13	13	14	13	16	16	18	11	9	22	6	17	8	15	17	12
Central Plains	6	6	6	7	5	5	6	6	6	4	6	8	7	5	8	8
Mountain	6	5	6	11	8	4	8	6	4	11	5	12	4	5	11	8
West	18	15	20	18	12	34	13	17	24	14	31	11	27	14	12	11

  

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM	REP	INDEP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS					
	W/LNS	W/LNS	W/LNS	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP			
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
Northeast	20%	22%	22%	16%	22%	21%	15%	18%	20%	27%	20%	22%	18%	20%	12%	20%	13%	23%	20%	25%	12%
Midwest	15	15	16	15	13	17	15	11	12	17	23	16	13	16	15	8	15	10	18	19	14
South	22	25	18	23	26	18	25	20	25	30	17	18	18	23	26	13	30	28	23	13	28
South Central	13	10	13	16	10	14	15	18	11	6	11	13	20	14	15	23	10	7	12	8	17
Central Plains	6	3	9	7	2	8	7	9	3	2	6	9	8	4	9	5	16	3	1	9	7
Mountain	6	5	6	9	4	7	8	5	4	3	7	6	12	9	7	6	5	5	3	7	9
West	18	21	16	14	22	15	15	19	25	16	16	16	11	14	16	24	12	23	22	20	13

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Northeast	20%	27%	16%	20%	23%	13%	20%	24%	21%	21%	20%	14%	16%	28%	19%	22%	31%	11%	22%	14%	15%	16%
Midwest	15	12	20	14	10	16	17	16	12	19	14	11	20	13	14	15	9	22	18	15	20	9
South	22	23	26	20	28	20	15	29	24	17	19	26	23	22	31	27	26	17	10	32	18	25
South Central	13	12	11	12	18	12	14	5	13	12	16	13	16	11	8	8	13	16	14	17	12	17
Central Plains	6	8	8	4	2	7	7	1	3	10	6	9	5	1	4	3	8	9	6	6	9	6
Mountain	6	3	7	10	2	8	9	5	3	7	8	8	9	2	5	7	3	7	13	1	15	6
West	18	16	12	20	16	23	17	20	24	13	17	18	12	23	21	19	10	18	17	14	11	22

TABLE 52: REGION

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
Northeast	20%	21%	19%	19%	21%	20%	20%	23%	17%	19%	20%	100%	0%	5%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Midwest	15	13	13	15	20	13	16	14	12	16	17	0	70	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
South	22	22	25	22	16	24	21	27	20	19	22	0	0	60	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
South Central	13	14	15	12	10	14	12	13	16	9	14	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
Central Plains	6	6	5	7	7	5	7	7	4	7	7	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
Mountain	6	7	6	7	6	6	6	4	9	10	4	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
West	18	18	17	17	20	18	18	13	22	19	17	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
New England	3%	2%	3%	5%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	
Mid-Atlantic	15	16	14	17	23	10	14	11	21	19	11	17	14	16	14	15	
East North Central	15	15	14	14	8	19	16	19	9	11	18	15	6	17	17	11	
West North Central	6	7	6	7	2	8	7	5	7	5	8	6	2	8	0	2	
South Atlantic	18	20	17	20	22	21	17	16	13	21	19	15	12	16	33	22	
East South Central	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	1	4	6	5	3	0	5	11	5	
West South Central	14	12	16	15	18	11	12	17	12	16	12	13	23	10	15	18	
Mountain	6	6	6	1	4	7	8	11	9	3	8	10	7	8	0	4	
West	18	16	20	17	15	16	18	18	22	16	18	19	35	16	7	21	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
New England	3%	3%	0%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%		
Mid-Atlantic	15	5	18	14	16	11	16	13	17	14	17	17	15	17	7		
East North Central	15	0	10	15	14	21	15	15	14	15	13	16	14	15	18		
West North Central	6	0	4	5	7	7	7	5	6	8	4	5	7	5	5		
South Atlantic	18	20	7	22	16	20	17	21	19	17	20	19	18	20	16		
East South Central	5	0	0	5	4	9	4	8	1	7	6	5	4	6	10		
West South Central	14	34	17	11	16	13	14	14	14	14	17	11	14	13	18		
Mountain	6	7	7	5	8	2	6	6	6	5	2	11	5	7	8		
West	18	31	36	21	16	17	19	16	20	18	17	14	19	15	17		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
New England	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Mid-Atlantic	15	16	20	10	8	13	15	16	15	15	16	9	18	13	13	16	21
East North Central	15	14	15	17	12	18	15	15	15	14	15	15	14	14	15	14	18
West North Central	6	8	5	5	6	4	7	6	6	7	5	7	6	7	6	7	4
South Atlantic	18	18	19	18	16	18	19	18	19	18	18	22	20	16	19	18	16
East South Central	5	3	6	6	8	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	7	
West South Central	14	12	12	19	17	20	13	14	14	14	11	18	14	13	14	13	14

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Mountain	6%	5%	9%	6%	4%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	8%	8%	4%	6%	8%	5%	10%
West	18	20	13	18	26	15	18	17	17	18	18	17	15	24	18	19	8

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE				Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN	
	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CON	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
	CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L		
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
New England	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%
Mid-Atlantic	15	14	16	16	12	12	12	17	16	11	14	12	16	17	11	15
East North Central	15	16	14	15	14	9	14	19	12	11	12	16	13	15	16	23
West North Central	6	6	6	7	5	5	6	6	6	4	6	8	7	5	8	8
South Atlantic	18	21	18	13	22	13	18	16	22	21	18	17	17	19	19	16
East South Central	5	6	4	5	8	4	7	4	3	4	4	5	4	6	6	4
West South Central	14	14	14	13	17	17	19	11	10	22	7	17	9	16	17	12
Mountain	6	5	6	11	8	4	8	6	4	11	5	12	4	5	11	8
West	18	15	20	18	12	34	13	17	24	14	31	11	27	14	12	11

