Cyber 9/12 Scorecard

Understanding of Cyber Policy
- [4 points] The team demonstrated a comprehensive knowledge of cyber policy issues, accurately identified key stakeholders and applicable instruments / levers
- [3 points] The team demonstrated a good knowledge of cyber policy issues, identified appropriate stakeholders, instruments/levers
- [2 points] The team demonstrated a general understanding of cyber policy but mis-identified some appropriate stakeholders, instruments/levers
- [1 point] The team demonstrated limited knowledge of cyber policy, stakeholders, and instruments levers

Identification of Key Issues
- [4 points] The team successfully identified and fully responded to all the issues posed by the scenario
- [3 points] The team identified and responded to the main issues posed by the scenario
- [2 points] The team identified some relevant issues posed by the scenario or partially responded to main issues identified
- [1 point] The team referenced general cyber issues not relevant to the scenario or overly focused on a single issue

Policy Response Option – Analysis and Selected Option
- [4 points] The team’s policy response options fully addressed the scenario and clearly articulated trade-offs. The optimal solution was proposed
- [3 points] The team’s policy response options addressed the main elements of the scenario and articulated some trade-offs. A good solution was proposed
- [2 points] The team’s policy response options addressed some elements of the scenario and/or there was a limited articulation of trade-offs. A solution that had some value was proposed
- [1 point] The team’s suggested responses were overly narrow or only focused on one element of the scenario. The proposed solution was unlikely to be successful

Structure and Communication
- [4 points] The team presented with a very clear, logical structure to their analysis and options, clearly communicated with the audience and were exemplary (brevity & accuracy) in their responses to questions
- [3 points] The team presented with a structure to their analysis and options, communicated relatively well with the audience and gave good answers in response to questions
- [2 points] The team presented with an occasionally unclear structure to their analysis and options, occasionally struggled to clearly communicate with the audience and / or occasionally gave unclear answers in response to questions
- [1 point] The team’s presentation of analysis and options lacked structure, hindering effective communication with the audience and gave unclear answers in response to questions

Originality and Creativity
- [4 points] The team offered highly effective and innovative solutions to the scenario that go beyond existing canonical literature or best practices
- [3 points] The team offered effective, creative solutions to the scenario, grounded in current best practices and literature
- [2 points] The team offered partially effective solutions to the scenario with a degree of creativity, drawing upon some superseded best practice
- [1 point] The team offered potentially ineffective solutions to the scenario, without creativity drawing upon superseded best practice