  

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY			
	DEM	REP	INDEP	NT	RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS					
	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
New England	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	5%	7%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%
Mid-Atlantic	15	18	16	13	18	15	14	11	16	22	18	16	10	20	11	12	8	19	16	25	11
East North Central	15	15	16	15	13	17	15	11	12	17	23	16	13	16	15	8	15	10	18	19	14
West North Central	6	3	9	7	2	8	7	9	3	2	6	9	8	4	9	5	16	3	1	9	7
South Atlantic	18	21	14	18	22	15	19	21	20	27	16	14	16	19	18	18	25	27	16	8	22
East South Central	5	6	4	5	6	3	6	3	6	7	1	4	3	4	7	1	6	5	8	5	6
West South Central	14	10	14	16	10	15	15	20	11	6	13	14	20	14	15	25	12	7	12	8	17
Mountain	6	5	6	9	4	7	8	5	4	3	7	6	12	9	7	6	5	5	3	7	9
West	18	21	16	14	22	15	15	19	25	16	16	16	11	14	16	24	12	23	22	20	13

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP	
	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
New England	3%	4%	2%	0%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	7%	1%	0%	3%	2%	2%	8%	4%	3%	3%	0%	0%
Mid-Atlantic	15	19	12	17	19	9	16	20	16	16	14	13	15	24	13	18	22	6	18	12	15	16
East North Central	15	12	20	14	10	16	17	16	12	19	14	11	20	13	14	15	9	22	18	15	20	9
West North Central	6	8	8	4	2	7	7	1	3	10	6	9	5	1	4	3	8	9	6	6	9	6
South Atlantic	18	20	23	17	21	16	14	23	21	16	14	18	19	19	26	21	20	14	10	20	17	20
East South Central	5	5	5	5	6	5	2	8	5	2	4	7	4	4	9	7	6	3	1	12	2	4
West South Central	14	13	11	13	19	13	14	5	13	13	17	14	16	11	8	8	15	16	15	17	12	18
Mountain	6	3	7	10	2	8	9	5	3	7	8	8	9	2	5	7	3	7	13	1	15	6
West	18	16	12	20	16	23	17	20	24	13	17	18	12	23	21	19	10	18	17	14	11	22

TABLE 53: CENSUS DIVISION

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	NON-COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
New England	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	16%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mid-Atlantic	15	16	15	14	18	15	15	18	13	14	16	84	0	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	0
East North Central	15	13	13	15	20	13	16	14	12	16	17	0	70	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
West North Central	6	6	5	7	7	5	7	7	4	7	7	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
South Atlantic	18	15	23	19	14	19	18	23	15	17	19	0	0	50	0	9	0	76	0	0	0	0
East South Central	5	8	3	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	0	0	13	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0
West South Central	14	14	16	13	10	15	12	13	17	10	14	0	0	37	0	0	0	3	100	0	0	0
Mountain	6	7	6	7	6	6	6	4	9	10	4	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
West	18	18	17	17	20	18	18	13	22	19	17	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 54: A/B SPLIT

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
A Split	49%	49%	49%	51%	46%	50%	48%	49%	48%	49%	49%	49%	49%	49%	49%	49%	
B Split	51	51	51	49	54	50	52	51	52	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
A Split	49%	54%	46%	49%	50%	44%	51%	44%	53%	52%	50%	44%	52%	46%	39%		
B Split	51	46	54	51	50	56	49	56	47	48	50	56	48	54	61		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
A Split	49%	55%	49%	39%	28%	42%	45%	53%	100%	0%	51%	39%	51%	50%	46%	51%	56%
B Split	51	45	51	61	72	58	55	47	0	100	49	61	49	50	54	49	44
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
A Split	49%	49%	49%	49%	44%	52%	50%	48%	49%	46%	51%	47%	49%	49%	46%	50%	
B Split	51	51	51	51	56	48	50	52	51	54	49	53	51	51	54	50	



TABLE 54: A/B SPLIT

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG DK/NA	STR DEM	NSTR DEM	INDEP L/DEM	INDEP L/REP	NSTR REP	STR REP	NOT REG	DK/NA	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP	
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP														
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
A Split	49%	46%	53%	51%	47%	51%	51%	46%	48%	42%	44%	53%	50%	49%	52%	46%	45%	51%	41%	54%	49%
B Split	51	54	47	49	53	49	49	54	52	58	56	47	50	51	48	54	55	49	59	46	51

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN 60+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	IND/L MEN	IND/L WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-39	DEM 40-59	DEM 60+	IND/L 18-39	IND/L 40-59	IND/L 60+	REP 18-39	REP 40-59	REP 60+	
		18-39	40-59	18-39	40-59																	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
A Split	49%	49%	49%	48%	49%	49%	51%	57%	39%	43%	60%	48%	54%	46%	50%	42%	49%	45%	59%	59%	55%	38%
B Split	51	51	51	52	51	51	49	43	61	57	40	52	46	54	50	58	51	55	41	41	45	62

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
		LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+															
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
A Split	49%	45%	45%	53%	56%	45%	54%	45%	45%	53%	54%	49%	49%	49%	49%	49%	51%	48%	50%	43%	55%	47%
B Split	51	55	55	47	44	55	46	55	55	47	46	51	51	51	51	51	49	52	50	57	45	53

TABLE 55: C/D SPLIT

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
C Split	50%	50%	50%	51%	46%	49%	50%	44%	59%	49%	49%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	
D Split	50	50	50	49	54	51	50	56	41	51	51	50	50	50	50	50	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
C Split	50%	38%	56%	45%	52%	49%	53%	43%	44%	49%	45%	57%	47%	53%	56%		
D Split	50	62	44	55	48	51	47	57	56	51	55	43	53	47	44		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	SWING	POS MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
C Split	50%	48%	56%	46%	40%	47%	49%	50%	49%	50%	50%	49%	46%	51%	49%	48%	59%
D Split	50	52	44	54	60	53	51	50	51	50	50	51	54	49	51	52	41
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
C Split	50%	50%	50%	51%	49%	44%	47%	53%	47%	45%	49%	47%	49%	50%	43%	53%	
D Split	50	50	50	49	51	56	53	47	53	55	51	53	51	50	57	47	

TABLE 55: C/D SPLIT

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
	Total	W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
C Split	50%	48%	54%	45%	47%	53%	44%	57%	48%	45%	54%	54%	48%	47%	42%	56%	59%	43%	51%	37%	45%
D Split	50	52	46	55	53	47	56	43	52	55	46	46	52	53	58	44	41	57	49	63	55

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE													
	MEN		MEN		WOMEN		DEM		DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			IND/L			REP		
	Total	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+		
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64				
C Split	50%	44%	51%	54%	55%	48%	47%	46%	48%	53%	52%	43%	45%	46%	44%	57%	53%	56%	49%	40%	43%	48%				
D Split	50	56	49	46	45	52	53	54	52	47	48	57	55	54	56	43	47	44	51	60	57	52				

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	HS OR	SOME	COLL	POST	NON-	COLL+	NCOLL	NCOLL	COLL+	COLL+	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	MID	SOUTH	SOUTH	CNTRL	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
	Total	LESS	COLL	GRAD	GRAD	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
C Split	50%	49%	48%	49%	54%	49%	50%	50%	47%	49%	52%	50%	50%	50%	50%	48%	55%	39%	54%	54%	48%		
D Split	50	51	52	51	46	51	50	50	53	51	48	50	50	50	50	50	52	45	61	46	46	52	

TABLE 56: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE	
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369	
Q15 first	51%	50%	51%	54%	55%	52%	49%	44%	50%	55%	50%	48%	47%	52%	49%	48%	
Q16 first	49	50	49	46	45	48	51	56	50	45	50	52	53	48	51	52	
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR			Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA		
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93		
Q15 first	51%	45%	47%	52%	50%	47%	50%	52%	52%	51%	48%	53%	51%	51%	45%		
Q16 first	49	55	53	48	50	53	50	48	48	49	52	47	49	49	55		
	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS					Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST							
	Total	CONS FAVOR	CONS OPPOS	POS SWING	NEG MOVER	NEG MOVER	PRO FIRST	CON FIRST	NRMLZ A	ENGGE B	DEF YES	PROB YES	PROB NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
Q15 first	51%	51%	49%	52%	53%	54%	100%	0%	47%	54%	51%	47%	50%	51%	49%	50%	62%
Q16 first	49	49	51	48	47	46	0	100	53	46	49	53	50	49	51	50	38
	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNLDN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
Q15 first	51%	54%	46%	50%	56%	54%	51%	49%	53%	43%	53%	46%	53%	51%	48%	44%	
Q16 first	49	46	54	50	44	46	49	51	47	57	47	54	47	49	52	56	

TABLE 56: Q15/Q16 ROTATION

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR		INDEP		INDEP		NOT		LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	MD/LB REP	CONS REP
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA				
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165
Q15 first	51%	52%	49%	51%	54%	47%	53%	50%	53%	55%	43%	49%	45%	50%	54%	51%	50%	55%	52%	51%	53%
Q16 first	49	48	51	49	46	53	47	50	47	45	57	51	55	50	46	49	50	45	48	49	47

  

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		WOMEN 60+	DEM		IND/L		REP		DEM			IND/L			REP			
		18-39	40-59	18-39	40-59		18-39	40-59	18-39	40-59	18-39	40-59	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
Q15 first	51%	54%	48%	49%	56%	53%	48%	52%	54%	48%	47%	50%	55%	66%	47%	51%	48%	52%	42%	50%	53%	56%
Q16 first	49	46	52	51	44	47	52	48	46	52	53	50	45	34	53	49	52	48	58	50	47	44

  

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION							
	Total	HS OR		SOME		NON-		NCOLL		COLL+		NORTH		MID		NORTH		MID		SOUTH		CNTRL	
		LESS	SOME	COLL	POST	COLL	COLL+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	WEST	EAST	WEST	SOUTH	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST	
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181	
Q15 first	51%	51%	52%	49%	53%	51%	50%	49%	53%	51%	49%	49%	52%	50%	51%	50%	51%	51%	48%	54%	49%	51%	
Q16 first	49	49	48	51	47	49	50	51	47	49	51	51	48	50	49	50	49	49	52	46	51	49	

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1023	501	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	624	123	369
<b><u>GENDER BY AGE</u></b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>
MEN 18-39	18	38	0	56	51	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	23	17	16	22
MEN 40-59	17	34	0	0	0	45	31	0	0	0	46	0	15	16	24	17
MEN 60+	13	27	0	0	0	0	15	49	50	0	0	48	5	17	8	7
WOMEN 18-39	16	0	31	44	49	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	21	14	19	20
WOMEN 40-59	20	0	39	0	0	55	35	0	0	0	54	0	29	17	24	25
WOMEN 60+	14	0	28	0	0	0	18	51	50	0	0	52	3	17	8	6
<b><u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	55	0	41	27	20	26	20	30	35	23	24	34	25	33	31
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	0	52	25	22	25	28	26	39	24	27	30	46	24	30	32
COLL+ MEN	21	43	0	15	25	24	20	27	20	19	22	23	8	25	16	14
COLL+ WOMEN	24	0	47	20	26	30	26	24	10	22	27	21	12	25	21	21
<b><u>PARTY BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>90</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	28	0	17	12	11	16	11	9	15	15	11	12	10	34	20
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	0	35	15	23	19	20	13	9	18	20	13	27	14	39	25
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	39	0	21	24	20	13	22	19	22	16	20	24	19	12	18
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	0	35	11	14	21	19	26	24	12	19	24	15	19	8	14
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	24	0	9	11	9	13	12	20	10	11	14	3	16	0	4
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	0	20	11	7	12	9	8	11	9	12	8	7	12	3	8
<b><u>PARTY BY AGE</u></b>	<b>89</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>87</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	10	12	32	35	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	16	8	24	17
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	11	14	0	0	30	27	0	0	0	35	0	18	8	38	22
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	6	7	0	0	0	10	24	18	0	0	24	1	8	11	4
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	15	8	32	38	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	16	12	7	12
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	12	14	0	0	41	19	0	0	0	35	0	18	12	9	14
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	11	13	0	0	0	13	48	43	0	0	44	5	14	4	7
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	7	6	20	18	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	5	7	3	7
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	8	8	0	0	21	16	0	0	0	23	0	5	10	0	4
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	8	5	0	0	0	6	21	31	0	0	23	0	10	0	0
<b><u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u></b>	<b>52</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>57</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	14	18	18	21	18	15	12	6	19	18	9	15	12	39	23
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	13	17	14	13	12	21	11	11	14	16	14	24	11	33	22
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	6	7	3	6	5	3	6	6	6	4	7	6	1	5
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	19	14	12	15	16	17	17	25	13	16	19	4	21	1	7

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL							
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT		DK/NA	NONE	OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA
				DIR	TRACK										
Base	1023	50	94	364	571	88	693	330	304	268	134	224	572	358	92
<b><u>GENDER BY AGE</u></b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>
MEN 18-39	18	16	27	20	17	23	18	18	21	18	19	18	19	19	11
MEN 40-59	17	22	11	18	16	15	17	16	22	13	11	18	18	15	15
MEN 60+	13	0	7	13	14	7	16	8	17	14	9	11	15	10	11
WOMEN 18-39	16	16	24	20	12	22	13	22	11	21	21	13	16	16	13
WOMEN 40-59	20	44	22	16	23	19	19	22	13	19	23	23	16	23	33
WOMEN 60+	14	0	5	11	16	12	15	13	14	13	15	14	13	15	16
<b><u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	30	36	28	27	26	27	28	29	24	30	29	27	29	23
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	60	38	24	27	33	23	34	16	31	32	26	23	28	44
COLL+ MEN	21	7	9	23	21	15	25	14	31	21	10	19	26	15	14
COLL+ WOMEN	24	2	17	24	25	20	25	23	24	23	27	27	24	27	16
<b><u>PARTY BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>84</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	17	9	23	8	11	14	13	17	13	11	12	15	11	12
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	41	19	27	12	25	17	21	18	17	26	14	17	18	22
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	14	29	19	19	21	21	16	24	19	14	16	22	16	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	13	16	13	21	16	16	21	15	23	19	16	19	17	17
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	3	4	7	15	7	13	9	15	9	8	14	12	12	8
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	2	9	5	14	3	10	10	5	11	9	17	8	14	10
<b><u>PARTY BY AGE</u></b>	<b>89</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>82</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	23	12	19	7	10	11	13	13	12	15	10	12	12	4
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	33	10	19	8	18	11	16	13	11	13	8	12	10	26
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	0	2	10	5	5	7	5	8	7	8	6	7	7	2
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	7	20	10	12	16	11	13	12	14	13	9	13	10	10
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	19	17	10	15	10	13	13	13	14	11	14	14	13	8
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	0	8	11	13	12	13	10	14	14	9	9	14	9	14
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	0	8	7	6	6	5	10	6	8	5	7	7	6	3
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	5	6	3	13	3	10	5	6	6	7	14	6	12	10
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	0	0	2	10	1	8	3	7	5	5	8	6	7	5
<b><u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u></b>	<b>52</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>51</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	15	15	26	10	16	17	14	20	18	15	10	19	12	15
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	44	13	23	9	18	13	19	14	11	21	15	13	18	17
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	2	9	7	4	5	5	6	7	5	3	5	6	4	6
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	3	4	5	25	5	18	12	13	15	14	25	14	21	12

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER		MOVER										FIRST
Base	1023	468	278	277	100	124	518	505	501	522	237	177	285	252	413	537	72
<b><u>GENDER BY AGE</u></b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	
MEN 18-39	18	21	17	16	24	15	20	17	18	18	22	12	23	17	18	20	10
MEN 40-59	17	19	17	13	15	13	16	18	17	17	16	13	15	22	15	18	16
MEN 60+	13	16	10	11	9	15	13	14	13	14	12	11	10	22	11	15	7
WOMEN 18-39	16	14	15	20	16	22	17	14	16	16	12	19	18	11	15	15	27
WOMEN 40-59	20	16	23	24	26	20	21	19	20	20	25	27	17	14	26	16	17
WOMEN 60+	14	13	16	13	9	13	13	15	15	14	12	17	15	11	14	13	20
<b><u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>96</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	26	27	29	40	30	27	28	25	29	33	27	27	27	30	27	12
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	20	29	35	29	35	28	25	24	29	28	34	27	15	30	21	47
COLL+ MEN	21	29	18	11	7	16	22	21	23	20	18	10	20	35	15	27	17
COLL+ WOMEN	24	23	26	23	24	19	23	25	26	22	21	29	25	24	24	24	21
<b><u>PARTY BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>90</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>89</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	17	10	11	19	8	14	13	16	12	14	7	13	20	11	16	9
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	17	18	20	24	17	19	17	14	22	16	26	20	11	20	16	21
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	22	17	16	16	20	18	20	17	21	18	19	20	21	18	21	12
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	18	17	19	15	19	17	19	22	14	15	20	18	19	17	19	18
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	13	13	8	7	11	11	12	11	12	15	3	9	18	10	13	7
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	6	15	12	8	11	11	9	11	9	13	11	8	5	12	7	22
<b><u>PARTY BY AGE</u></b>	<b>89</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>87</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	11	10	13	17	13	15	8	11	12	13	8	15	9	11	12	10
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	14	11	13	17	8	12	14	13	12	10	18	12	12	14	12	15
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	8	6	4	8	2	7	7	6	7	6	6	5	9	6	7	5
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	14	11	8	10	9	11	12	12	12	9	10	15	12	10	14	10
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	12	14	12	14	13	15	13	14	4
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	13	10	13	7	17	10	14	15	10	11	15	10	12	13	11	17
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	6	6	9	6	10	6	7	8	5	7	4	5	7	6	6	15
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	6	13	7	9	6	9	8	9	7	15	4	5	8	10	7	10
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	6	8	4	1	7	7	6	5	8	6	6	6	8	6	7	2
<b><u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u></b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>58</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	20	11	14	13	13	18	15	17	15	11	15	18	18	13	18	19
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	14	15	17	30	10	15	15	13	17	18	17	15	12	17	14	11
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	6	4	6	4	6	5	5	6	5	5	5	4	7	5	5	8
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	13	23	14	12	16	17	15	16	16	23	8	13	16	17	15	21



TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	QA/QB CELL PHONE/ LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY					Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN		
	Total	ALL/M CELL	BOTH EQUAL	ALL/M LNDLN	VERY CONS	VERY LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY FAV	VERY UNFAV	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV	NO/DK RF/NA	FAV/ REPS	FAV/ IND/L
Base	1023	461	338	212	156	92	354	349	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61
<b><u>GENDER BY AGE</u></b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>
MEN 18-39	18	28	12	8	13	25	14	20	20	11	14	10	14	26	7	8
MEN 40-59	17	16	19	14	16	19	18	18	16	22	18	24	19	10	26	29
MEN 60+	13	10	15	19	23	5	19	11	10	20	13	19	13	10	22	12
WOMEN 18-39	16	21	13	8	11	25	12	14	22	7	15	7	15	20	7	8
WOMEN 40-59	20	16	24	20	21	17	18	22	20	19	23	21	22	18	24	28
WOMEN 60+	14	7	14	30	13	7	16	15	11	18	15	16	15	13	10	12
<b><u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	32	23	22	29	25	31	26	23	30	16	25	19	34	24	20
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	25	25	30	24	30	25	26	27	19	21	20	21	34	18	20
COLL+ MEN	21	22	23	18	25	24	21	22	23	24	29	29	29	13	33	30
COLL+ WOMEN	24	21	27	28	21	21	23	25	26	27	33	26	32	18	25	30
<b><u>PARTY BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>90</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	16	13	10	10	34	8	11	26	8	22	5	21	13	0	2
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	18	19	18	6	38	8	17	34	5	32	6	27	18	0	5
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	20	20	17	12	13	14	27	16	20	14	22	17	19	0	48
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	13	21	25	14	11	18	22	13	17	19	19	19	17	0	45
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	11	12	10	28	2	26	5	2	25	7	25	8	8	57	0
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	11	8	10	26	2	20	6	3	21	2	19	5	10	43	0
<b><u>PARTY BY AGE</u></b>	<b>89</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	16	9	5	8	33	6	8	25	7	15	5	13	14	0	3
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	11	14	13	4	32	4	13	25	3	26	4	23	10	0	2
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	5	7	9	4	4	4	7	9	3	12	2	11	5	0	1
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	16	9	7	3	12	6	16	11	6	10	6	11	16	0	14
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	10	17	13	12	4	12	19	7	10	9	19	11	12	0	55
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	6	14	22	10	8	13	14	10	21	14	16	14	9	0	24
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	10	4	3	12	4	13	3	3	4	4	6	5	8	14	0
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	8	10	5	20	0	17	5	2	26	4	22	4	5	50	0
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	3	7	13	21	0	15	3	0	14	2	14	3	5	32	0
<b><u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u></b>	<b>52</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>7</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	17	19	10	0	72	0	0	60	1	35	2	31	13	0	1
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	16	12	17	16	0	15	28	0	12	19	10	17	16	0	6
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	6	4	5	0	4	0	11	5	4	6	6	6	5	13	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	15	17	15	54	0	47	0	0	42	4	38	6	13	86	0

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG		STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG		DEM	DEM	REP	REP
Base	1023	378	257	289	323	380	220	100	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	38	165	153	54	165
<b>GENDER BY AGE</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>
MEN 18-39	18	17	21	16	16	20	15	25	14	22	23	21	17	17	15	35	9	18	14	17	15
MEN 40-59	17	16	16	19	17	16	19	15	20	10	8	16	19	16	20	10	22	18	16	14	20
MEN 60+	13	10	14	18	9	15	18	9	9	11	15	14	16	13	21	6	15	6	13	12	21
WOMEN 18-39	16	19	12	12	20	11	15	21	18	26	15	12	4	23	10	30	7	23	17	19	13
WOMEN 40-59	20	23	21	17	23	19	20	12	24	21	22	21	8	21	19	8	19	23	23	29	17
WOMEN 60+	14	12	15	16	12	18	11	15	13	8	15	15	33	9	12	9	25	9	13	8	12
<b>EDUCATION BY GENDER</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	25	30	25	25	29	25	34	25	24	26	30	27	27	23	45	18	21	29	14	28
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	29	25	21	30	23	22	41	32	24	23	25	15	26	20	41	39	28	32	23	22
COLL+ MEN	21	19	21	28	18	22	29	12	17	20	21	21	27	20	34	6	21	22	14	29	29
COLL+ WOMEN	24	27	23	26	27	25	24	9	25	32	29	23	32	28	22	7	11	29	26	34	21
<b>PARTY BY GENDER</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	37	0	0	43	0	0	0	42	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	43	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	49	0	0	57	0	0	0	58	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	57	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	7	52	13	0	52	0	0	0	0	47	52	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	8	48	11	0	48	0	0	0	0	53	48	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	0	0	41	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	58	0	0	0	0	43	57
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	0	0	35	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	42	0	0	0	0	57	43
<b>PARTY BY AGE</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	31	0	0	36	0	0	0	32	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	31	0	0
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	34	0	0	40	0	0	0	44	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	39	0	0
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	18	0	0	21	0	0	0	22	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	26	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	5	33	5	0	32	0	0	0	0	38	33	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	4	38	6	0	35	0	0	0	0	31	38	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	4	29	12	0	33	0	0	0	0	30	29	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	0	0	23	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	25	0	0	0	0	36	28
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	0	0	29	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	39	0	0	0	0	42	37
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	0	0	22	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	33	0	0	0	0	20	32
<b>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	44	0	0	51	0	0	0	51	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	41	0	0	47	0	0	0	47	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	0	0	19	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	17	0	0	0	0	100	0
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	0	0	57	0	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	82	0	0	0	0	0	100

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE									
	Total	MEN		WOMEN		Total	DEM		IND/L		REP		Total	DEM		IND/L		REP				
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39		40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN		MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	
Base	1023	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b><u>GENDER BY AGE</u></b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
MEN 18-39	18	100	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	39	0	29	0	45	0	0	64	0	0	51	0	0
MEN 40-59	17	0	100	0	0	0	0	39	0	31	0	35	0	0	42	0	0	45	0	0	49	0
MEN 60+	13	0	0	100	0	0	0	21	0	29	0	34	0	0	0	44	0	0	45	0	0	63
WOMEN 18-39	16	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	35	0	23	0	32	55	0	0	36	0	0	49	0	0
WOMEN 40-59	20	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	41	0	39	0	43	0	58	0	0	55	0	0	51	0
WOMEN 60+	14	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	20	0	37	0	23	0	0	56	0	0	55	0	0	37
<b><u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	65	50	49	0	0	0	58	0	56	0	46	0	25	27	24	47	20	21	26	18	30
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	0	0	0	51	49	57	0	52	0	47	0	48	25	34	28	13	26	29	28	18	21
COLL+ MEN	21	35	49	48	0	0	0	42	0	43	0	54	0	20	15	20	17	26	22	25	31	33
COLL+ WOMEN	24	0	0	0	49	50	42	0	48	0	52	0	52	30	24	28	23	28	24	20	33	16
<b><u>PARTY BY GENDER</u></b>	<b>90</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	28	32	22	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	45	42	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	0	0	0	40	37	26	0	100	0	0	0	0	55	58	56	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	41	35	41	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	45	45	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	0	0	0	27	35	47	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	36	55	55	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	18	24	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	49	63
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	0	0	0	20	21	16	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	51	37
<b><u>PARTY BY AGE</u></b>	<b>89</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	28	0	0	40	0	0	38	35	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	0	32	0	0	37	0	39	41	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	0	0	22	0	0	26	21	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	41	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	39	23	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	0	31	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	0	0	41	0	0	47	0	0	29	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	18	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	0	24	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	35	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	0	0	30	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	34	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
<b><u>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</u></b>	<b>52</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	16	17	7	23	19	11	51	51	0	0	0	0	58	52	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	12	14	14	16	17	14	47	47	0	0	0	0	41	46	59	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	4	5	7	8	3	0	0	0	0	20	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	27	17
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	13	20	25	14	14	13	0	0	0	0	79	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	72	83

TABLE 57: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH WEST	CNTRL	PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1023	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	378	245	201	151	227	135	64	64	181
<b>GENDER BY AGE</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>96%</b>
MEN 18-39	18	25	20	16	10	22	14	44	0	30	0	24	17	19	14	25	15	19	17	23	9	16
MEN 40-59	17	14	17	17	23	16	18	31	0	39	0	13	23	18	13	13	23	20	14	22	19	11
MEN 60+	13	14	10	13	18	12	14	24	0	30	0	13	11	12	17	13	12	12	12	9	20	15
WOMEN 18-39	16	14	16	18	13	15	17	0	30	0	32	20	9	20	12	19	11	20	22	5	5	14
WOMEN 40-59	20	17	19	24	17	18	22	0	37	0	41	13	22	18	25	14	21	18	19	23	26	25
WOMEN 60+	14	15	15	12	17	15	13	0	30	0	24	15	16	11	16	14	16	10	15	17	21	14
<b>EDUCATION BY GENDER</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>
NON-COLL MEN	27	53	48	0	0	50	0	100	0	0	0	31	27	31	19	31	26	33	26	29	17	20
NON-COLL WOMEN	27	47	52	0	0	50	0	0	100	0	0	24	20	27	34	23	22	24	33	16	37	33
COLL+ MEN	21	0	0	45	52	0	47	0	0	100	0	20	24	18	26	21	23	19	15	24	32	23
COLL+ WOMEN	24	0	0	55	48	0	53	0	0	100	0	25	27	24	21	24	27	24	25	27	14	23
<b>PARTY BY GENDER</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>89</b>
DEMOCRAT MEN	14	16	13	12	16	14	13	29	0	27	0	16	11	13	14	16	14	18	6	2	11	15
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	18	18	18	18	21	18	19	0	36	0	36	18	13	19	21	19	15	19	18	10	10	24
INDEP W/LNS MEN	19	18	22	18	19	20	18	39	0	38	0	21	27	16	16	21	25	15	18	31	21	14
INDEP W/LNS WOMEN	18	13	18	23	15	16	21	0	32	0	39	20	17	17	19	19	17	15	22	17	23	17
REPUBLICAN MEN	12	10	10	13	16	10	14	20	0	29	0	9	11	12	12	8	9	14	12	17	14	12
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	10	8	10	11	12	9	11	0	18	0	22	8	12	11	8	8	13	10	12	9	14	7
<b>PARTY BY AGE</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>86</b>
DEMOCRAT 18-39	11	12	9	14	9	11	13	10	11	11	14	17	7	11	12	16	10	12	10	2	4	15
DEMOCRAT 40-59	13	14	14	9	16	14	11	12	16	9	13	11	10	15	14	12	12	18	7	7	10	15
DEMOCRAT 60+	7	6	7	6	10	6	7	6	7	6	8	7	6	6	7	7	7	8	4	3	7	7
INDEP W/LNS 18-39	12	12	13	13	4	13	10	20	6	9	11	19	10	13	6	18	7	14	12	15	6	6
INDEP W/LNS 40-59	13	8	14	15	16	11	15	9	13	16	15	7	19	12	14	7	19	10	15	20	14	13
INDEP W/LNS 60+	12	11	12	12	13	11	12	9	13	13	12	14	14	8	15	14	15	5	13	12	24	11
REPUBLICAN 18-39	6	5	8	5	9	7	6	6	7	8	5	5	7	9	4	5	7	9	9	7	1	5
REPUBLICAN 40-59	8	5	6	13	8	6	12	5	6	12	11	7	12	7	9	6	11	7	7	12	20	5
REPUBLICAN 60+	6	7	5	5	11	6	7	7	5	10	4	5	4	7	7	5	4	7	8	6	6	8
<b>IDEOLOGY BY PARTY</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>58</b>
LIB DEMOCRAT	16	14	16	15	26	15	18	13	17	17	19	18	10	17	19	19	11	21	9	9	13	21
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	15	20	14	14	10	17	13	16	18	10	16	16	14	14	16	16	18	16	13	3	8	19
MOD/LIB REPUBLICAN	5	5	2	6	12	4	7	3	5	7	7	7	7	3	6	7	7	3	3	8	6	6
CONS REPUBLICAN	16	12	18	18	17	15	18	17	13	22	14	10	16	20	15	10	15	21	21	18	22	12

**TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS**

	GENDER			Q2 AGE									Q3 ETHNICITY			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-39	40-59	60+	HISP/ LATNO	WHITE	AFRCN AMRCN	NON- WHITE
Base	1024	502	522	210	139	205	254	121	74	350	375	279	143	625	123	369
<b>CONSISTENT VOTER</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>67%</b>
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	52	39	45	47	44	41	51	55	46	43	49	44	45	44	46
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	25	29	23	29	27	36	22	16	25	29	26	17	31	20	21
<b>SWING</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>
SWING	27	23	31	32	24	28	23	27	30	29	27	25	39	24	35	33
<b>MOVERS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	9	10	14	7	10	10	4	7	11	11	6	14	8	15	13
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	11	13	13	13	12	8	17	13	13	11	13	20	12	11	13
	Q5 HISP/LTN BORN/IMMIGR		Q7 U.S. DIRECTION			Q8A U.S./ CUBA RELTNS		Q9 NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ ENGAGE WITH CUBA INITIAL								
	Total	BORN	IMMIG	RIGHT DIR	WRONG TRACK	DK/NA	NONE	ALL OTHER	STR FAVOR	SMWT FAVOR	SMWT OPPOS	STR OPPOS	TOTAL FAVOR	TOTAL OPPOS	DK/NA	
Base	1024	50	94	364	572	88	694	330	304	268	134	225	572	359	93	
<b>CONSISTENT VOTER</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>0%</b>	
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	47	42	55	41	41	47	43	91	71	0	0	82	0	0	
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	6	23	17	35	18	30	22	0	0	67	84	0	78	0	
<b>SWING</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>100</b>	
SWING	27	46	36	28	25	41	23	35	9	29	33	16	18	22	100	
<b>MOVERS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>65</b>	
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	25	9	9	9	15	8	13	0	0	29	15	0	20	28	
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	15	22	13	11	13	10	16	8	25	0	0	16	0	36	

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	NORMALIZE RELATIONS/ENGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS						Q15/Q16 MSG ROTATION		A/B SPLIT		Q13 CUBA BELONGS ON STATE-SPONSORED TERRORISM LIST						
	Total	CONS	CONS	POS	NEG	PRO	CON	NRMLZ	ENGGE	DEF	PROB	PROB	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	
		FAVOR	OPPOS	SWING	MOVER												MOVER
Base	1024	468	278	278	100	124	519	505	502	522	237	177	285	252	414	537	73
<b>CONSISTENT VOTER</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>55%</b>
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	100	0	0	0	0	46	45	51	41	20	26	61	69	23	65	35
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	0	100	0	0	0	26	28	27	27	50	34	17	14	43	16	21
<b>SWING</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45</b>
SWING	27	0	0	100	100	100	28	26	22	32	29	40	22	17	34	20	45
<b>MOVERS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	0	0	36	100	0	10	9	6	14	12	15	8	8	13	8	7
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	0	0	45	0	100	13	11	10	14	16	16	12	7	16	9	11
		QA/QB CELL PHONE/LANDLINE USAGE			Q19 IDEOLOGY				Q21 TEA PARTY FAVORABILITY					Q20/Q21 TEA PARTY OPIN			
	Total	ALL/M	BOTH	ALL/M	VERY	VERY	CONS	MOD	LIB	VERY	VERY	TOTAL	TOTAL	NO/DK	FAV/	FAV/	
		CELL	EQUAL	LNDLN	CONS	LIB	CONS	MOD	LIB	FAV	UNFAV	FAV	UNFAV	RF/NA	REPS	IND/L	
Base	1024	461	338	212	156	92	354	350	275	111	254	226	337	427	99	61	
<b>CONSISTENT VOTER</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>78%</b>	
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	47	48	41	29	54	36	49	58	42	66	36	65	38	28	44	
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	28	22	34	44	17	37	23	18	45	15	38	17	29	45	34	
<b>SWING</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22</b>	
SWING	27	25	30	25	27	29	27	28	24	13	19	26	18	33	27	22	
<b>MOVERS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	12	8	6	9	9	12	9	9	10	7	11	7	12	8	9	
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	10	16	12	16	15	12	12	12	3	6	12	7	15	13	11	

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	Q20 PARTY SELF-ID																	IDEOLOGY BY PARTY				
	Total	DEM		REP		INDEP		NT RG	STR	NSTR	INDEP	INDEP	NSTR	STR	NOT	DK/NA	LIB	MD/CN	MD/LB	CONS		
		W/LNS	INDEP	W/LNS	DEM	W/LNS	REP	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	L/DEM	INDEP	L/REP	REP	REP	REG	DK/NA	DEM	DEM	REP	REP	
Base	1024	378	257	289	323	380	220	101	233	90	54	257	68	80	140	61	39	165	153	54	165	
<b>CONSISTENT VOTER</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>77%</b>	
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	52	49	41	49	50	40	29	48	55	67	49	44	47	36	32	25	57	42	47	37	
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	23	24	34	24	24	35	32	24	23	18	24	31	24	41	30	35	19	28	21	40	
<b>SWING</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>23</b>	
SWING	27	25	27	25	27	25	25	38	29	23	15	27	26	29	23	38	40	24	30	31	23	
<b>MOVERS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	12	7	8	13	8	7	10	17	4	7	7	11	7	7	11	9	8	19	7	7	
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	9	14	13	9	13	13	17	9	11	9	14	12	16	11	17	17	10	8	13	12	
		GENDER BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE								
	Total	MEN	MEN	MEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	WOMEN	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	DEM	DEM	DEM	IND/L	IND/L	IND/L	REP	REP	REP
		18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+	18-39	40-59	60+
Base	1024	188	171	135	161	204	144	138	185	195	184	118	102	117	130	67	120	132	124	66	85	64
<b>CONSISTENT VOTER</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>82%</b>
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	51	52	55	40	36	43	58	43	54	47	51	26	46	49	58	56	46	49	39	35	46
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	25	27	22	26	31	31	20	26	24	25	30	41	24	23	25	25	26	21	25	41	36
<b>SWING</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>18</b>
SWING	27	24	20	24	34	33	26	23	30	23	28	18	33	30	27	18	19	27	29	36	24	18
<b>MOVERS</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	12	9	6	10	13	6	14	13	8	8	6	8	14	13	11	9	10	6	9	10	2
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	10	10	14	17	12	11	7	11	13	13	12	14	14	7	4	10	12	17	18	9	13

TABLE 58: NORMALIZE RELATIONS/EGAGE CUBA TARGET GROUPS

	Q22 EDUCATION							EDUCATION BY GENDER				CENSUS REGION				REGION						
	Total	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NON- COLL	NON- COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH EAST	MID WEST	SOUTH	SOUTH CNTRL	CNTRL PLAIN	MNTN	WEST
Base	1024	271	280	344	119	551	464	278	273	218	246	184	215	379	246	201	151	227	135	64	65	181
<b><u>CONSISTENT VOTER</u></b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>72%</b>
CONSISTENT FAVOR	46	35	44	51	59	39	53	44	35	63	45	50	47	42	48	50	42	43	39	59	37	52
CONSISTENT OPPOSE	27	30	25	29	18	28	26	27	29	23	29	33	26	27	25	32	28	29	23	20	38	20
<b><u>SWING</u></b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>
SWING	27	35	30	20	23	33	20	29	36	14	26	17	28	32	27	18	31	28	38	20	26	28
<b><u>MOVERS</u></b>	<b>22</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>
POSITIVE MOVERS	10	16	9	7	5	12	7	14	11	3	10	6	9	11	12	6	8	10	12	10	6	14
NEGATIVE MOVERS	12	15	15	8	14	15	9	13	16	9	9	9	13	14	11	9	15	11	19	8	14	10